Supplementary Information

Meta-analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease

Jean-Charles Lambert, Carla A Ibrahim-Verbaas, Denise Harold, Adam C Naj, Rebecca Sims, Céline Bellenguez, Gyungah Jun, Anita L DeStefano, Joshua C Bis, Gary W Beecham, Benjamin Grenier-Boley, Giancarlo Russo, Tricia A Thornton-Wells, Nicola Jones, Albert V Smith, Vincent Chouraki, Charlene Thomas, M Arfan Ikram, Diana Zelenika, Badri N Vardarajan, Yoichiro Kamatani, Chiao-Feng Lin, Amy Gerrish, Helena Schmidt, Brian Kunkle, Melanie L Dunstan, Agustin Ruiz, Marie-Thérèse Bihoreau, Seung-Hoan Choi, Christiane Reitz, Florence Pasquier, Paul Hollingworth, Alfredo Ramirez, Olivier Hanon, Annette L Fitzpatrick, Joseph D Buxbaum, Dominique Campion, Paul K Crane, Clinton Baldwin, Tim Becker, Vilmundur Gudnason, Carlos Cruchaga, David Craig, Najaf Amin, Claudine Berr, Oscar L Lopez, Philip L De Jager, Vincent Deramecourt, Janet A Johnston, Denis Evans, Simon Lovestone, Luc Letenneur, Francisco J Morón, David C Rubinsztein, Gudny Eiriksdottir, Kristel Sleegers, Alison M Goate, Nathalie Fiévet, Matthew J Huentelman, Michael Gill, Kristelle Brown, M Ilyas Kamboh, Lina Keller, Pascale Barberger-Gateau, Bernadette McGuinness, Eric B Larson, Robert Green, Amanda J Myers, Carole Dufouil, Stephen Todd, David Wallon, Seth Love, Ekaterina Rogaeva, John Gallacher, Peter St George-Hyslop, Jordi Clarimon, Alberto Lleo, Anthony Bayer, Debby W Tsuang, Lei Yu, Magda Tsolaki, Paola Bossù, Gianfranco Spalletta, Petroula Proitsi, John Collinge, Sandro Sorbi, Florentino Sanchez Garcia, Nick C Fox, John Hardy, Maria Candida Deniz Naranjo, Paolo Bosco, Robert Clarke, Carol Brayne, Daniela Galimberti, Michelangelo Mancuso, Fiona Matthews, EADI, GERAD, ADGC, CHARGE, Susanne Moebus, Patrizia Mecocci, Maria Del Zompo, Wolfgang Maier, Harald Hampel, Alberto Pilotto, Maria Bullido, Francesco Panza, Paolo Caffarra, Benedetta Nacmias, John R Gilbert, Manuel Mayhaus, Lars Lannfelt, Hakon Hakonarson, Sabrina Pichler, Minerva M Carrasquillo, Martin Ingelsson, Duane Beekly, Victoria Alvarez, Fanggeng Zou, Otto Valladares, Steven G Younkin, Eliecer Coto, Kara L Hamilton-Nelson, Wei Gu, Cristina Razquin, Pau Pastor, Ignacio Mateo, Michael J Owen, Kelley M Faber, Palmi V Jonsson, Onofre Combarros, Michael C O'Donovan, Laura B Cantwell, Hilkka Soininen, Deborah Blacker, Simon Mead, Thomas H Mosley Jr, David A Bennett, Tamara B Harris, Laura Fratiglioni, Clive Holmes, Renee FAG de Bruijn, Peter Passmore, Thomas J Montine, Karolien Bettens, Jerome I Rotter, Alexis Brice, Kevin Morgan, Tatiana M Foroud, Walter A Kukull, Didier Hannequin, John F Powell, Michael A Nalls, Karen Ritchie, Kathryn L Lunetta, John SK Kauwe, Eric Boerwinkle, Matthias Riemenschneider, Mercè Boada, Mikko Hiltunen, Eden R Martin, Reinhold Schmidt, Dan Rujescu, Li-San Wang, Jean-François Dartigues, Richard Mayeux, Christophe Tzourio, Albert Hofman, Markus M Nöthen, Caroline Graff, Bruce M Psaty, Lesley Jones, Jonathan L Haines, Peter A Holmans, Mark Lathrop, Margaret A Pericak-Vance, Lenore J Launer, Lindsay A Farrer, Cornelia M van Duijn, Christine Van Broeckhoven, Valentina Moskvina, Sudha Seshadri, Julie Williams, Gerard D Schellenberg, Philippe Amouyel.

$\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Supplementary Table 1} \\ \textbf{Full description of the different datasets from each consortium} \\ \end{tabular}$

Consortium		AD c	ases		Cont	rols
Consoi tium	N	% women	Mean AAO (SD)	N	% women	Mean AAE (SD)
ADGC						
ACT	566	63.1	83.9 (4.8)	1,696	55.8	81.1 (6.0)
ADC1	1,411	53.9	72.5 (7.1)	515	59.2	75.0 (8.0)
ADC2	737	51.0	73.2 (7.1)	160	68.8	75.7 (7.9)
ADC3	364	50.8	74.4 (8.0)	570	63.9	75.2 (9.8)
ADNI	268	42.2	73.0 (7.2)	173	40.5	78.6 (5.5)
GSK	669	56.8	74.6 (6.2)	713	64.0	73.8 (7.0)
LOAD	1,811	64.9	73.6 (6.7)	1,572	60.2	74.0 (8.5)
MAYO	728	57.6	-	1,173	51.2	72.9 (4.3)
MIRAGE	509	63.7	71.2 (6.5)	742	58.1	72.0 (7.2)
OHSU	131	61.8	86.1 (5.5)	153	54.9	83.9 (7.6)
ROSMAP	291	70.5	85.6 (6.3)	776	72.0	82.0 (7.0)
TGEN2	129	46.6	74.9 (7.2)	493	37.7	80.1 (8.7)
UMVUMSS	1,070	63.7	73.9 (7.8)	1,128	61.3	73.0 (7.1)
UPITT	1,271	63.1	72.9 (6.4)	841	63.4	75.4 (6.1)
WASHU	318	55.7	74.2 (8.0)	187	60.4	76.9 (8.4)
CHARGE						
AGES	78	50.0	81.0 (5.0)	2,694	58.0	76.0 (5.0)
CHS.inc	353	68.9	82.1 (5.1)	1,732	62.0	74.5 (4.5)
CHS.prev	68	50.0	80.1 (5.7)	102	61.5	74.8 (4.7)
FHS.inc	117	59.0	86.4 (6.4)	2,966	54.1	75.9 (9.3)
FHS.prev	66	72.8	85.0 (8.0)	3,334	45.2	70.1 (10.0)
RS.inc	462	59.0	82.0 (7.0)	5,238	59.0	69.0 (9.0)
RS.prev	171	75.0	84.0 (9.0)	5,700	59.0	69.0 (9.0)
EADI	2,243	64.9	68.5 (8.9)	6,017	60.7	74.0 (5.4)
GERAD	3,177	64.0	73.0 (8.5)	7,277	51.8	51.0 (11.8)

 $Supplementary\ Table\ 2$ General description of the imputation and meta-analysis processes and number of SNPs available after each step

Study	Imputation Software	Number of SNPs used for imputation	Number of SNPs imputed	GWAs software
ACT	IMPUTE2	536,993	11,577,537	PLINK
ADC1	IMPUTE2	534,380	11,583,487	PLINK
ADC2	IMPUTE2	527,149	11,317,286	PLINK
ADC3	IMPUTE2	661,363	11,599,854	PLINK
ADNI	IMPUTE2	548,414	11,578,603	PLINK
AGES	Mach/Minimac	313,430	11,572,501	probABEL
CHS.inc	Mach/Minimac	313,933	11,863,202	probABEL
CHS.prev	Mach/Minimac	313,933	11,863,202	probABEL
EADI	Mach/Minimac	492,893	11,827,280	probABEL
FHS.inc	Mach/Minimac	412,053	11,497,776	probABEL
FHS.prev	Mach/Minimac	412,053	11,497,776	probABEL
GERAD	IMPUTE2	419,064	11,572,662	SNPtest
GSK	IMPUTE2	442,833	11,464,979	PLINK
LOAD	IMPUTE2	331,230	10,945,471	R (GEE)
MAYO	IMPUTE2	309,603	11,548,046	PLINK
MIRAGE	IMPUTE2	562,414	11,282,782	R (GEE)
OHSU	IMPUTE2	558,930	11,577,732	PLINK
ROSMAP	IMPUTE2	635,774	11,573,349	PLINK
RS.inc	Mach/Minimac	483,099	11,281,682	probABEL
RS.prev	Mach/Minimac	483,099	11,281,682	probABEL
TGEN2	IMPUTE2	658,617	11,573,262	PLINK
UMVUMSS	IMPUTE2	1,477,026	10,952,949	PLINK
UPITT	IMPUTE2	738,049	11,326,852	PLINK

Study	Number of SNPs	Final number of SNPs	Number of PCs for	Genomic inflation
Study	removed ¹	analysed	adjustment	factor (I)
ACT	4,258,880	7,318,657	3	0.998
ADC1	4,340,043	7,243,444	3	1.014
ADC2	4,172,978	7,144,308	3	1.017
ADC3	4,233,793	7,366,061	3	1.011
ADNI	4,332,367	7,246,236	2	1.017
AGES	5,059,184	6,513,317	2	1.033
CHS.inc	5,292,129	6,571,073	2	1.103
CHS.prev	5,332,436	6,530,766	2	1.036
EADI	4,980,623	6,846,657	8	1.045
FHS.inc	5,130,630	6,367,146	2	1.009
FHS.prev	5,245,202	6,252,574	2	0.996
GERAD	4,565,639	7,007,023	3	1.087
GSK	4,629,104	6,835,875	2	1.004
LOAD	3,502,275	7,443,196	2	1.022
MAYO	4,432,121	7,115,925	4	1.018
MIRAGE	4,155,565	7,127,217	3	1.028
OHSU	4,466,010	7,111,722	2	1.036
ROSMAP	4,428,005	7,145,344	2	1.012
RS.inc	4,644,745	6,636,937	2	1.045
RS.prev	4,647,095	6,634,587	2	1.057
TGEN2	4,432,460	7,140,802	3	1.024
UMVUMSS	4,424,246	6,528,703	4	1.020
UPITT	4,096,429	7,230,423	3	1.011
WASHU	4,292,076	7,289,958	2	1.022

 $^{^1}$ filter : MAF < 0.01, \emph{R}^2 or Info <0 .3, $|\beta| > 5,$ SE $\leq 0,$ P = 0 or 1

 ${\bf Supplementary\ Table\ 3}$ Summary of eQTL annotation for the loci reaching genome-wide significance in the combined stage 1 and stage 2

