



Protocol Audit Report

Version 1.0

Hamidov Siyovush

January 17, 2024

Protocol Audit Report

Hamidov Siyovush

Jan 17, 2024

Prepared by: Hamidov Siyovush Lead Auditors: - Hamidov Siyovush

Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
 - Scope
 - Roles
- Executive Summary
 - Issues found
- Findings
 - High
 - * [High-1] Storing the Password On-Chain Makes It Visible to Anyone
 - Description
 - Impact
 - Proof of Concept
 - Recommended Mitigation
 - * [High-2] `PasswordStore::setPassword` Has No Access Controls, Meaning a Non-Owner Could Change the Password

- Description
- Impact
- Proof of Concept
- Recommended Mitigation
- Informational
 - * [Informational-1] The `PasswordStore::getPassword` NatSpec Indicates a Parameter That Doesn't Exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect.
 - Description
 - Impact
 - Recommended Mitigation

Protocol Summary

PasswordStore is a protocol dedicated to storage and retrieval of a user's passwords. The protocol is designed to be used by a single user, and is not designed to be used by multiple users. Only the owner should be able to set and access this password.

Disclaimer

The YOUR_NAME_HERE team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	H	H/M	M
	Medium	H/M	M	M/L
	Low	M	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

Audit Details

Scope

```
1 ./srs/PasswordStore.sol
```

Roles

- Owner: The user who can set the password and read the password.
- Outsiders: No one else should be able to set or read the password.

Executive Summary

We have learned a lot of usefool techniques to handle smart-contract audit issues, spending on it 3 days in a row.

Issues found

Severity	Number of issues found
High	2
Medium	0
Low	0
Info	1
Total	3

High

Description All data stored on-chain is public and visible to anyone. The `PasswordStore::s_password` variable is intended to be hidden and only accessible by the owner through the `PasswordStore::getPassword` function.

Impact Anyone can read the private password, severely breaking the functionality of the protocol.

1. Create a locally running chain:

2. Deploy the contract to the chain:

3. Run the storage tool: We use 1 because that's the storage slot of `s_password` in the contract.

You'll receive an output that looks like this:

You can then parse that hex to a string with:

And get an output of:

5

Recommended Mitigation Due to this issue, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain and then store the encrypted password on-chain. This would require the user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the stored password. However, you would also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with this decryption key.

[High-2] PasswordStore::setPassword Has No Access Controls, Meaning a Non-Owner Could Change the Password

Description The `PasswordStore::setPassword` function is set to be an `external` function. However, the purpose of the smart contract and the function's NatSpec indicate that "This function allows only the owner to set a new password."

```
1 function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
2     // @Audit - There are no Access Controls.
3     s_password = newPassword;
4     emit SetNewPassword();
5 }
```

Impact Anyone can set or change the stored password, severely breaking the contract's intended functionality.

Proof of Concept Add the following to the `PasswordStore.t.sol` test file:

```
1 function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public {
2     vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
3     vm.startPrank(randomAddress);
4     string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
5     passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);
6
7     vm.startPrank(owner);
8     string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
9     assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
10 }
```

Recommended Mitigation Add an access control conditional to `PasswordStore::setPassword`.

```
1 if (msg.sender != s_owner) {
2     revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
3 }
```

```
siyovush@siyovush-pc-ubuntu:~/Desktop/Web3/course/3/3-passwordstore-audit$ forge test --mt test_anyone_can_set_password
[.] Compiling...
[.] Compiling 6 files with 0.8.19
[.] Solc 0.8.19 finished in 893.57ms
Compiler run successful!

Running 1 test for test/PasswordStore.t.sol:PasswordStoreTest
[PASS] test_anyone_can_set_password(address) (runs: 256, μ: 23204, ~: 23204)
Test result: ok. 1 passed; 0 failed; 0 skipped; finished in 21.75ms
```

Figure 1: Alt text

Informational

[Informational-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword NatSpec Indicates a Parameter That Doesn't Exist, causing the natspec tp be incorrect.

Description The `PasswordStore::getPassword` function signature is `getPassword()`, while the NatSpec suggests it should be `getPassword(string)`.

```
1 /**
2  * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
3  * @param newPassword The new password to set.
4  */
5 function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {}
```

Impact The NatSpec is incorrect.

Recommended Mitigation Remove the incorrect NatSpec line.

```
1 - * @param newPassword The new password to set.
```

- Impact: NONE
- Likelihood: NONE
- Severity Informational/Gas/Non-crits