

The inverse Laplace transform

via the Bromwich integral

Sai Sivakumar

January 25, 2023

outline

- 1 the Laplace transform
- 2 the inversion problem
- 3 example computations

the Laplace transform

Theorem (Laplace transform)

Let $F(t)$ be sectionally continuous on every finite interval $0 \leq t \leq T$ and also of exponential order, so that $|F(t)| \in O(e^{at})$ where $t \geq 0$.

Then for complex s the Laplace transform of $F(t)$ is

$$f(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} F(t) dt$$

and is analytic in the half plane where $\operatorname{Re}(s) > a$

properties of the transform

Linearity: $\mathcal{L}[f(t) + g(t)] = \mathcal{L}[f(t)] + \mathcal{L}[g(t)]$

properties of the transform

Linearity: $\mathcal{L}[f(t) + g(t)] = \mathcal{L}[f(t)] + \mathcal{L}[g(t)]$

Time shift: $\mathcal{L}[f(t - a)u(t - a)] = e^{-as}\mathcal{L}[f(t)], a \geq 0$

properties of the transform

Linearity: $\mathcal{L}[f(t) + g(t)] = \mathcal{L}[f(t)] + \mathcal{L}[g(t)]$

Time shift: $\mathcal{L}[f(t - a)u(t - a)] = e^{-as}\mathcal{L}[f(t)], a \geq 0$

Complex shift: $\mathcal{L}[e^{at}f(t)](s) = \mathcal{L}[f(t)](s - a)$

properties of the transform

Linearity: $\mathcal{L}[f(t) + g(t)] = \mathcal{L}[f(t)] + \mathcal{L}[g(t)]$

Time shift: $\mathcal{L}[f(t - a)u(t - a)] = e^{-as}\mathcal{L}[f(t)], a \geq 0$

Complex shift: $\mathcal{L}[e^{at}f(t)](s) = \mathcal{L}[f(t)](s - a)$

Transform of the n -th derivative:

$$\mathcal{L}[f^{(n)}(t)](s) = s^n \mathcal{L}[f(t)](s) - s^{n-1}f(0) - s^{n-2}f'(0) - \dots - f^{(n-1)}(0)$$

properties of the transform

Linearity: $\mathcal{L}[f(t) + g(t)] = \mathcal{L}[f(t)] + \mathcal{L}[g(t)]$

Time shift: $\mathcal{L}[f(t - a)u(t - a)] = e^{-as}\mathcal{L}[f(t)], a \geq 0$

Complex shift: $\mathcal{L}[e^{at}f(t)](s) = \mathcal{L}[f(t)](s - a)$

Transform of the n -th derivative:

$$\mathcal{L}[f^{(n)}(t)](s) = s^n \mathcal{L}[f(t)](s) - s^{n-1}f(0) - s^{n-2}f'(0) - \dots - f^{(n-1)}(0)$$

Convolution: $\mathcal{L}[(f * g)(t)] = \mathcal{L}[f(t)]\mathcal{L}[g(t)]$

non-unique inverses?

If two functions agree everywhere except at countably many points, their Laplace transforms will be the same.

non-unique inverses?

If two functions agree everywhere except at countably many points, their Laplace transforms will be the same.

Furthermore, if two continuous functions have the same Laplace transform, then they must be the same function.

See Lerch's theorem for more.

the inversion problem

using a table?

I guess so...

