

## Graded

1. (13.6.4) Prove that if  $n = p^k m$  where  $p$  is a prime and  $m$  is relatively prime to  $p$  then there are precisely  $m$  distinct roots of unity over a field of characteristic  $p$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$  and let  $n = p^k m$  with  $m$  relatively prime to  $p$  as above. Then the roots of unity are the roots of the polynomial  $f(x) = x^n - 1 = x^{p^k m} - 1$ . Since  $D_x(f(x)) = p^k m x^{p^k m - 1} = 0$ ,  $f(x)$  is inseparable and so we show that there are  $m$  distinct roots which are repeated.

Since  $F$  is characteristic  $p$ , write  $f(x)$  as  $(x^m)^{p^k} - 1^{p^k} = (x^m - 1)^{p^k}$ , so that the roots which are repeated are indeed the roots of  $x^m - 1$ . But  $x^m - 1$  is separable since its derivative is  $m x^{m-1}$  ( $\neq 0$  since  $p \nmid m$ ), which shares no roots with  $x^m - 1$  (if there were such a root, its minimal polynomial would divide both  $x^m - 1$  and  $m x^{m-1}$  so that  $\gcd(x^m - 1, m x^{m-1}) \neq 0$ ). Hence  $x^m - 1$  carries with it exactly  $m$  distinct roots; as a result  $f(x)$  does also.  $\square$

2. (An Infinite Extension) Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic zero. Recall that  $K(x)$  is the field of rational functions over  $K$  (the field of fractions of  $K[x]$ ).

- (a) Show that  $\sigma_n: K(x) \rightarrow K(x)$  defined by sending  $x$  to  $x + n$  is an automorphism of  $K(x)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f(x), g(x) \in K(x)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_n(f(x) \pm g(x)) &= f(x + n) \pm g(x + n) \\ &= \sigma_n(f(x)) \pm \sigma_n(g(x)) \\ \sigma(f(x)g(x)) &= f(x + n)g(x + n) \\ &= \sigma_n(f(x))\sigma_n(g(x)),\end{aligned}$$

and for  $g(x)$  not zero,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_n(f(x)/g(x)) &= f(x + n)/g(x + n) \\ &= \sigma_n(f(x))/\sigma_n(g(x)).\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,  $\sigma_{-n}: K(x) \rightarrow K(x)$  sending  $x$  to  $x - n$  is a left and right inverse to  $\sigma_n$ . It follows  $\sigma_n$  is an automorphism of  $K(x)$ . Since  $K$  is characteristic zero, each  $\sigma_n$  is not the identity.  $\square$

- (b) Let  $G = \{\sigma_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \text{Aut}(K(x))$ . What is the fixed field of  $G$  (i.e. what is  $K(x)^G$ )?

The fixed field  $K(x)^G$  is  $K$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f(x) = p(x)/g(x)$  be an element of  $K(x)$  with  $p(x), g(x) \in K[x]$  irreducible and coprime with  $g(x)$  nonzero. Then for any  $\sigma_n$ , we have  $\sigma_n(f(x)) = p(x + n)/g(x + n)$ ; for  $f(x)$  to be in  $K(x)^G$ , we must have  $p(x)/g(x) = p(x + n)/g(x + n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Since  $p(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are coprime (so that  $p(x + n)$  and  $g(x + n)$  are also), the rational functions  $p(x)/g(x)$  and  $p(x + n)/g(x + n)$  are equivalent if the roots of  $p(x)$  and  $g(x)$  coincide with the roots of  $p(x + n)$

and  $g(x+n)$ , respectively. (Note these polynomials are separable since  $K$  is characteristic 0, so we do not need to worry about comparing multiplicities of roots.)

