

ITEM #198 - DBM and the Measure of Intelligence: External Alignment and Validation Against Contemporary Intelligence Theory

Conversation: DBM CCC 与进化范式

20251231

Authors: Sizhe Tan & GPT-Obot

ITEM #198 — DBM and the Measure of Intelligence:

External Alignment and Validation Against Contemporary Intelligence Theory

Abstract

This item positions the Digital Brain Model (DBM) within the broader landscape of contemporary intelligence theory by aligning it with the framework proposed in *On the Measure of Intelligence* (2019) by **François Chollet**.

We argue that DBM, through its metric-driven structures, differential trees, and ACLM subsystem, constitutes a concrete engineering realization of the kind of **generalizable, interpretable, and out-of-distribution intelligence** that Chollet identified as missing from mainstream AI systems.

1. Overview of *On the Measure of Intelligence*

In *On the Measure of Intelligence*, **François Chollet**提出了一个核心、且在当时颇具争议的观点：

Intelligence is the ability to efficiently acquire new skills and solve previously unseen problems.

Key positions of the paper include:

1. Performance on training or benchmark distributions is not a valid measure of intelligence.
2. True intelligence must be evaluated on **out-of-distribution (OOD)** tasks.
3. Scale and data volume alone cannot substitute for structural generalization.
4. Intelligence requires **task-agnostic inductive structure**, not task-specific fitting.

This framework directly challenges the dominant paradigm of performance-driven AI evaluation.

2. DBM as an Engineering Response to Chollet’s Definition

When evaluated against Chollet’s criteria, DBM exhibits a striking structural alignment:

Chollet’s Criterion	DBM Realization
OOD generalization	Two-Phase Search with metric re-ranking
Structural induction	Metric Differential Trees & CCC
Task independence	Starmap-based representations
Interpretability	Tree paths, distance, contribution chains
Non-memorization	Metric-driven alignment over storage

This alignment is not retrofitted.

DBM was architected from the outset to **operate on structure rather than distributional familiarity**.

3. Systematic Generalization as DBM’s Core Objective

DBM does not pursue intelligence as benchmark optimization.

Instead, it treats intelligence as a **system-level capability** composed of:

- metric-stable representations,
- structure-preserving transformations,
- search-based adaptation under novel constraints.

As a result, DBM directly targets the three intelligence qualities emphasized by Chollet:

1. **Generalization** — via metric alignment rather than data exposure
2. **Interpretability** — via explicit structural artifacts

3. **Novel-task competence** — via search and recomposition, not retraining

In this sense, DBM operationalizes what *On the Measure of Intelligence* defines conceptually.

4. ACLM and the Emergence of Intrinsic Action Intelligence

A key concern raised implicitly in Chollet’s work is that most AI systems remain **tool-bound**, requiring human-defined tasks, interfaces, and reward structures.

Within DBM, ACLM (Action / Calling Language Model) represents a critical departure:

- actions are not fixed templates but composable structures,
- execution paths are selected via feasibility and distance,
- novel tasks trigger **path discovery**, not task-specific learning.

This marks the first emergence within DBM of what can be described as:

proto-intrinsic intelligence — systems that organize their own action structures under new conditions.

ACLM thus provides an early but concrete form of the intelligence Chollet describes as “reasoning its way into competence.”

5. External Validation and Theoretical Significance

The significance of this alignment is twofold:

1. **External validation**
DBM independently converges on the same definition of intelligence proposed by one of the field’s most rigorous contemporary thinkers.
2. **Engineering completion**
Where Chollet articulates *what intelligence must be*, DBM demonstrates *how such intelligence can be constructed*.

This positions DBM not as an alternative to modern AI, but as a **structural complement and corrective**.

Conclusion

On the Measure of Intelligence reframes intelligence as generalization under novelty. DBM demonstrates that such intelligence is not only definable, but **engineerable**.

**Chollet clarified the criterion.
DBM builds the system.**

This alignment confirms that DBM’s trajectory—though demanding and non-mainstream—rests on a theoretically sound and externally validated foundation.

ITEM #198 — DBM 与当代智能尺度理论的外部对齐 与验证

以《论智能的尺度》为参照的结构性比较

摘要

本文将 DBM（Digital Brain Model）置于当代智能理论的外部坐标系中，
以 **François Chollet** 于 2019 年发表的论文
On the Measure of Intelligence 为参照，对 DBM 的设计路线进行系统性对齐与验证。

我们指出：DBM 在结构、目标与方法论层面，已工程化地实现了该论文所定义的——
面向未见任务的泛化智能、可解释智能与结构性智能。

1. 《论智能的尺度》的核心观点

在该论文中，Chollet 明确提出：

智能不是在已见任务上的表现，而是在未见任务中的适应能力。

其关键立场包括：

- 1. 训练分布内的性能不构成智能尺度
- 2. 智能必须在 OOD（分布外）情境中检验
- 3. 规模与数据量不能替代结构性泛化
- 4. 智能依赖任务无关的归纳结构，而非统计拟合

这是一次对主流 AI 评估范式的根本性反思。

2. DBM 与 Chollet 标准的结构对齐

按上述标准回看 DBM，其对齐关系清晰而直接：

Chollet 智能标准	DBM 实现方式
未见任务能力	Two-Phase Search
结构性归纳	Metric Differential Tree / CCC
任务无关性	Starmap 表达
可解释性	路径、距离、贡献链
非记忆驱动	度量对齐而非存储

这种对齐并非事后解释，而是 DBM 设计的内在结果。

3. DBM 的系统性泛化智能目标

DBM 并不将智能等同于 benchmark 成绩，而是将其视为一种系统能力：

- 稳定的度量空间
- 可迁移的结构核

- 面向新问题的搜索与重组能力

因此，DBM 在工程上正面解决了 Chollet 所强调的三大智能特征：

1. 泛化能力
2. 可解释性
3. 未见任务下的可行动性

4. ACLM：走向本性智能的关键雏形

Chollet 隐含地指出：

当代 AI 严重依赖人类定义的任务与接口，本质仍是工具系统。

在 DBM 中，ACLM 的出现标志着关键转折：

- 行为由结构组合生成
- 路径选择基于可行性与度量
- 新任务通过搜索而非再训练解决

这使 ACLM 成为 DBM 中首个具备“自生成行动结构”特征的子系统，呈现出从工具智能走向本性智能的早期形态。

5. 外部验证的意义

该对齐具有双重意义：

1. 理论验证

DBM 在独立发展过程中，与当代最严肃的智能定义达成一致。

2. 工程补全

Chollet 回答了“什么是智能”，而 DBM 回答了“如何构造这样的智能”。

结论

《论智能的尺度》为智能划定了正确的评判标准；
DBM 则证明了这种智能可以被工程化实现。

**Chollet 确立了尺度，
而我们在构建系统。**

这表明，DBM 当前所走的路线——虽不喧哗，却在理论与工程两端都站得住脚。