

ITEM #236 - Two-Ways CCC Test Algorithm for Functional DNA Signal Detection

Conversation : Two-Ways CCC Test

20260114

Authors: Sizhe Tan & GPT-Obot

DBM-COT ITEM #236 (EN)

Two-Ways CCC Test Algorithm for Functional DNA Signal Detection

1. Motivation and Problem Statement

Mapping **DNA sequences** to **biological phenotypes or functions** (e.g. facial traits, morphology, disease risks) is fundamentally a **weak-signal discovery problem** under heavy noise, combinatorial effects, and ambiguous labels.

Traditional regression or end-to-end learning approaches tend to:

- entangle background genomic structures with functional signals;
- overfit to spurious correlations;
- provide limited interpretability and weak error diagnostics.

This ITEM proposes a **Two-Ways CCC Test Algorithm**, a **structure-first, bidirectional validation framework**, designed to:

- isolate functional DNA expression patterns;
 - explicitly separate *positive*, *negative*, and *background* signals;
 - quantify confidence via symmetric false-negative / false-positive analysis;
 - support iterative refinement of phenotype definitions.
-

2. Input Observations

We assume a large observational dataset:

$$O = \{(S_i, A_i) | i=1, \dots, N\} \quad \text{where } O = \{(S_i, A_i) | i=1, \dots, N\}$$

where:

- $S_i S_i$ is a DNA sequence;
- $A_i = \{\text{Attribute}_{i,j}\}$ $A_i = \{\text{Attribute}_{i,j}\}$ is a set of observed biological attributes.

Given a **target functional attribute subset**:

$$T \subseteq \text{Attribute space} \quad T \subseteq \text{Attribute space}$$

the task is to discover **DNA expression patterns (CCC structures)** that reliably encode, promote, or suppress T .

3. Three-Group Partitioning

All observations are partitioned into three disjoint groups:

- **Group 1 (Positive group)**

$$G_1 = \{i | T \subseteq A_i\} \quad G_1 = \{i | T \subseteq A_i\}$$

Observations fully expressing the target function.

- **Group 2 (Negative group)**

$$G_2 = \{i | A_i \cap T = \emptyset\} \quad G_2 = \{i | A_i \cap T = \emptyset\}$$

Observations completely lacking the target function.

- **Group 3 (Partial / ambiguous group)**

$$G_3 = \text{all remaining observations} \quad G_3 = \text{all remaining observations}$$

Observations partially matching the target attributes.

4. Core Algorithm (Two-Ways CCC Test)

Step 1: Independent CCC Extraction

- Compute **CCC₁** from DNA sequences in G1G_1G1.
- Compute **CCC₂** from DNA sequences in G2G_2G2.

Each CCC represents a set of recurrent, structured DNA expression patterns.

Step 2: Background Elimination via Bidirectional Intersection

- Compute:

$$\text{IntersectCCC} = \text{UnalignedAND}(\text{CCC1}, \text{CCC2}) \setminus \text{IntersectCCC} = \\ \setminus \text{UnalignedAND}(\text{CCC}_1, \text{CCC}_2) \text{IntersectCCC} = \text{UnalignedAND}(\text{CCC1}, \text{CCC2})$$

These structures appear frequently **regardless of function presence** and are treated as **background or generic genomic patterns**.

Step 3: Signal Separation

- **Positive functional signals**

$$\text{CCC}^+ = \text{CCC1} \setminus \text{IntersectCCC} \wedge^+ = \text{CCC}_1 \setminus \text{IntersectCCC} \\ \setminus \text{IntersectCCC} \wedge^+ = \text{CCC1} \setminus \text{IntersectCCC}$$

- **Negative functional signals**

$$\text{CCC}^- = \text{CCC2} \setminus \text{IntersectCCC} \wedge^- = \text{CCC}_2 \setminus \text{IntersectCCC} \\ \setminus \text{IntersectCCC} \wedge^- = \text{CCC2} \setminus \text{IntersectCCC}$$

This yields **directional evidence**:

- CCC^+ → structures supporting the function;
 - CCC^- → structures suppressing or excluding the function.
-

5. Bidirectional Error Estimation

Define a detection predicate:

$$\text{hit}(S_i, X) \in \{0, 1\} \setminus \text{hit}(S_{-i}, X) \in \{0, 1\}$$

indicating whether DNA sequence $S_i S_{-i} S_i$ expresses CCC signal set XXX.

5.1 Missing-Positive Error (False Negative)

Using CCC⁺:

$$FNR = \frac{\sum_{i \in G_1} (1 - \text{hit}(S_i, CCC^+))}{|G_1|}$$

This estimates how often the functional signal is **missed** when the function is present.

5.2 False-Positive Error

$$FPR = \frac{\sum_{i \in G_2} \text{hit}(S_i, CCC^+)}{|G_2|}$$

This estimates how often the signal appears **without the function**.

Symmetric statistics can be computed for CCC⁻ if needed.

6. Confidence Scoring and Ranking

A recommended composite score:

$$\text{Score} = (TPR - FPR) \cdot \log \left(\frac{TP}{FP} \right) = (\text{TPR} - \text{FPR}) \cdot \log \left(\frac{TP}{TP + FP} \right)$$

where:

- TPR = 1 - FNR;
- TP, FP are hit counts.

