1. (13.34) Prove that if  $(f_n)$  is a dominated sequence, then it is uniformly integrable. Give an example of a sequence  $(f_n)$  that converges in  $L^1$  (and is thus uniformly integrable), but is not dominated.

*Proof.* Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence of measurable functions dominated by some  $g \in L^1$  so that  $|f_n| \leq |g|$  for all n. It follows that for all n,

$$||f_n||_1 = \int |f_n| \le \int |g| = ||g||_1,$$

so  $(\|f_n\|_1)$  is bounded by  $\|g\|_1$ .

For any x, n, and M > 0 observe that if  $|f_n(x)| \ge M$  then  $|g(x)| \ge M$ . Thus for any n, M > 0,  $\{x: |f_n(x)| \ge M\} \subseteq \{x: |g(x)| \ge M\}$ .

It follows that for any n and M > 0,

$$\int_{|f_n| \ge M} |f_n| \le \int_{|f_n| \ge M} |g| \le \int_{|g| \ge M} |g|,$$

and we can take M large enough (independently of n) so that  $\int_{|g|\geq M}|g|$  is arbitrarily small by the Dominated Convergence Theorem. (The sequence  $(\mathbf{1}_{|g|\geq M}|g|)$  for  $M\in\mathbb{Z}_+$  converges to the zero function a.e. (since  $|g|\in L^1$ ), and  $\mathbf{1}_{|g|\geq M}|g|\leq |g|$  for each M.) It follows that  $\sup\left\{\int_{|f_n|\geq M}|f_n|\right\}$  (bounded above by  $\int_{|g|\geq M}|g|$ ) tends to zero as M tends to  $\infty$ .

For any n and integer k > 0, define  $g_k$  as  $\sup \{\mathbf{1}_{|f_n| \le 1/k} |f_n|\}$ , and observe that for every  $k, g_k \le |g|$  since for any n,  $\mathbf{1}_{|f_n| \le 1/k} |f_n| \le |g|$ . But as k tends to  $\infty$ ,  $g_k$  converges pointwise to the zero function so  $\int g_k$  tends to zero as k tends to  $\infty$  (DCT). Furthermore, we have  $\int_{|f_n| \le 1/k} |f_n| \le \int g_k$  for every n, from which it follows that  $\sup \left\{ \int_{|f_n| \le 1/k} |f_n| \right\}$  tends to zero as k tends to  $\infty$ .

It follows that  $(f_n)$  is uniformly integrable.

An example of a sequence converging in  $L^1$  which is not dominated is the sequence  $(n\mathbf{1}_{[0,1/n^2]})$  which converges to the zero function in  $L^1$  (as  $\int n\mathbf{1}_{[0,1/n^2]} = 1/n$ ). But this sequence could not be dominated by any  $L^1$  function (the height of the box tends to  $\infty$ ).

2. (13.37) Prove that if  $(f_n)$  is a dominated sequence, and  $(f_n)$  converges to f a.e., then  $(f_n)$  converges to f almost uniformly. (Hint: imitate the proof of Egorov's theorem.) (Thus for dominated sequences, a.e. and a.u. convergence are equivalent.)

*Proof.* We mimic the proof of Egorov's theorem almost everywhere.

Let  $(f_n)$  be a dominated sequence (by  $g \in L^1$ ) converging to f almost everywhere. Without loss of generality modify each of the  $f_n$  on null sets so that  $(f_n)$  converges to f everywhere. For  $N, k \geq 1$ , let  $E_{N,k} = \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} \{x \colon |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq 1/k\}$ . Observe that  $E_{1,k}$  for fixed k has finite measure: for any n, if  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq 1/k$  then by the triangle inequality  $2|g(x)| = |2g(x)| \geq 1/k$ . Thus  $E_{1,k} \subseteq \{x \colon |2g(x)| \geq 1/k\}$ , and the latter has finite measure since  $2g \in L^1$  (otherwise we arrive at a contradiction).

Let k be fixed. Then for each x there is an N such that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < 1/k$  for all  $n \ge N$ . It follows that  $\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} E_{N,k} = \emptyset$ . The  $E_{N,k}$  are decreasing in N and are contained in  $E_{1,k}$  which has finite measure; by dominated convergence for sets, we have for fixed k the sequence  $(\mu(E_{N,k}))_N$  tends to zero.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. For each k choose  $N_k$  such that  $\mu(E_{N_k,k}) < \varepsilon 2^{-k}$ . Let  $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{N_k,k}$ , so that  $\mu(E) < \varepsilon$ . We show that  $(f_n)$  converges uniformly to f on  $E^c$ . Let  $\eta > 0$  be given and choose k such that  $1/k < \eta$ . Let  $x \in E^c$  and take  $n \ge N_k$ ; since  $E^c \subseteq E_{N_k,k}^c$  we have  $|f_n(x) = f(x)| < 1/k < \eta$ . Since x was arbitrary in  $E^c$  we have that  $(f_n)$  converges to f uniformly on  $E^c$ , so  $(f_n)$  converges to f almost uniformly.  $\square$ 

3. (19.6) (Integral as the area under a graph). Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space, and give  $\mathbb{R}$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  and Lebesgue measure m (restricted to  $\mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ ). An unsigned function  $f: X \to [0, +\infty)$  is measurable if and only if the set

$$G_f := \{(x,t) \in X \times \mathbb{R} \colon 0 \le t \le f(x)\}$$

is measurable. In this case,

$$(\mu \times m)(G_f) = \int_X f \,\mathrm{d}\mu.$$

Proof. Suppose that f is measurable. Then for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , f + 1/n is measurable also. Fix n. There exists a sequence of increasing simple functions  $(s_{k,n})_k$  converging pointwise to f + 1/n. Writing some  $s_{k,n}$  as  $\sum_{j=1}^d c_j \mathbf{1}_{E_j}$ , observe that  $G_{s_{k,n}} = \{(x,t) \in X \times \mathbb{R} : 0 \le t \le s_{k,n}(x)\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^d E_j \times [0,c_j]$ . Hence for every k,  $G_{s_{k,n}}$  is measurable so that  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} G_{s_{k,n}}$  is measurable.

We have that  $G_f \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} G_{s_k,n} \subseteq G_{f+1/n}$  for any n since for any x,  $(s_{k,n}(x))_k$  converges to f(x) + 1/n (but f(x) + 1/n need not be attained).

Then  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} G_{s_{k,n}}$  must be equal to  $G_f$ : We have one inclusion by the above. For the reverse inclusion, fix x. We have (x,t) in  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} G_{s_{k,n}}$  if one of  $0 \le t \le f(x) + 1/n$  or  $0 \le t < f(x) + 1/n$  holds (the distinction of  $0 \le t \le f(x)$  or  $0 \le t \le f(x)$ ). It follows that  $0 \le t \le f(x)$  is measurable (countable intersection of countable union of measurable sets).

Conversely, suppose  $G_f$  is measurable. Then by Theorem 15.7, we obtain a measurable  $p: X \to [0, +\infty]$  given by  $p(x) = m((G_f)_x) = \int_X \mathbf{1}_{(G_f)_x} dm$ . But  $m((G_f)_x) = m([0, f(x)]) = f(x)$  so p agrees with f on X; it follows that f is measurable.

Using the first version of Tonelli's theorem we have

$$(\mu \times m)(G_f) = \int_{X \times \mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_{G_f} \, d\mu \times m$$

$$= \int_X \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathbf{1}_{G_f})_x(t) \, dm(t) \, d\mu(x)$$

$$= \int_X m((G_f)_x) \, d\mu(x)$$

$$= \int_X f d\mu$$

as desired.