Top SNP ¹	Chr.	Position	Closest Gene ²	Nb of	OTL Gene	OTL Type	Nb of reported			Best QTL SNP	4P	
				Significant Sinfs			QIL SINFS	SNP rs ID	Position	P-value	Act in Cis/Trans	Reference ⁵
rs6733839	2	127,892,810	BINI	92	BIN1	eQTL	ĸ	rs10200967	127,841,769	2.24x10 ⁻³⁵	cis	53
rs9271192	9	32,578,530	HLA-DRB5/HLA-DRB1	201	AOAH	eQTL		rs3957146	32,681,530	6.57×10^{-30}	trans	42
					FLJ20180 HIA-DOA1	eQ1L eOTI		rs3957146 rs3957146	32,681,530	2.23X10 = 9 9.72x10=53	rans	4 4 4 4
					HIA-DOAI	exon-OTL	7	rs6927022	32,612,397	2.96×10^{-14}	ci:	55
					HLA-DQA1	transcript-QTL	. 2	rs6927022	32,612,397	7.42x10 ⁻¹¹	cis	55
					HLA-DQA2	eQTL	6	rs3957146	32,681,530	1.06×10^{-10}	cis	56
					HLA-DQA2	transcript-QTL	1	rs6927022	32,612,397	1.58×10^{-05}	cis	55
					HLA-DQBI	eQTL	14	rs9272545	32,606,885	1.07×10^{-58}	cis	53
					HLA-DQBI	exon-QTL	7	rs6927022	32,612,397	1.29×10^{-14}	cis	55
					HLA-DQB1	transcript-QTL	4 .	rs6927022	32,612,397	8.08×10^{-14}	cis	55
					HLA-DRA	transcript-QTL	- ;	rs6927022	32,612,397	2.03x10 ⁻⁰³	cis .	55
					HLA-DRB1	eQTL OH	51	rs2395166	32,388,275	2.57×10^{-190}	cis	54 5.5
					HLA-DKB1	exon-QIL	∞ c	rs3133344	32,393,036	2.59x10 13	CIS	CC 2.5
					HLA-DKBI	ranscript-Q1L	7 5	220/26081	32,612,397	9.09X10 == 4.03x10=51	Si.	52
					HIA-DRB5		71 4	152/00960	32,303,201	4.03x10 3.73x10 ⁻⁷⁷	Si - Es	
					HI A-DRB5	TO-nove	- 4	rs3135344	32,305,25	3.80×10 ⁻⁰⁵	g. g	5.5
					HLA-DRB6	eOTL	5 2	rs3957146	32,681,530	8.62×10^{-31}	cis di	2.5
					LIMSI	eOTL	-	rs2395166	32,388,275	3.64×10^{-36}	trans	54
					PSMB9	eQTL	-	rs2395166	32,388,275	1.05×10^{-16}	cis	54
					SSRPI	eQTL	1	rs3957146	32,681,530	2.47×10^{-12}	trans	54
rs147670	٢	100 004 446	ZCW/DW/I	4	CATE	ITO	-	0.1476670	100 004 446	4.45×10-21	:8	2
710011181	-	0++,+00,001		Þ	PILRB			rs1476679	100 004 446	9.06x10 ⁻²⁰	g. S	4.4
					TRIM4	eQTL		rs1476679	100,004,446	$1.37 \text{x} 10^{-17}$	cis	54
rs28834970	×	121 195 121	PTK7B	35	CISAGU	exon-OTI.	-	rs17057043	27 220 310	6.46×10 ⁻⁰⁵	. <u>S</u>	55
				3	PTK2B	eQTL	- 7	rs17057043	27,220,310	2.13×10^{-20}	cis	54
rs9331896	∞	27,467,686	CLU	35	DPYSL2 PTK2B	exon-QTL eQTL	7 7	rs17057043 rs17057043	27,220,310 27,220,310	$6.46x10^{-05} 2.13x10^{-20}$	cis cis	55 54
rs10838725	Ξ	47,557,871	CELFI	12	CIQTNF4 MYBPC3 SPII	eQTL eQTL eQTL	9 7 7 8	rs7933019 rs12292911 rs1534576	47,509,137 47,449,072 47,419,663	1.08x10 ⁻¹⁰ 1.72x10 ⁻²⁵ 1.42x10 ⁻²¹	cis cis	54 54 54
rs983392	11	59,923,508	MS4A6A	135	MS4A4A	eQTL	18	rs1026255	60,029,949	4.53×10^{-18}	cis	54
¹ Are presented the SNPs showing the b ² ± 100kb ³ ± 500kb ⁴ For that QTL type	1 the SNF gene and	's showing the be	¹ Are presented the SNPs showing the best level of association after meta-anal 2 ± 100kb 3 ± 500kb 4 For that QTL gene and that QTL type	neta-analysis of the stag	lysis of the stage 1 and stage 2							
⁵ References ar	re in the s	⁵ References are in the supplementary note	ote									

 ${\bf Supplementary\ Table\ 4}\\ {\bf Summary\ of\ stage\ 1,\ stage\ 2\ and\ overall\ meta-analyses\ for\ SNPs\ showing\ suggestive\ association}$ with AD risk after stage 1 and stage 2

C	0.169 0.300 0.016 0.297 0.469 0.387 0.366 0.406	OR5 (95% CI) Meta 1.10 (1.06-1.15) 3.9 1.08 (1.04-1.12) 9.1 1.35 (1.15-1.58) 2.0 0.94 (0.91-0.98) 6.0 1.07 (1.04-1.10) 1.0	3.9x10 ⁻⁶ 9.3x10 ⁻⁶ 2.1x10 ⁻⁴ 6.0x10 ⁻⁴ 1.6x10 ⁻⁵	OR5 (95% CI) Meta P-value 1.07 (1.01-1.13) 2.2x10 ⁻² 1.08 (1.03-1.13) 2.0x10 ⁻³ 1.35 (1.13-1.62) 1.1x10 ⁻³ 0.91 (0.87-0.95) 1.4x10 ⁻⁴	Meta P-value 2.2x10 ⁻² 2.0x10 ⁻³ 1.1x10 ⁻³	OR5 (95% CI) 1.09 (1.05-1.13) 1.08 (1.05-1.11)	Meta P value	12 (%). P-value ⁶
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.169 0.300 0.016 0.297 0.387 0.387 0.366 0.409		9x10 ⁻⁶ 3x10 ⁻⁶ 1x10 ⁻⁴ 0x10 ⁻⁴ 6x10 ⁻⁵	1.07 (1.01-1.13) 1.08 (1.03-1.13) 1.35 (1.13-1.62) 0.91 (0.87-0.95)	2.2x10 ⁻² 2.0x10 ⁻³ 1.1x10 ⁻³	1.09 (1.05-1.13) 1.08 (1.05-1.11)	3.4×10^{-7}	2000 = ((a)) ==
C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C	0.300 0.016 0.297 0.469 0.387 0.366 0.406		$3x10^{-6}$ $1x10^{-4}$ $0x10^{-4}$ $6x10^{-5}$	1.08 (1.03-1.13) 1.35 (1.13-1.62) 0.91 (0.87-0.95)	2.0x10 ⁻³ 1.1x10 ⁻³	1.08 (1.05-1.11)	0747	$27, 7.2 \times 10^{-2}$
C/T C/T C/T C/T C/T C/T C/T C/T C/T C/T	0.016 0.297 0.469 0.387 0.366 0.406		$1x10^{-4}$ $0x10^{-4}$ $6x10^{-5}$	1.35 (1.13-1.62) 0.91 (0.87-0.95)	1.1×10^{-3}		6.6×10^{-8}	$0, 6.4 \times 10^{-1}$
C/T A/G T/C T/C T/C	0.297 0.469 0.387 0.366 0.406		0x10 ⁻⁴ 6x10 ⁻⁵	0.91 (0.87-0.95)		1.35 (1.20-1.52)	$7.4x10^{-7}$	$0, 5.1 \text{x} 10^{-1}$
7,7 A 7,7 T	0.469 0.387 0.366 0.406		$6x10^{-5}$	1 07 (1 00 1 10)	1.4x10 ⁻⁴	0.93 (0.91-0.96)	$6.3x10^{-7}$	$0, 8.9 \times 10^{-1}$
A/G T/A T/C T/C	0.387			1.0/(1.05-1.12)	1.4×10^{-3}	1.07 (1.04-1.10)	$8.0x10^{-8}$	$0, 9.3 \times 10^{-1}$
T/A T/G T/C	0.366		6x10 ⁻⁵	1.07 (1.02-1.12)	5.3×10^{-3}	1.07 (1.04-1.10)	2.9×10^{-7}	$0, 6.7 \times 10^{-1}$
1/G C/T 1/C	0.406	_	$8x10^{-5}$	0.94 (0.90-0.99)	1.0×10^{-2}	0.93 (0.91-0.96)	$6.3x10^{-7}$	$19, 1.6 \times 10^{-1}$
C/T T/C			$0x10^{-4}$	1.08 (1.03-1.13)	7.2×10^{-4}	1.07 (1.04-1.10)	3.0×10^{-7}	$0, 4.9 \times 10^{-1}$
T/C	0.103	3.3 (0.80-0.92)	3.2×10^{-5}	0.89 (0.83-0.96)	1.9×10^{-3}	0.87 (0.83-0.92)	$2.7x10^{-7}$	$0, 6.8 \times 10^{-1}$
Ç	0.339	3.94 (0.91-0.97)	3.8×10^{-4}	0.91 (0.87-0.96)	1.2×10^{-4}	0.93 (0.91-0.96)	$3.2x10^{-7}$	$21, 1.3 \times 10^{-1}$
ر ا	0.020	1.40 (1.22-1.61) 2.0	2.0×10^{-6}	1.18 (1.03-1.36)	1.7×10^{-2}	1.29 (1.17-1.42)	$4.3x10^{-7}$	$0, 6.5 \times 10^{-1}$
G/A	0.121	.11 (1.06-1.17) 5.	5.7x10 ⁻⁶	1.08 (1.01-1.16)	1.7×10^{-2}	1.10 (1.06-1.15)	$3.7x10^{-7}$	$15, 2.2 \times 10^{-1}$
G/A	0.018	1.29 (1.12-1.49) 5.7	$7x10^{-4}$	1.42 (1.19-1.70)	$1.1x10^{-4}$	1.34 (1.20-1.50)	$3.1x10^{-7}$	$0, 8.8 \times 10^{-1}$

¹ Are presented the SNPs showing the best level of association after meta-analysis of the stage 1 and stage 2 ² ± Build 37, Assembly Hg19 ³ ± 100kb ⁴ Average in Stage 1 sample ⁵ Calculated with respect to the minor allele ⁶ Cochran's Q test

Supplementary Table 5Conditional analysis of the two best associated *CLU* and *PTK2B* SNPs in stage 2

Gene	SNP	Separate :	analysis	Conditiona	l analysis
		OR [95% CI]	Meta Pvalue	OR [95% CI]	Meta Pvalue
CLU	rs9331896	0.87 [0.83-0.91]	$5.9x10^{-8}$	0.87 [0.83-0.91]	1.1×10^{-8}
PTK2B	rs28834970	1.09 [1.04-1.15]	9.6×10^{-4}	1.09 [1.04-1.14]	6.5×10^{-4}

Supplementary Table 6
Description of the population attributable/preventive fractions for the GWAS-defined genes in stage 2

SNP	Gene	MAF in controls	PAF(%)	PAF Type
rs4147929	ABCA7	0.162	2.8	risk
e4	APOE	0.123	27.3	risk
rs6733839	BIN1	0.366	8.1	risk
rs7274581	CASS4	0.088	1.1	preventive
rs10948363	CD2AP	0.255	2.3	risk
rs10838725	CELF1	0.312	2.4	risk
rs9331896	CLU	0.398	5.3	preventive
rs6656401	CR1	0.191	3.7	risk
rs11771145	EPHA1	0.350	3.1	preventive
rs17125944	FERMT2	0.079	1.5	risk
rs9271192	HLA	0.277	3.2	risk
rs35349669	<i>INPP5D</i>	0.462	4.6	risk
rs190982	MEF2C	0.389	2.7	preventive
rs983392	MS4A6A	0.406	4.2	preventive
rs2718058	NME8	0.368	2.9	preventive
rs10792832	<i>PICALM</i>	0.365	5.3	preventive
rs28834970	PTK2B	0.358	3.1	risk
rs10498633	SLC24A4/RIN3	0.212	1.5	preventive
rs11218343	SORL1	0.044	1.1	preventive
rs1476679	ZCWPW1	0.293	3.2	preventive

Supplementary Table 7Full description of the GERAD stage 1 datasets

		AD o	eases		Cont	trols
	N	% women	Mean AAO (SD)	N	% women	Mean AAE (SD)
GERAD						
MRC	1008	70.3	80.9 (6.5)	873	61.6	75.9 (6.3)
ARUK	939	61.0	76.6 (9.6)	82	59.8	77.9 (7.6)
BONN	551	63.7	72.9 (8.3)	37	64.9	79.5 (3.6)
WASHU	423	56.0	82.1 (9.0)	156	65.4	78.5 (9.7)
NIMH	127	63.0	80.1 (6.1)	-	-	-
UCL:PRION	82	59.8	63.6 (9.9)	-	-	-
UCL:LASER	47	74.5	80.6 (7.9)	-	-	-
1958BC	-	-	-	5342	49.8	45.0 (0.0)
KORA	-	-	-	434	49.1	56.0 (7.2)
HNR	-	-	-	353	52.9	54.6 (5.3)
MAYO ¹	728	57.6	-	1173	51.2	72.9 (4.3)

