

1. (DF7.3.29) Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Recall that an element  $x \in R$  is nilpotent if  $x^n = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Prove that the set of nilpotent elements form an ideal — called the *nilradical* of  $R$  and denoted by  $\mathfrak{R}(R)$ . [Use the Binomial Theorem to show  $\mathfrak{R}(R)$  is closed under addition.]

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a commutative ring.

We check that the nilradical  $\mathfrak{R}(R)$  is a subring of  $R$ . Observe that  $0^1 = 0$ , so that  $0 \in \mathfrak{R}(R)$  and  $\mathfrak{R}(R)$  is a nonempty subset of  $R$ . Let  $x, y \in R$  such that  $x^n = 0$  and  $y^m = 0$ . By properties of the multiplication in a ring and induction it follows that  $-y$  is nilpotent:

$$(-y)^m = \begin{cases} y^m = 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ -(y^m) = 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

Since  $R$  is commutative, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (x - y)^{n+m} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+m} \binom{n+m}{k} x^k (-y)^{n+m-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n+m}{k} x^k (-y)^{n+m-k} + \sum_{k=n}^{n+m} \binom{n+m}{k} x^k (-y)^{n+m-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Each sum must vanish due to the exponent on either  $x$  or  $(-y)$  for each term being large enough to cause the term to vanish. Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} (-y)^{n+m-k} &= (-y)^{n-k} (-y)^m = (-y)^{n-k} 0 = 0 \quad \text{for } k < n \\ x^k &= x^n x^{k-n} = 0(x^{k-n}) = 0 \quad \text{for } k \geq n, \end{aligned}$$

so that the terms in each sum vanish. It follows that  $(x - y)^{n+m} = 0$ , so that  $x - y$  is nilpotent and  $\mathfrak{R}(R)$  is a subgroup of  $R$ . Closure under multiplication is also easy to check since  $R$  is a commutative ring. The element  $xy$  is nilpotent since  $(xy)^{nm} = x^{nm} y^{nm} = (x^n)^m (y^m)^n = (0)(0) = 0$ , so  $\mathfrak{R}(R)$  is closed under multiplication and hence is a subring of  $R$ .

It follows in a similar manner that  $\mathfrak{R}(R)$  is an ideal. For any element  $r \in R$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{R}(R)$  with  $x^n = 0$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (rx)^n &= r^n x^n = r^n 0 = 0 \\ (xr)^n &= x^n r^n = 0 r^n = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $rx, rx$  are nilpotent and it follows that  $R$  is an ideal. □

Let  $R$  be a ring with identity  $1 \neq 0$ .

2. (DF7.4.1) Let  $L_j$  be the left ideal of  $M_n(R)$  consisting of arbitrary elements in the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column and zero in all other entries and let  $E_{ij}$  be the element of  $M_n(R)$  whose  $i, j$  entry is 1 and whose other entries are all 0. Prove that  $L_j = M_n(R)E_{ij}$  for any  $i$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n$  be a positive integer and let  $i$  be any integer from 1 to  $n$ . It is clear that  $L_j$  is a left ideal since it is an additive subgroup and is closed under multiplication by matrices from  $M_n(R)$  on the left: For any element  $A \in L_j$  and  $M \in M_n(R)$ , we have

$$(MA)_{rs} = \sum_{k=1}^n M_{rk}A_{ks} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \neq j \\ \sum_{k=1}^n M_{rk}A_{kj} & \text{if } s = j, \end{cases}$$

meaning that only the  $j$ -th column of the resulting matrix survives in the product.

