Modelling = Unit - H

Language Modelling-Intereduction, n-Giram Models,
Language model Evaluation, Parameter Estimation,
Language Model Adaption, Types of Language Models,
Language - Specisic Modelling Problems, Multilingual
Language - Specisic Modelling Problems, Multilingual
Language Ingual Language Modelling.

Language Modeling:

hanguage modeling be a foundational task in Nip that involves paredicting the next word of seguence of worlds in a text given the words that parecede it.

This pojedictive capability enables various applications such as autocomplete suggestions, machine translation, speech speech specegnition, sentiment analysis, and text generation.

Probability and Prediction:

Language models estimate the pyobability of a world given its content.

Types of Language Models:

statistical Models: Topaditional models like norms and HMMs-Hidden Markov Models use statistical methods to predict the next would based on specyconcies and polobabilities derived toom training data.

Newsof N/w Models: Modern language models, particularly those based on DL, employ newsof networks such as RNN, LSTM, and Transformers.

These models can captuale complex dependencies and semantic relationships in language.

Applications :

Pert Generation: Language models can generate

human-like text, which is useful in apply like chatbots, content generally coreation, and coreative writing assistance.

Speech Recognition: Models predict spoken wonds

based on acoustic signals.

Machine Translation: models translate text from one language to another by paredicting the most likely tolanstations.

Togathing and Evaluation:

Torolning: Models age trigined on large datasets of text adjusting their parameters to minimise posed settion evious and amorninge according.

Evaluation: Models cole evaluated based on metalico like people xity and BLEV scorpe.

State of the Art Models:

Recent advancements include models like GPT (Generative Page-typped Tolonsfoolmen) and BERT (Bidispectional Encodes Repole sentations from Pransformer

V-plam Wodels 2

n-golam models age a type of polobolistic language model used in NLP and computational linguistres.

they predict the likelihood of a world based on the previous n-1 worlds in the sequence.

Defn: the u-dolar be a contiduous reduction of N-Ether (mosty characters (a) tekens) tolom o given tent.

eg: I love NLP.

2 = 999mo (bPgggamo) => I love, love NLP.

Markov Arsumption:

n-979m models operate under the markon assumption,

which states that the popologistity of a world only relicuse on the bolinging not mostige. probability Estimation:

n-gram modelor estimate the probability of a n-gram modelor estimate the probability of a north sequence observed in typelning comput.

 $b(m_0 + m_0 - 1) = \frac{c(m_0 - 1 \circ m_0)}{c(m_0 - 1 \circ m_0)}$

Smoothing 5 since n-gram models can encounter unsien n-golam (1.61 compinations of moside not poletint in the toppining data), smoothing techniques like Laplace smoothing (a) Good-Trusing smoothing our often applied to handle such cases and prevent zero perobabilities.

Applications: n-galaw models are used en vollour NIB fasks ruch as:

+ Language Modeling

+ Speech Recognition.

-> Machine Topanslation.

Limitations:

1)-golden models have limited context sensitivity due to their fixed window size in. They stoluggle with captually long-singe dependencies and understanding semantic relationships the distant . sprow

Language model Evaluation:

Longuage model evaluation le essential to assess the boltosimance and effectivenere of moyer, in Nup tasks.

Metalio fog Grahation:

Corplexity: It is a common metric wied to

and NN-based models, especially statistical

Lower peoplexity indicates better peopleopinance

BLEU: Rillingual Evaluation understudy

RLEU of Commonly used for evaluating the

quality of machine-toponalated text by composing

of to one (on most stedestence toponalation).

ROUGE: Reight - Oriented Understudy for Gisting

ROUGE evaluates the quality of text sammaries by comparing them to electronce symmonies. It measures precision, necall, and FI score for overlapping n-gramo blue the generated and reference symmonies.

Story rooks please : 2#M

WER is used in speech elecognition tasks to evaluate the acimpacy of telansceniptions.