Let  $\alpha$  be a root of  $p(x)$  and  $\beta$  a root of  $g(x)$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $\sigma_n$  fixes  $f(x) = p(x)/g(x)$ , then  $p(x+n)$  shares the same roots with  $p(x)$  and  $g(x+n)$  shares the same roots with  $g(x)$ . Thus for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$   $\alpha - n$  is a root of  $p(x)$  and  $\beta - n$  is a root of  $g(x)$ . With  $\alpha \neq \alpha - n$  and  $\beta \neq \beta - n$  for each  $n$ , we have that  $p(x)$  and  $g(x)$  have infinitely many roots, which is impossible unless  $p(x)$  and  $g(x)$  had no roots to begin with. Hence  $p(x), g(x)$  are degree 0 and so any element in  $K(x)^G$  is of the form  $k_1/k_2$  for  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ . It follows that  $K(x)^G = K$ .  $\square$

(c) What is  $[K(x) : K(x)^G]$ ?

The degree of  $K(x)$  over  $K(x)^G = K$  is infinite.

*Proof.* Since  $K(x)$  is a field extension of  $K$ , we have that  $K(x)$  is a  $K$ -vector space. To show that the basis is not finite, we exhibit an infinite set of linearly independent elements of  $K(x)$  over  $K$ : consider  $\{x^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ ; this is one such infinite linearly independent set. Hence the basis contains at least infinitely many elements, meaning the degree of the extension  $K(x)$  over  $K(x)^G = K$  is not finite.  $\square$

## Additional Problems

- (13.5.2) Find all irreducible polynomials of degrees 1, 2 and 4 over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  and prove that their product is  $x^{16} - x$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that the degree 1 irreducible polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$  are  $x, x+1$ .

The degree 2 polynomials are of the form  $x^2 + a_1x + a_0$ ; to be irreducible  $a_0$  must be 1 and from there it follows that  $a_1$  must be 1 so that  $x^2 + x + 1$  is the only degree 2 polynomial with no roots in  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

Degree 4 polynomials are of the form  $x^4 + a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0$ ; to be irreducible, we first demand  $a_0$  be 1. Then we would not want 1 to be a root of such a polynomial, so we need there to be an odd number of terms (so two of  $a_3, a_2, a_1$  must be zero or they are all 1). Further note that  $x^4 + x^2 + 1 = x^4 + 2x^3 + 3x^2 + 2x + 1 = (x^2 + x + 1)^2$  is not irreducible.

We check that the only remaining polynomials  $x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$ ,  $x^4 + x^3 + 1$ , and  $x^4 + x + 1$  are irreducible by seeing that  $x^2 + x + 1$  does not divide any of these three (by long division in  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ ). Hence the above are the only irreducible degree 4 polynomials.

Computing, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (x)[(x+1)(x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1)](x^2 + x + 1)[(x^4 + x + 1)(x^4 + x^3 + 1)] \\
 &= (x)(x^5 + 1)[(x^2 + x + 1)(x^8 + x^7 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x + 1)] \\
 &= (x)[(x^5 + 1)(x^{10} + x^5 + 1)] \\
 &= (x)(x^{15} + 1) \\
 &= x^{16} + x
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

2. (13.5.9) Show that the binomial coefficient  $\binom{pn}{pi}$  is the coefficient of  $x^{pi}$  in the expansion of  $(1+x)^{pn}$ .

Working over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  show that this is the coefficient of  $(x^p)^i$  in  $(1+x^p)^n$  and hence prove that  $\binom{pn}{pi} \equiv \binom{n}{i} \pmod{p}$ .

*Proof.* By the binomial theorem,  $(1+x)^{pn} = \sum_{k=0}^{pn} \binom{pn}{k} x^k$  so that the coefficient of  $x^{pi}$  is  $\binom{pn}{pi}$  as expected.

Working in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , we write  $(1+x)^{pn}$  as  $[(1+x)^p]^n = (1^p + x^p)^n = (1+x^p)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (x^p)^k$  so that the coefficient for  $x^{pi} = (x^p)^i$  is  $\binom{n}{i}$ . Since both binomial expansions are valid for  $(1+x)^{pn}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  it follows that  $\binom{pn}{pi} \equiv \binom{n}{i} \pmod{p}$ . □

3. (13.6.6) Prove that for  $n$  odd,  $n > 1$ ,  $\Phi_{2n}(x) = \Phi_n(-x)$ .

