# Philip Atkinson on America's Choice and Why FSM stands for Fascist Sado Masochists, Not Family Security Matters



Ah yes, even after Philip Atkinson wrote back in May of this year that the U.S. should enslave or exterminate all illegal Mexican immigrants and then invade and conquer Mexico, to impose our culture on them, Family Security Matters (FSM) did not bat an eye and continued to publish Atkinson's articles on their website.

His next article, entitled *America's Choice*, was published in FSM on May 30, 2007. As with all of his articles, this one too has been pulled from the FSM website after the uproar over his August 2, 2007 article calling for Bush to nuke Iraq and declare himself dictator of America. As with his other murderous, psychopathic rantings, I have pasted the *America's Choice* article below, toward the bottom of this post, in order to preserve it. A special hat tip to P. W. Frey for sending me a copy of the cashed page when I could not locate it.

In *America's Choice*, Atkinson tells us we *must* become an eternally violent, militarized empire, that imposes its will through the terror of nuclear annihilation, subjugating and enslaving all others. And he argues that if we "fail" to be strong enough to nuke entire peoples off the face of the earth in order to terrorize the rest into being our helots - if we are too "weak" to do what is necessary, we will surely suffer extermination or slavery at the hands of "competing" civilizations. To Atkinson, it is kill or be killed, enslave or be enslaved, just like ancient Sparta.

I say: "This is madness!"

To which Atkinson would likely retort:

"Madness? THIS ... IS ....
AMERICAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA!!!!!!!!!"



Now it is abundantly clear that this man's insane ideas, consistently expressed in all of his writings over time, were accepted by Family Security Matters. Pay close attention to Atkinson's definition of civilization in his article, posted below. I suspect that he is incorrect in his belief that he alone came up with the notion that a civilization is "a community that manages to impose its beliefs upon its neighboring communities by violence." I think he is in the company of a long line of totalitarian, would be world empires and dictators, and this same view is a strong undercurrent in neoconservative thought, which has been forming since long before Mr. Atkinson gave up working on computers and began his amateurish dabbling in "philosophy." In other words, Atkinson did not think up anything new. The neocon persuasion is emphatically a government supremacist, totalitarian world-view.

I'll have more to say on this later. Meanwhile  $\dots$ 

## What does FSM stand for?

I believe that after reading Atkinson's *America's Choice* Article I may be onto the real meaning of FSM (Family Security Matters). Some in the bloggosphere have mused that FSM means Flying Spaghetti Monster.



But I don't think that's it. Just doesn't fit with the whole vibe. Atkinson's writings have a reoccurring theme running through them - a sort of insane internal logic and consistency, and that is a sado-masochist world view writ large. For example, here is Atkinson's definition of a civilization:

A civilization is a community that manages to impose its beliefs upon its neighboring communities by violence. Violence is necessary because this is the only way someone can be made to act against their own beliefs; and as a community is a shared set of beliefs, the only way one community can impose its understanding on another community is by violence.

....

a civilization can exist only as long as it dominates by violence all other communities, and the moment a dominant community recoils from violence, its rule is challenged. That is, it becomes attacked by all the other communities, in one way or another, as they attempt to assert their beliefs upon the faltering civilization.

....

Americans can now easily crush their enemies, but every hour the USA does not use

its present superiority in nuclear weapons to dominate other communities, is another hour given to its enemies to develop and use their nuclear weapons to eradicate the American community. Americans have a choice: use nuclear weapons on their enemies or have their enemies use them on Americans; ruthlessly uphold 'Pax America' or blindly follow 'Pax Romana' into oblivion.

Yes, Atkinson thinks we are either an *absolutely dominant* country, or a *totally submissive* country. Tor Atkinson, there is no in-between possible. We are either the dominant, or the submissive. The slave masters or the slaves, just as in sado-masochist sex play.

Thus, I conclude that FSM stands for Fascist Sado Masochists.