| A TABLE OF LAPLACE TRANSFORMS | | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| $f(t)$ | $F(s) = \mathcal{L}\{f\}(s)$ | $f(t)$ | $F(s) = \mathcal{L}\{f\}(s)$ |
| 1. $f(at)$ | $\frac{1}{a}F\left(\frac{s}{a}\right)$ | 20. $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$ | $\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{s}}$ |
| 2. $e^{at}f(t)$ | $F(s-a)$ | 21. \sqrt{t} | $\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2s^{3/2}}$ |
| 3. $f'(t)$ | $sF(s) - f(0)$ | 22. $t^{n-1/2}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ | $\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2n-1)\sqrt{\pi}}{2^n s^{n+1/2}}$ |
| 4. $f^{(n)}(t)$ | $s^n F(s) - s^{n-1}f(0) - s^{n-2}f'(0) - \dots - f^{(n-2)}(0) - f^{(n-1)}(0)$ | 23. t^r , $r > -1$ | $\frac{\Gamma(r+1)}{s^{r+1}}$ |
| 5. $t^n f(t)$ | $(-1)^n F^{(n)}(s)$ | 24. $\sin bt$ | $\frac{b}{s^2 + b^2}$ |
| 6. $\frac{1}{t}f(t)$ | $\int_s^\infty F(u)du$ | 25. $\cos bt$ | $\frac{s}{s^2 + b^2}$ |
| 7. $\int_0^t f(u)du$ | $\frac{F(s)}{s}$ | 26. $e^{at} \sin bt$ | $\frac{b}{(s-a)^2 + b^2}$ |
| 8. $(f * g)(t)$ | $F(s)G(s)$ | 27. $e^{at} \cos bt$ | $\frac{s-a}{(s-a)^2 + b^2}$ |
| 9. $f(t+T) = f(t)$ | $\frac{\int_0^T e^{-st}f(t)dt}{1 - e^{-sT}}$ | 28. $\sinh bt$ | $\frac{b}{s^2 - b^2}$ |
| 10. $f(t-a)u(t-a)$, $a \geq 0$ | $e^{-as}F(s)$ | 29. $\cosh bt$ | $\frac{s}{s^2 - b^2}$ |
| 11. $g(t)u(t-a)$, $a \geq 0$ | $e^{-as}\mathcal{L}\{g(t+a)\}(s)$ | 30. $\sin bt - bt \cos bt$ | $\frac{2b^3}{(s^2 + b^2)^3}$ |
| 12. $u(t-a)$, $a \geq 0$ | $\frac{e^{-as}}{s}$ | 31. $t \sin bt$ | $\frac{2bs}{(s^2 + b^2)^3}$ |
| 13. $\prod_{a=1}^n u(t)$, $0 < a < b$ | $\frac{e^{-as} - e^{-bs}}{s}$ | 32. $\sin bt + bt \cos bt$ | $\frac{2bt^2}{(s^2 + b^2)^3}$ |
| 14. $\delta(t-a)$, $a \geq 0$ | e^{-as} | 33. $t \cos bt$ | $\frac{s^2 - b^2}{(s^2 + b^2)^3}$ |
| 15. e^{at} | $\frac{1}{s-a}$ | 34. $\sin bt \cosh bt - \cos bt \sinh bt$ | $\frac{4b^3}{s^4 + 4b^4}$ |
| 16. t^n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$ | $\frac{n!}{s^{n+1}}$ | 35. $\sin bt \sinh bt$ | $\frac{2b^3}{s^4 + 4b^4}$ |
| 17. $t^n e^{at}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ | $\frac{n!}{(s-a)^{n+1}}$ | 36. $\sinh bt - \sin bt$ | $\frac{2b^3}{s^4 - b^4}$ |
| 18. $e^{at} - e^{bt}$ | $\frac{(a-b)}{(s-a)(s-b)}$ | 37. $\cosh bt - \cos bt$ | $\frac{2b^3}{s^4 - b^4}$ |
| 19. $ae^{at} - be^{bt}$ | $\frac{(a-b)s}{(s-a)(s-b)}$ | 38. $J_\nu(bt)$, $\nu > -1$ | $\frac{(\sqrt{s^2 + b^2} - s)^{-\nu}}{b^\nu \sqrt{s^2 + b^2}}$ |

starting point

We can start by extending Cauchy's integral formula for a specific class of functions.

starting point

We can start by extending Cauchy's integral formula for a specific class of functions.

Recall that Cauchy's integral formula gives you the value of an analytic function f at a point z_0 inside a simple closed contour C by the following:

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz$$

extending Cauchy's integral formula


Theorem (Cauchy integral formula extension)

Let $f(z)$ be analytic where $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq \gamma$ and also be of order $O(z^{-k})$, where γ, k are real and $k > 0$.


Then if z_0 is a complex number where $\operatorname{Re}(z_0) > \gamma$,

$$f(z_0) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} \frac{f(z)}{z-z_0} dz.$$

Construct the contour S :



Construct the contour S :



Apply Cauchy's integral formula to find

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[- \int_{\gamma-i\beta}^{\gamma+i\beta} \frac{f(z)}{z-z_0} dz + \int_S \frac{f(z)}{z-z_0} dz \right].$$

To show that the second integral vanishes as β goes to infinity, use a bounding argument.

To show that the second integral vanishes as β goes to infinity, use a bounding argument.

From the order condition imposed on $f(z)$, it follows that

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} \right| \leq \frac{M}{|z|^k |z - z_0|} = \frac{M}{|z|^{k+1} |1 - (z_0/z)|},$$

where M is the maximum value of $f(z)$ on S .

Note that $|z| \geq \beta$, since $z \in S$.

Note that $|z| \geq \beta$, since $z \in S$.