*Proof.* Observe that  $\Phi_{2n}(x)$  is the minimal polynomial for any  $2n$ -th root of unity. Note also that  $\Phi_n(x)$  is a minimal polynomial, so that  $\Phi_n(-x)$  is irreducible also; it is also monic since its degree is  $\varphi(n)$ , which for odd  $n > 1$  will be even since  $\varphi$  is even for prime powers. Hence both polynomials  $\Phi_{2n}(x), \Phi_n(-x)$  are minimal polynomials over any of its roots. If they share a common root, they must be equal by uniqueness of the minimal polynomial.

Claim:  $\zeta_2 \zeta_n = -\zeta_n$  is a common root: Clearly it is a root of  $\Phi_n(-x)$ , but to see that it is a root of  $\Phi_{2n}(x)$  we show that  $-\zeta_n$  has order  $2n$  in the group of  $2n$ -th roots of unity. Observe that  $(-\zeta_n)^{2n} = \zeta_n^{2n} = 1$  so that the order of  $-\zeta_n$  divides  $2n$ . But the order of  $-1$  is 2 and the order of  $\zeta_n$  is  $n$ , and since  $\gcd(2, n) = 1$  it follows that the order of their product cannot be any lower than  $2n$ ; that is, it must be exactly  $2n$  as desired. Hence  $-\zeta_n$  is a common root of the above two minimal polynomials so they must be equivalent. □

4. (13.6.8) Let  $\ell$  be a prime and let  $\Phi_\ell(x) = \frac{x^\ell - 1}{x - 1} = x^{\ell-1} + x^{\ell-2} + \cdots + x + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be the  $\ell^{\text{th}}$  cyclotomic polynomial, which is irreducible by Theorem 41. This exercise determines the factorization of  $\Phi_\ell(x)$  modulo  $p$  for any prime  $p$ . Let  $\zeta$  denote any fixed primitive  $\ell^{\text{th}}$  root of unity.

- (a) Show that if  $p = \ell$  then  $\Phi_\ell(x) = (x-1)^{\ell-1} \in \mathbb{F}_\ell[x]$ .

*Proof.* In  $\mathbb{F}_\ell[x]$ , write  $x^\ell - 1$  as  $(x-1)^\ell$  so that  $\Phi_\ell(x) = \frac{x^\ell - 1}{x - 1} = \frac{(x-1)^\ell}{x-1} = (x-1)^{\ell-1}$  as desired. □

- (b) Suppose  $p \neq \ell$  and let  $f$  denote the order of  $p \bmod \ell$ , i.e.,  $f$  is the smallest power of  $p$  with  $p^f \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ . Use the fact that  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times$  is a cyclic group to show that  $n = f$  is the smallest power  $p^n$  of  $p$  with  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ . Conclude that the minimal polynomial of  $\zeta$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  has degree  $f$ .

*Proof.* We show that  $\zeta$  satisfies  $x^{p^f} - 1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}^\times$ : since  $p^f \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ , it follows that there is an integer  $m \geq 1$  such that  $p^f - 1 = m\ell$ . Then  $\zeta^{p^f - 1} - 1 = \zeta^{m\ell} - 1 = 1^m - 1 = 0$  as desired. No smaller power  $p^n$  will admit this inclusion: if  $n < f$ , then  $p^n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$  so that  $p^n - 1 = m\ell + r$  for  $0 < r < \ell$ . Then  $\zeta^{p^n - 1} - 1 = \zeta^{m\ell + r} - 1 = \zeta^r - 1 \neq 0$ .

Since  $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$  is a degree  $f$  extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ , it follows that the minimal polynomial of  $\zeta$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is degree  $f$ . □

- (c) Show that  $\mathbb{F}_p(\zeta) = \mathbb{F}_p(\zeta^a)$  for any integer  $a$  not divisible by  $\ell$ . [One inclusion is obvious. For the other, note that  $\zeta = (\zeta^a)^b$  where  $b$  is the multiplicative inverse of  $a \bmod \ell$ .] Conclude using (b) that, in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ ,  $\Phi_\ell(x)$  is the product of  $\frac{\ell-1}{f}$  distinct irreducible polynomials of degree  $f$ .

