

# Solutions to J/STOW #4: Prime Numbers, Greatest Common Divisor, and Least Common Multiple

Zed Li

November 8, 2019

1. Prove that the fraction  $\frac{4k+7}{7k+12}$  is reduced to lowest terms.

*Solution:*

Since  $7(4k+7) - 4(7k+12) = 1$ , Bézout's identity tells us that  $4k+7$  and  $7k+12$  are coprime, so  $\frac{4k+7}{7k+12}$  is reduced to lowest terms.

2. Prove that the number of positive factors of positive integer  $n$  is less than  $2\sqrt{n}$ .

*Proof:*

Consider every positive factor  $d$  of  $n$  greater than  $\sqrt{n}$ . Let's say there are  $k$  of those. Then  $n/d$  is also a positive factor of  $n$  and  $n/d < n/\sqrt{n} = \sqrt{n}$ . So there are  $k$  positive factors of  $n$  less than  $\sqrt{n}$ , so  $k < \sqrt{n}$ . Then there are  $2k < 2\sqrt{n}$  positive factors of  $n$  less than or greater than  $\sqrt{n}$ . If  $n$  is not a perfect square,  $\sqrt{n}$  cannot be a factor of  $n$ , so there are  $2k < 2\sqrt{n}$  positive factors of  $n$  in total. If  $n$  is a perfect square, then  $\sqrt{n}$  is also a factor of  $n$ . Therefore,  $k < \sqrt{n}$  means that  $k \leq \sqrt{n} - 1$ , so there are  $2k + 1 = 2\sqrt{n} - 1 < 2\sqrt{n}$  positive factors of  $n$ . In conclusion, the number of positive factors of  $n$  is less than  $2\sqrt{n}$ .

3. Prove Bézout's general identity from the special identity. In other words, prove that there exist integers  $x, y$  such that  $ax + by = \gcd(a, b)$  assuming we already know that there exist integers  $x, y$  such that  $a'x + b'y = 1$  if  $a', b'$  are coprime.

*Proof:*

Let  $a = a' \gcd(a, b)$  and  $b = b' \gcd(a, b)$ . Then  $\gcd(a', b') = 1$ , so there exist integers  $x, y$  such that  $a'x + b'y = 1$ . Therefore,  $a'x \gcd(a, b) + b'y \gcd(a, b) = \gcd(a, b)$ , i.e.  $ax + by = \gcd(a, b)$ .

4. Given that  $a \mid bc$  for positive  $a, b, c$ , prove that: i)  $a$  is composite if  $a > b, c$ ; ii)  $\frac{bc}{a}$  is composite if  $a < b, c$ .

*Proof:*

- i) If  $a$  is prime, then either  $a \mid b$  or  $a \mid c$ , so  $a \leq b$  or  $a \leq c$ , contradicting the fact that  $a > b, c$ . Hence, we must have  $a$  is composite.

ii) Let  $\frac{bc}{a} = d$ . Then  $bc = ad$  so  $d \mid bc$ . Since  $d = \frac{b}{a} \cdot c > c$  and  $d = \frac{c}{a} \cdot b > b$ , part i) tells us that  $d$  is composite, i.e.  $\frac{bc}{a}$  is composite.

5. Given that  $a + b \mid a^3 - b^3$  for positive integers  $a, b$  satisfying  $a \neq b$  and  $a + b$  is prime, prove that  $a + b \mid ab$ . (SJAMMO 2019 Senior level Q1)

*Proof:*

$$a + b \mid a^3 - b^3 = (a - b)(a^2 + ab + b^2).$$

But since  $a - b, b - a < a + b$ , meaning that  $|a - b| < a + b$ , and since  $a \neq b$ , we cannot have  $a + b \mid a - b$ .

Since  $a + b$  is prime, we must have  $a + b \mid a^2 + ab + b^2$ .

Since  $a^2 + ab + b^2 = (a + b)^2 - ab$ , we get  $a + b \mid ab$ .