¹ MAYO study is part of both ADGC and GERAD consortia, for the purposes of the mega-meta analysis, MAYO was removed from the GERAD dataset and included in the ADGC dataset only

Supplementary Table 8Full description of the stage 2 datasets by centre

Country	Centre	Consortium		AD ca	ases		Cor	ntrols	
Country	Comit	C011501 014111	N	% women	Mean age (SD)	Mean AAO (SD)	N	% women	Mean AAE (SD)
Austria	Graz	CHARGE	210	61.0	75.4 (7.9)	72.5 (8.1)	829	43.3	65.6 (8.0)
Belgium	Antwerp	EADI	878	66.1	78.8 (8.2)	75.4 (8.5)	661	59.5	65.7 (14.3)
Finland	Kuopio	EADI	422	68.0	71.4 (6.9)	71.4 (6.9)	562	59.3	69.1 (6.2)
Germany	Bonn 1	GERAD	530	61.7	73.3 (8.6)	73.3 (8.6)	1,096	52.4	64.8 (10.9)
Germany	Bonn 2	GERAD	7	57.1	76.0 (8.7)	70.0 (3.9)	490	67.6	79.6 (3.2)
Germany	Essen	GERAD	150	65.3	81.5 (6.6)	76.0 (6.9)	262	60.3	76.2 (6.0)
Germany	Munich	GERAD	285	67.4	73.4 (8.7)	70.7 (8.7)	530	37.7	66.6 (3.4)
Greece	Thessaloniki	GERAD	256	63.3	73.1 (7.9)	69.2 (8.0)	229	34.1	49.3 (16.4)
Hungary	Budapest	ADGC	125	68.0	78.9 (7.3)	74.9 (6.8)	100	69.0	74.4 (6.5)
Italy	Cagliari	EADI	130	73.1	77.3 (6.8)	74.9 (6.5)	110	55.5	65.7 (7.8)
Italy	Florence	EADI	441	60.1	70.7 (8.4)	67.1 (8.5)	77	54.5	64.0 (13.1)
Italy	Milan	EADI	314	67.5	78.1 (7.6)	73.3 (7.5)	165	60.6	69.8 (11.1)
Italy	Perugia	EADI	124	73.4	78.8 (6.8)	-	79	51.9	74.4 (6.2)
Italy	Pisa	EADI	27	77.8	74.1 (8.7)	72.1 (8.7)	10	70.0	52.6 (22.2)
Italy	Rome	EADI	388	70.9	75.7 (7.5)	73.1 (7.8)	42	61.9	68.6 (6.5)
Italy	San Giovanni Rotonda	EADI	139	64.7	78.8 (6.9)	78.5 (7.4)	80	33.8	76.3 (7.0)
Italy	Troina	EADI	166	60.8	77.6 (8.0)	71.7 (8.3)	157	61.8	72.1 (8.3)
Spain	Barcelona 1	CHARGE	475	73.7	80.2 (6.7)	78.9 (6.7)	478	64.6	63.3 (9.4)
Spain	Barcelona 2	EADI	280	71.4	77.1 (5.4)	77.1 (5.4)	200	20.0	75.5 (5.2)
Spain	Las Palmas de Gran Canaria	EADI	255	68.2	80.9 (6.8)	75.8 (7.0)	294	36.4	70.1 (5.9)
Spain	Madrid	EADI	92	60.9	70.1 (9.6)	68.4 (9.9)	153	61.4	67.7 (14.4)
Spain	Oviedo	EADI	242	62.8	81.1 (7.1)	78.1 (6.8)	169	66.3	73.3 (8.2)
Spain	Pamplone	GERAD	421	59.4	74.9 (9.2)	69.2 (9.2)	338	59.8	67.1 (10.9)
Spain	Santander	EADI	356	63.2	76.6 (6.9)	73.7 (7.0)	289	68.5	80.9 (7.5)
Sweden	Stockholm	EADI	514	61.3	69.6 (9.3)	87.0 (5.6)	1,272	62.8	69.8 (8.9)
Sweden	Uppsala	EADI	283	62.5	76.5 (8.0)	76.5 (8.0)	234	62.8	74.8 (6.3)
UK	Belfast	GERAD	178	68.5	76.8 (7.3)	72.7 (6.6)	186	69.9	74.1 (9.0)
UK	Bristol	GERAD	12	58.3	82.1 (9.6)	69.4 (10.7)	7	42.9	78.6 (8.4)
UK	Caerphilly	GERAD	30	0.0	74.3 (4.1)	-	519	0.0	72.1 (4.0)
UK	Southampton	GERAD	107	66.4	83.8 (7.3)	78.6 (7.8)	79	55.7	74.0 (7.9)
UK	Nottingham	GERAD	163	50.3	76.3 (9.4)	72.9 (8.7)	275	48.7	76.7 (6.7)
USA	Jacksonville	GERAD	572	61.9	83.5 (7.6)	83.5 (7.6)	1,340	54.0	79.3 (6.8)

${\color{red} Supplementary\ Table\ 9} \\ {\color{red} Test\ of\ missingness\ difference\ between\ cases\ and\ controls\ across\ the\ stage\ 2\ samples} \\$

	SNP	Austria	Belgium	Finland	Germany	Germany (imputed)	Greece	Hungary	Italy	Spain	Sweden	UK	USA
	rs6656401	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3.2×10^{-1}	1	5.5×10^{-1}	1	3.0×10^{-1}
	rs6733839	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	rs35349669	1	$4.3x10^{-1}$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	rs190982	1	1	1	1	1	5.0×10^{-1}	1	1	1	1	1	1
	rs9271192	1.7×10^{-5}	9.3×10^{-6}	6.7×10^{-1}	3.0×10^{-2}	1	4.5×10^{-1}	1.5×10^{-1}	7.0×10^{-1}	2.4×10^{-1}	5.0×10^{-6}	2.9×10^{-1}	6.0×10^{-3}
	rs10948363	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5.6×10^{-1}	6.7×10^{-1}	1	1	1
so.	rs2718058	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Significant hits	rs1476679	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Έ	rs11771145	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4.7×10^{-1}	1	1	1
<u>i</u> 2	rs28834970	2.1×10^{-1}	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
πį	rs9331896	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Sig	rs10838725	$9.2x10^{-3}$	$4.3x10^{-1}$	4.8×10^{-1}	4.4×10^{-1}	1	1	1	9.6×10^{-2}	2.0×10^{-3}	1	$4.3x10^{-1}$	4.4×10^{-1}
• • •	rs983392	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4.7×10^{-1}	3.5×10^{-1}	1	1
	rs10792832	1	1	1	1	1	5.0x10-1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	rs11218343	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	rs17125944	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	rs10498633	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3.0×10^{-1}	4.7×10^{-1}	1	1	1
	rs4147929	2.1×10^{-1}	1	1	2.6×10^{-1}	1	1	1	3.0×10^{-1}	1	1	1	1
	rs7274581	1	1	1	6.6×10^{-1}	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	rs6678275	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	rs6448799	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3.5×10^{-1}	1	1
	rs72807343	2.1×10^{-1}	1.8×10^{-1}	2.6×120^{-1}	6.9×10^{-1}	1	1	1	1	8.2×10^{-2}	1	1.8×10^{-1}	$3.2x10^{-1}$
	rs9381040	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
its	rs7818382	1	1	1	4.6×10^{-1}	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
e F	rs7920721	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4.7×10^{-1}	1	1	1
ŞĘ.	rs10751667	1.7×10^{-5}	2.5×10^{-1}	8.3×10^{-1}	1.2×10^{-5}	1	7.2×10^{-1}	7.7×10^{-1}	2.5×10^{-1}	7.8×10^{-1}	8.8×10^{-1}	6.9×10^{-1}	3.8×10^{-1}
, 50	rs7295246	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Suggestive hits	rs2337406	1.9×10^{-12}	$3.2x10^{-6}$	4.9×10^{-5}	5.4×10^{-1}	1	1	7.8×10^{-1}	$9.3x10^{-2}$	1.2×10^{-2}	2.8×10^{-1}	2.5×10^{-3}	2.9×10^{-7}
9 1	rs8035452	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	rs74615166	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	rs7225151	4.4×10^{-2}	1	1	1	1	$5.0x10^{-1}$	1	1	1	1	1	1
	17:61538148	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

 ${\color{blue} Supplementary\ Table\ 10}\\ {\color{blue} Description\ of\ the\ stage\ 2\ samples\ before\ and\ after\ quality\ control\ according\ to\ the\ country\ of\ origin}$

	Austria	Belgium	Finland	Germany	Germany (imputed)	Greece	Hungary
Initial sample size	1,149	1,638	1,119	1,862	1,790	564	299
Gender	18	28	5	43	0	17	2
Missingness	33	19	103	75	31	5	4
Heterozygosity	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Duplicates	11	18	4	10	3	23	40
Relatedness	8	21	24	3	8	9	43
Ancestry	30	4	0	0	12	0	0
Missing phenotype	9	12	10	17	0	19	1
Plate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Controls with age < 25	0	0	0	0	0	17	0
Part of stage 1	0	0	0	0	110	0	0
Excluded (n)	110	99	135	138	164	79	74
Excluded (%)	9.6	6.0	12.1	7.4	9.0	14.0	24.7
Final sample size	1,039	1,539	984	1,724	1,626	485	255
Cases	210	878	422	442	530	256	125
Controls	829	661	562	1,282	1,096	229	100

	Italy	Spain	Sweden	UK	USA	TOTAL
Initial sample size	2,926	4,393	2,368	2,435	2,135	22,618
Gender	127	85	20	69	17	431
Missingness	32	72	8	55	16	453
Heterozygosity	0	0	0	0	0	1
Duplicates	105	76	5	30	14	339
Relatedness	33	74	11	87	66	387
Ancestry	3	9	6	4	16	84
Missing phenotype	153	48	17	706	106	1,098
Plate	91	0	0	0	0	91
Controls with age < 25	1	3	0	0	0	21
Part of stage 1	0	0	0	18	0	128
Excluded (n)	477	351	65	879	223	2,794
Excluded (%)	16.3	8.0	2.7	36.1	10.4	12.3
Final sample size	2,449	4,042	2,303	1,556	1,912	19,884
Cases	1,729	2,121	797	490	572	8,572
Controls	720	1,921	1,506	1,066	1,340	11,312

Supplementary Note

Full I-GAP datasets description

Written informed consent was obtained from study participants or, for those with substantial cognitive impairment, from a caregiver, legal guardian, or other proxy, and the study protocols for all populations were reviewed and approved by the appropriate Institutional review boards.

Alzheimer's Disease Genetics Consortium (ADGC)

The ADGC dataset comprises subjects from the Adult Changes in Thought (ACT)/ Electronic Medical Records and Genetics (eMERGE) study, the National Institute on Aging (NIA) Alzheimer Disease Centers (ADCs), the Alzheimer Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) Study, the Multi-Site Collaborative Study for Genotype-Phenotype Associations in Alzheimers Disease (GenADA) Study, the University of Miami/Vanderbilt University/Mt. Sinai School of Medicine (UM/VU/MSSM), the MIRAGE Study, Oregon Health and Science University (OHSU), the NCRAD/NIA-LOAD Study, the Translational Genomics Research Institute series 2 (TGEN2) dataset, subjects from the Mayo Clinic, the Rush University Religious Orders Study/Memory and Aging Project (ROSMAP), the University of Pittsburgh (UP), and Washington University (WU). Detailed descriptions of the ascertainment and evaluation of subjects in the ADC, ADNI, UM/VU/MSSM, MIRAGE, and NCRAD/NIA-LOAD cohorts have been provided elsewhere [1]; brief descriptions included here note any differences between data used in this study and data used in the previously published ADGC study [1]. We restricted analyses to individuals of European ancestry because there were an insufficient number of subjects from other ethnic groups to obtain meaningful results. All subjects were recruited under protocols approved by the appropriate Institutional Review Boards.