*Proof.* The inclusion  $\mathbb{F}_p(\zeta^a) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p(\zeta)$  is clear since  $\zeta^a$  is a power of  $\zeta$ . The reverse inclusion is shown similarly, that  $\zeta$  is a power of  $\zeta^a$ . The power desired is the multiplicative inverse of  $a$  viewed as an element of  $\mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$  (recall  $\ell$  is prime and  $\gcd(a, \ell) = 1$ ). Call this multiplicative inverse  $b$  so that  $(\zeta^a)^b = \zeta^{ab} = \zeta^{1+m\ell} = \zeta$  for some integer  $m$ ; it follows that  $\zeta$  is a power of  $\zeta^a$  so that the reverse inclusion holds.

Since the minimal polynomial of  $\zeta$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  has degree  $f$  it follows that  $\mathbb{F}_{p^f} = \mathbb{F}_p(\zeta) = \mathbb{F}_p(\zeta^a)$  for  $1 \leq a \leq \ell - 1$ . But each of the  $\ell - 1$  roots  $\zeta^a$  are roots of  $\Phi_\ell(x)$  viewed as an element of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , so each of their minimal polynomials (and hence their product) divides  $\Phi_\ell(x)$ . In fact since  $\Phi_\ell(x)$  is separable (it has no repeated roots so we consider degrees) and has degree  $\ell - 1$ , it follows that it has exactly  $\frac{\ell-1}{f}$  distinct irreducible factors over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  of degree  $f$ .  $\square$

- (d) In particular, prove that, viewed in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ ,  $\Phi_7(x) = x^6 + x^5 + \cdots + x + 1$  is  $(x+1)^6$  for  $p = 7$ , a product of distinct linear factors for  $p \equiv 1 \bmod 7$ , a product of 3 irreducible quadratics for  $p \equiv 6 \bmod 7$ , a product of 2 irreducible cubics for  $p \equiv 2, 4 \bmod 7$ , and is irreducible for  $p \equiv 3, 5 \bmod 7$ .

*Proof.* Use part (a) to see that  $\Phi_7(x) = \frac{x^7-1}{x-1} = (x+1)^6 \in \mathbb{F}_7[x]$ . When  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ ,  $p$  has order 1 so that by the formula in (c),  $\Phi_7(x)$  is the product of  $(7-1)/1 = 6$  degree 1 factors. Similarly, the order of 6 is 2, the order of 2 and 4 is 3, and the order of 3 and 5 is 6.

Therefore:

When  $p \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ ,  $\Phi_7(x)$  is the product of  $(7-1)/2 = 3$  degree 2 factors.

When  $p \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ ,  $\Phi_7(x)$  is the product of  $(7-1)/2 = 3$  degree 2 factors.

When  $p \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{7}$ ,  $\Phi_7(x)$  is the product of  $(7-1)/3 = 2$  degree 3 factors.

When  $p \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{7}$ ,  $\Phi_7(x)$  is the product of  $(7-1)/6 = 1$  degree 6 factor (i.e. it is irreducible).  $\square$

#### 5. (14.1.1)

- (a) Show that if the field  $K$  is generated over  $F$  by the elements  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  then an automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $K$  fixing  $F$  is uniquely determined by  $\sigma(\alpha_1), \dots, \sigma(\alpha_n)$ . In particular show that an automorphism fixes  $K$  if and only if it fixes a set of generators for  $K$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $K = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  which fixes  $F$ . Any element of  $K$  is of the form  $k = f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)/g(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  for polynomials  $f, g$ . Since  $\sigma$  is an automorphism that fixes  $F$  it follows that  $\sigma(k) = f(\sigma(\alpha_1), \dots, \sigma(\alpha_n))/g(\sigma(\alpha_1), \dots, \sigma(\alpha_n))$ . Hence the action of  $\sigma$  is determined by its action on each  $\alpha_i$ ; that is,  $\sigma_1$  agrees with  $\sigma_2$  if and only if for every  $k$  in the above form,  $\sigma_1(k) = \sigma_2(k)$  – if and only if each automorphism agrees on each  $\alpha_i$ .