Any element  $L$  of  $L_j$  may be written as  $ME_{ij}$ , where  $M \in M_n(R)$  and  $E_{ij}$  is the matrix whose entries are zero except for the  $i, j$ -th entry being  $1 \in R$ . Let  $L_{ij} = \ell_i \in R$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  (and all other entries of  $L$  are zero). Then choose  $M$  to be the matrix whose entries are zero except for its  $i$ -th column being the  $j$ -th column of  $L$ ; that is,  $M_{ri} = L_{rj}$  for  $1 \leq r \leq n$ . It follows that

$$(ME_{ij})_{rs} = \sum_{k=1}^n M_{rk}(E_{ij})_{ks} = M_{ri}(E_{ij})_{is} = L_{rj}(E_{ij})_{is} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \neq j \\ L_{rs}(1) = \ell_r & \text{if } s = j \end{cases} = L_{rs},$$

and since  $i$  was arbitrary, it follows that  $L_j \subseteq M_n(R)E_{ij}$ .

The reverse inclusion is checked similarly. Any matrix  $M$  in  $M_n(R)$  multiplied by  $E_{ij}$  on the right has the form we desire. Note also that because matrix multiplication distributes, we only need to check that the product of one matrix  $M$  with  $E_{ij}$  has the form needed to be an element of  $L_j$ . We have that

$$(ME_{ij})_{rs} = \sum_{k=1}^n M_{rk}(E_{ij})_{ks} = M_{ri}(E_{ij})_{is} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \neq j \\ M_{ri}(1) = M_{ri} & \text{if } s = j, \end{cases}$$

meaning the resulting matrix is the matrix with zeros in all entries except for the  $j$ -th column whose entries are taken from the  $i$ -th column of  $M$ . Since  $i$  was arbitrary, it follows that  $M_n(R)E_{ij} \subseteq L_j$ .

Hence  $L_j = M_n(R)E_{ij}$  for any  $i$ . □

3. Lemma. The preimage of a subring under a ring homomorphism is a subring, and the preimage of an ideal is an ideal.

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$  be a homomorphism of rings. Let  $T$  be a subring of  $S$ , and let  $a, b \in \varphi^{-1}(T)$ . Note  $\varphi(0_R) = 0_S \in T$ , so  $\varphi^{-1}(T)$  contains  $0_R$  and hence is a nonempty subset of  $R$ . Then  $\varphi(a-b) = \varphi(a) - \varphi(b) \in T$  since  $T$  is an additive group, and  $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) \in T$  since  $T$  is a ring. Hence  $a - b, ab \in \varphi^{-1}(T)$ , so  $\varphi^{-1}(T)$  is a subring of  $R$ .

If  $T$  is an ideal of  $S$ , then we check that the preimage under  $\varphi$  is an ideal of  $R$ : By the above argument, we know that the preimage  $\varphi^{-1}(T)$  is a subring of  $R$ . Then let  $r \in R$  and  $a \in \varphi^{-1}(T)$ . We have  $\varphi(ra) = \varphi(r)\varphi(a) \in T$  and  $\varphi(ar) = \varphi(a)\varphi(r) \in T$  since  $T$  is an ideal in  $S$ . Hence  $\varphi^{-1}(T)$  is closed under multiplication on the left and right by elements of  $R$ , so it is an ideal. □

4. (DF7.4.13) Let  $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$  be a homomorphism of commutative rings.

- (a) Prove that if  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $S$  then either  $\varphi^{-1}(P) = R$  or  $\varphi^{-1}(P)$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ . Apply this to the special case when  $R$  is a subring of  $S$  and  $\varphi$  is the inclusion homomorphism to deduce that if  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $S$  then  $P \cap R$  is either  $R$  or a prime ideal of  $R$ .

*Proof.* By the previous lemma, we know that  $\varphi^{-1}(P)$  is an ideal of  $R$ . If  $ab \in \varphi^{-1}(P)$ , then  $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) \in P$ . Because  $R, S$  are commutative rings, we can take without loss of generality that  $\varphi(a) \in P$ . What remains is to determine what happens if  $\varphi(b)$  is in  $P$  or not. We have  $b \in \varphi^{-1}(P)$  if  $\varphi(b) \in P$ . Otherwise, if  $\varphi(b) \notin P$ , then  $b \notin \varphi^{-1}(P)$ . It follows that  $\varphi^{-1}(P)$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  if it is properly contained in  $R$ , since at least one of  $a, b$  is in  $\varphi^{-1}(P)$  whenever  $ab \in \varphi^{-1}(P)$ . But it is also possible for  $\varphi^{-1}(P)$  to contain  $1_R$  and thus be equivalent to  $R$ .