The NIA ADC Samples (ADC): The NIA ADC cohort included subjects ascertained and evaluated by the clinical and neuropathology cores of the 29 NIA-funded ADCs. Data collection is coordinated by the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center (NACC). NACC coordinates collection of phenotype data from the 29 ADCs, cleans all data, coordinates implementation of definitions of AD cases and controls, and coordinates collection of samples. The ADC cohort consists of 2,288 autopsy-confirmed and 913 clinically-confirmed AD cases, and 519 cognitively normal elders (CNEs) with complete neuropathology data who were older than 60 years at age of death, and 744 living CNEs evaluated using the Uniform dataset (UDS) protocol [2, 3] who were documented to not have mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and were between 60 and 100 years of age at assessment.

Based on the data collected by NACC, the ADGC Neuropathology Core Leaders Subcommittee derived inclusion and exclusion criteria for AD and control samples. All autopsied subjects were age \geq 60 years at death. AD cases were demented according to DSM-IV criteria or Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) \geq 1.

Neuropathologic stratification of cases followed NIA/Reagan criteria explicitly, or used a similar approach when NIA/Reagan criteria [4] were coded as not done, missing, or unknown. Cases were intermediate or high likelihood by NIA/Reagan criteria with moderate to frequent amyloid plaques [5] and neurofibrillary tangle (NFT) Braak stage of III-VI [6, 7]. Persons with Down's syndrome, non-AD tauopathies and synucleinopathies were excluded. All autopsied controls had a clinical evaluation within two years of death. Controls did not meet DSM-IV criteria for dementia, did not have a diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment (MCI), and had a CDR of 0, if performed. Controls were did not meet or were low-likelihood AD by NIA/Reagan criteria, had sparse or no amyloid plaques, and a Braak NFT stage of 0 – II.

ADCs sent frozen tissue from autopsied subjects and DNA samples from some autopsied subjects and from living subjects to the ADCs to the National Cell Repository for Alzheimer's Disease (NCRAD). DNA was prepared by NCRAD for genotyping and sent to the genotyping site at Children's Hospital of Philadelphia. ADC samples were

genotyped and analyzed in separate batches.

ADC1 and ADC2 contributed 2,304 AD cases (1,761 autopsy-confirmed; 543 clinically-confirmed) and 675 CNEs (515 autopsy-confirmed; 160 clinically-confirmed), of which 1,595 autopsied-confirmed AD cases and 132 CNEs were analyzed in our previous study [1]. The ADC3 dataset contains 897 clinically-identified living cases (527 with autopsy-confirmation) and 588 CNEs (4 with autopsy-confirmation) who were genotyped between July and August 2010.

Oregon Health and Science University (OHSU): The OHSU dataset includes 132 autopsy-confirmed AD cases and 153 deceased controls that were evaluated for dementia within 12 months prior to death (age at death >65 years), which are a subset of the 193 cases and 451 controls examined in our previous study [1] meeting more stringent QC criteria in this study. Subjects were recruited from aging research cohorts at 10 NIA-funded ADCs, and did not overlap other samples assembled by the ADGC. A more extensive description of control samples can be found elsewhere [8].

The ADNI Study (ADNI): ADNI is a longitudinal, multi-site observational study including AD, mild cognitive impairment (MCI), and elderly individuals with normal cognition assessing clinical and cognitive measures, MRI and PET scans (FDG and 11C PIB) and blood and CNS biomarkers. For this study, ADNI contributed data on 268 AD cases with MRI confirmation of AD diagnosis and 173 healthy controls with AD-free status confirmed as of most recent follow-up. AD subjects were between the ages of 55–90, had an MMSE score of 20–26 inclusive, met NINCDS/ADRDA criteria for probable AD [9], and had an MRI consistent with the diagnosis of AD. Control subjects had MMSE scores between 28 and 30 and a Clinical Dementia Rating of 0 without symptoms of depression, MCI or other dementia and no current use of psychoactive medications. According to the ADNI protocol, subjects were ascertained at regular intervals over 3 years, but for the purpose of our analysis we only used the final ascertainment status to classify case-control status. Additional details of the study design are available elsewhere [1, 10, 11].

Data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from ADNI database (http://adni.loni.ucla.edu). The ADNI was launched in 2003 by the National Institute on Aging (NIA), the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering (NIBIB), the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), private pharmaceutical companies and non-profit organizations, as a \$60 million, 5-year public-private partnership. The primary goal of ADNI has been to test whether serial magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), positron emission tomography (PET), other biological markers, and clinical and neuropsychological assessment can be combined to measure the progression of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and early Alzheimer's disease (AD). Determination of sensitive and specific markers of very early AD progression is intended to aid researchers and clinicians to develop new treatments and monitor their effectiveness, as well as lessen the time and cost of clinical trials. The Principal Investigator of this initiative is Michael W. Weiner, MD, VA Medical Center and University of California - San Francisco. ADNI is the result of efforts of many co-investigators from a broad range of academic institutions and private corporations, and subjects have been recruited from over 50 sites across the U.S. and Canada. The initial goal of ADNI was to recruit 800 subjects but ADNI has been followed by ADNI-GO and ADNI-2. To date these three protocols have recruited over 1500 adults, ages 55 to 90, to participate in the research, consisting of cognitively normal older individuals, people with early or late MCI, and people with early AD. The follow up duration of each group is specified in the protocols for ADNI-1, ADNI-2 and ADNI-GO. Subjects originally recruited for ADNI-1 and ADNI-GO had the option to be followed in ADNI-2. For up-to-date information, see www.adni-info.org.

The MIRAGE Study (MIRAGE) The MIRAGE study is a family-based genetic epidemiological study of AD that enrolled AD cases and unaffected sibling controls at 17 clinical centers in the United States, Canada, Germany, and Greece (details elsewhere [12]), and contributed 1,262 subjects (509 AD cases and 753 CNEs), a subset of the 559 cases and 788 controls that were incorporated into our prior study [1] which met more stringent QC criteria for this study. Briefly, families were ascertained through a proband meeting the NINCDS-ADRDA criteria for definite or probable AD. Unaffected sibling controls were verified as cognitively healthy based on a Modified Telephone

Interview of Cognitive Status score ≥ 86 [13].

The NCRAD/NIA-LOAD Family Study (NCRAD/NIA-LOAD): The NCRAD/NIA-LOAD Family Study [14] recruited families with two or more affected siblings with LOAD and unrelated, CNEs similar in age and ethnic background. A total of 1,819 cases and 1,969 CNEs from 1,802 families were recruited through the NCRAD/NIA-LOAD study, NCRAD, and the University of Kentucky and included for analysis, of which a subset of 985 cases and 881 controls were used in the previous study [1]. One case per family was selected after determining the individual with the strictest diagnosis (definite > probable > possible LOAD). If there were multiple individuals with the strictest diagnosis, then the individual with the earliest age of onset was selected. The controls included only those samples that were neurologically evaluated to be normal and were not related to a study participant.

University of Miami/Vanderbilt University/Mt. Sinai School of Medicine (UM/VU/MSSM):

The UM/VU/MSSM dataset contains 1,186 cases and 1,135 CNEs (new and previously published) [15, 16, 17, 18] ascertained at the University of Miami, Vanderbilt University and Mt. Sinai School of Medicine, including 409 autopsy-confirmed cases and 136 controls, primarily from the Mt. Sinai School of Medicine [19]. An additional 16 cases were included and 34 controls excluded from the data analyzed in the prior study [1]. Each affected individual met NINCDS-ADRDA criteria for probably or definite AD with age at onset greater than 60 years as determined from specific probe questions within the clinical history provided by a reliable family informant or from documentation of significant cognitive impairment in the medical record. Cognitively healthy controls were unrelated individuals from the same catchment areas and frequency matched by age and gender, and had a documented MMSE or 3MS score in the normal range. Cases and controls had similar demographics: both had ages-at-onset/ages-at-exam of 74 (± 8 standard deviations), and cases were 63% female, and controls were 61% female.

The ACT/eMERGE Studies (ACT): The ACT cohort is an urban and suburban elderly population from a stable HMO that includes 2,581 cognitively intact subjects age \geq 65 who were enrolled between 1994 and 1998 [20, 21]. An additional 811 subjects were enrolled in 2000-2002 using the same methods except oversampling clinics with more minorities. More recently, a Continuous Enrollment strategy was initiated in which new subjects are contacted, screened and enrolled to keep 2000 active at-risk person-years accruing in each calendar year. This resulted in an enrollment of 4,146 participants as of May 2009. All clinical data are reviewed at a consensus conference. Dementia onset is assigned half way between the prior biennial and the exam that diagnosed dementia. Enrollment for eMERGE Study began in 2007. A waiver of consent was obtained from the IRB to enroll deceased ACT participants. In total, ACT/eMERGE contributed data on 566 individuals with probable or possible AD (70 with autopsy-confirmation) and on 1,696 CNEs (155 with autopsy-confirmation) who were included in analyses.

The GenADA Study: Data from the GenADA cohort that were analyzed included 669 AD cases and 713 CNEs ascertained from nine memory referral clinics in Canada between 2002 and 2005. Patients and CNEs were of Caucasian ancestry from Northern Europe. All patients with AD satisfied NINCDS-ADRDA and DSM-IV criteria for probable AD with Global Deterioration Scale scores of 3-7. CNEs had MMSE test scores higher than 25 (mean 29.2 ± 1.1), a Mattis Dementia Rating Scale score of ≥ 136 , a Clock Test without error, and no impairments on seven instrumental activities of daily living questions from the Duke Older American Resources and Services Procedures test. Data were collected under an academic-industrial grant from Glaxo-Smith-Kline, Canada by Principal Investigator P. St George-Hyslop. Detailed characteristics of this cohort have been described previously [22].

The TGEN2 Study: Among the TGEN2 data analyzed were 864 clinically- and neuropathologically-characterized brain donors, and 493 CNEs without dementia or significant AD pathology. Of these cases and CNEs, 667 were genotyped as a part of the TGEN1 series [23]. Samples were obtained from twenty-one different National Institute on Aging-supported AD Center brain banks and from the Miami Brain Bank as previously described [23, 24, 25]. Additional individual samples from other brain banks in the United States, United Kingdom, and the Netherlands

were also obtained in the same manner. The criteria for inclusion were as follows: self-defined ethnicity of European descent, neuropathologically confirmed AD or neuropathology present at levels consistent with status as a control, and age of death greater than 65. Autopsy diagnosis was performed by board certified neuropathologists and was based on the presence or absence of the characterization of probable or possible AD. Where it was possible, Braak and Braak staging and/or CERAD classification were employed. Samples derived from subjects with a clinical history of stroke, cerebrovascular disease, comorbidity with any other known neurological disease, or with the neuropathological finding of Lewy bodies were excluded.

Mayo Clinic: All 728 cases and 1,173 controls consisted of Caucasian subjects from the United States ascertained at the Mayo Clinic. All subjects were diagnosed by a neurologist at the Mayo Clinic in Jacksonville, Florida or Rochester, Minnesota. The neurologist confirmed a Clinical Dementia Rating score of 0 for all controls; cases had diagnoses of possible or probable AD made according to NINCDS-ADRDA criteria [9]. Autopsy-confirmed samples (221 cases, 216 CNEs) came from the brain bank at the Mayo Clinic in Jacksonville, FL and were evaluated by a single neuropathologist. In clinically-identified cases, the diagnosis of definite AD was made according to NINCDS-ADRDA criteria [9]. All AD brains analyzed in the study had a Braak score of 4.0 or greater. Brains employed as controls had a Braak score of 2.5 or lower but often had brain pathology unrelated to AD and pathological diagnoses that included vascular dementia, frontotemporal dementia, dementia with Lewy bodies, multi-system atrophy, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, and progressive supranuclear palsy.

The ROS/MAP Studies: ROS/MAP are two community-based cohort studies. The ROS has been on-going since 1993, with a rolling admission. Through July of 2010, 1,139 older nuns, priests, and brothers from across the United States initially free of dementia who agreed to annual clinical evaluation and brain donation at the time of death completed their baseline evaluation. The MAP has been on-going since 1997, also with a rolling admission. Through July of 2010, 1,356 older persons from across northeastern Illinois initially free of dementia who agreed to annual clinical evaluation and organ donation at the time of death completed their baseline evaluation. Details of the clinical and neuropathologic evaluations have been previously reported [26, 27, 28, 29]. A total of 1,072 persons passed genotyping QC. Of these, 296 met clinical criteria for AD at the time of their last clinical evaluation or time of death and met neuropathologic criteria for AD for those on whom neuropathologic data were available, and 776 were without dementia or MCI at the time of their last clinical evaluation or time of death and did not meet neuropathologic criteria for AD for those on whom neuropathologic data were available.