6. Prove that  $2^{2^a} + 1$  and  $2^{2^b} + 1$  are coprime for distinct non-negative  $a, b$ .

*Proof:*

WLOG (without loss of generality), assume that  $a < b$ . (The case for  $b < a$  follows the same logic.) Then  $a + 1 \leq b$  and hence  $2^{a+1} \mid 2^b$ . This means that

$$2^{2^{a+1}} - 1 \mid 2^{2^b} - 1.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(2^{2^{a+1}} - 1, 2^{2^b} + 1) &= \gcd(2^{2^{a+1}} - 1, (2^{2^b} - 1) + 2) \\ &= \gcd(2^{2^{a+1}} - 1, 2) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $2^{2^a} + 1 \mid (2^{2^a} + 1)(2^{2^a} - 1) = 2^{2^{a+1}} - 1$ , meaning that  $\gcd(2^{2^a} + 1, 2^{2^b} + 1) = 1$ .

7. Prove that positive integers  $a, b, c$  are mutually coprime if and only if

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(b, c) \cdot \text{lcm}(c, a) = [\text{lcm}(a, b, c)]^2.$$

*Proof 1: (Cristian)*

If  $a, b, c$  are mutually coprime, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lcm}(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(b, c) \cdot \text{lcm}(c, a) &= (ab)(bc)(ca) \\ &= (abc)^2 \\ &= [\text{lcm}(a, b, c)]^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(b, c) \cdot \text{lcm}(c, a) = [\text{lcm}(a, b, c)]^2,$$

let the prime factorizations of  $a, b, c$  be  $p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots, p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \dots, p_1^{\gamma_1} p_2^{\gamma_2} \dots$  where  $p_1, p_2, \dots$  are all prime numbers in ascending order and all exponents are non-negative integers. Then, for each integer  $k \geq 1$ , we have

$$\max(\alpha_k, \beta_k) + \max(\beta_k, \gamma_k) + \max(\gamma_k, \alpha_k) = 2 \max(\alpha_k, \beta_k, \gamma_k).$$

WLOG, let  $\alpha_k \geq \beta_k \geq \gamma_k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k + \beta_k + \alpha_k &= 2\alpha_k \\ \beta_k &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\beta_k \geq \gamma_k$ , we also have  $\gamma_k = 0$ . So  $\min(\alpha_k, \beta_k) = \min(\beta_k, \gamma_k) = \min(\gamma_k, \alpha_k) = 0$  for all  $k \geq 1$ , i.e.  $a, b, c$  are mutually coprime.

*Proof 2 (Zed):*

If  $a, b, c$  are mutually coprime, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lcm}(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(b, c) \cdot \text{lcm}(c, a) &= (ab)(bc)(ca) \\ &= (abc)^2 \\ &= [\text{lcm}(a, b, c)]^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(b, c) \cdot \text{lcm}(c, a) = [\text{lcm}(a, b, c)]^2,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(ab)(bc)(ca)}{\gcd(a, b) \cdot \gcd(b, c) \cdot \gcd(c, a)} &= [\text{lcm}(\text{lcm}(a, b), c)]^2 \\ \frac{a^2 b^2 c^2}{\gcd(a, b) \cdot \gcd(b, c) \cdot \gcd(c, a)} &= \frac{[\text{lcm}(a, b)]^2 c^2}{[\gcd(\text{lcm}(a, b), c)]^2} \\ \frac{a^2 b^2 c^2}{\gcd(a, b) \cdot \gcd(b, c) \cdot \gcd(c, a)} &= \frac{a^2 b^2 c^2}{[\gcd(a, b)]^2 [\gcd(\text{lcm}(a, b), c)]^2} \\ [\gcd(a, b)]^2 [\gcd(\text{lcm}(a, b), c)]^2 &= \gcd(a, b) \cdot \gcd(b, c) \cdot \gcd(c, a) \\ \gcd(a, b) \cdot [\gcd(\text{lcm}(a, b), c)]^2 &= \gcd(b, c) \cdot \gcd(c, a) \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

Now, since  $\gcd(b, c) \mid b \mid \text{lcm}(a, b)$  and  $\gcd(b, c) \mid c$ , we must have  $\gcd(b, c) \mid \gcd(\text{lcm}(a, b), c)$ . Hence,  $\gcd(\text{lcm}(a, b), c) \geq \gcd(b, c)$ . Similarly,  $\gcd(\text{lcm}(a, b), c) \geq \gcd(a, c)$ . Therefore,  $[\gcd(\text{lcm}(a, b), c)]^2 \geq \gcd(b, c) \cdot \gcd(c, a)$ . Plugging this into (\*) gives us  $\gcd(a, b) \leq 1$ , so we must have  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ . Due to symmetry, we also have  $\gcd(b, c) = \gcd(c, a) = 1$ , so  $a, b, c$  are mutually coprime.