Accuracy and Pojecision: these metalics age used in specific tasks like sentiment analysis, NER, and postagging to evaluate the consectness of pojedictions.

Panameter Estimation:

Pagameter estimation in the context of language modeling species to the process of determining the optimal values for the pagameters of a period based based on observed data.

MLE: Maximum - Likelihood Estimation.

It is a common method used to estimate the parameters of a Statistical model.

MDI: Minimum Discolimination Indoormation MDI is an alternative continuon for parameter estimation in language models, especially for NN-based models. Regularization: To possered overstating and imposore the gencepalization ability of language models.

Colose - Validation:

To evaluate the performance of parameter estimation methods and relect optimal hyperparameters.

Bayesian Poolameter Extimation:

to bolowchos atsumption that incobolobition. ballon knowledge (as perfects apart the boolameters in to the estimation parocess.

Barles Theostew:

It forms the bases of Bayerian indespence and parlametral estimation.

 $b(0|p) = \frac{b(p|0) \cdot b(0)}{b(p|0) \cdot b(0)}$ p(D)

regred sate moderne (0) d vostnegiletisp legal all peliets, po knowledge appoint the bootsmetern o before obscorning the data.

The posterior distribution p(0/0) greparation the updated beliefs about the parameters o After obstaining the data D.

Advantageo:

-> In cosposation of Pailos knowledge.

Tuncestainty agantification.

-) Flexibility.

(hallenger:

-> Choice of bargashe

-> Computational Complexity.

-> Interpretability.

Language Model Adaption:

I inquage model Adaption nesting to the polocess of line-tuning a pole-enisting language model to better restain a specific task or to better understand a particular domain on content.

Tark-specific sine-tuning.

Adaptation typically involved electrialining a pagetomined language model on task-speritic data to Emporove the performance on a speritic task.

Es: sentiment analysis, NER, MT, document dogistation

Adapting a language model to understand and generate text specific to a particular domain. Eg: medical, legal, dinancial.

Data Augmentation:

In correspond the diversity and volume of toraining data by incorporating additional relevant data.

It helps to impolore the elobustness and generalization of the adapted language model.

Topansfest Learning:

Leveraging knowledge learned from a pagetrigined model to adapt to new tryker (modernowith limited annotated data.

Fine tuning Potoceduse:

Typically involves initealizing the pre-trained model with weights from a gineral model (eg. BERT and GIPT), and fine tuning it using taske-specific on domain specific labeled date though gradient-based optionization teckniques like SGD (on Adam)

Evaluation and attention: fire tuning, the adapted language model po evaluated on a validation set to using conour validation to asset its performance.

Herothie refinement may be necessary to achieve desped peoplogmance metalics, adjusting hyperresponetest in incorposating additional data as

Applications:

- customized chatbots.

- medical NLP

-1 Legal and Compliance.

Ethical Considerations:

-> 8 fas and talonness.

- Parvacy.

language model adaption player a conucial role in estending the utility of pre-trained models to specific tasks and domains, enhancing their prostical applicability and performance in nedworld applications.

Types of Language models:

there are several types of language models used in NLP, each with its own characteristics and applications.

Eduence - to - Banence Wodge:

These models use an encoder-decoder architecture to map sip sequences to old requences, often wild in taske like MT, symmanization, and dialogue

Tolansfoormen-based models:

Tolansformers use self-attention mechanisms to capture global dependencies and relationships across ilp sequences, making them highly efsective son modeling long-strong dependencies.

PCFG: Polobabilistic CFGs.

PCFGE entind CFGr by assigning probabilities to peroduction rules, capturing statistical peroperties of language syntam.

They alle used in syntactic parring and gramma

checking applications.

Ensemble Models:

Ensemble models complete pasedictions from multiple base models to improve overall personance and stobustness.

Deep Generative modelo:

These models generate new data samples by training the underlying distailbution of the training data, of the using techniques like VAES-variational Auto Encoders and GANS-Generative Adversarial NIWS.

