

## Artin-Schreier Extensions

- (a) We check that  $f(x) = x^p - x - a$  is separable over  $F$ : its formal derivative is  $-1$ , so it must be separable with  $n$  distinct roots. It follows that the splitting field  $K$  for  $f(x)$  is a Galois extension of  $F$ . It suffices to find  $n$  distinct automorphisms of  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$  and determine that this group is cyclic.

Let  $\theta$  denote a root of  $f(x)$ . If  $\theta$  is in  $F$ , we will see that the splitting field is  $F$ .

First see that  $\theta + k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, p-1$  are distinct roots of  $f(x)$ : We have  $(\theta + 1)^p - (\theta + 1) - a = \theta^p + 1^p - \theta - 1 - a = \theta^p - \theta - a = 0$  (Frobenius), by induction it follows the above elements are the  $p$  distinct roots as desired. So if  $\theta \in F$ , then all the roots of  $f(x)$  are in  $F$  so that the splitting field is  $F$  itself. So we consider the case when  $\theta \notin F$ .

Observe that the map  $\sigma: K \rightarrow K$  which is the identity on  $F$  and maps  $\theta$  to  $\theta + 1$  is an automorphism of  $K$  fixing  $F$  since it is invertible (the two sided inverse is of course the map that fixes  $F$  and sends  $\theta$  to  $\theta - 1$ ) and permutes roots of  $f(x)$ . By taking powers, we obtain  $p$  distinct automorphisms in  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ , and it follows that  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$  is cyclic of order  $p$ .

- (b) View  $\sigma, \sigma^2, \dots, \sigma^{p-1}, \sigma^p = \text{id}_K$  as characters  $K^\times \rightarrow K^\times$ . It was already shown that characters are linearly independent (here over  $K$ ) as functions, so that  $\text{Tr}: \text{id}_K + \sigma + \dots + \sigma^{p-1}$  is not the zero function on  $K^\times$ , so there is a nonzero  $\theta \in K$  such that  $\text{Tr}(\theta) \neq 0$ .
- (c) Observe that  $\sigma \text{Tr}(\theta) = \sigma\theta + \dots + \sigma^p\theta = \text{Tr}(\theta)$  since  $\sigma^p = \text{id}_K$ . In particular this shows that  $\text{Tr}$  maps into  $F$  since  $\sigma$  fixes only the elements in  $F$ .

Take  $\alpha = (1/\text{Tr}(\theta)) \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \sigma^j \beta) \sigma^i \theta$ . We have

$$\sigma\alpha = \sigma \left[ \frac{1}{\text{Tr}(\theta)} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \sigma^j \beta \right) \sigma^i \theta \right] = \frac{1}{\text{Tr}(\theta)} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \sigma^{j+1} \beta \right) \sigma^{i+1} \theta,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha - \sigma\alpha &= \left[ \frac{1}{\text{Tr}(\theta)} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \sigma^j \beta \right) \sigma^i \theta \right] - \left[ \frac{1}{\text{Tr}(\theta)} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \sigma^{j+1} \beta \right) \sigma^{i+1} \theta \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{Tr}(\theta)} [\beta\sigma\theta + (\beta + \sigma\beta)\sigma^2\theta + \dots + (\beta + \sigma\beta + \dots + \sigma^{p-2}\beta)\sigma^{p-1}\theta \\ &\quad - (\sigma\beta)\sigma^2\theta - \dots - (\sigma\beta + \sigma^2\beta + \dots + \sigma^{p-2}\beta)\sigma^{p-1}\theta - (\sigma\beta + \sigma^2\beta + \dots + \sigma^{p-1}\beta)\theta] \\ &= (\beta \text{Tr}(\theta))/\text{Tr}(\theta) = \beta \end{aligned}$$

since  $-(\sigma\beta + \sigma^2\beta + \dots + \sigma^{p-1}\beta) = \beta$  by assumption.