In conclusion, positive integers  $a, b, c$  are mutually coprime if and only if

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(b, c) \cdot \text{lcm}(c, a) = [\text{lcm}(a, b, c)]^2.$$

8. The Fibonacci sequence  $F_n$  is defined such that  $F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1$  and  $F_{k+2} = F_{k+1} + F_k$  for  $k \geq 0$ .

- (a) Prove that  $F_k$  and  $F_{k+1}$  are coprime for all  $k \geq 0$ .  
(b) Prove that  $F_m \mid F_n$  if and only if  $m \mid n$ .

*Proof:*

- (a) We prove this by induction. Obviously,  $\gcd(F_0, F_1) = \gcd(0, 1) = 1$ . Assume  $\gcd(F_k, F_{k+1}) = 1$  for some  $k \geq 0$ . Then  $\gcd(F_{k+1}, F_{k+2}) = \gcd(F_{k+1}, F_{k+1} + F_k) = \gcd(F_{k+1}, F_k) = 1$ , hence completing the proof for  $\gcd(F_k, F_{k+1}) = 1$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .  
(b) The case for  $m = 1$  is obvious since  $F_1 \mid F_n$  and  $1 \mid n$  for all  $n$ .

Now, let  $m > 1$  since  $m \neq 0$ . Obviously,  $F_m \mid F_0 = 0$ . Now we need to prove that  $F_m \mid F_{qm}$  while  $F_m \nmid F_{qm-r}$  ( $q \geq 1, 0 < r < m$ ). We prove this by induction on  $q$ . Note that  $F_m \nmid F_{m-r}$  for  $0 < r < m$  since in that case  $0 < F_{m-r} < F_m$ .

For the base case, we have  $F_m \mid F_0 = 0$  when  $q = 0$ .

Assume that for some  $q \geq 0$ , we have that  $F_m \mid F_{qm}$ . Consider the sequences  $a_k = (F_k F_{qm+1} \bmod F_m)$  and  $b_k = (F_k \bmod F_m)$  for  $k \geq 0$ .

Note that the sequence  $a_k$  satisfies  $a_0 = 0, a_1 = (F_{qm+1} \bmod F_m)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+2} &= (F_{k+2} F_{qm+1} \bmod F_m) \\ &= ((F_{k+1} + F_k) F_{qm+1} \bmod F_m) \\ &= ((a_{k+1} + a_k) \bmod F_m) \end{aligned}$$

for  $k \geq 0$ ; on the other hand, sequence  $b_k$  satisfies  $b_{qm} = (F_{qm} \bmod F_m) = 0, b_{qm+1} = (F_{qm+1} \bmod F_m)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k+2} &= (F_{k+2} \bmod F_m) \\ &= ((F_{k+1} + F_k) \bmod F_m) \\ &= ((b_{k+1} + b_k) \bmod F_m) \end{aligned}$$

for  $k \geq 0$ . Now, since  $a_0 = b_{qm}, a_1 = b_{qm+1}$ , and the recursive definitions for the sequences  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  are the same, we conclude that  $a_k = b_{qm+k}$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .

Hence, we have

$$(F_{(q+1)m} \bmod F_m) = b_{qm+m} = a_m = (F_m F_{qm+1} \bmod F_m) = 0,$$

i.e.  $F_m \mid F_{(q+1)m}$ . Furthermore, for  $0 < r < m$ , note that  $F_m \mid F_{qm}$  and  $\gcd(F_{qm}, F_{qm+1}) = 1$  (from part a) result in  $\gcd(F_m, F_{qm+1}) = 1$ , so  $F_m \nmid F_{m-r}$  tells us that  $F_m \nmid F_{m-r} F_{qm+1}$ . Hence,

$$(F_{(q+1)m-r} \bmod F_m) = b_{qm+m-r} = a_{m-r} = (F_{m-r} F_{qm+1} \bmod F_m) \neq 0,$$

i.e.  $F_m \nmid F_{(q+1)m-r}$  for  $0 < r < m$ .

By induction,  $F_m \mid F_{qm}$  while  $F_m \nmid F_{qm-r}$  ( $q \geq 1, 0 < r < m$ ).

In conclusion,  $F_m \mid F_n$  if and only if  $m \mid n$ .