### The Smith normal form

and its use in computing simplicial homology

Sai Sivakumar

May 27, 2022

#### outline

- 1 the Smith normal form
  - proof of existence for Euclidean domains
  - proof of existence for PIDs
- some computational remarks
- 3 application to computing simplicial homology

Sai Sivakumar

the Smith normal form

#### what the Smith normal form is

## Theorem (Smith normal form)

Let R be a principal ideal domain and let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix with entries from R.

There exist invertible  $m \times m$  and  $n \times n$  matrices U and V respectively such that UAV = S, where

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & 0 \\ & & a_k & & \\ \hline & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $a_1 \mid a_2 \mid \cdots \mid a_k$ . The  $a_i$  are unique up to associates.

◆ロト ◆御 ト ◆ 恵 ト ◆ 恵 ・ 夕 Q ○

4 / 41

## proof of existence for Euclidean domains

Let R be a Euclidean domain and let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix with entries from R.

The following elementary row/column operations on A may be achieved by multiplication on the left/right by invertible ("elementary") matrices:

- lacktriangle Exchanging rows j and k
- ② Multiplying row i by any unit of R.
- **3** Add  $q \cdot \text{row } j$  to row k for  $q \in R$  and  $j \neq k$

Same operations for columns in place of rows.

5 / 41

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022

Let A be a nonzero matrix (every zero matrix is in Smith normal form) with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We want to transform this matrix by way of elementary row/column operations into a matrix A' with  $A'_{11} \mid A'_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

That is, the first entry of A' divides every other entry of A'.

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 6/41

Let  $\alpha(A) := \min \{N(a_{ij}) : a_{ij} \neq 0_R\}$ , and call any entry  $a_{ij}$  a minimal entry if  $N(a_{ij}) = \alpha(A)$ . We show that  $\alpha(A)$  may be decreased by elementary row/column operations if and only if a minimal entry does not divide every entry in A:

If a minimal entry  $a_{ij}$  does not divide every entry in A, we first handle the case where  $a_{ij}$  does not divide an entry  $a_{ik}$  for  $j \neq k$  (another entry in its row).

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 7/41

By the division algorithm on R we have  $a_{ik} = qa_{ij} + r$  for  $q, r \in R$  with  $0 < N(r) < N(a_{ij})$ .

Then we can add  $-q \cdot \text{column } j$  to column k to form a new matrix A' with  $\alpha(A')$  being at most N(r) since one of its entries is  $r = a_{ik} - qa_{ij}$ . But  $\alpha(A') = N(r) < N(a_{ij})$ , which means we have decreased  $\alpha(A)$ .

A similar procedure can be done if  $a_{ij}$  does not divide another entry in its column.

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 8/41

If  $a_{ij}$  divides entries in its row and column but does not divide an entry found outside of its row and column, say  $a_{sk}$  for  $i \neq s, j \neq k$ , we can reduce the situation to one from before.

Use elementary row/column operations to achieve the following picture:

Now  $a_{ij}$  does not divide an element in its row, which we already handled.

9 / 41

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022

We show the converse by the contrapositive.

If a minimal entry  $a_{ij}$  does divide every entry in A, then  $a_{ij}$  divides all entries in any matrix A' obtained by applying elementary row/column operations to A. As a result, there is no way to reduce  $N(a_{ij}) = \alpha(A)$ .

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 10 / 41

Hence we can take a matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$$

and by elementary row/column operations form a matrix

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} a'_{11} & \cdots & a'_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a'_{m1} & \cdots & a'_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $a'_{11}$  dividing all entries of A'.

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 11 / 41

By using more elementary row/column operations form another matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} a'_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & a'_{22} & \cdots & a'_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & a'_{m2} & \cdots & a'_{mn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a'_{11} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & B' \end{pmatrix}.$$

By induction the smaller matrix B' can be made into Smith normal form.

Hence there exist invertible matrices U, V such that

$$UAV = S = \left( egin{array}{c|ccc} a_1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & 0 \\ & & a_k & & \\ \hline & 0 & & 0 \end{array} 
ight).$$

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 12 / 41

The divisibility relations  $a_1 \mid a_2 \mid \cdots \mid a_k$  come from the fact that in B,  $a'_{11}$  divided every entry of B'. As a result,  $a'_{11}$  will divide every entry of a matrix obtained by applying row/column operations to B'.

Uniqueness of the Smith normal form up to associates comes from the fact that divisibility holds up to associates.