- (d) Let  $\sigma$  generate  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ . We have that  $\text{Tr}(-1) = -1 + \dots + -1 = -p = 0$  since  $\sigma$  fixes  $F$ . Then by applying part (c) we have that  $-1 = \alpha - \sigma\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in K$ ; in particular this  $\alpha$  could not be in  $F$  since  $\sigma$  fixes  $F$ . It follows that  $\sigma\alpha = \alpha + 1$ . By applying  $\sigma$  iteratively to  $\alpha$  we obtain  $p$  distinct elements of  $K$ ,

$\alpha + k$  for  $k = 0, \dots, p-1$ . Then consider  $g(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{p-1} (x - (\alpha + k))$ , which is in  $F[x]$  since  $\sigma$  (extended to a map on  $F[x]$ ) fixes  $g(x)$  as it cycles the roots  $\alpha + k$ . (The constant term is  $\prod_{k=0}^{p-1} (\alpha + k) \in F$ ).

From part (a), we saw that if  $\theta$  was a root of  $f(x) = x^p - x - a$  for some given  $a \in F$ , that  $\theta + k$  for  $k = 0, \dots, p-1$  form the  $p$  distinct roots of  $f(x)$ . It follows that  $\prod_{k=0}^{p-1} (x - (\theta + k)) = x^p - x - a$ , so that  $a = \prod_{k=0}^{p-1} (\theta + k)$ . It follows then that  $g(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{p-1} (x - (\alpha + k))$  is equal to  $x^p - x - \prod_{k=0}^{p-1} (\alpha + k)$  so that  $K = F(\alpha, \dots, \alpha + p-1)$  is the splitting field of  $g(x) = x^p - x - \prod_{k=0}^{p-1} (\alpha + k)$  as desired.

## Direct Limits

- (a) A diagram of shape  $I$  is a functor  $F: I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , and for each  $i \in I$  let  $F(i) = X_i \in \mathcal{C}$  and let  $F(i \leq j) = f_{i,j}: X_i \rightarrow X_j$  such that  $f_{i,i} = \text{id}_{X_i}$ , if  $i \leq j \leq k$  then  $f_{j,k} \circ f_{i,j} = f_{i,k}$ , and for any  $a, b \in I$  there exists  $u \in I$  such that  $a \leq u$  and  $b \leq u$  so that there exists  $f_{a,u}, f_{b,u}$ .

The direct limit is a colimit of this diagram; that is, it is an object  $L$  with maps  $g_i: X_i \rightarrow L$  such that for any map  $f_{i,j}: X_i \rightarrow X_j$  we have  $g_i = g_j f_{i,j}$ , and for any other object  $N$  with maps  $n_i: X_i \rightarrow N$  such that for any map  $f_{i,j}: X_i \rightarrow X_j$  we have  $n_i = n_j f_{i,j}$ , there exists a unique morphism  $h: L \rightarrow N$  such that  $h g_i = n_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . This is summarized in the commuting diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_i & \xrightarrow{f_{i,j}} & X_j \\
 \searrow g_i & & \swarrow g_j \\
 & L & \\
 \swarrow n_i & \downarrow h & \searrow n_j \\
 & N &
 \end{array}$$

- (b) Let the set  $L$  be given by the set of equivalence classes of  $(\sqcup_{i \in I} X_i) / \sim$  where  $x_i \in X_i \sim x_j \in X_j$  if there exists  $u \in I$  with  $i \leq u, j \leq u$  and  $f_{i,u} x_i = f_{j,u} x_j$ .

We should check that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation. Reflexivity is clear since there does exist  $u$  such that  $i \leq u$  and so  $f_{i,u} x_i = f_{i,u} x_i$ . Symmetry is also clear since equality is symmetric. Transitivity requires a small step: Suppose  $x_i \sim x_j$  and  $x_j \sim x_k$  so that there exists  $u_1$  with  $i \leq u_1, j \leq u_1$  and  $f_{i,u_1} x_i = f_{j,u_1} x_j$  and there exists  $u_2$  with  $f_{j,u_2} x_j = f_{k,u_2} x_k$ . There exists  $u_3$  with  $u_1 \leq u_3, u_2 \leq u_3$ , from which it follows that  $i \leq u_3, k \leq u_3$  and

$$f_{i,u_3} x_i = f_{u_1,u_3} f_{i,u_1} x_i = f_{u_1,u_3} f_{j,u_1} x_j = f_{j,u_3} x_j = f_{u_2,u_3} f_{j,u_2} x_j = f_{u_2,u_3} f_{k,u_2} x_k = f_{k,u_3} x_k.$$