They are used in tasks 19ke text generation. style tolansfer, and data augmentation

class Based Language models:-

Class-based language models age a specialized apparach to language modeling that categorizes consider on tokens into classes based on their usage patterns on semantic similarities.

Instead of paredicting individual worlds discretly, these models are paredect classes of worlds which can improve esticiency and generalization.

many constrains : close tooks model or chusters wooder Porto groups -Closs-bosed D-garam models: The n-gotamo age stepotesented by sequences of individual words. Hernanchicol Softman: someto into a hierarchical standare. dogs-bosed Newson Language Modelo: un bosed language models can be adapted to Thromps sylvitables that capturested semantic (on syntactic similarities among classes. Advantages: Applications: + Generalization. + Low-Resource Languages -> Esticiency. -> Speech Recognition challenger: of claso Definition. -> Model Toldining. har bable renden randrade worder? Variable length language models rester to models that (an handle Proput and output orguences of vostyling tempths, enother than fixed-leigth. sequences. & Thence-to-Redience Wooder:

vonlable-length of sequences.

They dole widely wied in MT, tent summalization and dialogue generation Attention Mechanisms: Attention mechanisms wie used in Tayansburmer models, enable the model to focus on stelevant posits of the elb sednes open deventing each post of the olp sequence Beam search and Decoding Stoletegier: Beam search is a decoding stolategy used to generate olp sequences by explosing mutiple hypotheres and selecting the most probable PEQUENCE. Dynamic Input and Output Handling: variable length models dynamically adjust to the tength of ilp sequences during toralning and instatence. Challenges: Advantages and Appho:

> Dearning Complexity. -> bocyment understanding -> Decoding efficiency. -> Conversation Generation.

Disconminative Language Models:

Disconiminative language models alle a type of language model that socuses on potedicting a abenite, ontomé ou rapel dialectio favour alla data , stather than modeling the entire perobability distaribution of the stp sequence itself.

Tagk-specific Poredictions

Disconinative models are designed to predict specific outcomes (on labels distectly stelevant to a task, such as sentiment classification, NEREMI.

Conditional Models:

These modele estimate P(A/21), where , X, +16 Disesupt the Plp and y represents output.

Reature Englineering: Disconiminative models often regulate carefully engineered teatures that are relevant to the task at hand. These scatules can include linguistic seatures, syntactic staretures, on domain specific characteristic. Examples: Advantages: -> Efficiency -> Logistic Regule SSION -> Scalability. -> SVM. Applications: Limitations: -> Limited Infoormation Capture otent classification -INER. -MT -> Dependency on scatwles. Syntan-Bosed Language Models:-These models that utilize syntactic structures and governmen rules to understand and generate NL text. These models incorporate linguistic parinciples to imposeve accuracy and consideration, and the mt. syntactic Parsing: syntan-based model oralyze the gapammatical strandwise of sentences by parsing them into syntactic constituents, based on grammay rules. Signman Rules: incoalboarde baregegined diaminal These models rules on syntactic constalaints to guide the generation on understanding of text. Entextual understanding : syntan-based models leverage syntactic intermation to capture deepen semantic enclotherships and dependencies blu worlds and pholoses in a sentince.

Syntax- Awaye Newral models: NN anchitectures, such as RNN, Tree-LISTM GO Israph NN, can integrate syntactic information to impopue teasining & prediction tasks. Applications: Challenger: -> Ambigulty'-Tent Generation -> Torgining data -) Toganslation Quality. - Possing and Integration Exteraction. Martint Language Models: Maximum Enteropy (Martint) language models, also known as MEMMS-Martint Markov Models, age parobabilistic models used in NZP to posedict sequences of events positionary in sequence labeling tasks such as pos tagging and NER. Parinciple of moutht: Maxent model or aim to select the potobability (equipped on that maximizer enterpy (uncertainty) while satisfying a set of constagaints degived form the observed data Markov Poloporty: MEMMs extend Mantint models by incorporating the markov perpenty, which a simmen that the popobability of each event depends only on the preceding event. Feature Based Reportsentation: Mantht models use seature sunctions that capture relevant characteristics (or patterns in the ile data. Tyrinty : 1 wololes strange mantent models are typically trained using