If we take β to be large enough, say $\beta > 2|z_0|$, so that $|z_0| < |z|/2$ or otherwise $|z_0/z| < 1/2$, then by the reverse triangle inequality (or otherwise) see that

$$|1 - (z_0/z)| \geq ||1| - |z_0/z|| > 1 - |z_0/z| > 1/2.$$

We can then bound the integrand above, so that

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} \right| < \frac{2M}{|z|^{k+1}} \leq \frac{2M}{(\sqrt{2}\beta)^{k+1}} < \frac{2M}{\beta^{k+1}}.$$

We can then bound the integrand above, so that

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} \right| < \frac{2M}{|z|^{k+1}} \leq \frac{2M}{(\sqrt{2}\beta)^{k+1}} < \frac{2M}{\beta^{k+1}}.$$

See that the length of S is $\beta + \beta + (\beta - \gamma) + (\beta - \gamma) = 4\beta - 2\gamma$.

We can bound the second integral, so that

$$\left| \int_S \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz \right| < \frac{2M}{\beta^{k+1}} \int_S |dz| = \frac{2M}{\beta^k} \left(4 - \frac{2\gamma}{\beta} \right).$$

We can bound the second integral, so that

$$\left| \int_S \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz \right| < \frac{2M}{\beta^{k+1}} \int_S |dz| = \frac{2M}{\beta^k} \left(4 - \frac{2\gamma}{\beta} \right).$$

Then since $k > 0$, if we let β become arbitrarily large, the second integral vanishes.

From Cauchy's integral formula we had

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[- \int_{\gamma-i\beta}^{\gamma+i\beta} \frac{f(z)}{z-z_0} dz + \int_S \frac{f(z)}{z-z_0} dz \right].$$

From Cauchy's integral formula we had

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[- \int_{\gamma-i\beta}^{\gamma+i\beta} \frac{f(z)}{z-z_0} dz + \int_S \frac{f(z)}{z-z_0} dz \right].$$

But because β was arbitrary, we may take $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, so that

$$f(z_0) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} \frac{f(z)}{z-z_0} dz.$$

This completes the proof.

the inversion integral

Theorem (Laplace inversion integral)

Let $f(s)$ ($s = x + iy$) be analytic and of order $O(s^{-k})$, $k > 1$ where $x \geq x_0$, and also let $f(x)$ be real valued for $x \geq x_0$.

Then for any $\gamma \geq x_0$,


$$F(t) = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma - i\beta}^{\gamma + i\beta} e^{zt} f(z) dz,$$

where $F(t)$ is a real valued function independent of γ .

Furthermore, the Laplace transform of $F(t)$ is indeed $f(s)$, and $F(t)$ is of order $O(e^{x_0 t})$.


independence of choice of γ ?

We can pick a second path where instead of γ we choose γ' , where $\gamma' > \gamma$. Form a rectangular contour like so:



independence of choice of γ ?

We can pick a second path where instead of γ we choose γ' , where $\gamma' > \gamma$. Form a rectangular contour like so:



Observe that the integral around the rectangle is zero since $f(s)$ is analytic on the rectangle and in it.

inspect the horizontal bits

For points on BC , $z = x + i\beta$ (so $|z| > \beta$ if $\gamma > 0$), and from the order condition on $f(s)$ we have that

$$|e^{zt}f(z)| \leq e^{xt}|f(z)| \leq e^{xt} \frac{M}{|z|^k} < e^{xt} \frac{M}{\beta^k}.$$

inspect the horizontal bits

For points on BC , $z = x + i\beta$ (so $|z| > \beta$ if $\gamma > 0$), and from the order condition on $f(s)$ we have that

$$|e^{zt}f(z)| \leq e^{xt}|f(z)| \leq e^{xt} \frac{M}{|z|^k} < e^{xt} \frac{M}{\beta^k}.$$

We can bound the integral over BC :

$$\left| \int_{\gamma+i\beta}^{\gamma'+i\beta} e^{zt}f(z) \, dz \right| < \frac{M}{\beta^k} \int_{\gamma}^{\gamma'} e^{xt} \, dx.$$

the horizontal parts vanish

Since β was arbitrary we may take $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, and see that the integrals over BC and similarly AD vanish.

the horizontal parts vanish

Since β was arbitrary we may take $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, and see that the integrals over BC and similarly AD vanish.