University of Pittsburgh (UP): The University of Pittsburgh dataset contains 1,271 Caucasian AD cases (of which 277 were autopsy-confirmed) recruited by the University of Pittsburgh Alzheimer's Disease Research Center, and 841 Caucasian, CNEs ages 60 and older (2 were autopsy-confirmed). All AD cases met NINCDS/ADRDA criteria for probable or definite AD. Additional details of the cohort used for GWAS have been previously published [30].

Washington University (WU): A European American LOAD case-control dataset consisting of 339 cases and 187 healthy elderly controls was used in analyses for this study. Participants were recruited as part of a longitudinal study of healthy aging and dementia. Diagnosis of dementia etiology was made in accordance with standard criteria and methods [3]. Severity of dementia was assessed using the Clinical Dementia Rating scale.

The Cohort for Heart and Ageing Research in Genomic Epidemiology (CHARGE) consortium

The CHARGE consortium currently includes six large, prospective, community-based cohort studies that have genome-wide variation data coupled with extensive data on multiple phenotypes [31]. A neurology working-group

arrived at a consensus on phenotype harmonization, covariate selection and analytic plans for within-study analyses and meta-analysis of results [32]. Consent procedures, examination and surveillance components, data security, genotyping protocols and study design at each study were approved by a local Institutional Review Board, details are provided below. Of the six studies, two, the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study and the Austrian Study of Stroke Prevention (ASPS) study were excluded from these analyses as they had not systematically ascertained all their dementia cases at the time of this analysis. Three of the remaining 4 studies, the Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS), the Framingham Heart Study (FHS) and the Rotterdam Study had data on both the baseline prevalence, and on incident Alzheimer's disease (AD), whereas the last, the Age, Gene/Environment Susceptibility—Reykjavik Study (AGES-RS) only had data on prevalent AD.

AGES-RS: The AGES-RS is a single center prospective study based on the Reykjavik Study, which was initiated in 1967 by the Icelandic Heart Association to study cardiovascular disease and risk factors. The cohort included men and women born 1907-35 and living in Reykjavik in 1967. In 2002, the National Institute of Aging in collaboration with the Icelandic Heart Association started the AGES study that focuses on 4 biological systems: vascular, neurocognitive, musculoskeletal and metabolism [33]. Between 2002 and 2006, it enrolled 5,764 participants (42% male) who were randomly selected among the survivors (n= 8,030) of the Reykjavik Study. All cohort members were European Caucasians. Participants were evaluated with a questionnaire and clinical exam, had a fasting sample of blood drawn, and underwent various bio-imaging measures. Of the 5,764 participants, 3,664 participants were randomly selected for the GWAS. Genotyping was undertaken using the HumanCNV370-Duo (Illumina) at the Laboratory of Neurogenetics, Intramural Research Program, at the National Institute of Aging, Bethesda, Maryland. A total of 2,807 persons passed genotyping QC criteria, and, based on the methods outlined in Section 3, were categorized as either non-demented or having Alzheimer's disease (AD). The AGES-RS was approved by the Icelandic National Bioethics Committee (VSN 00-063), the Icelandic Data Protection Authority, and by the Institutional Review Board of the US National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health. This study included all persons with prevalent AD detected between 2002 and 2006. The Folstein Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) and the Digit Symbol Substitution Test (DSST) were administered to all participants and persons who scored below a pre-determined threshold on these tests (≤ 23 on the MMSE or ≤ 17 on the DSST) were administered a second, diagnostic test battery. Based on performance on the Trails B and the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning test (RAVLT), a subset of these individuals with a RAVLT score ≤18 or Trails B score ≥8 (ratio of time taken for Trails B/Trails A corrected for the number correct) went on to a third step, which included a neurological examination and a structured informant interview about medical history and social, cognitive, and daily functioning. MRI was acquired as a part of the core study protocol. A panel that included a geriatrician, neurologist, neuropsychologist, and neuroradiologist reached a consensus diagnosis of dementia based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) guidelines. There were 319 cases of dementia diagnosed in the first 5,764 AGES participants and of these 123 also had genotyping and brain MRI. International diagnostic guidelines, including the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke-Alzheimer Disease and Related Disorders Association (NINCDS-ADRDA) criteria for probable and possible Alzheimer Disease and the Alzheimer's Disease Diagnosis and Treatment Center's (ADDTC) State of California criteria for probable and possible vascular dementia (VaD) with or without AD, were followed. The AGES study identified 3 subtypes: possible/probable AD without VaD (n=55, included in analysis), mixed AD (n=23, cases that met criteria for both AD and VaD, also included in analysis), and, possible/probable VaD or other dementia without AD (n=45, excluded from this study).

Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS): The CHS is a prospective population-based cohort study of risk factors for vascular and metabolic disease that in 1989-90, enrolled adults aged ≥65 years, at four field centers located in North Carolina, California, Maryland and Pennsylvania [34]. The original predominantly Caucasian cohort of 5,201 persons was recruited from a random sample of people on Medicare eligibility lists and an additional 687 African-Americans were enrolled subsequently for a total sample of 5,888 [34]. Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) was extracted from blood samples drawn on all persons who consented to genetic testing at their baseline examination in 1989-90. In 2007-2008, genotyping was performed at the General Clinical Research Center's Phenotyp-

ing/Genotyping Laboratory at Cedars-Sinai using the Illumina 370CNV Duo ® BeadChip system on 3,980 CHS participants who were free of cardiovascular disease (CVD) at baseline. The 1,908 persons excluded for prevalent CVD had prevalent coronary heart disease (n=1,195), congestive heart failure (n=86), peripheral vascular disease (n=93), valvular heart disease (n=20), stroke (n=166) or transient ischemic attack (n=56). Some persons had more than one reason to be excluded and for these individuals only the initial exclusionary event is listed. Because the other cohorts were predominantly white, the African American CHS participants were excluded from this analysis to limit errors secondary to population stratification. Among white participants genotyping was attempted in 3,397 participants and was successful in 3295 persons. A total of 742 persons were excluded as they either died prior to the start of the CHS cognition study in 1992 (see section 3 for details), or could not be evaluated completely for baseline cognitive status, leaving a baseline sample of 2,553 persons; an additional 31 persons were excluded for having dementia other than AD leaving a study sample of 2,522 persons. The CHS study protocols were approved by the Institutional review boards at the individual participating centers.

The AD sample for this study included all prevalent cases identified in 1992 and incident events identified between 1992 and December 2006 [35]. Briefly, persons were examined annually from enrollment to 1999, and the examination included a 30 minute screening cognitive battery. In 1992-94 and again, in 1997-99, participants were invited to undergo brain MRI and detailed cognitive and neurological assessment as part of the CHS Cognition Study. Persons with prevalent dementia were identified, and all others were followed until 1999 for the development of incident dementia and AD. Since then, CHS participants at the Maryland and Pennsylvania centers have remained under ongoing dementia surveillance [36].

Beginning in 1988/89, all participants completed the Modified Mini-Mental State Examination (3MSE) and the DSST at their annual visits, and the Benton Visual Retention Test (BVRT) from 1994 to 1998. The Telephone Interview for Cognitive Status (TICS) was used when participants did not come to the clinic. Further information on cognition was obtained from proxies using the Informant Questionnaire for Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE), and the dementia questionnaire (DQ). Symptoms of depression were measured with the modified version of the Center for Epidemiology Studies Depression Scale (CES-D). In 1991-94, 3,608 participants had an MRI of the brain and this was repeated in 1997-98. The CHS staff also obtained information from participants and next-of-kin regarding vision and hearing, the circumstances of the illness, history of dementia, functional status, pharmaceutical drug use, and alcohol consumption. Data on instrumental activities of daily living (IADL), and activities of daily living (ADL) were also collected.

Persons suspected to have cognitive impairment based on the screening tests listed above underwent a neuropsychological and a neurological evaluation. The neuropsychological battery included the following tests: the American version of the National Reading test (AMNART), Raven's Coloured Progressive Matrices, California Verbal Learning Test (CVLT), a modified Rey-Osterreith figure, the Boston Naming test, the Verbal fluency test, the Block design test, the Trails A and B tests, the Baddeley & Papagno Divided Attention Task, the Stroop, Digit Span and Grooved Pegboard Tests. The results of the neuropsychological battery were classified as normal or abnormal (>1.5 standard deviations below individuals of comparable age and education) based on normative data collected from a sample of 250 unimpaired subjects. The neurological exam included a brief mental status examination, as well as a complete examination of other systems. The examiner also completed the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) and the Hachinski Ischemic Scale. After completing the neurological exam, the neurologist classified the participant as normal, having mild cognitive impairment (MCI), or dementia.

International diagnostic guidelines, including the NINCDS-ADRDA criteria for probable and possible AD and the ADDTC's State of California criteria for probable and possible vascular dementia (VaD) with or without AD, were followed. CHS identified 3 subtypes: possible/probable AD without VaD (categorized as pure AD, included in all AD) and mixed AD (for cases that met criteria for both AD and VaD, included in all-AD), and, possible/probable VaD without AD (excluded from current study).

Framingham Heart Study (FHS): The FHS is a three-generation, single-site, community-based, ongoing cohort study that was initiated in 1948. It now comprises three generations of participants including the Original cohort followed since 1948 (n=5,209) [37], their Offspring and spouses of the offspring (n=5,216) followed since 1971 [38]; and children from the largest Offspring families enrolled in 2000 (Gen 3) [39]. Participants in the

Original and Offspring cohorts are used in these analyses, but Gen 3 participants were not included since they are young (mean age 40±9 years in 2000) and none had developed Alzheimer's Disease (AD). The Original cohort enrolled 5,209 men and women who comprised two-thirds of the adult population then residing in Framingham, Massachusetts. Survivors continue to receive biennial examinations. The Offspring cohort comprises 5,124 persons (including 3,514 biological offspring) who have been examined approximately once every 4 years. Almost all the FHS Original and Offspring participants are white/Caucasian. FHS participants had DNA extracted and provided consent for genotyping in the 1990s. All available eligible participants were genotyped at Affymetrix (Santa Clara, CA) through an NHLBI funded SNP-Health Association Resource (SHARe) project using the Affymetrix GeneChip® Human Mapping 500K Array Set and 50K Human Gene Focused Panel®. In 272 persons, small amounts of DNA were extracted from stored whole blood and required whole genome amplification prior to genotyping. Cell lines were available for most of the remaining participants. Genotyping was attempted in 5,293 Original and Offspring cohort participants, and 4,425 persons met QC criteria. Failures (call rate <97%, extreme heterozygosity or high Mendelian error rate) were largely restricted to persons with whole-genome amplified DNA and DNA extracted from stored serum samples. In addition, since the persons with whole genome amplified DNA represent a group of survivors who may differ from the others we included whole genome amplified status as a covariate in FHS analyses. For the prevalent analyses, we also excluded 2,268 participants who were less than 65 years old at the time of the DNA draw and 14 persons with dementia other than AD; the remaining 2,143 subjects constitute the FHS sample for the prevalent study. A total of 806 well-genotyped persons from the Original cohort (which has been under ongoing surveillance for incident dementia since 1975) were included in the incident AD analyses. The FHS component of this study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Boston Medical

The Original cohort of the FHS has been evaluated biennially since 1948, was screened for prevalent dementia and AD in 1974-76 and has been under surveillance for incident dementia and AD since then [40, 41, 42]. The Offspring have been examined once every 4 years and have been screened for prevalent dementia with a neuropsychological battery and brain MRI [43, 44]. In order to be consistent with the sampling frame for the AGES and CHS samples, we excluded FHS subjects with a baseline age <65 yrs at the time of DNA draw which was in the 1990s. To minimize survival biases, Original cohort and Offspring participants who developed dementia prior to the date of DNA draw were treated as prevalent cases, and subsequent events in the Original cohort occurring prior to December 2006 were included in the incident analyses.