In particular it follows that  $\sigma$  above is the identity map on  $K$  if  $\sigma(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i$  for each  $i$ .  $\square$

- (b) Let  $G \leq \text{Gal}(K/F)$  be a subgroup of the Galois group of the extension  $K/F$  and suppose  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$  are generators for  $G$ . Show that the subfield  $E/F$  is fixed by  $G$  if and only if it is fixed by the generators  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ .

*Proof.* Since  $K$  is Galois over  $F$ , it is a finite extension; hence  $E$  is also. Let  $E = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ .

Suppose  $E/F$  is fixed by each of the generators  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$  for  $G$ . It follows that every automorphism  $\sigma \in G$  fixes  $E/F$  since  $\sigma$  may be represented as a finite product (compositions) of the  $\sigma_i$ , and each of those fix  $E/F$ .

Conversely, suppose  $G$  fixes  $E/F$ ; it follows immediately that  $\sigma_i \in G$  fix  $E/F$ . □

6. (14.1.7) This exercise determines  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})$ .

- (a) Prove that any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})$  takes squares to squares and takes positive reals to positive reals. Conclude that  $a < b$  implies  $\sigma a < \sigma b$  for every  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma$  is an automorphism, if  $s = r^2$  for  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  is a square of a real number then  $\sigma(s) = \sigma(r^2) = \sigma(r)^2$  and  $\sigma(r) \in \mathbb{R}$  as desired. In particular every positive real number is the square of some real number, so every positive real number is sent to a positive real number.

Then if  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $0 < b - a$  then  $0 < \sigma(b - a) = \sigma(b) - \sigma(a)$ , so  $\sigma$  is order preserving. □

- (b) Prove that  $-\frac{1}{m} < a - b < \frac{1}{m}$  implies  $-\frac{1}{m} < \sigma a - \sigma b < \frac{1}{m}$  for every positive integer  $m$ . Conclude that  $\sigma$  is a continuous map on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* For any positive integer  $m$  if  $-\frac{1}{m} < a - b < \frac{1}{m}$ , then since  $\sigma$  fixes  $\mathbb{Q}$  and is order preserving, it follows that  $\sigma(-\frac{1}{m}) = -\frac{1}{m} < \sigma(a - b) = \sigma(a) - \sigma(b) < \frac{1}{m} = \sigma(\frac{1}{m})$ .

We show that  $\sigma$  is continuous at every real number  $r$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given, and choose  $m$  large enough so that  $\frac{1}{m} < \varepsilon$ . If  $|x - r| < \frac{1}{m}$ , then by the above argument  $|\sigma(x) - \sigma(r)| < \frac{1}{m} < \varepsilon$  so that  $\sigma$  is continuous at  $r$ . Since  $r$  was arbitrary,  $\sigma$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ . □

- (c) Prove that any continuous map on  $\mathbb{R}$  which is the identity on  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the identity map, hence  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r$  be any real number. Then since  $\mathbb{R}$  is a complete space, there is a (Cauchy) sequence  $(a_n)$  converging to  $r$  from  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Since any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})$  is continuous, it preserves convergence of the sequence  $(a_n)$  in that  $(\sigma(a_n))$  converges to  $\sigma(r)$ . But  $\sigma$  fixes  $\mathbb{Q}$  so that  $(\sigma(a_n)) = (a_n)$ ; hence  $\sigma(r) = r$ . It follows that every  $\sigma$  agrees with the identity map, so  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ . □

## Feedback

1. 14.1.7, thanks.
2. Things are okay as usual; just eager to learn more Galois theory because it has been a while since I thought about groups like symmetric groups or automorphism groups. It's nice to see things return like that.