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 13 / 41

## proof of existence for PIDs

We need a few lemmas. Let R be a principal ideal domain, and let x, y be nonzero elements of R.

lacktriangle There exists an invertible matrix W such that

$$W\begin{pmatrix}x\\y\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\gcd(x,y)\\0\end{pmatrix}.$$

2 There exist invertible matrices U, V such that

$$U\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}V = \begin{pmatrix} \gcd(x,y) & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{lcm}(x,y) \end{pmatrix},$$

with lcm(x, y) := xy/gcd(x, y).



Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022

• Since R is a PID, (x,y)=(d) with d associate to  $\gcd(x,y)$ . Without loss of generality, take  $d=\gcd(x,y)$ . Thus there exist  $\alpha,\beta\in R$  with  $\alpha x+\beta y=d$ . Since  $d\mid x$  and  $d\mid y$  there exist  $p,q\in R$  with x=dp and y=dq. It also follows that  $\alpha p+\beta q=1_R$ .

Take

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -q & p \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$W\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gcd(x, y) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $det(W) = 1_R$ .

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form

15 / 41

2 Let  $d, \alpha, \beta, p, q$  be given as before. Then with

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -bq & 1-bq \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} a & -q \\ b & p \end{pmatrix},$$

it follows that

$$U\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} V = \begin{pmatrix} \gcd(x, y) & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{lcm}(x, y) \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $det(U) = det(V) = 1_R$ .

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 16 / 41

Another lemma: Let R be a (commutative) Noetherian ring and let D be a nonempty subset of R. Show that there exists an element  $d \in D$  which is "minimal with respect to division"; that is, if there exists  $d' \in D$  with  $d' \mid d$  then  $d \mid d'$  also.

To prove this lemma we must recall what a Noetherian ring is.

A Noetherian ring is one which satisfies the ascending chain condition: For any increasing sequence of ideals  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq \cdots$  of R there exists an n such that  $I_n = I_{n+1} = \cdots$ ; that is, the chain stabilizes.

This is equivalent to the "maximal principle": Every nonempty subset of ideals of R has a maximal element.

Observe that the maximal principle implies the ascending chain condition pretty quickly, but the converse requires Zorn's lemma.

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 18 / 41

By taking the set of ideals  $\{(a): a \in D\}$  and invoking the fact that R is Noetherian we obtain a maximal ideal (d) for some  $d \in D$  which satisfies the property we want:

If there is some  $d' \in D$  with  $d' \mid d$ , we have that  $(d) \subseteq (d')$ . But by maximality of (d) we must have (d) = (d') which yields that  $d \mid d'$  as desired.

# the proof

With the lemmas proven we may continue with the proof.

Let R be a PID (so R is Noetherian), and let A be a matrix with entries from R.

Let D be given by the set of all entries appearing in *any* matrix B *similar* to A, and let d be an element of D which is minimal with respect to division in the sense of the previous lemma.

20 / 41

Let A' be a matrix similar to A such that d appears as the first entry; here A' = U'AV' for invertible U', V'.

We show that d divides every entry in the matrix A'.

Suppose some entry  $a_{sk}$  does not divide d. We can modify one of the first two lemmas to obtain matrices S, T such that SA'T contains  $\gcd(d,a_{sk})$  as an entry. But  $\gcd(d,a_{sk}) \mid d$ , and since d was chosen minimally with respect to division, it follows that  $d \mid \gcd(d,a_{sk})$ , from which it follows that d divides  $a_{sk}$ . Contradiction.

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 21/41

Since d divides every entry in A', we may apply elementary row/column operations to reduce A' into a matrix

$$B = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} d & 0 \\ \hline 0 & B' \end{array}\right)$$

where d divides every entry in B'. By induction reduce B' to Smith normal form to obtain the result.

The divisibility relations hold since d divided every entry of B' and will divide every entry of a matrix obtained by applying elementary row/column operations to B'.

The Smith normal form is unique up to associates since divisibility holds up to associates.

→□▶→□▶→□▶→□▶
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□
□

some computational remarks

## the row reduction algorithm

For integer matrices the basic algorithm is just Gaussian elimination (or just the elementary row/column operations we saw earlier).

