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The case study Football Competition was done by three people unfortunately, including me, instead of five.

**Tomas Higgins – verdict: 10/10**

He was responsible for the creation of the ERD and then the creation of the table structure and constraints. He also helped when the ERD had problems and figured them out when there was a conflict between the primary and foreign keys. He also helped with a certain query I was having an issue with. He carried out group work equally.

**Justin Capocci – verdict: 10/10**

His main work was the population of tables. He worked on them all and was successful in populating them. He also was involved in the part about the queries. Suggested great ideas throughout the study and was always present. He carried out group work equally.

**Saira Barlas (myself) – verdict: 10/10**

My main aim was to select the queries we were going to concentrate on and then implement them. Of course before this could be done, I had to research and ask our lecturer on what they meant and how they could be implemented. After looking at examples suggested by her and online, I found the ones that would be suitable for our case study and implemented them while explaining each one of them to the group members. I carried out group work equally.

**Arnaldo Fernandes – verdict: 0/10**

Sadly this member was never present. I heard nothing from him and he didn’t even contribute at all.

**Ben Creasey - verdict: 0/10**

Another person I heard nothing from, the two members said that he would appear but he never did.