At each clinic exam, participants receive questionnaires, physical examinations and laboratory testing; between examinations they remain under surveillance (regardless of whether or not they live in the vicinity) via physician referrals, record linkage and annual telephone health history updates. Methods used for dementia screening and follow-up have been previously described [40, 45]. Briefly, surviving cohort members who attended biennial examination cycles 14 and 15 (May 1975-November 1979) were administered a standardized neuropsychological test battery to establish a dementia-free cohort. Beginning at examination cycle 17 (1982), the MMSE was administered biennially to the cohort. A MMSE score below the education-specific cutoff score, a decline of 3 or more points on subsequent administrations, a decline of more than 5 points compared with any previous examination, or a physician or family referral prompted further in-depth testing. The Offspring cohort that was enrolled in 1971 has undergone 8 re-examinations, one approximately every 4 years. Starting at the 2nd Offspring examination, participants were questioned regarding any subjective memory complaints and since the 5th Offspring examination participants have been administered the MMSE at each visit. In addition concurrent with the 7th and 8th Offspring examinations (between 1999 and 2004 and then again between 2005 and 2009) surviving Original cohort and all eligible and consenting Offspring participants have undergone volumetric brain MRI and neuropsychological testing [43, 44]. The neuropsychological test battery included the Reading subtest of the Wide Range Achievement Test (WRAT-3), the Logical Memory and the Paired Associates Learning tests from the Wechsler Memory Scale, the Visual Reproduction and Hooper Visual Organization Tests, Trails A and B, the Similarities subtest from the Wechsler Adult Intelligence test, the 30-iterm version of the Boston Naming Test and at the second assessment only, the Digit Span, Controlled Word Association and Clock Drawing Tests. Offspring participants suspected to have cognitive impairment based on their MMSE scores, participant, family or physician referral, hospital records or performance in the neuropsychological test battery described above were referred for more detailed neuropsychological and neurological evaluation.

Each participant thus identified underwent baseline neurologic and neuropsychological examinations. Neurologists (trained in geriatric behavioral assessment) supplemented their clinical assessment with a few structured cognitive tests and administered the Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR). Persons were reassessed systematically for the onset of at least mild dementia. A panel consisting of at least 1 neurologist (S.A., P.A.W., or S.S.) and 1 neuropsychologist (R.A.) reviewed all available medical records to arrive at a final determination regarding the presence or absence of dementia, the date of onset of dementia, and the type of dementia. For this determination, we used data from the neurologist's examination, neuropsychological test performance, Framingham Study records, hospital records, information from primary care physicians, structured family interviews, computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging records, and autopsy confirmation when available. All individuals identified as having dementia satisfied the DSM-IV criteria, had dementia severity equivalent to a CDR of 1 or greater, and had symptoms of dementia for at least 6 months. All individuals identified as having Alzheimer-related dementia met the NINCDS-ADRDA criteria for definite, probable, or possible AD. Vascular Dementia was diagnosed using the ADDTC criteria but the presence of vascular dementia did not disqualify a participant from obtaining a concomitant diagnosis of AD if indicated. The recruitment of Original cohort participants at FHS had occurred long before the DNA collection with the result that the majority of dementia events in the FHS (although ascertained prospectively) were prevalent at the time of DNA collection or these persons had died prior to DNA draw and were thus excluded from analyses of incident disease. Due to the limited number of incident dementia and AD events in the Framingham Offspring only the Original cohort were included in our analyses of incident events.

Rotterdam Study: The Rotterdam Study enrolled inhabitants from a district of Rotterdam (Ommoord) aged ≥55 years (N=7,983, virtually all white) at the baseline examination in 1990-93 when blood was drawn for genotyping [46, 47]. It aims to examine the determinants of disease and health in the elderly with a focus on neurogeniatric, cardiovascular, bone, and eye disease [8]. All inhabitants of Ommoord aged ≥55 years (n = 10,275) were invited and the participation rate was 78%. All participants gave written informed consent to retrieve information from treating physicians. Baseline measurements were obtained from 1990 to 1993 and consisted of an interview at home and two visits to the research center for physical examination. Survivors have been re-examined three times: in 1993-1995, 1997-1999, and 2002-2004. All persons attending the baseline examination in 1990-93 consented to genotyping and had DNA extracted. This DNA was genotyped using the Illumina Infinium II HumanHap550chip $v3.0^{\text{(B)}}$ array in 2007-2008 according to the manufacturer's protocols. Genotyping was attempted in persons with high-quality extracted DNA (n=6,449). From these 6,449, samples with low call rate (<97.5%, n=209), with excess autosomal heterozygosity (>0.336, n=21), with sex-mismatch (n=36), or if there were outliers identified by the IBS clustering analysis (>3 standard deviations from population mean, n=102 or IBS probabilities >97%, n=129) were excluded from the study population with some persons meeting more than one exclusion criterion; in total, 5,974 samples were available with good quality genotyping data, 42 persons were excluded since they did not undergo cognitive screening at baseline, hence their cognitive status was uncertain. An additional 61 persons were excluded because they suffered from dementia other than AD at baseline. Thus there were 5,871 persons included in the prevalent analysis and after exclusion of 171 persons with prevalent AD, 5,700 persons were followed for incident AD and other dementia. The Rotterdam Study (including its brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and neurological components) has been approved by the institutional review board (Medical Ethics Committee) of the Erasmus Medical Center and the Netherlands Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sports Participants were screened for prevalent dementia in 1990-93 using a three-stage process; those free of dementia remained under surveillance for incident dementia, a determination made using records linkage and assessment at three subsequent re-examinations [48]. We included all prevalent cases and all incident events up to 31st December 2007.

Screening was done with the MMSE and GMS organic level for all persons. Screen-positives (MMSE <26 or Geriatric Mental Schedule (GMS) organic level >0) underwent the CAMDEX. Persons who were suspected of having dementia underwent more extensive neuropsychological testing. When available, imaging data were used. In addition, all participants have been continuously monitored for major events (including dementia) through automated linkage of the study database with digitized medical records from general practitioners, the Regional Institute for Outpatient Mental Health Care and the municipality. In addition physician files from nursing homes and general

practitioner records of participants who moved out of the Ommoord district were reviewed twice a year. For suspected dementia events, additional information (including neuroimaging) was obtained from hospital records and research physicians discussed available information with a neurologist experienced in dementia diagnosis and research to verify all diagnoses. Dementia was diagnosed in accordance with internationally accepted criteria for dementia (*Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, Revised Third Edition, DSM-III-R), and AD using the NINCDS-ADRDA criteria for possible, probable and definite AD. The National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke—Association Internationale pour la Recherche et l'Enseignement en Neurosciences (NINDS-AIREN) criteria were used to diagnose vascular dementia. The final diagnosis was determined by a panel of a neurologist, neurophysiologist, and research physician and the diagnoses of AD and VaD were not mutually exclusive.

European Alzheimer's Disease Initiative (EADI) consortium

All the 2,243 AD cases were ascertained by neurologists from Bordeaux, Dijon, Lille, Montpellier, Paris, Rouen, and were identified as French Caucasian [49, 50]. Clinical diagnosis of probable AD was established according to the DSM-III-R and NINCDS-ADRDA criteria. Controls were selected from the 3C Study [50]. This cohort is a population-based, prospective (7-years follow-up) study of the relationship between vascular factors and dementia. It has been carried out in three French cities: Bordeaux (southwest France), Montpellier (southeast France) and Dijon (central eastern France). A sample of non-institutionalised, over-65 subjects was randomly selected from the electoral rolls of each city. Between January 1999 and March 2001, 9,686 subjects meeting the inclusion criteria agreed to participate. Following recruitment, 392 subjects withdrew from the study. Thus, 9,294 subjects were finally included in the study (2,104 in Bordeaux, 4,931 in Dijon and 2,259 in Montpellier). Genomic DNA samples of 7,200 individuals were transferred to the French Centre National de Génotypage (CNG). First stage samples that passed DNA quality control were genotyped with Illumina Human 610-Quad BeadChips. At the end we removed 308 samples because they were found to be first- or second-degree relatives of other study participants, or were assessed non-European descent based on genetic analysis using methods described in [51]. In this final sample, at 7 years of follow-up, 459 individuals suffered from AD with 97 prevalent and 362 incident cases. These AD cases were included as cases in the EADI stage 1 dataset. We retained the other individuals as controls (n=6,017).

Genetic and Environmental Risk in Alzheimer's Disease (GERAD) consortium

The GERAD sample comprises 3,177 AD cases and 7,277 controls with available age and gender data (see Supplementary Table 7) [52]. Cases and elderly screened controls were recruited by the Medical Research Council (MRC) Genetic Resource for AD (Cardiff University; Institute of Psychiatry, London; Cambridge University; Trinity College Dublin), the Alzheimer's Research Trust (ART) Collaboration (University of Nottingham; University of Manchester; University of Southampton; University of Bristol; Queen's University Belfast; the Oxford Project to Investigate Memory and Ageing (OPTIMA), Oxford University); Washington University, St Louis, United States; MRC PRION Unit, University College London; London and the South East Region AD project (LASER-AD), University College London; Competence Network of Dementia (CND) and Department of Psychiatry, University of Bonn, Germany; the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) AD Genetics Initiative. 6,129 population controls were drawn from large existing cohorts with available GWAS data, including the 1958 British Birth Cohort (1958BC) (http://www.b58cgene.sgul.ac.uk), the KORA F4 Study and the Heinz Nixdorf Recall Study. All AD cases met criteria for either probable (NINCDS-ADRDA, DSM-IV) or definite (CERAD) AD All elderly controls were screened for dementia using the MMSE or ADAS-cog, were determined to be free from dementia at neuropathological examination or had a Braak score of 2.5 or lower. Genotypes from all cases and 4,617 controls were previously included in the AD GWAS by Harold and colleagues [52]. Genotypes for the remaining 2,660 population controls were obtained from WTCCC2.

Stage 2 case-control studies

Additional 22,618 case-control samples were obtained for replication from centres in Austria (1 centre), Belgium (1 centre), Finland (1 centre), Germany (4 centres), Greece (1 centre), Hungary (1 centre), Italy (8 centres), Spain (7 centres), Sweden (2 centres), the UK (5 centres) and the USA (1 centre) (see **Table 1** for description by countries and **Supplementary Table 8** for description by centre). Clinical diagnoses of probable AD were all established according to the DSM-III-R and NINCDS-ADRDA criteria. Controls were defined as subjects without DMS-III-R dementia criteria and with integrity of their cognitive functions (MMS >25). Each laboratory was asked to send $2.5 \mu g$ of genomic DNA at $50 ng/\mu l$.

Genotyping of ASPS Austrian control samples was performed using the Illumina Human610-Quad BeadChip. We excluded samples with low call rate (<98%), with excess autosomal heterozygosity (>0.350), with sex-mismatch, or as outliers identified by the IBS clustering analysis (>3 standard deviations from population mean or IBS probabilities >97%). Imputation was done using 1000G phase 1 interim (June 2011) data with the IMPUTE2 software. German individuals from Bonn centre were genotyped using the Illumina Omni1-Quad chip. Individuals with a genotype call rate worse than 99% or identified as outliers by a PCA were excluded. Identical DNAs and relationships between probands were checked by computation of the IBS-matrix and we removed one individual from each pair with an IBS that was 4 standard deviations higher than the average IBS of all pairs of individuals. Some of the AD patients were part of the original GERAD study. All doubled individuals were identified via IBS-analysis and were excluded. Imputation was performed with IMPUTE2 using 1,000 Genomes reference data (Feb 2012 release).

