

For any group  $G$  the *Frattini subgroup* of  $G$  (denoted by  $\Phi(G)$ ) is defined to be the intersection of all the maximal subgroups of  $G$  (if  $G$  has no maximal subgroups, set  $\Phi(G) = G$ ).

1. (DF6.1.24) Say an element  $x$  of  $G$  is a *nongenerator* if for every proper subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ ,  $\langle x, H \rangle$  is also a proper subgroup of  $G$ . Prove that  $\Phi(G)$  is the set of nongenerators of  $G$  (here  $|G| > 1$ ).

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a finite group as given.

Suppose that  $x \in \Phi(G)$ . By way of contradiction, suppose that  $x$  is *not* a nongenerator of  $G$ . This means that there exists a proper subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $\langle x, K \rangle = G$ . Observe that  $x$  cannot be an element of  $K$ , otherwise  $\langle x, K \rangle = K < G$  which is not possible. By order considerations there must exist some maximal subgroup  $M$  which contains  $K$ . We have that  $G = \langle x, K \rangle \subseteq \langle x, M \rangle$ , and so  $x \notin M$ , otherwise  $G \subseteq \langle x, M \rangle = M$  which is impossible because  $M < G$ . With  $x \notin M$ , we reach a contradiction since  $x$  must lie in every maximal subgroup by definition of  $\Phi(G)$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $x \in G$  is a nongenerator but by way of contradiction that  $x \notin \Phi(G)$ . Then  $x$  is not in some maximal subgroup  $M$  as a result, so that  $\langle x, M \rangle$  is a subgroup of  $G$  properly containing  $M$ . Due to the maximality of  $M$ , the only subgroup  $\langle x, M \rangle$  can take on is  $G$ . But this is in contradiction with the assumption that  $x$  was a nongenerator of  $G$ , since  $M$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$  but  $\langle x, M \rangle$  is not a proper subgroup of  $G$ .

Hence  $\Phi(G)$  is the set of nongenerators of  $G$ . □

2. Auxiliary result. Show that automorphisms of finite groups send maximal subgroups to maximal subgroups, and as a result the Frattini subgroup of a finite group is a characteristic subgroup.

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $\alpha$  be any automorphism of  $G$ . Then let  $M$  be a maximal subgroup of  $G$ . We will show that  $\alpha(M)$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$ .

Suppose by way of contradiction that  $\alpha(M)$  was not a maximal subgroup. Then there exists a proper subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  which properly contains  $\alpha(M)$ . Then since  $\alpha$  is an automorphism we may take the preimage of  $K$ , and observe that  $\alpha^{-1}(K)$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$  which properly contains  $M$ . This is in contradiction with the assumption that  $M$  was a maximal subgroup of  $G$ , hence  $\alpha(M)$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$ .

Hence maximal subgroups of  $G$  are sent to maximal subgroups of  $G$  by any automorphism.

The Frattini subgroup  $\Phi(G)$  is given by the intersection of all of the maximal subgroups of  $G$ , and since all of the maximal subgroups of  $G$  are sent to maximal subgroups of  $G$  by any automorphism, the intersection will remain the same. Hence  $\Phi(G)$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $G$ . □

3. (DF6.1.25) Let  $G$  be a finite group. Prove that  $\Phi(G)$  is nilpotent. [Use Frattini's Argument to prove that every Sylow subgroup of  $\Phi(G)$  is normal in  $G$ .]

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a finite group as given.

Because the Frattini subgroup  $\Phi(G)$  is characteristic and hence normal in  $G$ , we can apply Frattini's Argument to  $\Phi(G)$ . Let  $P$  be any Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\Phi(G)$  for some prime  $p$  dividing the order of  $\Phi(G)$ . Then  $G = \Phi(G)N_G(P)$ .

We claim that  $N_G(P) = G$ . Suppose by way of contradiction that  $N_G(P)$  is instead a proper subgroup of  $G$ , so that it is contained in a maximal normal subgroup  $M$  (due to order considerations). Then  $G = \Phi(G)N_G(P) \leq \Phi(G)M = M$ , where the last equality holds because all of the elements of  $\Phi(G)$  are by definition found in  $M$ . This is in contradiction with the fact that  $M$  was a proper subgroup of  $G$ , so we must have that  $N_G(P) = G$ .

