# Causal inference HW1

Pablo Ruiz de Velasco 261406 Sébastien Jeanfavre 269551 Pål Forr Austnes 289508

March 28, 2022

### Problem 1

a)

$$p(x_1; \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x_1 - 1)^2} \iff X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(1, 1)$$
 (1)

b)

Thanks to linearity of expectation, we have:

$$E\{2X_1 + X_2 + X_3\} = 2 \cdot E\{X_1\} + E\{X_2\} + E\{X_3\} = 9$$
(2)

The variance can be decomposed as follows:

$$Var\{2X_1 + X_2 + X_3\} = 2^2 \cdot Var\{X_1\} + Var\{X_2\} + Var\{X_3\} + \dots$$

$$2 \cdot (2 \cdot Cov\{X_1, X_2\} + 2 \cdot Cov\{X_1, X_3\} + Cov\{X_2, X_3\})$$

$$- 21$$
(3)

With  $Y = 2X_1 + X_2 + X_3$ , this gives:

$$p(y; \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{21 \cdot 2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(y-9)^2}{21}} \iff Y \sim \mathcal{N}(9, 21)$$
 (4)

**c**)

From the covariance matrix we observe that  $X_3$  is independent from  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . It follows that:

$$p(x_3|x_1, x_2) = p(x_3) = \frac{1}{3 \cdot \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_3 - 2)^2}{9}} \iff X_3 \sim \mathcal{N}(2, 9)$$
 (5)

d)

With Bayes theorem, we have that

$$p(x_2, x_3 | x_1) = \frac{p(x_2, x_3, x_1)}{p(x_1)}$$
(6)

where

$$p(x_2, x_3, x_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 |\mathbf{\Sigma}|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})}$$

$$\tag{7}$$

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 4/3 & -1/3 & 0\\ -1/3 & 1/3 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1/9 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (8)

$$|\mathbf{\Sigma}| = (4-1) \cdot 9 = 27 \tag{9}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) = (x_1 - 1)^2 - \frac{2}{3} (x_1 - 1)(x_2 - 5) + \frac{1}{3} (x_2 - 5)^2 + \frac{1}{3} (x_3 - 2)^2$$
(10)

Therefore,

$$p(x_2, x_3 | x_1) = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{21(2\pi)^3}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x_1 - 1)^2 - \frac{2}{3}(x_1 - 1)(x_2 - 5) + \frac{1}{3}(x_2 - 5)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(x_3 - 2)^2)}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x_1 - 1)^2)}$$
(11)

#### Problem 2

#### 1)

We explore all the possibilities of the form:

$$X_i \perp \!\!\!\perp X_j | \mathbf{S}, \qquad \mathbf{S} \subseteq \{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\} \setminus \{X_i, X_j\}, \quad 1 \le i < j \le 4$$
 (12)

- 1.  $X_1 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_2 \mid X_3, X_4$ ?  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are not d-separated by  $\{X_3, X_4\}$ , there is a collider-free path traversing no member of S.
- 2.  $X_1 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_2 \mid X_3$ ?  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are not d-separated by  $X_3$ , there is a collider-free path traversing no member of S.
- 3.  $X_1 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_2 \mid X_4$ ?  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are not d-separated by  $X_4$ , there is a collider-free path traversing no member of S
- 4.  $X_1 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_3 | X_2, X_4$ ?  $X_1$  and  $X_3$  are d-separated by  $\{X_2, X_4\}$  because  $X_2$  is not a collider.
- 5.  $X_1 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_3 | X_2$ ?  $X_1$  and  $X_3$  are not d-separated by  $X_2$ . Indeed, We can follow the path (1,2,4,3) since 2 is a collider and hence is not blocking.
- 6.  $X_1 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_3 \mid X_4$ ?  $X_1$  and  $X_3$  are not d-separated by  $X_4$ , there is a collider-free path traversing no member of S
- 7.  $X_1 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_4 | X_2, X_3$ ?  $X_1$  and  $X_4$  are not d-separated by  $\{X_2, X_3\}$  since  $X_2$  is a collider in  $\boldsymbol{S}$  hence is not blocking.
- 8.  $X_1 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_4 | X_2$ ?  $X_1$  and  $X_4$  are not d-separated by  $X_2$  since  $X_2$  is a collider in S hence is not blocking.

- 9.  $X_1 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_4 \mid X_3$ ?  $X_1$  and  $X_4$  are not d-separated by  $X_3$  since  $X_3$  is a collider in S hence is not blocking.
- 10.  $X_2 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_3 | X_1, X_4$ ?  $X_2$  and  $X_3$  are not d-separated by  $\{X_1, X_4\}$ , there is a collider-free path traversing no member of S.
- 11.  $X_2 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_3 | X_1$ ?  $X_2$  and  $X_3$  are not d-separated by  $X_1$ , there is a collider-free path traversing no member of S.
- 12.  $X_2 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_3 | X_4$ ?  $X_2$  and  $X_3$  are not d-separated by  $X_4$ , there is a collider-free path traversing no member of S.
- 13.  $X_2 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_4 | X_1, X_3$ ?  $X_2$  and  $X_4$  are not d-separated by  $\{X_1, X_3\}$ , there is a collider-free path traversing no member of S.
- 14.  $X_2 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_4 | X_1$ ?  $X_2$  and  $X_4$  are not d-separated by  $X_1$ , there is a collider-free path traversing no member of S.
- 15.  $X_2 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_4 | X_3$ ?  $X_2$  and  $X_4$  are not d-separated by  $X_3$ , there is a collider-free path traversing no member of S.
- 16.  $X_3 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_4 | X_1, X_2$ ?  $X_3$  and  $X_4$  are not d-separated by  $\{X_1, X_2\}$ , there is a collider-free path traversing no member of S.
- 17.  $X_3 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_4 | X_1$ ?  $X_3$  and  $X_4$  are not d-separated by  $X_1$ , there is a collider-free path traversing no member of S.
- 18.  $X_3 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_4 | X_2$ ?  $X_3$  and  $X_4$  are not d-separated by  $X_2$ , there is a collider-free path traversing no member of S.