Sample pseudocode for reducing  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -valued  $n_{p-1} \times n_p$  matrices:

```
void Reduce(x)
  if there exist k \geq x, l \geq x with N_p[k, l] = 1 then
   exchange rows x and k; exchange columns x and l;
   for i = x + 1 to n_{n-1} do
     if N_n[i,x]=1 then add row x to row i endif
   endfor;
   for j = x + 1 to n_n do
     if N_p[x,j] = 1 then add column x to column j endif
   endfor;
   Reduce(x+1)
  endif.
```

In each recursive call there are at most  $(n_{p-1}+n_p)$  elementary row/column operations so the number of elementary operations is at most  $(n_{p-1}+n_p)\min\{n_{p-1},n_p\}$ .

By accounting for the length of the columns/rows in the matrix the computational complexity is bounded above by a cubic polynomial in  $n_{p-1}$ ,  $n_p$ .

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 25 / 41

#### recent-ish results

Dumas, Heckenbach, Saunders, and Welker various efficient Smith normal form algorithms link

Storjohann and Labahn fast Las Vegas algorithm for polynomial matrices link

application to computing simplicial homology

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 27/41

## chain complexes

Recall that a *chain complex* C is a sequence

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+2}} C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} \cdots$$

of abelian groups  $C_i$  with homomorphisms  $\partial_i$  such that  $\partial_i \circ \partial_{i+1} = 0$ .

We define the *homology groups* by  $H_i(\mathcal{C}) = \ker \partial_i / \operatorname{im} \partial_{i+1}$ .



Sai Sivakumar

## standard bases for free chain complexes

When the groups  $C_i$  of a chain complex are free of finite rank, there exist subgroups  $U_i$ ,  $V_i$ ,  $W_i$  of  $C_i$  such that

$$C_i = U_i \oplus V_i \oplus W_i$$

where 
$$\partial_i(U_i) \subseteq W_{i-1}$$
 and  $\partial_i(V_i) = \partial_i(W_i) = 0$ 



29 / 41

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022

Step 1: let  $W_i$  be the set of all elements c of  $C_i$  such that some nonzero multiple of c is found in im  $\partial_{i+1}$ . Check that  $W_i$  is a subgroup of  $C_i$ .

The following containments hold:

$$\operatorname{im} \partial_{i+1} \subseteq W_i \subseteq \ker \partial_i \subseteq C_i$$

The second containment holds since  $C_i$  is torsion free, from which  $mc_i = \partial_{i+1}d_{i+1}$  yields  $\partial_i c_i = 0$ .



Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 30 / 41

To show that  $W_i$  is a direct summand of ker  $\partial_i$ , consider the natural projection

$$\ker \partial_i \to H_i(\mathcal{C}) \to H_i(\mathcal{C})/T_i(\mathcal{C})$$

where  $T_i(\mathcal{C})$  is the torsion subgroup of  $H_i(\mathcal{C})$ .

An element  $c \in \ker \partial_i$  is in the kernel of this projection if and only if some multiple of c is in im  $\partial_{i+1}$ ; i.e., if  $c \in W_i$ . Hence

$$\ker \partial_i/W_i \cong H_i(\mathcal{C})/T_i(\mathcal{C}),$$

and since  $H_i(\mathcal{C})/\mathcal{T}_i(\mathcal{C})$  is finitely generated and torsion-free, it is free.

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 31 / 41

It follows that  $\ker \partial_i / W_i$  is free.

If  $\{c_1 + W_i, \ldots, c_k + W_i\}$  is a basis for  $\ker \partial_i / W_i$  and  $\{d_1, \ldots, d_l\}$  is a basis for  $W_i$ , then  $\{c_1, \ldots, c_k, d_1, \ldots, d_l\}$  is a basis for  $\ker \partial_i$ .

It follows that  $W_i$  is a direct summand of ker  $\partial_i$ , so ker  $\partial_i = V_i \oplus W_i$ , where  $V_i$  has basis  $\{c_1, \ldots, c_k\}$ .

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 32 / 41

Step 2: Choose ordered bases  $\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}$  for  $C_i$  and  $\{d_1,\ldots,d_m\}$  for  $C_{i-1}$  for which the matrix of  $\partial_i\colon C_i\to C_{i-1}$  takes on the Smith normal form

$$\begin{pmatrix}
b_1 & & & & \\
& \ddots & & & \\
& & b_l & \\
\hline
& 0 & & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

with  $b_i \geq 1$  and  $b_1 \mid b_2 \mid \cdots \mid b_l$ .

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 33 / 41

The following hold:

 $\bullet$   $\{e_{l+1},\ldots,e_n\}$  is a basis for  $\ker \partial_i$ .