Since  $\Phi(G) \leq G$ , we have that  $\Phi(G)$  normalizes  $P$ . Since  $P$  was an arbitrary Sylow  $p$ -subgroup, for any prime divisor  $p$  of  $|\Phi(G)|$ , every Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\Phi(G)$  is normal in  $\Phi(G)$ . By Theorem 3, it follows that  $\Phi(G)$  is nilpotent.  $\square$

4. (DF6.1.31) For any group  $G$  a *minimal normal subgroup* is a normal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  such that the only normal subgroups of  $G$  which are contained in  $M$  are 1 and  $M$ . Prove that every minimal normal subgroup of a finite solvable group is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group for some prime  $p$ . [If  $M$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ , consider its characteristic subgroups:  $M'$  and  $\langle x^p \mid x \in M \rangle$ .]

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a finite solvable group as given.

Let  $H$  be a minimal (nontrivial) normal subgroup of  $G$ . Note that  $H$  must be solvable since  $G$  is solvable (subgroups of solvable groups are solvable). Then consider the commutator subgroup  $H'$  of  $H$ . If  $H'$  is not trivial, then either  $H' = H$  or  $H' < H$ .

Neither can happen. If  $H' = H$ , then  $H$  is not solvable because the derived series of  $H$  is indefinite (each subgroup in the series will be  $H$  and the series cannot terminate at 1). This is in contradiction with the fact that  $H$  is solvable.

If  $1 \neq H' < H$ , because  $H'$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $H$ , it is normal in  $G$  as well. This is in contradiction to the minimality of  $H$ , as  $H'$  is a subgroup of  $H$  which is normal in  $G$ . So  $H' = 1$ , which implies that  $H$  is abelian.

Then we show that  $H$  is a  $p$ -group for some prime  $p$  dividing  $|H|$ . Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$ . Then because  $H$  is abelian,  $P$  is unique and is hence normal in  $H$ . This forces  $P = H$  since  $P$  is not trivial and also cannot be a proper characteristic subgroup of  $H$  since then it would be normal in  $G$  (contradicting the minimality of  $H$ ). Thus  $H$  is a  $p$ -group.

Consider the characteristic subgroup  $\langle x^p \mid x \in H \rangle$  (characteristic because any automorphism of  $H$  preserves exponentiation) of  $H$ . Then  $\langle x^p \mid x \in H \rangle$  cannot be a proper nontrivial subgroup of  $H$  as again this would contradict the minimality of  $H$ .

Since  $H$  is a  $p$ -group there is a subgroup of order  $p$  (Cauchy's theorem), and as a result the subgroup  $\langle x^p \mid x \in H \rangle$  is properly contained in  $H$ . (All of the elements in the subgroup of order  $p$  when raised to the

$p$ -th power become the identity, so they do not contribute to  $\langle x^p \mid x \in H \rangle$ .) This forces  $\langle x^p \mid x \in H \rangle = 1$ , so that every element of  $H$  when raised to the  $p$  power must be 1.

Then  $H$  (with order  $p^n$  for some  $n$ ) must take on the form  $Z_p \times \cdots \times Z_p \cong \mathbb{F}_p^n$  (the order of elements in this group is at most  $\text{lcm}(p, \dots, p) = p$ ). Hence  $H$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group for some prime  $p$ .  $\square$

5. Auxiliary result. Show that the intersection of two normal subgroups is a normal subgroup.

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a group with  $H_1, H_2$  normal in  $G$ . Then for any  $h \in H_1 \cap H_2$ , observe that for any  $g \in G$ , we have that  $ghg^{-1}$  is an element of  $H_1$  and is also an element of  $H_2$ , since  $h$  can be viewed as an element of each normal subgroup. This implies that  $H_1 \cap H_2$  is normal in  $G$ .  $\square$

6. Auxiliary result. Show that the center of a direct product is the direct product of the centers.

*Proof.* Let  $G = G_1 \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_n$ . Then  $Z(G)$  is the set of all  $n$ -tuples which commute with every element in  $G$ . Let  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in G$  and let  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in Z(G)$ . Then  $gz = (g_1z_1, \dots, g_nz_n) = (z_1g_1, \dots, z_ng_n) = zg$  if and only if  $g_iz_i = z_ig_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We have that  $z_i \in Z(G_i)$  so that  $z \in Z(G_1) \times \cdots \times Z(G_n)$ , and hence  $Z(G) \subseteq Z(G_1) \times \cdots \times Z(G_n)$ .