Thus  $x_i \sim x_k$  as desired and so  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

We show that  $L$  with maps  $g_i: X_i \rightarrow L$  given by  $g_i x_i = [x_i]$  for all  $i \in I$  is the direct limit of the diagram  $F$  of shape  $I$  in the category of sets.

First we check that the maps  $g_i$  for all  $i \in I$  satisfy the desired commuting property. For  $i, j \in I$  with  $i \leq j$  we have  $g_i = g_j f_{i,j}$ : for any  $x_i \in X_i$  with  $i \leq j$  we show that  $g_i x_i = [x_i] = [f_{i,j} x_i] = g_j f_{i,j} x_i$ . There exists

a  $u \in I$  with  $j \leq i$  so that also  $i \leq u$  and  $f_{i,u}x_i = f_{j,u}f_{i,j}x_i$  since  $f_{j,u}f_{i,j} = f_{i,u}$ . Hence  $x_i \sim f_{i,j}x_i$  so that  $g_i x_i = g_j f_{i,j} x_i$ , and it follows that  $g_i = g_j f_{i,j}$  for any  $i, j \in I$  with  $i \leq j$ .

Now suppose that there is an object  $N$  with maps  $n_i: X_i \rightarrow N$  such that for  $i, j \in I$  with  $i \leq j$  we have  $n_i = n_j f_{i,j}$ . We show that there is a unique map  $h: L \rightarrow N$  such that for all  $i \in I$  we have  $n_i = h g_i$ . Define  $h$  by  $h[x] = n_k x$ , where  $i \in I$  is the unique  $k$  with  $x \in X_k$ .

We check that  $h$  is well defined first: Let  $x_i \sim x_j$  with  $x_i \in X_i, x_j \in X_j$ , so that there exists  $u \in I$  with  $i \leq u, j \leq u$  and  $f_{i,u}x_i = f_{j,u}x_j$ . But  $n_i = n_u f_{i,u}$  and  $n_j = n_u f_{j,u}$  so that from  $f_{i,u}x_i = f_{j,u}x_j$  we have  $n_u f_{i,u}x_i = n_u f_{j,u}x_j = n_i x_i = h[x_i] = h[x_j] = n_j x_j = n_u f_{j,u}x_j$ . It follows  $h$  is well defined.

The map  $h$  defined above also has the desired commuting property, that for all  $i \in I$  we have  $h g_i = n_i$ : for  $x_i \in X_i$ ,  $h g_i x_i = h[x_i] = n_i x_i$ . The map  $h$  is also unique by construction: If there was another (well defined) map  $h'$  which could be used in place of  $h$ , then for any  $[x] \in L$  we have  $h'[x] = h' g_i x = n_i x = h[x]$  for some  $i \in I$  ( $i \in I$  such that  $x \in X_i$ ). Then  $h' = h$ , so that  $h$  is unique. It follows that  $L$  satisfies the universal property for being the direct limit of the diagram of shape  $I$  in the category of sets.

- (c) The direct limit of the groups  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  in the category of groups is given by some kind of amalgamated free product of the groups  $\mathbb{Z}/i\mathbb{Z}$  for  $i \in I$ ; we will see that this group is just the multiplicative group of (all) roots of unity.

At the expense of taking up more space we use the multiplicative cyclic groups  $\mu_n = \{\exp(2\pi i a/n) \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with maps  $f_{n,m}: \mu_n \rightarrow \mu_m$  given by sending  $\exp(2\pi i a/n)$  to  $\exp(2\pi i (am/n)/m)$  whenever  $n$  divides  $m$ . To me it is more clear this way.

