

1. (DF7.2.10) Consider the following elements of the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}S_3$

$$\alpha = 3(12) - 5(23) + 14(123) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = 6(1) + 2(23) - 7(132)$$

(where (1) is the identity of S_3). Compute the following elements:

(a) $\alpha + \beta$, (b) $2\alpha - 3\beta$, (c) $\alpha\beta$, (d) $\beta\alpha$, (e) α^2

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad \alpha + \beta &= (3(12) - 5(23) + 14(123)) + (6(1) + 2(23) - 7(132)) = \boxed{6(1) + 3(12) - 3(23) + 14(123) - 7(132)} \\ \text{(b)} \quad 2\alpha - 3\beta &= \alpha + \alpha + (-\beta - \beta - \beta) = (6(12) - 10(23) + 28(123)) - (18(1) + 6(23) - 21(132)) = \\ &= \boxed{-18(1) + 6(12) - 16(23) + 28(123) + 21(132)} \\ \text{(c)} \quad \alpha\beta &= [3(12) - 5(23) + 14(123)][6(1) + 2(23) - 7(132)] = 18(12)(1) + 6(12)(23) - 21(12)(132) - \\ &30(23)(1) - 10(23)(23) + 35(23)(132) + 84(123)(1) + 28(123)(23) - 98(123)(132) \\ &= \boxed{-108(1) + 81(12) - 21(13) - 30(23) + 90(123)} \\ \text{(d)} \quad \beta\alpha &= [6(1) + 2(23) - 7(132)][3(12) - 5(23) + 14(123)] = 18(1)(12) - 30(1)(23) + 84(1)(123) + \\ &6(23)(12) - 10(23)(23) + 28(23)(123) - 21(132)(12) + 35(132)(23) - 98(132)(123) \\ &= \boxed{-108(1) + 18(12) + 63(13) - 51(23) + 84(123) + 6(132)} \\ \text{(e)} \quad \alpha^2 &= [3(12) - 5(23) + 14(123)][3(12) - 5(23) + 14(123)] = 9(12)(12) - 15(12)(23) + 42(12)(123) - \\ &15(23)(12) + 25(23)(23) - 70(23)(123) + 42(123)(12) - 70(123)(23) + 196(123)(123) \\ &= \boxed{34(1) - 70(12) - 28(13) + 42(23) - 15(123) + 181(132)} \end{aligned}$$

In Section 7.3, rings are assumed to have a $1 \neq 0$.

2. (DF7.3.13) Prove that the ring $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ contains a subring isomorphic to \mathbb{C} .

Proof. Observing that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

construct the set

$$S = \left\{ r_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + r_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

It is clear that this set is a nonempty subset of $M_2(\mathbb{R})$; what remains is to show is that this set under the same operations as $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ is a subring, and that this subring is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} .

For arbitrary $r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(r_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + r_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) - \left(r_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + r_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & -r_2 \\ r_2 & r_1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} r_3 & -r_4 \\ r_4 & r_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} r_1 - r_3 & -(r_2 - r_4) \\ r_2 - r_4 & r_1 - r_3 \end{pmatrix} = (r_1 - r_3) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + (r_2 - r_4) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(r_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + r_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \left(r_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + r_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
&= r_1 r_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^2 + r_1 r_4 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + r_2 r_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + r_2 r_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 \\
&= (r_1 r_3 - r_2 r_4) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + (r_1 r_4 + r_2 r_3) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that the difference of two elements of S is in S , and the product of two elements of S is also in S . It follows that S is a subring of $M_2(\mathbb{R})$.

To show that this subring is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} we exhibit the map $\varphi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\varphi \left(r_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + r_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = r_1 + r_2 i \quad (r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}),$$

so that in particular we have that the identity matrix maps to $1 + 0i$ and the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ maps to $0 + 1i$. We show that this map is an isomorphism of rings. The operations of addition and multiplication are preserved: For arbitrary $r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varphi \left((r_1 + r_3) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + (r_2 + r_4) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = (r_1 + r_3) + (r_2 + r_4)i \\
&= (r_1 + r_2 i) + (r_3 + r_4 i) = \varphi \left(r_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + r_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) + \varphi \left(r_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + r_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varphi \left((r_1 r_3 - r_2 r_4) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + (r_1 r_4 + r_2 r_3) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = (r_1 r_3 - r_2 r_4) + (r_1 r_4 + r_2 r_3)i \\
&= (r_1 + r_2 i)(r_3 + r_4 i) = \varphi \left(r_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + r_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \varphi \left(r_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + r_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The map $\varphi^{-1}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow S$ given by

$$\varphi^{-1}(r_1 + r_2 i) = r_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + r_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is easily checked to be a two-sided inverse for φ . We have that $\varphi\varphi^{-1}$ is the identity map on \mathbb{C} and that $\varphi^{-1}\varphi$ is the identity map on S . It follows that φ is a bijection, and so φ is an isomorphism of rings. Hence S is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} as desired. \square

3. (DF7.3.22) Let a be an element of the ring R .