Since the integral around the rectangle was zero, we have that

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{\gamma-i\beta}^{\gamma+i\beta} e^{zt} f(z) \, dz + \int_{\gamma'+i\beta}^{\gamma'-i\beta} e^{zt} f(z) \, dz \right] = 0.$$

So by rearranging and multiplying by $(2\pi i)^{-1}$ we have that

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma - i\beta}^{\gamma + i\beta} e^{zt} f(z) \, dz = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma' - i\beta}^{\gamma' + i\beta} e^{zt} f(z) \, dz,$$

which establishes the independence of the choice of γ in the inversion integral.

is this actually an inverse?

We wish to show that the Laplace transform of the inversion integral is equivalent to $f(s)$.

is this actually an inverse?

We wish to show that the Laplace transform of the inversion integral is equivalent to $f(s)$.

Proceed directly. Here, choose $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \operatorname{Re}(z) = \gamma$.

$$\mathcal{L}[F(t)] = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T e^{-st} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{zt} f(z) \, dz \, dt$$

$$\mathcal{L}[F(t)] = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{zt} f(z) \, dz \, dt$$

$$\mathcal{L}[F(t)] = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{zt} f(z) dz dt$$

$$\stackrel{?}{=} \text{(magic)}$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} f(z) \int_0^\infty e^{(z-s)t} dt dz$$

$$\mathcal{L}[F(t)] = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{zt} f(z) dz dt$$

$$\stackrel{?}{=} \text{(magic)}$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} f(z) \int_0^\infty e^{(z-s)t} dt dz$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} \frac{f(z)}{z-s} dz$$

From the extension to Cauchy's integral formula we saw earlier, observe that

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} \frac{f(z)}{z-s} dz = f(s).$$

using the residue theorem

We can use the residue theorem to evaluate the inversion integral when there are isolated singularities where $\operatorname{Re}(z) < \gamma$.

using the residue theorem

We can use the residue theorem to evaluate the inversion integral when there are isolated singularities where $\operatorname{Re}(z) < \gamma$.

Suppose $f(s)$ is a complex valued function which satisfies the conditions needed so that the inversion integral of $f(s)$ converges to $F(t)$ (whose Laplace transform is $f(s)$).

using the residue theorem

We can use the residue theorem to evaluate the inversion integral when there are isolated singularities where $\operatorname{Re}(z) < \gamma$.

Suppose $f(s)$ is a complex valued function which satisfies the conditions needed so that the inversion integral of $f(s)$ converges to $F(t)$ (whose Laplace transform is $f(s)$).


We can also take $k \geq 1$ in the order condition, but there are lots of details.

the Bromwich integral

Observe that the inversion integral

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma-i\beta}^{\gamma+i\beta} e^{zt} f(z) dz$$

is the integral over the vertical line
(with $\beta \rightarrow \infty$).




the Bromwich integral

Observe that the inversion integral

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma - i\beta}^{\gamma + i\beta} e^{zt} f(z) dz$$

is the integral over the vertical line
(with $\beta \rightarrow \infty$).




We take the integral over the whole contour and show that integrals over the other segments vanish as R or β become arbitrarily large.

contour surgery


So by the residue theorem

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{zt} f(z) dz \\ & + \int_{AB} e^{zt} f(z) dz \\ & + \int_{C_R} e^{zt} f(z) dz \\ & + \int_{DE} e^{zt} f(z) dz \\ & = 2\pi i \sum_k \text{Res}(e^{zt} f(z), z_k). \end{aligned}$$




the horizontal bits vanish

See that the integral over AB vanishes
(the integral over DE vanishes similarly):




the horizontal bits vanish

See that the integral over AB vanishes
(the integral over DE vanishes similarly):
Here, $z = x + i\beta$, so $|z| \geq \beta$. Then



the horizontal bits vanish

See that the integral over AB vanishes
(the integral over DE vanishes similarly):
Here, $z = x + i\beta$, so $|z| \geq \beta$. Then



$$|e^{zt} f(z)| \leq e^{xt} |f(z)| \leq e^{xt} \frac{M}{|z|^k} \leq e^{xt} \frac{M}{\beta^k},$$

the horizontal bits vanish


See that the integral over AB vanishes
(the integral over DE vanishes similarly):
Here, $z = x + i\beta$, so $|z| \geq \beta$. Then

$$|e^{zt} f(z)| \leq e^{xt} |f(z)| \leq e^{xt} \frac{M}{|z|^k} \leq e^{xt} \frac{M}{\beta^k},$$

so

$$\left| \int_{AB} e^{zt} f(z) dz \right| \leq \frac{M}{\beta^k} \int_{\gamma} e^{xt} dx.$$

Hence as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, the integral over AB
(and DE) vanishes.



the integral over C_R vanishes

To show this, it is easier instead to invoke a variation of Jordan's lemma.