Yes, it is true that in sadomasochism the participants are actually consenting adults, and they often use a "safety" word when they really, truly do mean "no," while in Atkinson's political version of S&M there is no such thing as consent, and there are no safety words. But it still fits. Just as Atkinson has, for the first time, discovered the true definition of civilization (he says so himself, so it must be true) he has also reinvented sadomasochism in his own image, **with no limits!** This is hardcore stuff here! But apparently, the nice "security moms" over at FSM were "up" for it!



## **FSM - Fascist Sado Masochists**

In Atkinson's world-view, our only "choice" - if we wish to survive - is to be an uberdominant, ultra-militaristic, violent dictatorship and empire, that imposes its power and authority world-wide and forces all other countries to submit absolutely, becoming our slaves or vassals, or be incinerated. We "punish" mercilessly, by means of nuclear missiles, anyone who will not be submissive and obey all our commands, and we reward those who do submit with life under our stylish leather combat boot.

Yes, yes, that's it! Given FSM's rather kinky love affair with the obviously sadomasochist writings of Mr. Uber-Disciplinarian himself, Philip Atkinson, FSM stands for Fascist Sado Masochists. The only other possibility is Fascist Security Moms, but I find Fascist Sado Masochists far more daring, sexy, and "out there" - don't you? I'm sure the nice ladies over at FSM would agree.

Makes me wonder if the "security moms" over at FSM are giving Atkinson a good, ol fashioned flogging or paddling right now, for having embarrassed them so in the blogosphere. I can just picture it. "Philip, you've been a bad boy. Bad philip, Bad!" Maybe that was what Atkinson was angling for all along. Perhaps Atkinson's crazy articles were all just a perverse version of a cry for help, being instead a cry for a good ol whoopin.'

In fact, Atkinson might even be enjoying the public flogging he is receiving right now on the blogosphere. I can just see him saying, with glee, "thank you Sir! May I have another?!"



What a strange, odd world we live in. - Stewart Rhodes

Exclusive: America's Choice

Philip **Atkinson** 

Author: Philip Atkinson

Source: The Family Security Foundation, Inc.

Date: May 30, 2007

FSM Contributing Editor Philip **Atkinson** explains how the imposition of one civilization's belief systems upon others has marked global conflict throughout the millennia. How is America's current dilemma similar to that which caused the fall of Rome? Read his powerful analysis.

### America's Choice

#### By Philip **Atkinson**

In 1952 Professor Arnold Toynbee predicted that Western civilization would be attacked by barbarian war bands. His claim was based upon his observations, published in "A Study Of History," that all declining civilizations became subject to attacks by barbarian war bands, and as Western civilization was declining, it too would be attacked.

On the 11th September 2001 Toynbee was proved right as 2,752 people were killed in a deliberate suicidal attack by a barbarian war band that destroyed the twin towers of the World Trade Centre in New York.

What Toynbee did not explain was why a declining civilization is attacked by barbarian war bands. Toynbee did not know because he did not know what a civilization was, for this has only been revealed by the recent work "A Study Of Our Decline" [written by none other than Mr. Atkinson himself], which explains both what a civilization is, and why it is attacked when it declines.

A civilization is a community that manages to impose its beliefs upon its neighboring communities by violence. Violence is necessary because this is the only way someone can be made to act against their own beliefs; and as a community is a shared set of beliefs, the only way one community can impose its understanding on another community is by violence.

For example, some communities believe that women should hide their faces in public, whereas other communities believe women should not hide their faces in public.

Regardless of what proponents of either belief claim, there is no rational way of deciding which belief is correct; so to resolve the issue one side must give way to the other.

Forcing people to act against what they believe requires the use of violence, which of course includes not just the use, but the threat of violence. Anyone who has handed over money at gunpoint will know that threat is an act of violence; so one community can dominate others merely by threat.

This simple principle means that different communities, which harbor different sets of beliefs, are irreconcilable enemies who will attempt to dominate each other through

violence. This is why the history of humanity is the history of violent struggles: war.

Ancient Rome was a graphic example of a community that managed to dominate other communities through violence and compel the widespread adoption of its beliefs. 'Pax Romana' was obtained only by the violence inflicted by the Roman Legions upon all those who resisted ancient Roman domination.

