

GeoSPARQL 1.1: an almost decadal update to the most important geospatial LOD standard

Nicholas J. Car^{1,2}[0000–0002–8742–7730] and
Timo Homburg³[1111–2222–3333–4444]

¹ SURROUND Australia Pty Ltd., Brisbane Australia

² Australian National University, Canberra Australia

nicholas.car@surroundaustralia.com

<https://surroundaustralia.com>

³ ABC Institute, Rupert-Karls-University Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
{abc,lncs}@uni-heidelberg.de

Abstract. The Open Geospatial Consortium published the GeoSPARQL 1.0 standard in 2012 containing multiple parts that define “SPARQL extension functions”, “RIF rules”, “an RDF/OWL ontology for information based on the General Feature Model” and supporting vocabularies, all for Semantic Web spatial data.

In the 8+ years since its publication, GeoSPARQL has become the most important spatial Semantic Web standard, as judged by references to it in other Semantic Web standards and its wide use in Semantic Web data.

An update to the standard was proposed in 2019 to deliver GeoSPARQL 1.1 in 2021 with a charter to: handle outstanding change requests and source new ones from the GeoSPARQL user community as well to “better present” the standard, that is to better link all the standard’s parts and better document & exemplify elements. Expected updates included possible alignments to other ontologies, possible handling of new spatial referencing systems, new geometry representations and new artifact presentation.

In this paper, we will discuss the submitted change requests and resulting updates to the standard. We will also discuss the theory behind updates and our expectations for GeoSPARQL 1.1’s use.

Keywords: GeoSPARQL · GeoSPARQL 1.1 · spatial · geospatial · Semantic Web · RDF · OWL · OGC · Open Geospatial Consortium · standard.

1 First Section

1.1 A Subsection Sample

Please note that the first paragraph of a section or subsection is not indented. The first paragraph that follows a table, figure, equation etc. does not need an indent, either.

Subsequent paragraphs, however, are indented.

Sample Heading (Third Level) Only two levels of headings should be numbered. Lower level headings remain unnumbered; they are formatted as run-in headings.

Sample Heading (Fourth Level) The contribution should contain no more than four levels of headings. Table 1 gives a summary of all heading levels.

Table 1. Table captions should be placed above the tables.

Heading level	Example	Font size and style
Title (centered)	Lecture Notes	14 point, bold
1st-level heading	1 Introduction	12 point, bold
2nd-level heading	2.1 Printing Area	10 point, bold
3rd-level heading	Run-in Heading in Bold. Text follows	10 point, bold
4th-level heading	<i>Lowest Level Heading.</i> Text follows	10 point, italic

Displayed equations are centered and set on a separate line.

$$x + y = z \tag{1}$$

Please try to avoid rasterized images for line-art diagrams and schemas. Whenever possible, use vector graphics instead (see Fig. 1).




Fig. 1. A figure caption is always placed below the illustration. Please note that short captions are centered, while long ones are justified by the macro package automatically.

Theorem 1. *This is a sample theorem. The run-in heading is set in bold, while the following text appears in italics. Definitions, lemmas, propositions, and corollaries are styled the same way.*

Proof. Proofs, examples, and remarks have the initial word in italics, while the following text appears in normal font.

For citations of references, we prefer the use of square brackets and consecutive numbers. Citations using labels or the author/year convention are also acceptable. The following bibliography provides a sample reference list with entries for journal articles [1], an LNCS chapter [2], a book [?], proceedings without editors [3], and a homepage [3]. Multiple citations are grouped [1,2,3].

References

1. Gonzalez-Beltran, A., Browning, D., Cox, S., Winstanley, P.: Data Catalog Vocabulary (DCAT) - revised edition. W3C Recommendation, World Wide Web Consortium (Nov 2018), <https://www.w3.org/TR/vocab-dcat-2/>
2. Gregory Todd Williams: SPARQL 1.1 Service Description. W3C Recommendation, World Wide Web Consortium (Mar 2013), <http://www.w3.org/TR/sparql11-service-description/>
3. Knublauch, H., Kontokostas, D.: Shapes Constraint Language (SHACL). W3C Recommendation, W3C RDF Data Shapes Working Group (2017), <https://www.w3.org/TR/shacl/>