The war maximum a posteriorie (MAP) estimation, of the model parameters to best for the observed data. Applications: Advantages: Jequence Labeling -) Flexibility INFR - Intropporetabelity. challenges: - feature Engineering - scapability. Factoried Language Models: models by incorporating additional factoris spread-u snowled by the power of spread explicition

These models that enhancer traditional n-gram These factorys can include syntactic information semantic features, (on other contentual clues that imposore the modelo ability to predict the next word (on sequence Pn NLP tasks.

factorization of Fratures:

Factoried language models decompose the parediction task into multiple factors or dimensions, each seposesenting different aspects of the context en ele sequence.

factor Gorabhs:

Factored language models one often represented using factor gorapho, which model dependencies among variables (factoris) and facilly take esticient instructe and tearning.

integration of Linguistic Knowledge: sactories language models improve the according and alsoluptness of paredictions composed to taleastional n-galam models.

Inflorence: Dwing infestence, the model combiner poledictions bosom diffestent factors to compute the most likely seguence of words on label, often wing perphasilitic infestence methods.

Advantages: Challenger:

→ Contentual sensitivity. → Complexity

→ Grenoralization. → Feature Engineering.

Applications .

-> NLEU -> Speech Recognition.

Other Tree Based Language Models:

Tope-based language models nessent that utilize to represent

These models leverage hierarchical representations of language, capturing syntactic dependencies and semantic relationships mose explicitly compared to sinear sequence-based models like n-grams (on now).

RECNN:

Recorn, recursively apply the some now sunction to posse tope stopuctures of sentences, capturing hieographical dependencies.

Tolee-storytuned LSTMs NIWS:

resee-Little extend standard Littles by encompositing tener standard, allowing soon moste dispert modeling of hierarchecal orelationships on language.

Graph-Based NN:

These models stepstessent sentences as graphs where nodes consier pond to worlds (on tokens connected by edges indicating syntectic semantic

aclationships. pependency _Based models: Dependency possesso model syntactic estatentispe as Dependency dependencies blu words, estenting dependencies blu words, estenting dependencies blus words. gentences as dependency tyres. ccGio Combinatory Categorial Gisjammay. con is a formalism that combines syntactic

and semantic composition styles to generally parket and semphasizing the combination of fexical categories and syntactic stopultures. Applications:

sementic Pagistry

-, Dialogue systems

-> Interpretability.

Bayeslan Topic-Based Language Models: These age parobabilistic models that combine sayerian instagence with topic modeling techniques to discover latent topics from a compus of text data.

These models are positicularly useful tog uncovering thematic stonetwier in large text cllections and facilitating tasks such as document clustering, information retarieval, and content ejecommendation.

lyobabilistic Topic Models:

bayesian topic models, such as LDA-Latent Distiblet Allocation and its extensions, assume that each document is a mixture of latent pples, and each toppe to characterized by a tent is tent Depend 1900 notbodictile

asset the question of plans

grenerative balocere:

In the each document to generated by sampling topico atcosidha to a dominentbated on the topic-world distributions.

Draichlet Parsoale:

Distichlet portosis one used in Bayesian topic models to model the distaribution of topico and woode.

Variational Inferience:

Variational methods approprimate the postpartage distribution over latent voriables , such as topics , by optimizing a lower bound on the Models marginal likelihood.

Gibbs Sampling:
Gibbs sampling iteratively samples values by
Latent variables from there conditional
distributions, converging to the posterior distribution
over topics.

Applications.

- -> pocyment clustrains
- Ba Information Retalikual
- Content Recommendation.

NN Fandrade Wodole:-

NNLM age a class of language models that use NN to learn the porobability distribution of eeddenceer of mostys bu UT.

these models have garned popularity due to there ability to capture complex pathernor and dependencies in text data, surpassing toraditional n-gram models in various Nip tasks.