Similarly, let  $z' = (z'_1, \dots, z'_n) \in Z(G_1) \times \cdots \times Z(G_n)$ . Then it follows that  $gz' = (g_1z'_1, \dots, g_nz'_n) = (z'_1g_1, \dots, z'_ng_n) = zg$  since  $g_iz_i = z_ig_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Thus  $z' \in Z(G)$ , and the reverse inclusion holds.

Hence the center of a direct product is the direct product of the centers.  $\square$

7. Let  $G = A \times A$  be the direct product of two simple groups. Prove that if  $A$  is nonabelian then the only normal subgroups of  $G$  other than  $G$  and the trivial subgroup are  $A \times 1$  and  $1 \times A$ .

Show that this is false if  $A$  is abelian.

*Proof.* Let  $G = A \times A$  be the direct product of two simple groups as given. We show that every nontrivial normal subgroup of  $G$  other than  $G$  is isomorphic to either  $A \times 1$  or  $1 \times A$ . Write  $G = A \times A$  as  $(A \times 1)(1 \times A)$  (by order considerations this holds).

Let  $N$  be a nontrivial proper normal subgroup of  $G$  which is not equal to either  $A \times 1$  or  $1 \times A$ . Observe that  $A \times 1$  cannot be properly contained in  $N$ . If  $A \times 1 < N$ , then  $N/(A \times 1)$  is a proper normal subgroup of  $G/(A \times 1) \cong (1 \times A) \cong A$ . By the simplicity of  $A$ ,  $N/(A \times 1) = 1$  which does not make sense since  $N/(A \times 1)$  is not a trivial group (as  $A \times 1 < N$ ). We reach a contradiction. By reversing the roles of  $A \times 1$  and  $1 \times A$  it follows that  $1 \times A$  cannot be properly contained in  $N$  as well. Thus  $A \times 1$  and  $1 \times A$  are not contained in  $N$ .

Consider the commutator subgroup  $[N, A \times 1] = \langle h^{-1}a^{-1}ha \mid h \in N, a \in A \times 1 \rangle$ . Because  $N$  and  $A$  are normal in  $G$ ,  $N$  is normalized by  $A \times 1$  and  $A \times 1$  is normalized by  $N$ . For  $h \in N$  and  $a \in A$ , the product  $h^{-1}a^{-1}ha = h^{-1}(a^{-1}ha) = h^{-1}h' \in N$  and also  $h^{-1}a^{-1}ha = (h^{-1}a^{-1}h)a = a'a \in A$ . Thus any element of  $[N, A \times 1]$  (a finite product of elements of the form  $h^{-1}a^{-1}ha$ ) is in  $N \cap (A \times 1)$ .

Because the intersection of two normal subgroups is a normal subgroup, we have that  $[N, A \times 1]$  is a proper normal subgroup of  $G$  and because  $N$  is not contained in  $A \times 1$  we also have that  $[N, A \times 1]$  is a proper normal subgroup of  $A \times 1 \cong A$ . By the simplicity of  $A$ , we have that  $[N, A \times 1] = 1$  so that elements of  $N$  commute with elements of  $A \times 1$ .

Repeat the preceding argument with  $1 \times A$  in place of  $A \times 1$  to find that elements of  $N$  commute with elements of  $1 \times A$  also. Since  $G = (A \times 1)(1 \times A)$ , it follows that  $N \leq Z(G) = Z(A \times A) = Z(A) \times Z(A)$ . And since  $A$  is nonabelian,  $Z(A)$  is properly contained in  $A$  and by simplicity of  $A$  must be 1. Hence  $N$  is trivial, which is in contradiction to the assumption that  $N$  is nontrivial.

Hence the only normal subgroups of  $G$  other than  $G$  and the trivial subgroup are  $A \times 1$  and  $1 \times A$ .  $\square$

We can exhibit a nontrivial proper normal subgroup of  $G = A \times A$  which is not  $A \times 1$  or  $1 \times A$  when  $A$  is abelian instead.

Consider the diagonal subgroup given by  $\{(a, a) \mid a \in A\}$ . Because  $A$  is abelian,  $G = A \times A$  is also abelian and necessarily the diagonal subgroup is normal in  $G$ . It is clear that this nontrivial group is not  $A \times 1$  or  $1 \times A$ , and is also properly contained in  $G$  since  $G$  contains elements of the form  $(a_1, a_2)$  with  $a_1 \neq a_2$ .

Thus the proposition of the previous problem is false when  $A$  is abelian.