- (a) Prove that $\{x \in R \mid ax = 0\}$ is a right ideal and $\{y \in R \mid ya = 0\}$ is a left ideal (called respectively the right and left *annihilators* of a in R).

Proof. Fix an element $a \in R$. The sets $I = \{x \in R \mid ax = 0\}$ and $J = \{y \in R \mid ya = 0\}$ are subrings: Observe I is a nonempty subset of R since $0 \in I$; we have $a0 = 0$. Let $x, y \in I$. Then $a(x - y) = ax - ay = 0 - 0 = 0$, so that $x - y \in I$. Thus I is closed under subtraction (so I is a subgroup of R). Similarly, $a(xy) = (ax)y = 0y = 0$, so that $xy \in I$; we have that I is closed under multiplication. Hence I is a subring of R .

Observe J is a nonempty subset of R since $0 \in J$; we have $0a = 0$. Let $x, y \in J$. Then $(x - y)a = xa - ya = 0 - 0 = 0$, so that $x - y \in J$. Thus J is closed under subtraction (so J is a subgroup of R). Similarly, $(xy)a = x(ya) = x0 = 0$, so that $xy \in J$; we have that J is closed under multiplication. Hence J is a subring of R .

To show that I is a right ideal of R , we check that I is closed under right multiplication by elements of R . Let $r \in R$ be arbitrary, and take any element $x \in I$. We have $a(xr) = (ax)r = 0r = 0$, so that $xr \in I$. It follows that I is a right ideal of R .

We use an almost identical argument to show that J is a left ideal of R : Let $r \in R$ be arbitrary, and take any element $y \in J$. Then $(ry)a = r(ya) = r0 = 0$, so that $ry \in J$. Thus J is closed under left multiplication by elements in R , so that J is a left ideal of R . \square

- (b) Prove that if L is a left ideal of R then $\{x \in R \mid xa = 0 \text{ for all } a \in L\}$ is a two-sided ideal (called the left *annihilator* of L in R).

Proof. Let L be a left ideal of R as given. We show that the left annihilator of L in R , given by $I = \{x \in R \mid xa = 0 \text{ for all } a \in L\}$ is a subring. First, I is a nonempty subset of R since $0 \in I$: $0a = 0$ for any $a \in L$. Let $x, y \in I$. Then for any $a \in L$, we have $(x - y)a = xa - ya = 0 - 0 = 0$, so that $x - y \in I$; similarly $(xy)a = x(ya) = 0$, so that $xy \in I$. Hence I is a subring of R .

We check that I is closed under left and right multiplication by elements of R . Let $r \in R$ be arbitrary. Then for any $x \in I$ and any $a \in L$, we have $(rx)a = r(xa) = r0 = 0$ and $(xr)a = x(ra) = xa' = 0$, where $ra = a' \in L$ because L is a left ideal of R . It follows that rx and xr are elements of I , so that I is closed under left and right multiplication by elements of R .

Hence I , the left annihilator of L in R , is a two-sided ideal of R . \square

4. (DF7.3.34) Let I and J be ideals of R .

- (a) Prove that $I + J$ is the smallest ideal of R containing both I and J .

Proof. Let I and J be ideals of R .

We check that $I + J = \{a + b \mid a \in I, b \in J\}$ is an ideal of R . It is clear that $I + J$ is a subring of R : We have $0 \in I + J$, since $0 \in I$ and $0 \in J$, and $0 + 0 = 0$. For any $a, a' \in I$ and any $b, b' \in J$ we have $(a + b) - (a' + b') = (a - a') + (b - b') \in I + J$ since $a - a' \in I$ and $b - b' \in J$. We also have

$(a + b)(a' + b') = a(a' + b') + b(a' + b') \in I + J$ since $a(a' + b') \in I$ and $b(a' + b') \in J$ since I and J are ideals. Thus $I + J$ is a subring of R .

For any $r \in R$ we have $r(a + b) = ra + rb \in I + J$, and $(a + b)r = ar + br \in I + J$, since $ar, ra \in I$ and $br, rb \in J$ due to I and J being ideals of R . Thus $I + J$ is an ideal of R .