Eightenmond NN: Base NNING conset of a blood polleta of the nest most a dened-rise mingen if bilenione mostog. RNN: Kun pated language modelo use seconstent connections to capture econtents allowing them to model longer-troim dependencies en tent. Transfortment: Torans & ormer-based models, such as GFT and BERT leverage self-attention mechanismo to capture global dependencien and improve partial mance on diverse NLP tasker. mond Embedding: NNLMs typically use wond continuous vector representations Their embeddings capture semantic elelationships and contextual meanings of worlds. backparopagation: Totalning involves backparopagation pagametrals based on parediction examps, modeling performance. better language telic attons: Advantages: Text Generation -> Capturing Contentual
> Language understandly. Information. => Flenibility, Challenger: da ésticiency Resources.

Language Specific Modeling Paroblemos: Language specific modeling paroblems in NLP agrée due to unique chagactegeties, stauctures, and challenger inherent to dissertent language These posoblems often speguiose tailosed approaches and solutions to estextinely process and understand text in specific linguistic contexts. Masphological Complexity:

Languageo with ofth mosphology pose challenger in tokenization, stemming, and world normalization are to complex world forms and grammatical variations.

world Order and Syntax:

Languages vory significantly in world torder and syntactic stoructures, inflyencing tooks like porsing, dependency analysis, and syntactic parished according parsing accuracy:

Resowace Scarcity:

Low -resowice languages lack systicient annotated data, pre-trained models, and linguistic personance of NLP systems.

Orthographic Variation:

Languages with diverse writing systems, characters , and outhographic conventions and handling of typogogaphical variations.

NER:

NER systems need to handle diverse annamed entity types and their linguistic variations across languages such as person numer, locations and organizations.

sentiment Analysis and Chitwood Munnier:
sentiment analysis models must account son
cultural differences, experessions conditional
anances in sentiment polarity and opinion
mining across languages.

code-switching and Multilingualism:

Languages with forequent code-switching and multilingual tent poresent challenges in language relentification, toposelation, and sentiment analysis.

Dialectal Variation:

variations in dialects and regional larguage, variations impart language modeling, speech, energy and text to speech pregutning dialect-specific models.

Language Modeling for Morphologically Rich Languages.

Language modeling for morphologically sich

languages poresints unique challenges and

oppositionities due to their complex word forms,

entrivire inflectional patterns; and rich

morphological structures:

Word Tokenization and segmentation:

Most phologically rich languages often have complex word fromms with multiple afteress and mostphemes, making tokenization and segmentation challenging:

Use mosphological analyzers and tokenizers
that can decompose words into mosphemer
in subword units to handle variations in words
forms.

tugment togaining data with techniques like data synthesis, cools-lingual transfer learning &

leveraging unsupervised pare-training methodo to employe model nobustness.

Mosphological Ambiguity:

Words in morphologically such languages often exhibit amblguity due to multiple possible morphological analyses (or intrappletations.

Attropial pure hastomicoful britistus stoleodies ut tratules ento language models to desambiguate word meanings and improve prediction accuracy.

DOV Words: Out of vocabulary.

Morphologically sich languager have a large-Vocabularly size and higher orates of our words Compared to less morphologically complex languages Employ subwoord (on charactery level modeling techniques to handle unseen words and impolore generallzation.

Modelling Long-Term Dependencier:

Captuating long-thange dependencies and content-in morphologically such languages steamers or models that can effectively handle variablelength contents and complex syntactic structures.

Selection of Subwood unite:

Selection of subword units le coucial in NIP stich languages, imposoning our word handling, and enhancing model generalization.