References

- [1] Jun G, Naj AC, Beecham GW, Wang LS, Buros J, Gallins PJ, et al. Meta-analysis confirms CR1, CLU, and PICALM as alzheimer disease risk loci and reveals interactions with APOE genotypes. Arch Neurol. 2010 Dec;67(12):1473–1484.
- [2] Beekly DL, Ramos EM, Lee WW, Deitrich WD, Jacka ME, Wu J, et al. The National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center (NACC) database: the Uniform Data Set. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord. 2007;21(3):249–258.
- [3] Morris JC, Weintraub S, Chui HC, Cummings J, Decarli C, Ferris S, et al. The Uniform Data Set (UDS): clinical and cognitive variables and descriptive data from Alzheimer Disease Centers. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord. 2006;20(4):210–216.
- [4] Hyman BT, Trojanowski JQ. Consensus recommendations for the postmortem diagnosis of Alzheimer disease from the National Institute on Aging and the Reagan Institute Working Group on diagnostic criteria for the neuropathological assessment of Alzheimer disease. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 1997 Oct;56(10):1095–1097.
- [5] Mirra SS, Hart MN, Terry RD. Making the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. A primer for practicing pathologists. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 1993 Feb;117(2):132–144.
- [6] Nagy Z, Yilmazer-Hanke DM, Braak H, Braak E, Schultz C, Hanke J. Assessment of the pathological stages of Alzheimer's disease in thin paraffin sections: a comparative study. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord. 1998;9(3):140–144.
- [7] Braak H, Braak E. Neuropathological stageing of Alzheimer-related changes. Acta Neuropathol. 1991;82(4):239–259.
- [8] Kramer PL, Xu H, Woltjer RL, Westaway SK, Clark D, Erten-Lyons D, et al. Alzheimer disease pathology in cognitively healthy elderly: a genome-wide study. Neurobiol Aging. 2011 Dec;32(12):2113–2122.
- [9] McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M, Katzman R, Price D, Stadlan EM. Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. Neurology. 1984 Jul;34(7):939–944.
- [10] Petersen RC, Aisen PS, Beckett LA, Donohue MC, Gamst AC, Harvey DJ, et al. Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI): clinical characterization. Neurology. 2010 Jan;74(3):201–209.
- [11] Saykin AJ, Shen L, Foroud TM, Potkin SG, Swaminathan S, Kim S, et al. Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative biomarkers as quantitative phenotypes: Genetics core aims, progress, and plans. Alzheimers Dement. 2010 May;6(3):265–273.
- [12] Green RC, Cupples LA, Go R, Benke KS, Edeki T, Griffith PA, et al. Risk of dementia among white and African American relatives of patients with Alzheimer disease. JAMA. 2002 Jan;287(3):329–336.
- [13] Roccaforte WH, Burke WJ, Bayer BL, Wengel SP. Validation of a telephone version of the mini-mental state examination. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1992 Jul;40(7):697–702.
- [14] Lee JH, Cheng R, Graff-Radford N, Foroud T, Mayeux R, on Aging Late-Onset Alzheimer's Disease Family Study Group NI. Analyses of the National Institute on Aging Late-Onset Alzheimer's Disease Family Study: implication of additional loci. Arch Neurol. 2008 Nov;65(11):1518–1526.
- [15] Scott WK, Nance MA, Watts RL, Hubble JP, Koller WC, Lyons K, et al. Complete genomic screen in Parkinson disease: evidence for multiple genes. JAMA. 2001 Nov;286(18):2239–2244.

- [16] Beecham GW, Martin ER, Li YJ, Slifer MA, Gilbert JR, Haines JL, et al. Genome-wide association study implicates a chromosome 12 risk locus for late-onset Alzheimer disease. Am J Hum Genet. 2009 Jan;84(1):35–43.
- [17] Edwards TL, Scott WK, Almonte C, Burt A, Powell EH, Beecham GW, et al. Genome-wide association study confirms SNPs in SNCA and the MAPT region as common risk factors for Parkinson disease. Ann Hum Genet. 2010 Mar;74(2):97–109.
- [18] Naj AC, Beecham GW, Martin ER, Gallins PJ, Powell EH, Konidari I, et al. Dementia revealed: novel chromosome 6 locus for late-onset Alzheimer disease provides genetic evidence for folate-pathway abnormalities. PLoS Genet. 2010 Sep;6(9).
- [19] Haroutunian V, Perl DP, Purohit DP, Marin D, Khan K, Lantz M, et al. Regional distribution of neuritic plaques in the nondemented elderly and subjects with very mild Alzheimer disease. Arch Neurol. 1998 Sep;55(9):1185–1191.
- [20] Kukull WA, Higdon R, Bowen JD, McCormick WC, Teri L, Schellenberg GD, et al. Dementia and Alzheimer disease incidence: a prospective cohort study. Arch Neurol. 2002 Nov;59(11):1737–1746.
- [21] Larson EB, Wang L, Bowen JD, McCormick WC, Teri L, Crane P, et al. Exercise is associated with reduced risk for incident dementia among persons 65 years of age and older. Ann Intern Med. 2006 Jan;144(2):73–81.
- [22] Li H, Wetten S, Li L, St Jean PL, Upmanyu R, Surh L, et al. Candidate single-nucleotide polymorphisms from a genomewide association study of Alzheimer disease. Arch Neurol. 2008 Jan;65(1):45–53.
- [23] Reiman EM, Webster JA, Myers AJ, Hardy J, Dunckley T, Zismann VL, et al. GAB2 alleles modify Alzheimer's risk in APOE epsilon4 carriers. Neuron. 2007 Jun;54(5):713–720.
- [24] Caselli RJ, Reiman EM, Locke DEC, Hutton ML, Hentz JG, Hoffman-Snyder C, et al. Cognitive domain decline in healthy apolipoprotein E epsilon4 homozygotes before the diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment. Arch Neurol. 2007 Sep;64(9):1306–1311.
- [25] Webster JA, Gibbs JR, Clarke J, Ray M, Zhang W, Holmans P, et al. Genetic control of human brain transcript expression in Alzheimer disease. Am J Hum Genet. 2009 Apr;84(4):445–458.
- [26] Bennett DA, Wilson RS, Schneider JA, Evans DA, Beckett LA, Aggarwal NT, et al. Natural history of mild cognitive impairment in older persons. Neurology. 2002 Jul;59(2):198–205.
- [27] Bennett DA, Schneider JA, Bienias JL, Evans DA, Wilson RS. Mild cognitive impairment is related to Alzheimer disease pathology and cerebral infarctions. Neurology. 2005 Mar;64(5):834–841.
- [28] Bennett DA, Schneider JA, Buchman AS, de Leon CM, Bienias JL, Wilson RS. The Rush Memory and Aging Project: study design and baseline characteristics of the study cohort. Neuroepidemiology. 2005;25(4):163–175.
- [29] Schneider JA, Arvanitakis Z, Bang W, Bennett DA. Mixed brain pathologies account for most dementia cases in community-dwelling older persons. Neurology. 2007 Dec;69(24):2197–2204.
- [30] Kamboh MI, Minster RL, Demirci FY, Ganguli M, Dekosky ST, Lopez OL, et al. Association of CLU and PICALM variants with Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging. 2012 Mar;33(3):518–521.
- [31] Psaty BM, O'Donnell CJ, Gudnason V, Lunetta KL, Folsom AR, Rotter JI, et al. Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology (CHARGE) Consortium: Design of prospective meta-analyses of genome-wide association studies from 5 cohorts. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2009 Feb;2(1):73–80.

- [32] Ikram MA, Seshadri S, Bis JC, Fornage M, DeStefano AL, Aulchenko YS, et al. Genomewide association studies of stroke. N Engl J Med. 2009 Apr;360(17):1718–1728.
- [33] Harris TB, Launer LJ, Eiriksdottir G, Kjartansson O, Jonsson PV, Sigurdsson G, et al. Age, Gene/Environment Susceptibility-Reykjavik Study: multidisciplinary applied phenomics. Am J Epidemiol. 2007 May;165(9):1076–1087.
- [34] Fried LP, Borhani NO, Enright P, Furberg CD, Gardin JM, Kronmal RA, et al. The Cardiovascular Health Study: design and rationale. Ann Epidemiol. 1991 Feb;1(3):263–276.
- [35] Fitzpatrick AL, Kuller LH, Ives DG, Lopez OL, Jagust W, Breitner JCS, et al. Incidence and prevalence of dementia in the Cardiovascular Health Study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2004 Feb;52(2):195–204.
- [36] Lopez OL, Kuller LH, Fitzpatrick A, Ives D, Becker JT, Beauchamp N. Evaluation of dementia in the cardio-vascular health cognition study. Neuroepidemiology. 2003;22(1):1–12.
- [37] Dawber TR, Kannel WB. The Framingham study. An epidemiological approach to coronary heart disease. Circulation. 1966 Oct;34(4):553–555.
- [38] Feinleib M, Kannel WB, Garrison RJ, McNamara PM, Castelli WP. The Framingham Offspring Study. Design and preliminary data. Prev Med. 1975 Dec;4(4):518–525.
- [39] Splansky GL, Corey D, Yang Q, Atwood LD, Cupples LA, Benjamin EJ, et al. The Third Generation Cohort of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute's Framingham Heart Study: design, recruitment, and initial examination. Am J Epidemiol. 2007 Jun;165(11):1328–1335.
- [40] Beiser A, D'Agostino RB, Seshadri S, Sullivan LM, Wolf PA. Computing estimates of incidence, including lifetime risk: Alzheimer's disease in the Framingham Study. The Practical Incidence Estimators (PIE) macro. Stat Med. 2000;19(11-12):1495–1522.
- [41] Bachman DL, Wolf PA, Linn RT, Knoefel JE, Cobb JL, Belanger AJ, et al. Incidence of dementia and probable Alzheimer's disease in a general population: the Framingham Study. Neurology. 1993 Mar;43(3 Pt 1):515–519.
- [42] Farmer ME, White LR, Kittner SJ, Kaplan E, Moes E, McNamara P, et al. Neuropsychological test performance in Framingham: a descriptive study. Psychol Rep. 1987 Jun;60(3 Pt 2):1023–1040.
- [43] DeCarli C, Massaro J, Harvey D, Hald J, Tullberg M, Au R, et al. Measures of brain morphology and infarction in the framingham heart study: establishing what is normal. Neurobiol Aging. 2005 Apr;26(4):491–510.
- [44] Au R, Seshadri S, Wolf PA, Elias M, Elias P, Sullivan L, et al. New norms for a new generation: cognitive performance in the framingham offspring cohort. Exp Aging Res. 2004;30(4):333–358.
- [45] Seshadri S, Wolf PA, Beiser A, Au R, McNulty K, White R, et al. Lifetime risk of dementia and Alzheimer's disease. The impact of mortality on risk estimates in the Framingham Study. Neurology. 1997 Dec;49(6):1498–1504.
- [46] Hofman A, Breteler MMB, van Duijn CM, Janssen HLA, Krestin GP, Kuipers EJ, et al. The Rotterdam Study: 2010 objectives and design update. Eur J Epidemiol. 2009;24(9):553–572.
- [47] Hofman A, Breteler MMB, van Duijn CM, Krestin GP, Pols HA, Stricker BHC, et al. The Rotterdam Study: objectives and design update. Eur J Epidemiol. 2007;22(11):819–829.
- [48] Ott A, Breteler MM, van Harskamp F, Stijnen T, Hofman A. Incidence and risk of dementia. The Rotterdam Study. Am J Epidemiol. 1998 Mar;147(6):574–580.

- [49] Dreses-Werringloer U, Lambert JC, Vingtdeux V, Zhao H, Vais H, Siebert A, et al. A polymorphism in CALHM1 influences Ca2+ homeostasis, Abeta levels, and Alzheimer's disease risk. Cell. 2008 Jun;133(7):1149–1161.
- [50] 3C Study Group. Vascular factors and risk of dementia: design of the Three-City Study and baseline characteristics of the study population. Neuroepidemiology. 2003;22(6):316–325.
- [51] Heath SC, Gut IG, Brennan P, McKay JD, Bencko V, Fabianova E, et al. Investigation of the fine structure of European populations with applications to disease association studies. Eur J Hum Genet. 2008 Dec;16(12):1413–1429.
- [52] Harold D, Abraham R, Hollingworth P, Sims R, Gerrish A, Hamshere ML, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and PICALM associated with Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet. 2009 Oct;41(10):1088–1093.
- [53] Mangravite LM, Engelhardt BE, et al. Bayes Factor, LCLs, 480 ids from Cholesterol And Pharmacogenetics (CAP) study. (in review);.
- [54] Zeller T, Wild P, Szymczak S, Rotival M, Schillert A, Castagne R, et al. Genetics and beyond–the transcriptome of human monocytes and disease susceptibility. PLoS One. 2010;5(5):e10693.
- [55] Montgomery SB, Sammeth M, Gutierrez-Arcelus M, Lach RP, Ingle C, Nisbett J, et al. Transcriptome genetics using second generation sequencing in a Caucasian population. Nature. 2010 Apr;464(7289):773–777.
- [56] Stranger BE, Nica AC, Forrest MS, Dimas A, Bird CP, Beazley C, et al. Population genomics of human gene expression. Nat Genet. 2007 Oct;39(10):1217–1224.
- [57] Veyrieras JB, Kudaravalli S, Kim SY, Dermitzakis ET, Gilad Y, Stephens M, et al. High-resolution mapping of expression-QTLs yields insight into human gene regulation. PLoS Genet. 2008 Oct;4(10):e1000214.