Consider the group  $L = (*_{i \in I} \mu_n)/N$  where  $N$  is the normal closure of the set

$$\bigcup_{n,m \in I} \{\exp(2\pi i a/n) \exp(2\pi i (-b)/m) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } na = mb \in \mathbb{Z}/(nm)\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

This is natural since if  $nm \mid (na - mb)$  then  $\exp(2\pi i a/n) \exp(2\pi i (-b)/m) = \exp(2\pi i (na - mb)/nm)$  is 1 over  $\mathbb{C}$ . I will suppress the use of brackets for denoting equivalence classes in the quotient group for this reason. I will also use the multiplication given in  $\mathbb{C}$  to reduce words in this group to single elements since the same formula holds due to the construction of  $N$ . Observe also that  $L$  is Abelian since the product of any two elements  $\exp(2\pi i a/n) \exp(2\pi i b/m)$  can be promoted to a product of elements in  $\mu_{nm}$ , which is Abelian.

We check that  $L$  satisfies the universal property for being the direct limit: Let the maps  $g_i: \mu_i \rightarrow L$  be given by the usual inclusion:  $\exp(2\pi i a/i) \mapsto \exp(2\pi i a/i)$  and note that they commute with the maps  $f_{n,m}$  in the right way since  $\exp(2\pi i a/i) = \exp(2\pi i (ja/i)/j)$  in  $L$  due to the construction of  $N$ .

Let  $M$  with maps  $m_i$  be any other cocone of our diagram of  $\mu_i$  for  $i \in I$ . The map  $h: L \rightarrow M$  is the map taking

$$\prod_{k=1}^K \exp\left(2\pi i \frac{a_k}{n_k}\right) = \exp\left(2\pi i \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K a_k \frac{\text{lcm}(n_1, \dots, n_K)}{n_k}}{\text{lcm}(n_1, \dots, n_K)}\right)$$

to  $m_{\text{lcm}(n_1, \dots, n_K)}\left(\sum_{k=1}^K a_k \frac{\text{lcm}(n_1, \dots, n_K)}{n_k}\right)$ . (Any well definedness checks would also work out since the  $m_i$  also commute with the  $f_{i,j}$  in the right way when  $i \mid j$ .) This map commutes correctly with the  $g_i$  and  $m_i$ :

for some fixed  $i \in I$  with  $\exp(2\pi ia/i) \in \mu_i$  we have that  $hg_i \exp(2\pi ia/i) = m_i \exp(2\pi ia/i)$  as expected. By construction the map is unique (Any other map  $h': L \rightarrow M$  must agree with  $h$  everywhere due to the commuting relation  $h'$  must satisfy:  $h' \exp(2\pi ia/i) = h'g_i \exp(2\pi ia/i) = m_i \exp(2\pi ia/i) = h \exp(2\pi ia/i)$ .)

It follows that  $L$  is the direct limit of the groups  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with maps  $f_{i,j}$  whenever  $i \mid j$  up to isomorphism. [The group  $L$  may be viewed as the multiplicative group of roots of unity given by  $\{\exp(2\pi ia/n) \mid a, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  contained in  $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$  where the product is the usual one taken in  $\mathbb{C}$ .]

## Using Tensor Products in Linear Algebra

- (a) A natural map  $\varphi$  from  $V^* \otimes_F W \rightarrow \text{Hom}_F(V, W)$  is the map taking  $\sum_{i=1}^N c_i f_i \otimes w_i$  to  $\sum_{i=1}^N c_i f_i(\cdot) w_i$  and note that because  $f: V \rightarrow F$  is linear,  $\sum_{i=1}^N c_i f_i(\cdot) w_i: V \rightarrow W$  is also linear so that it is an element of  $\text{Hom}_F(V, W)$ . We show that the assignment is an isomorphism when  $W$  has finite dimension by checking it is linear, injective, and surjective.

The above assignment is linear by construction:  $\varphi[A \sum_{i=1}^N c_i f_i \otimes w_i + B \sum_{j=1}^M d_j g_j \otimes v_j] = A \sum_{i=1}^N c_i f_i(\cdot) w_i + B \sum_{j=1}^M d_j g_j(\cdot) v_j = A\varphi[\sum_{i=1}^N c_i f_i \otimes w_i] + B\varphi[\sum_{j=1}^M d_j g_j \otimes v_j]$ .