Observe  $\langle e_{l+1}, \dots, e_n \rangle \subseteq \ker \partial_i$  and if we take any element  $c = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k e_k \in C_i$  then  $\partial_i(c) = \sum_{k=1}^l b_k a_k d_k$ .

For c to be in ker  $\partial_i$  we must have  $\partial_i(c) = 0$ ; equivalently,  $a_1, \ldots, a_l$  must be zero, and in this case  $c = \sum_{k=l+1}^n a_k e_k$ .

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 34 / 41

 $\{d_1,\ldots,d_l\}$  is a basis for  $W_{i-1}$ .

To show the second point, observe that  $d_1, \ldots, d_l \in W_{i-1}$  since  $b_k d_k = \partial_i(e_k)$  for  $1 \le k \le l$ .

Conversely, let  $f = \sum_{k=1}^m f_k d_k \in C_{i-1}$ , and if  $f \in W_{i-1}$ , then for some  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $c = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k e_k \in C_i$  we have

$$\lambda f = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda f_k d_k = \partial_i(c) = \sum_{k=1}^{l} b_k a_k d_k.$$

It follows that  $f_{l+1}, \ldots, f_m = 0$  so that  $f \in \langle d_1, \ldots, d_l \rangle$  as well.

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 35 / 41

The third point holds since any element of im  $\partial_i$  is in the form  $\sum_{k=1}^{I} b_k a_k d_k$  which is in  $\langle b_1 d_1, \ldots, b_l d_l \rangle$ . The reverse containment also holds, and  $\{b_1 d_1, \ldots, b_l d_l\}$  is linearly independent since  $b_k \geq 1$ .

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 36 / 41

Step 3: To prove the theorem, choose ordered bases for  $C_i$  and  $C_{i-1}$  as in Step 2 and choose  $U_i$  to be the group generated by  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_l\}$  so that

$$C_i = U_i \oplus \ker \partial_i$$
.

By Step 1, decompose ker  $\partial_i$  into  $V_i \oplus W_i$  so that

$$C_i = U_i \oplus V_i \oplus W_i$$
.

We obtain also that  $\partial_i(U_i) \subseteq W_{i-1}$  and  $\partial_i(V_i) = \partial_i(W_i) = 0$ . Carrying out Step 2 in full gives us the required bases for  $U_i$  and  $W_{i-1}$ .

◆ロト ◆個ト ◆差ト ◆差ト 差 めなべ

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 37 /41

## computing homology groups

The homology groups of a finite simplicial complex K can be computed explicitly.

Orient the simplices of K and obtain the groups  $C_i$  and maps  $\partial_i$  forming a chain complex C. Use the previous result to decompose  $C_p$  as  $U_p \oplus V_p \oplus W_p$ .

38 / 41

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022

Then

$$\begin{split} H_p(\mathcal{C}) &= \ker \partial_p / \operatorname{im} \partial_{p+1} \cong (V_p \oplus W_p) / \operatorname{im} \partial_{p+1} \\ &= V_p \oplus (W_p / \operatorname{im} \partial_{p+1}) \cong (\ker \partial_p / W_p) \oplus (W_p / \operatorname{im} \partial_{p+1}). \end{split}$$

The first group in the direct sum is free and the second group is a torsion group.

We have thus reduced computing homology to computing these two groups.

Sai Sivakumar The Smith normal form May 27, 2022 39 / 41

Take the matrices of  $\partial_p\colon C_p\to C_{p-1}$  and  $\partial_{p+1}\colon C_{p+1}\to C_p$  (which will have entries from  $\{0,1,-1\}$ ) and reduce them to Smith normal forms  $S_p,S_{p+1}$ , respectively.

Let  $b_1, \ldots, b_l$  be the nonzero entries appearing in the diagonal of  $S_{p+1}$ .

#### Then

- **1** The rank of ker  $\partial_p$  is equal to the number of zero *columns* of  $S_p$ .
- ② The rank of  $W_{p-1}$  is equal to the number of nonzero *rows* of  $S_p$ .
- There is an isomorphism

$$W_p/\operatorname{im} \partial_{p+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}/b_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/b_2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/b_l\mathbb{Z}$$

40 / 41

#### sources

Munkres algebraic topology

Dummit and Foote algebra

Professor Speyer's (UMich LSA) worksheets from most recent Algebra class link

Edelbrunner and Harer computational topology