2)

A possible SEM could be:

$$X_1 = N_{X_1}$$

$$X_2 = X_1 + X_4 + N_{X_2}$$

$$X_3 = X_2 + X_4 + N_{X_3}$$

$$X_4 = N_{X_4}$$

$$N_{X_i} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_i^2)$$

This SEM gives the following joint distribution.

$$P(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = P(X_1)P(X_2|X_1, X_4)P(X_3|X_2, X_4)P(X_4)$$
(13)

The only d-separation is  $X_1 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_3 | X_2, X_4$  which implies  $P(X_1, X_3 | X_2, X_4) = P(X_1 | X_2, X_4) P(X_3 | X_2, X_4)$ . By definition of the conditional probability, we have:

$$P(X_1, X_3 | X_2, X_4) = \frac{P(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4)}{P(X_2, X_4)}$$
(14)

$$=\frac{P(X_1)P(X_2|X_1,X_4)P(X_3|X_2,X_4)P(X_4)}{P(X_2,X_4)}$$
(15)

$$= \frac{P(X_1)P(X_2, X_1, X_4)P(X_3|X_2, X_4)P(X_4)}{P(X_2, X_4)P(X_1, X_4)}$$
(16)

(17)

Since nodes 1 and 4 are independent, it gives

$$P(X_1, X_3 | X_2, X_4) = \frac{P(X_1)P(X_2, X_1, X_4)P(X_3 | X_2, X_4)P(X_4)}{P(X_2, X_4)P(X_1)P(X_4)}$$
(18)

$$=\frac{P(X_2, X_1, X_4)P(X_3|X_2, X_4)}{P(X_2, X_4)}$$
(19)

$$= P(X_1|X_2, X_4)P(X_3|X_2, X_4)$$
(20)

Therefore, the conditional independence  $X_1 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_3 | X_2, X_4$  holds in the distribution of the SEM.

## Problem 3

$$P(H|E_1, E_2) = \frac{P(H, E_1, E_2)}{P(E_1, E_2)} = \frac{P(E_1, E_2|H)P(H)}{P(E_1, E_2)}$$
(21)

We need  $P(E_1, E_2|H), P(H), P(E_1, E_2)$  so answer b) is correct. Since  $P(E_1, E_2, |H) = P(E_1|H) \cdot P(E_2|H)$  only holds when  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are conditionally independent, neither a) nor c) can be correct.

## Problem 4

(a)

In the following, R, S and W respectively correspond to rain, sprinkler and grass wet.

$$P(R = T|W = T) = \frac{P(W = T|R = T)P(R = T)}{P(W = T)}$$
(22)

$$P(W = T|R = T) = \frac{P(W = T, R = T)}{P(R = T)}$$

$$= \sum_{S} \frac{P(W = T, R = T, S)}{P(R = T)}$$

$$= \sum_{S} \frac{P(W = T|R = T, S)P(R = T, S)}{P(R = T)}$$

$$= \sum_{S} \frac{P(W = T|R = T, S)P(S|R = T)P(R = T)}{P(R = T)}$$

$$= P(W = T|R = T, S = F)P(S = F|R = T) + P(W = T|R = T, S = T)P(S = T|R = T)$$

$$= 0.8 * 0.99 + 0.99 * 0.01 = 0.8019$$
(23)

$$\begin{split} P(W=T) &= \sum_{S,R} P(W=T,R,S) \\ &= \sum_{S,R} P(W=T|R,S)P(R,S) \\ &= \sum_{S,R} P(W=T|R,S)P(S|R)P(R) \\ &= P(W=T|R=F,S=F)P(S=F|R=F)P(R=F) + P(W=T|R=T,S=F)P(S=F|R=T)P(R=T) \\ &+ P(W=T|R=F,S=T)P(S=T|R=F)P(R=F) + P(W=T|R=T,S=T)P(S=T|R=T)P(R=T) \\ &= 0 + 0.8 * 0.99 * 0.2 + 0.9 * 0.4 * 0.8 + 0.99 * 0.01 * 0.2 \\ &= 0.44888 \end{split}$$

$$\implies P(R = T|W = T) = \frac{P(W = T|R = T)P(R = T)}{P(W = T)} = \frac{0.8019 * 0.2}{0.44888} = 0.3573 \tag{25}$$

The probability that it is raining given that the grass is wet is thus 0.3573.

(b)

We want to find P(R = T | do(W = T)). Looking at the graph, if we perform an intervention on W, all the edges connecting W to its predecessors are removed and we obtain:





It follows that the probability of rain is independent from the intervention on W:

$$P(R = T|do(W = T)) = P(R = T) = 0.2$$
(26)

(c)

To be a valid adjustment set, Z must contain all nodes that d-separates the sprinkler and the grass being wet. This is true, since Rain is a fork in the path between the sprinkler and the grass being wet.

Otherwise, according to the definition,  $Z = \{R\}$  is a valid adjustment set for predicting the effect of sprinkler is turned on on grass being wet if the following holds:

$$P(W|do(S=T)) = \sum_{R} P(W|R, S=T)P(R)$$
(27)

Under the intervention do(S = T), the joint distribution becomes:

$$P(R, W|do(S = T)) = P(W|R, S = T)P(R)$$
 (28)

By marginalizing P(R, W|do(S = T)) over R to get P(W|do(S = T)), it is then straightforward to see that Eq. (27) holds and thus  $Z = \{R\}$  is a valid adjustment set.