Lemma (variation of Jordan's lemma)

Let $g(z)$ be a continuous complex valued function defined on a semicircular contour $C_R = \{Re^{i\phi} \mid \phi \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}]\}$, where $g(z)$ is of order $O(z^{-k})$, $k > 0$.

Then

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C_R} e^{azt} g(z) dz = 0$$

for real and positive a .

We play the same bounding game for

$$\left| \int_{C_R} e^{azt} g(z) \, dz \right|.$$

Parameterize $z = Re^{i\phi}$ for $\phi \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}]$.

We play the same bounding game for

$$\left| \int_{C_R} e^{azt} g(z) \, dz \right|.$$

Parameterize $z = Re^{i\phi}$ for $\phi \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}]$.

Then we wish to bound from above the following:

$$\left| \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{3\pi}{2}} e^{atRe^{i\phi}} g(Re^{i\phi}) iRe^{i\phi} \, d\phi \right|$$

$$\left| \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{3\pi}{2}} e^{atRe^{i\phi}} g(Re^{i\phi}) iRe^{i\phi} d\phi \right| \leq \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{3\pi}{2}} e^{atR \cos(\phi)} \left(\frac{1}{R^k} \right) (R) d\phi$$

$$\left| \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{3\pi}{2}} e^{atRe^{i\phi}} g(Re^{i\phi}) iRe^{i\phi} d\phi \right| \leq \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{3\pi}{2}} e^{atR \cos(\phi)} \left(\frac{1}{R^k} \right) (R) d\phi$$

Then let $\phi = \theta + \pi$ ($d\phi = d\theta$) and observe some symmetry.

$$= \frac{1}{R^{k-1}} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-atR \cos(\theta)} d\theta = \frac{2}{R^{k-1}} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-atR \cos(\theta)} d\theta$$

We can continue by finding a function $h(\theta) \leq \cos(\theta)$, since if $a \leq b$, $e^{-b} \leq e^{-a}$. Let $h(\theta) = (1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\theta)$.

We can continue by finding a function $h(\theta) \leq \cos(\theta)$, since if $a \leq b$, $e^{-b} \leq e^{-a}$. Let $h(\theta) = (1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\theta)$. Thus

$$e^{-atR \cos(\theta)} \leq e^{-atR(1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\theta)} = e^{-atR} e^{atR \frac{2}{\pi}\theta},$$

more bounding

We can continue by finding a function $h(\theta) \leq \cos(\theta)$, since if $a \leq b$, $e^{-b} \leq e^{-a}$. Let $h(\theta) = (1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\theta)$. Thus

$$e^{-atR \cos(\theta)} \leq e^{-atR(1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\theta)} = e^{-atR} e^{atR \frac{2}{\pi}\theta},$$

and

$$\frac{2}{R^{k-1}} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-atR \cos(\theta)} d\theta \leq \frac{2e^{-atR}}{R^{k-1}} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{atR \frac{2}{\pi}\theta} d\theta.$$

no more

$$\frac{2e^{-atR}}{R^{k-1}} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{atR\frac{2}{\pi}\theta} d\theta = \frac{2e^{-atR}}{R^{k-1}} \left(\frac{e^{atR} - 1}{atR\frac{2}{\pi}} \right)$$

no more

$$\frac{2e^{-atR}}{R^{k-1}} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{atR\frac{2}{\pi}\theta} d\theta = \frac{2e^{-atR}}{R^{k-1}} \left(\frac{e^{atR} - 1}{atR\frac{2}{\pi}} \right)$$


Hence

$$\left| \int_{C_R} e^{azt} g(z) dz \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{R^k} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-atR}}{at} \right),$$

and as $R \rightarrow \infty$, we see that the integral vanishes.


back to the inversion integral

Notice above that in the case $a = 1$, we just showed that the inversion integral over C_R vanishes as R is taken arbitrarily large.



back to the inversion integral

Notice above that in the case $a = 1$, we just showed that the inversion integral over C_R vanishes as R is taken arbitrarily large.



All three of the extraneous contours vanish as we take β (or R) to be arbitrarily large.

results of contour surgery

Hence the inversion integral can be computed by just summing up the residues of $e^{zt}f(z)$ at each isolated singularity to the left of the line on which the integral is taken.

$$F(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{zt} f(z) dz = \sum_k \text{Res}(e^{zt} f(z), z_k)$$

example computations

examples for the board

- ① $1/(s^2 + 1)$ (it is $\sin(t)$)
- ② $1/(s \cosh \sqrt{s})$ (should be an infinite series)