The collapse of 'Pax Romana' and the Roman Empire started when the ancient Romans recoiled from violence and refused to countenance the mass slaughter of their enemies. Trapped between the advance of the Huns and the river Danube, a natural boundary of the Roman Empire, the Gothic nation faced extinction. Their attempts to force entry into the empire had already been repulsed with heavy losses, so they begged for, and received, from the Emperor Valens, permission to enter the Roman world. This act of mercy, the admission of a huge number of unconquered tribes of barbarians into the precincts of the Roman empire, lead inevitably to the destruction of the empire and the fall of Rome. After the Goths crossed the river they changed from refugees to invaders, killed the Emperor and sacked the Empire.

Hence a civilization can exist only as long as it dominates by violence all other communities, and the moment a dominant community recoils from violence, its rule is challenged. That is, it becomes attacked by all the other communities, in one way or another, as they attempt to assert their beliefs upon the faltering civilization.

Technology has now sped up the nature of war. Once it required massive human effort to conquer a nation by use of arms, now it does not: it requires just resolve and an advantage in technology.

The power of modern weapons means that an unknown attacker can destroy a city in a flash, which means that an undeclared enemy can destroy a group of cities, which is a community, in a flash. Technology has not only dramatically sped up the clash of communities, but it has introduced uncertainty as to the identity of the aggressor.

Now to wage war successfully a community must be ruthless, merciless, resolute and unhesitating: the moment it believes it can smite its enemies is the moment it must act. Otherwise, it will only discover that its enemies have acted when its own cities are incinerated.

Israel, an American ally, has been warned that it will be wiped off the face of the earth by its Iranian neighbor. This means that unless Israel razes Iran, Iran will make good its threat. The **choice** now facing Israel is the **choice** now facing all nuclear armed communities: inflict genocide or commit suicide.

American military efforts are now undermined by the timidity of the American nation for Americans fear to employ modern weapons. When General Douglas MacArthur made it clear that victory in Korea could be obtained only by using nuclear weapons, he was hastily removed from command: but he was right. The Korean War was not won, but concluded by a truce that has allowed the enemies of America to improve their weapons and become a much more dangerous threat to the USA than they were in 1953.

There was no need for the Americans to repel with tanks and troops the Iraqi invasion of Kuwait; the Iraqis could have been compelled to withdraw merely by the use, or threat, of a few nuclear missiles.

There is no need for the current (2007) presence of American troops in Iraq. By judicious use of nuclear weapons, either the Iraqis would all be dead, or the survivors would be obedient to American demands.

The once all-powerful Americans, who ruthlessly incinerated Nagasaki and Hiroshima, have declined into a people who believe nuclear war is 'unthinkable'. This is the opposite view of their enemies who publicly demonstrated their glee at the announcement of 911; imagine their joy at the news that New York had been vaporized: these people dream of wiping out America.

Americans can now easily crush their enemies, but every hour the USA does not use its present superiority in nuclear weapons to dominate other communities, is another hour given to its enemies to develop and use their nuclear weapons to eradicate the American community.

Americans have a **choice**: use nuclear weapons on their enemies or have their enemies use them on Americans; ruthlessly uphold 'Pax America' or blindly follow 'Pax Romana' into oblivion.

##

##

FamilySecurityMatters.org Contributing Editor Philip **Atkinson** is the British born founder of ourcivilisation.com and author of A Study of Our Decline. He is a philosopher specializing in issues concerning the preservation of Western civilization. Mr. **Atkinson** receives mail at rpa@ourcivilisation.com.

read full author bio here

© 2003-2007 FamilySecurityMatters.org All Rights Reserved

If you are a reporter or producer who is interested in receiving more information about this writer or this article, please email your request to mailto:pr@familysecuritymatters.org

Note -- The opinions expressed in this column are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the opinions, views, and/or philosophy of The Family Security Foundation, Inc.

Posted by Stewart Rhodes at 6:14 AM

Labels: Dictatorship, Emergency Powers, Family Security Matters, Iran, Iraq, Martial Law, Neocons, Neocon, Neoconservatives, Philip Atkinson

Newer Post Home Older Post

Subscribe to: Post Comments (Atom)