

So $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{num}}{\text{denom}} \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(M, \sum_l |X(l)| \frac{h^{3/2}}{\sqrt{3/4}} > \kappa/3, \mu(B_r(0)), Y(0) > v)}{\mathbb{P}(\mu(B_r(0)), Y(0) > v)} \quad (5)$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\mu(B_r(0)) \mathbb{1}[B^\#]] + o(r^D) \quad \square$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[\mu(B_r(0)) \mathbb{1}[Y(0) > v] + o(r^D)]$$

where $B = \{M, \sum_l |X(l)| \frac{h^{3/2}}{\sqrt{3/4}} > \kappa/3, Y(0) > v\}$
 applying Lemma 2.2.
 in the numerator & denominator.

As in the proof of Proposition 2.1,

$$\mathbb{E}[\mu(B_r(0)) \mathbb{1}[B]] \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[N_\varepsilon \mathbb{1}[B]]$$

$$\mathbb{E}[N_\varepsilon \mathbb{1}[B]] \leq \int_{B_r(0)} \mathbb{E}[\delta_\varepsilon(\nabla Y(t)) | \det \nabla^2 Y(t) \mathbb{1}[B] dt]$$

These terms satisfy the condⁿs of Lemma xxx
 so this converges to

$$\int_{B_r(0)} \mathbb{E}[\det Y^2(t) | \mathbb{1}[B] | \nabla Y(t) = 0]_{P_{Y(t)}(0)} dt.$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.