Let K be any ideal of R containing I and J . Observe that K is an additive subgroup of R ; it follows that for any $a \in I$ and any $b \in J$, we have $a, b \in K$, so that $a + b \in K$. Hence $I + J \subseteq K$. Since K was an arbitrary ideal containing I and J , it follows that $I + J$ is the smallest ideal of R containing I and J . \square

(b) Prove that IJ is an ideal contained in $I \cap J$.

Proof. Let I and J be ideals of R .

We check that $IJ = \{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \mid \text{for any } a \in I, b \in J, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ (set of finite sums of elements of the form ab for $a \in I, b \in J$) is an ideal of R . Of course, $0 \in I$ and $0 \in J$ so that $(0)(0) = 0 \in IJ$. Let $a_1 b_1 + \cdots + a_n b_n$ and $a'_1 b'_1 + \cdots + a'_m b'_m$ ($n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$) be elements of IJ . Then

$$(a_1 b_1 + \cdots + a_n b_n) - (a'_1 b'_1 + \cdots + a'_m b'_m) = a_1 b_1 + \cdots + a_n b_n + (-a'_1) b'_1 + \cdots + (-a'_m) b'_m,$$

which is clearly an element of IJ . Without loss of generality, take $m \leq n$, so that we can write $a'_1 b'_1 + \cdots + a'_m b'_m = a'_1 b'_1 + \cdots + a'_n b'_n$ where if $m < n$, $a'_i = b'_i = 0$ for $m + 1 \leq i \leq n$ (i.e., add zero terms if needed). Observe that because I and J are ideals, that $a_i b_i \in I$ and $a'_i b'_i \in J$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then

$$(a_1 b_1 + \cdots + a_n b_n)(a'_1 b'_1 + \cdots + a'_n b'_n) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} (a_i b_i)(a'_j b'_j)$$

is a finite sum of elements of the form required to be in IJ . Hence IJ is a subring of R .

For any $r \in R$, we have $r(a_1 b_1 + \cdots + a_n b_n) = (ra_1)b_1 + \cdots + (ra_n)b_n \in IJ$ and $(a_1 b_1 + \cdots + a_n b_n)r = a_1(b_1 r) + \cdots + a_n(b_n r) \in IJ$ since $ra_i \in I$ and $b_i r \in J$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ due to I and J being ideals of R . Hence IJ is an ideal of R .

With I and J being ideals, it follows that for any $a \in I$ and $b \in J$, the element ab can be viewed as an element of I and also as an element of J ; that is, $ab \in I \cap J$. Therefore, for any element $a_1 b_1 + \cdots + a_n b_n \in IJ$, viewing every term as an element of I yields that this element is in I . Similarly, view every term as an element of J to see that this element is in J . Hence $a_1 b_1 + \cdots + a_n b_n \in I \cap J$, so that $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$. \square

(c) Give an example where $IJ \neq I \cap J$.

In \mathbb{Z} , the ideal $(2) = 2\mathbb{Z}$ may be squared to obtain

$$(2)(2) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (2a_i)(2b_i) \mid \text{for any } a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\}$$

(finite sums of products of even numbers), but because we can factor out 4 from these finite sums, we have that $(2)(2) = 4\mathbb{Z}$. But $4\mathbb{Z}$ is properly contained in $2\mathbb{Z} \cap 2\mathbb{Z} = 2\mathbb{Z}$ (as $2 \notin 4\mathbb{Z}$, but every multiple of 4 is divisible by 2).

(It is clear that $2\mathbb{Z}$ is an ideal: We have that $2\mathbb{Z}$ is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z} , is a subring of \mathbb{Z} since products of even integers are even, and is an ideal of \mathbb{Z} since the product of an even integer with any other integer is also even.)

- (d) Prove that if R is commutative and if $I + J = R$ then $IJ = I \cap J$. (Note that R contains 1 as a global assumption.)

Proof. Let R be commutative and let I, J be ideals of R with $I + J = R$. The containment $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$ follows from a previous result. We show that $I \cap J \subseteq IJ$. To that end, take any element $c \in I \cap J$, so that $c \in I$ and $c \in J$.

Since R contains 1, it follows that there are elements $a \in I$ and $b \in J$ with $a + b = 1$. Then

$$c = c(a + b) = ca + cb = ac + cb,$$

which is a finite sum, and with $a, c \in I$ and $c, b \in J$, we have that $c = ac + cb \in IJ$.

Thus $I \cap J \subset IJ$, from which it follows that $IJ = I \cap J$. □