When  $\varphi$  is the inclusion homomorphism, it follows that  $\varphi^{-1}(P) = P \cap R$  (since  $P \cap R$  contains all of the elements of  $R$  which map into  $P$  under  $\varphi$ ). By the previous result, it follows that  $P \cap R$  is either  $R$  or is a prime ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

- (b) Prove that if  $M$  is a maximal ideal of  $S$  and  $\varphi$  is surjective then  $\varphi^{-1}(M)$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ . Give an example to show that this need not be the case if  $\varphi$  is not surjective.

*Proof.* Let  $\pi: S \rightarrow S/M$  be the projection map, which is surjective. Then the composition  $\pi \circ \varphi: R \rightarrow S/M$  is surjective since both  $\pi, \varphi$  are surjective. The kernel of  $\pi \circ \varphi$  is found by investigating which elements  $r \in R$  are mapped to  $0_S + M$  in  $S/M$ : If  $\pi(\varphi(r)) = 0_S + M$ , it follows that  $\varphi(r) \in M$ , so that  $r \in \varphi^{-1}(M)$ . Hence  $\ker(\pi \circ \varphi) = \varphi^{-1}(M)$ , and by the first isomorphism theorem we have that

$$\frac{R}{\varphi^{-1}(M)} \cong \frac{S}{M}.$$

Since  $M$  is a maximal ideal in  $S$ , the quotient ring  $S/M$  is a field, so that  $R/\varphi^{-1}(M)$  is also a field. It follows from the lattice isomorphism theorem that  $\varphi^{-1}(M)$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$  (since there are no ideals outside of  $R/\varphi^{-1}(M)$  and the trivial ideal in  $R/\varphi^{-1}(M)$ , it follows that there are no proper ideals of  $R$  containing  $\varphi^{-1}(M)$  outside of  $\varphi^{-1}(M)$ ).  $\square$

5. (DF7.4.25) Assume  $R$  is commutative and for each  $a \in R$  there is an integer  $n > 1$  (depending on  $a$ ) such that  $a^n = a$ . Prove that every prime ideal of  $R$  is a maximal ideal.

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with the property that for every  $a \in R$  there is an  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  depending on  $a$  such that  $a^n = a$ .

We show that for any prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$ , that  $R/P$  is a field (so that by the lattice isomorphism theorem  $P$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ .) Suppose by way of contradiction that there is a proper nontrivial ideal  $\bar{J}$  of  $R/P$ . Then for some nontrivial element  $j + P \in \bar{J}$  (so  $j \notin P$ ), we can find  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  depending on  $j$  such that  $(j + P)^n = j^n + P = j + P$ , from which it follows that  $j^n - j = j(j^{n-1} - 1_R) \in P$ . Since  $P$  is a prime ideal and  $j \notin P$ , it follows that  $j^{n-1} - 1_R \in P$ . Equivalently,  $j^{n-1} + P = 1_R + P$ , so that by taking the product

$(j^{n-2} + P)(j + P) = j^{n-1} + P = 1_R + P$ , it follows from  $\overline{J}$  being an ideal of  $R/P$  that  $\overline{J}$  contains the identity element  $1_R + P$ . By closure under multiplication by elements of  $R/P$ , it follows that  $\overline{J}$  contains  $R/P$  so that  $\overline{J} = R/P$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $\overline{J}$  was a proper nontrivial ideal of  $R/P$ .

Hence the ideals of  $R/P$  are only  $R/P$  and the trivial ideal, meaning  $R/P$  is a field. Since  $P$  was arbitrary, every prime ideal of  $R$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ . □