Student ID: 1131530

Student Name: 李昭翰

Course: Data Structures (CSE CS203A)

Assignment III: Linked List Selection Sort

Student Worksheet Companion

A1. Linked List Representation Drawing (5 pts)

a. (2 pts) Instructions: Draw a visual representation of a single node with next pointer that contains the initialized integer 10



b. (3 pts) Linked list representation with the given integers (Hint: For safety and clarity, include identifiable head and tail nodes)

Example: the input integers are (10, 20) and linked list representation will be [10 | •] → [20 | •] →



A2. Populate with Integers (32 pts; 2 pts for each)

Fill the given integers (60, 24, 15, 42, 20, 11, 90, 8) into the above structures.

Annotate:

Node #	Value	Next Pointer
1	[60]	→ Node [2]
2	[24]	→ Node [3]
3	[15]	→ Node [4]
4	[42]	→ Node [5]
5	[20]	→ Node [6]
6	[11]	→ Node [7]
7	[90]	→ Node [8]

Student ID: 1131530 Student Name: 李思翰

8 [8] → [NULL]

A3. Selection Sort – First Three Steps (45 pts; 15 pts for each step)

Step Trace Table (Linked list):

Step 1 is the example to help you to complete step 2 to 4.

Step 1 (i = head = 60): Traverse list to find minimum value 8 → call swap function Yes; swap (60, 8).

head → [8|•] → [24|•] → [15|•] → [42|•] → [20|•] → [11|•] → [90|•] → [60|NULL]

Step 2 (i = 24): Minimum value [11] → call swap function (Yes) / No; swap ([24], [11]).

head → [8|•] → [11 |•] → [15 |•] → [42 |•] → [20 |•] → [24 |•] → [90 |•] → [60 |NULL]

Step 3 (i = 15): Minimum value [15] → call swap function Yes / (No); swap ([15], [15]).

head → [8|•] → [11 |•] → [15 |•] → [42 |•] → [20 |•] → [24 |•] → [90 |•] → [60 |NULL]

Step 4 (i = 42): Minimum value [20] → call swap function (Yes) / No; swap ([42], [20]).

head → [8|•] → [11 |•] → [15 |•] → [20 |•] → [42 |•] → [24 |•] → [90 |•] → [60 |NULL]

Student ID: 1131530 Student Name: 李思翰

A4. Discussion (68 pts)

Guiding Questions:

- How many swaps/exchanges are performed?
- How expensive is traversal for arrays vs. linked lists?
- What memory/overhead differences do you see?
- Which representation is easier to visualize?
- Which would you choose for implementing selection sort and why?

Time complexity comparison (14 pts, 1pt for each)

Aspect / Operation	Array	Linked List	Explanation
Access Element	(1)	(2)	Array allows direct indexing; linked list needs traversal.
Find Minimum	(3)	(4)	Both must scan all remaining elements/nodes.
Swap Operation	(5)	(6)	In array, swap by indices; in linked list, swap node values.
Traversal Between Elements	(7)	(8)	Linked list traversal requires pointer navigation.
Overall Time Complexity (Selection Sort)	(9)	(10)	Both involve nested traversal to find minima; linked list adds traversal overhead.
Space Complexity	(11)	(12)	Both sorts are in-place if swapping values, not nodes.
Implementation Overhead	(13) Low or Moderate	(14) Low or Moderate	Linked list needs pointer operations and careful null checks.

Student ID: 1131530 Student Name: 李昌燁

(1)	$O(1)$	(2)	$O(n)$
(3)	$O(n)$	(4)	$O(n)$
(5)	$O(1)$	(6)	$O(1)$
(7)	$O(1)$	(8)	$O(n)$
(9)	$O(n^2)$	(10)	$O(n^2)$
(11)	$O(1)$	(12)	$O(1)$
(13)	Low	(14)	Moderate

Student ID: 1131530 Student Name: 李品翰

Characteristics (54 pts, 3 pts for each)

Aspect	Array	Linked List
Storage	(1)	(2)
Access	(3)	(4)
Extra Variables	(5)	(6)
Traversal	(7)	(8)
Overhead	(9)	(10)
Visualization	(11)	(12)
Swaps	(13)	(14)
Flexibility	(15)	(16)
Overall	(17)	(18)

(1)

Contiguous memory

(2)

Non - contiguous memory

(3)

Direct Access, O(1)

Student ID: 1131530 Student Name: 李昌翰

(4)

Sequential access , O(n)

(5)

No extra pointers

(6)

Each node needs a pointer field

(7)

Fast , Index-based traversal

(8)

Slower , Pointer-based traversal

(9)

Low memory overhead (data only)

Student ID: 1131530 Student Name: 李昌曜

(10)

Higher overhead (pointers + dynamic allocation)

(11)

Easy, sequential block of date

(12)

Harder, nodes and links

(13)

by index, O(1)

(14)

Swap node values or relink nodes

(15)

Fixed size

Student ID: 1131530 Student Name: 李昌曙

(16)

Dynamic size

(17)

Fast access, simple but inflexible

(18)

Flexible but slower and more complex

-16