This balances:

- discriminative power;
- signal coverage;
- robustness against small-sample artifacts.

Top-K CCC signals are selected based on this score.

7. Role of Group 3 (Partial Observations)

Group 3 provides **structural continuity information** rather than binary labels.

Recommended uses:

- **Soft weighting** based on partial attribute match ratios;
- **Conflict detection:**
 - high attribute match but no CCC⁺ hit → possible phenotype noise;
 - low attribute match but strong CCC⁺ hit → hidden sub-phenotype.

These conflicts drive **attribute refinement and sub-phenotype discovery**.

8. Attribute Refinement Loop

The algorithm supports iterative improvement of phenotype definitions:

- Attributes whose removal improves Score are flagged as noisy;
- Conflict-heavy samples are clustered to propose:
 - refined attributes;
 - composite or conditional attributes;
 - alternative biological interpretations.

Thus, **attribute definitions co-evolve with discovered DNA structures**.

9. Key Properties

- **Bidirectional validation:** positive and negative evidence are both required.
 - **Background-robust:** generic genomic patterns are explicitly eliminated.
 - **Explainable:** CCC structures form interpretable evidence chains.
 - **Iterative:** naturally supports scientific hypothesis refinement.
-

10. Position in DBM-COT

This ITEM establishes a **foundational functional-signal discovery primitive** for:

- DNA → phenotype mapping;
 - genotype-function hypothesis ranking;
 - integration with CCC-based rule engines and hypothesis exporters.
-
-

DBM-COT ITEM #236 (中文)

用于生物功能 DNA 信号发现的双向 CCC 验证算法

1. 背景与问题定义

DNA 序列到生物表型（如人脸特征、形态性状、疾病风险）的映射，本质上是一个弱信号 + 高噪声 + 多因果组合的问题。

传统回归或端到端 AI 方法往往：

- 将功能信号与背景基因结构混杂；
- 对偶然相关过拟合；
- 缺乏清晰的错误诊断与结构解释能力。

本 ITEM 提出 Two-Ways CCC Test Algorithm (双向 CCC 验证算法)，其目标是：

- 从结构层面发现 DNA 的功能表达模式；
- 显式区分正信号、负信号与背景结构；
- 通过漏报率 / 错报率给出可比较的置信度；
- 支持表型定义的迭代修正与细分。

2. 输入观测模型

给定观测集合：

$$O = \{(S_i, A_i) | i=1, \dots, N\}$$

其中：

- $S_i S_i S_i$: DNA 序列；

- $A_i A_{-i} A_i$: 该样本的生物属性集合。

选定目标生物功能属性子集：

$T \subseteq \text{Attribute}_T \setminus \text{subseteq} \text{Attribute}_T \subseteq \text{Attribute}$

目标是寻找 与 TTT 强相关的 DNA 结构化表达模式 (CCC) 。

3. 三组划分 (Three-Group Split)

- **Group 1 (功能存在)**
完全满足 TTT 的样本；
- **Group 2 (功能缺失)**
与 TTT 完全无交集的样本；
- **Group 3 (部分满足)**
其余部分匹配的样本。

这是算法后续“对称验证”的基础。

4. 双向 CCC 核心流程

4.1 CCC 提取

- 在 Group 1 上生成 CCC_1 ；
 - 在 Group 2 上生成 CCC_2 。
-

4.2 背景结构剔除

计算：

$\text{IntersectCCC} = \text{CCC1} \cap \text{CCC2}$

这些结构在“有功能 / 无功能”中都高频出现，视为**非功能性背景结构**。

4.3 信号分离

- 正向功能信号

$\text{CCC}^+ = \text{CCC1} \setminus \text{IntersectCCC}$

- 反向功能信号

$\text{CCC}^- = \text{CCC2} \setminus \text{IntersectCCC}$

5. 漏报率与错报率估计

定义命中判定：

$\text{hit}(S_i, X) \iff S_i \in X$

漏报率 (Missing-Positive)

$FNR = \frac{\#\{i \in G_1 | \text{未命中 } CCC^+\}}{|G_1|}$

错报率 (False-Positive)

$FPR = \frac{\#\{i \in G_2 | \text{命中 } CCC^+\}}{|G_2|}$

6. 置信度评分与 Top-K 选择

推荐综合评分：

$$\text{Score} = (\text{TPR} - \text{FPR}) \cdot \log(1 + \text{TP} + \text{FP})$$

用于对不同 DNA 功能信号候选进行排序。

7. Group 3 的作用

Group 3 不直接参与二分类，而用于：

- 连续性验证；
 - 冲突样本识别；
 - 表型噪声与隐藏子功能的发现。
-

8. 属性定义的自我修正

通过：

- 去除导致 Score 下降的属性；
- 分析 Group 3 冲突子群；

可以自动发现：

- 坏的表型定义；
 - 需要细分的新属性；
 - 条件型或组合型生物功能。
-

9. 算法特性总结

- 双向验证：必须同时通过正向与反向检验；
 - 抗背景噪声：显式剔除共性结构；
 - 可解释：CCC 作为结构化证据链；
 - 可演化：属性定义与结构共同迭代。
-

10. 在 DBM-COT 中的位置

本 ITEM 为 DNA 功能信号发现与假设生成 提供了一个核心、稳定、可复用的结构智能原语。