The map  $\varphi$  is injective as it has trivial kernel. Let  $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be a basis for  $W$ . Suppose  $\varphi[\sum_{k=1}^K c_k f_k \otimes v_k] = \sum_{k=1}^K c_k f_k(\cdot) v_k = 0$ , with  $v_k = \sum_{i=1}^N d_{ki} w_i \in W$ . We first rewrite  $\sum_{k=1}^K c_k f_k \otimes v_k$  as  $\sum_{i=1}^N (\sum_{k=1}^K d_{ki} c_k f_k) \otimes w_i$  so that  $\sum_{i=1}^N (\sum_{k=1}^K d_{ki} c_k f_k(\cdot)) w_i = 0$  as a linear transformation  $V \rightarrow W$ . It follows by the linear independence of the  $w_i$  that for each  $1 \leq i \leq N$ ,  $(\sum_{k=1}^K d_{ki} c_k f_k(\cdot)) = 0$  as elements of  $V^*$ . It follows that  $\sum_{k=1}^K c_k f_k \otimes v_k = \sum_{i=1}^N (\sum_{k=1}^K d_{ki} c_k f_k) \otimes w_i = 0 \in V^* \otimes_F W$ .

The map  $\varphi$  is surjective. Given any linear transformation  $T: V \rightarrow W$  and fixing a basis  $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^N$  for  $W$ , we find a preimage. Observe that  $\pi_i \circ T$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$  where  $\pi_i$  is the projection onto the  $i$ -th component (it extracts the  $i$ -th coefficient in the expansion of  $w \in W$  as a linear combination of basis vectors) is a linear functional in  $V^*$ . Furthermore, observe that  $T = \sum_{i=1}^N (\pi_i \circ T)(\cdot) w_i$  since the  $w_i$  form a basis for  $W$ . It follows that  $\sum_{i=1}^N (\pi_i \circ T) \otimes w_i$  is a preimage for  $T$  under  $\varphi$ .

It follows that  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism of  $V^* \otimes_F W$  with  $\text{Hom}_F(V, W)$ .

- (b) For a basis element  $e_k$ , we have  $Ae_k = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ik} e_i = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ik} e_k^*(e_k) e_i$  (the  $k$ -th column of  $A$ ). By linearity, it follows that for any  $v \in V$  with  $v = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k e_k$ ,

$$Av = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k Ae_k = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ik} e_k^*(e_k) e_i \right) = \sum_{1 \leq i, k \leq n} a_{ik} e_k^*(c_k e_k) e_i = \sum_{1 \leq i, k \leq n} a_{ik} e_k^*(v) e_i$$

since  $e_k^*(e_i) = \delta_{ki}$  (1 if  $i = k$  and 0 otherwise). It follows that

$$A = \sum_{1 \leq i, k \leq n} a_{ik} e_k^*(\cdot) e_i = \varphi \left[ \sum_{1 \leq i, k \leq n} a_{ik} (e_k^* \otimes e_i) \right]$$

so that under some fixed bases  $\{e_i\}, \{e_i^*\}$  for  $V, V^*$  respectively, we have  $\varphi^{-1}T = \sum_{1 \leq i, k \leq n} a_{ik} (e_k^* \otimes e_i)$ . If we change the bases to a different set of bases, the matrix  $A$  for  $T$  becomes another matrix  $A'$  and we

should expect that applying the right change of base matrices to  $\{e_i\}, \{e_i^*\}$ , we find that the preimage  $\sum_{1 \leq i, k \leq n} a_{ik}(e_k^* \otimes e_i)$  changes in a way that the coefficients  $a_{ik}$  become the entries of the new matrix  $A'$ .

- (c) Define  $\text{Tr}: \text{Hom}_F(V, V) \rightarrow F$  by  $\text{Tr} = \Phi \varphi^{-1}$  where  $\Phi: V^* \otimes_F V \rightarrow F$  is the linear map