Byte-Palm Encoding (BPE):

BPE algm Pregatively megges the most sarequent pain of consecutive character or or byter to create nocapalary of suprosed units

World Piece algm, simitary to BPE, strongtively merger the most polopole bold of Enproons mustice posed on a. language model's likelihood: ULM: Unggoram Language Model. The next subworld given the content. chargacter - Level Models: model to handle any world from allowing the model to handle any world from. Benefite: Applications: nproved Generalization -> pos Tagging stohanied Esticiency -> Monphological Generation + Linguistic Insight -> Semantic Parsing. challendes: -> Annotation Complexity -) Amblquity Third affer by the models. Spoken us Wystten Languager: spoken and written languager exhibit distinct characteristics pa terms of structure, grammar, Vocabulary usage and communication norms. Joken Languages: Enformality and Fuldity:

Characteristics: Spoken languages tends to be more

informal, with goleater flexibility in grammari

fortence stolucture, and world choice.

Parosody and Intonation: Communication nelles heavily on intonation, styless, pitch variations, and orhythm to convey meaning, emotions and emphasis. Immediate Feedbacks Integaction often involves real-time feedback, clarification, and adaptation, based on the listenerlo responges on non-verbal cuer. Spontanelty and Repetition: Speakers may orepeat worlds for phonoses than emphasis, charification on to engintosice key pointo during conveyration. Content Dependency: understanding relier on shared knowledge, rituational content and perograms ever that may not be explicitly stated. Wantten Languages: footmality and stolucture: Written languager tendo to be more formal and standard conventions. rack of Priorogy: written communication lacks the polosodic features of spoken language, such as intonation and rhythm, relying solely on textual eyes. Permanent and Editable: written texts one permanent and can be neverted edited and neviewed before distribution. Complexity and Density: Walitten languagest can be moste complete with

Longer sententer, richer vocabulary and specialized terminology suffed for termal communication.

Autonomy from Content:

understanding relies on tentual cues and explicit information provided within the written document, rather than external content.

Multilingual Language Modeling:

Multilingual language modeling involver developing models that can understand, generate, in priocess multiple languages within a single framework.

challenger:

Language Diversity:

Languages vary en syntax, most phology igorgamant and vocabularly stephiling models to handle linguistic diversity effectively.

Data Avallability:

Availability of labeled data variet across languages having spark resources (or lower-quality datasets:

code-switching and Multilingual Contents:

Ustays aften mix languages within a shufle conversation (or text, challenging models to maintain antent and meaning across languages.

Evaluation and Performance:

Evaluating model performance across multiple language requires language specific metalis and benchmarks that reflect divorse linguistic characteristics.

Chorz-I undral Islandfish Townships;

PAR-torain models on large-scale datasets from

one (m moste languages and transfer knowledge to tasks (m domains in other languages Multilingual Embeddings:

Lewin embeddings that captuage semantic similarities and arelationships across multiple

Language Agnostic Agriletectione:

Desegn models with anchitectures that are adaptable to various languages. Without languages without

Language Specific Pine Tuning:

fine-tune pare-togained multilingual models on language-specific topks (m datosets to optimize person mance. Som individual languages.

Applications:

-> MT

possietes nortemedat lappoil-22010)

-> Multillugual chatbots and Dralogue systems

-> Multilingual sentiment Analysis and Opinions

> Language Understanding and Generation.

(2)055 lingual Language Modeling:

Crosslingual language modeling focuses on developing models that can effectively and enstand and generate text across multiple languages, leveraging shared energentations and termister learning techniques.

Multilingual Embeddings: Leagn embeddings that map words on sentences from multiple languages into a shared

gemantic space.

copie Thyral Tolanster tearning: perc. tolain models on data from one & more languages and tomassest knowledge to tostes to domains in other languages. zon-that and Fru-shot Lewining: Enable models to generalize to unseen languager by topolining on a diverse set of languages during pole-toldring. Tonquage Adversaglal Topaining: Totalis models to be invariant to languageadversarial relaining techniques. Challenger: - Data availability and Quality -> randrade pincildence -> Evaluation Metalico. -> Cosslingual understanding and generation. Applications: -Multilingual MT - + COTOSE Pingual IR. ロココココ -> Crosolingual Question Answering and Summarization