EADI consortium

Annick Alpérovitch¹, Anne Boland², Marc Delépine², Bruno Dubois³, Emmanuelle Duron⁴, Jacques Epelbaum⁵, Caroline Van Cauwenberghe^{6,7}, Sebastiaan Engelborghs^{7,8}, Rik Vandenberghe^{9,10}, Peter P. De Deyn^{7,8}, Raffaele Ferri¹¹, Carmelo Romano¹¹, Carlo Caltagirone¹², Maria Donata Orfei¹², Antonio Ciaramella¹², Elio Scarpini^{13,14}, Chiara Fenoglio^{13,14}, Gabriele Siciliano¹⁵, Ubaldo Bonuccelli¹⁵, Silvia Bagnoli^{16,17}, Laura Bracco^{16,17}, Valentina Bessi^{16,17}, Roberta Cecchetti^{18,19}, Patrizia Bastiani^{18,19}, Alessio Squassina²⁰, Davide Seripa²¹, Ana Frank-García^{22,23,24}, Isabel Sastre^{25,23,24}, Rafael Blesa^{26,27}, Daniel Alcolea^{26,27}, Marc Suárez-Calvet^{26,27}, Pascual Sánchez-Juan²⁸, Carmen Muñoz Fernandez²⁹, Yolanda Aladro Benito²⁹, Håkan Thonberg³⁰, Charlotte Forsell³¹, Lena Lilius³¹, Anne Kinhult-Ståhlbom³⁰, Vilmantas Giedraitis³², Lena Kilander³², RoseMarie Brundin³², Letizia Concari^{33,34}, Seppo Helisalmi^{35,36}, Anne Maria Koivisto^{35,36}, Annakaisa Haapasalo^{35,36}, Vincenzo Solfrizzi³⁷, Vincenza Frisardi³⁸, Jurg Ott³⁹.

- 1. Inserm U708, Victor Segalen University, F-33076, Bordeaux, France
- 2. Centre National de Genotypage, Institut Genomique, Commissariat à l'énergie Atomique, Evry, France
- 3. IM2A CRicm-UMRS975, AP-HP, Hôpital de la Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France
- 4. CMRR Paris Sud Ile-de-France, service de gériatrie, Hôpital Broca, 54-56 rue Pascal, 75013 Paris, France
- 5. UMR 894 Inserm, Psychiatry and Neurosciences Center, Paris, France
- 6. Neurodegenerative Brain Diseases Group, Department of Molecular Genetics, VIB, Antwerp, Belgium
- 7. Institute Born-Bunge, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium
- 8. Department of Neurology and Memory Clinic, Hospital Network Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium
- 9. Laboratory for Cognitive Neurology, Department of Neurology, University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
- 10. Department of Neurology and Memory Clinic, University Hospitals Leuven Gasthuisberg, Leuven, Belgium
- 11. IRCCS Associazione Oasi Maria SS, Troina, Italy
- 12. Clinical and Behavioral Neurology, Fondazione Santa Lucia, Roma ,Italy
- 13. University of Milan, Milan, Italy
- 14. Fondazione Cà Granda, IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico, Milan, Italy
- 15. Neurological Clinic, University of Pisa, Italy
- 16. NEUROFARBA Department of Neuroscience, Psychology, Drug Research and Child Health, University of Florence, Florence, Italy
- 17. Centro di Ricerca, Trasferimento e Alta Formazione DENOTHE, University of Florence, Florence, Italy
- 18. Section of Gerontology and Geriatrics, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine Perugia, Italy
- 19. University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy
- 20. Section of Neuroscience and Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Biomedical Science, University of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy
- 21. Gerontology and Geriatrics Research Laboratory, I.R.C.C.S. Casa Sollievo della Sofferenza, San Giovanni Rotondo (FG), Italy
- 22. Neurology Service; Hospital Universitario La Paz (UAM), Madrid, Spain
- 23. Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red sobre Enfermedades Neurodegenerativas (CIBERNED), Madrid, Spain
- 24. Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria «Hospital la Paz» (IdIPaz), Madrid, Spain
- 25. Centro de Biología Molecular Severo Ochoa (CSIC-UAM), Madrid, Spain
- 26. Neurology Department. IIB Sant Pau. Sant Pau Hospital. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. Barcelona, Spain.
- 27. Center for Networker Biomedical Research in Neurodegenerative Diseases (CIBERNED), Barcelona, Spain.
- 28. Neurology Service and CIBERNED, «Marqués de Valdecilla» University Hospital (University of Cantabria and IFIMAV), Santander, Spain
- 29. Department of Neurology, Hospital Universitario Dr. Negrin, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain
- 30. Dept Geriatric Medicine, Genetics Unit, Karolinska University Hospital Huddinge, S-14186 Stockholm,

Sweden

- 31. Karolinska Institutet, Dept Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, KIADRC, Novum floor 5, S14186 Stockholm, Sweden
- 32. Dept. of Public Health/Geriatrics, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
- 33. Department of Neuroscience-University of Parma-Italy, Parma, Italy
- 34. Center for Cognitive Disorders AUSL, Parma, Italy
- 35. Institute of Clinical Medicine Neurology, University of Eastern Finland, FIN-70211, Kuopio, Finland
- 36. Department of Neurology, Kuopio University Hospital, FIN-70211 Kuopio, Finland
- 37. Department of Geriatrics, Center for Aging Brain , University of Bari, Bari, Italy
- 38. Department of Neurosciences and Sensory Organs, Aldo Moro University of Bari, Bari, Italy
- 39. Laboratory of Statistical Genetics, The Rockfeller University, 1230 York Avenue, New York, NY, 10065, USA

ADGC consortium

Regina M Carney¹, Deborah C Mash², Marilyn S Albert³, Roger L Albin^{4,5}, Liana G Apostolova⁶, Steven E Arnold⁷, Michael M Barmada⁸, Lisa L Barnes^{9,10}, Thomas G Beach¹¹, Eileen H Bigio¹², Thomas D Bird¹³, Bradley F Boeve¹⁴, James D Bowen¹⁵, Adam Boxer¹⁶, James R Burk¹⁷, Nigel J Cairns¹⁸, Chuanhai Cao¹⁹, Chris S Carlson²⁰, Steven L Carroll²¹, Lori B Chibnik^{22,23}, Helena C Chui²⁴, David G Clark²⁵, Jason Corneveaux²⁶, David G Cribbs²⁷, Charles DeCarli²⁸, Steven T DeKosky²⁹, F Yesim Demirci⁸, Malcolm Dick³⁰, Dennis W Dickson³¹, Ranjan Duara³², Nilufer Ertekin-Taner^{31,33}, Kenneth B Fallon²¹, Martin R Farlow³⁴, Steven Ferris³⁵, Matthew P Frosch³⁶, Douglas R Galasko³⁷, Mary Ganguli³⁸, Marla Gearing^{39,40}, Daniel H Geschwind⁴¹, Bernardino Ghetti⁴², Sid Gilman⁴, Jonathan D Glass⁴³, John H Growdon⁴⁴, Ronald L Hamilton⁴⁵, Lindy E Harrell⁴⁶, Elizabeth Head⁴⁷, Lawrence S Honig⁴⁸, Christine M Hulette⁴⁹, Bradley T Hyman⁴⁴, Gail P Jarvik^{30,51}, Gregory A Jicha⁵², Lee-Way Jin⁵³, Anna Karydas¹⁶, John SK Kauwe⁵⁴, Jeffrey A Kaye^{55,56}, Ronald Kim⁵⁷, Edward H Koo³⁷, Neil W Kowall^{58,60}, Joel H Kramer⁶⁰, Patricia Kramer^{55,61}, Frank M LaFerla⁶², James J Lah⁴³, James B Leverenz⁶⁴, Allan I Levey⁴², Ge Li⁶⁴, Andrew P Lieberman⁶⁵, Constantine G Lyketsos⁶⁶, Wendy J Mack⁶⁷, Daniel C Marson⁴⁶, Frank Martiniuk⁶⁸, Eliezer Masliah^{37,69}, Wayne C McCormick⁷⁰, Susan M McCurry⁷¹, Andrew N McDavid²⁰, Ann C McKee^{58,59}, Marsel Mesulam⁷², Bruce L Miller¹⁶, Carol A Miller⁷³, Joshua W Miller⁷⁴, John C Morris^{18,75}, The Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative*, Jill R Murrell^{41,76}, John M Olichney⁷⁷, Vernon S Pankratz⁷⁸, Joseph F Parisi^{79,81}, Elaine Peskind⁶⁴, Ronald C Petersen⁸¹, Aimee Pierce²⁷, Wayne W Poon²⁹, Huntington Potter⁸², Joseph F Quinn⁵⁵, Ashok Raj⁸², Murray Raskind⁶⁴, Eric M. Reiman^{26,83,84}, Barry Reisberg^{35,85}, John M Ringman⁶, Erik D Roberson⁴⁶, Howard J

- 1. The John P. Hussman Institute for Human Genomics, University of Miami, Miami, FL, 33124, USA
- 2. Department of Neurology, University of Miami, Miami, FL, 33124, USA
- 3. Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, 21218, USA
- 4. Department of Neurology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, 48109, USA
- 5. Geriatric Research, Education and Clinical Center (GRECC), VA Ann Arbor Healthcare System (VAAAHS), Ann Arbor, MI, 48109, USA
- 6. Department of Neurology, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, 94607, USA
- 7. Department of Psychiatry, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, 19104, USA
- 8. Department of Human Genetics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, 15213, USA
- 9. Department of Neurological Sciences, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL, 60612, USA
- 10. Department of Behavioral Sciences, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL, 60612, USA
- 11. Civil Laboratory for Neuropathology, Banner Sun Health Research Institute, Phoenix, AZ, 85351, USA
- 12. Department of Pathology, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, 60208, USA
- 13. Department of Neurology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195, USA
- 14. Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, 55902, USA
- 15. Swedish Medical Center, Seattle, WA, 98195, USA
- 16. Department of Neurology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, 94122, USA
- 17. Department of Medicine, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27710, USA
- 18. Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, 63130, USA
- 19. USF Health Byrd Alzheimer's Institute, University of South Florida, Tampa, CA, 33620, USA
- 20. Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, 98195, USA
- 21. Department of Pathology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, 35294, USA
- 22. Program in Translational NeuroPsychiatric Genomics, Institute for the Neurosciences, Department of

Neurology & Psychiatry, Bringham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, 02215, USA

- 23. Program in Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute, Boston, MA, 02215, USA
- 24. Department of Neurology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, 94607, USA
- 25. Department of Neurology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, 35294, USA
- 26. Neurogenomics Division, Translational Genomics Research Institute, Phoenix, AZ, 85004, USA
- 27. Department of Neurology, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, 92617, USA
- 28. Department of Neurology, University of California Davis, Sacramento, CA, 95616, USA
- 29. University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, VA, 22903, USA
- 30. Institute for Memory Impairments and Neurological Disorders, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, 92617, USA
- 31. Department of Neuroscience, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL, 55902, USA
- 32. Wien Center for Alzheimer's Disease and Memory Disorders, Mount Sinai Medical Center, Miami Beach, FL, 10029, USA
- 33. Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL, 55902, USA
- 34. Department of Neurology, Indiana University, Indianapolis, IN, 46202, USA
- 35. Department of Psychiatry, New York University, New York, NY, 10027, USA
- 36. C.S. Kubik Laboratory for Neuropathology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Charlestown, MA, 02114, USA
- 37. Department of Neurosciences, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, 92093, USA
- 38. Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, 15213, USA
- 39. Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, 30329, USA
- 40. Emory Alzheimer's Disease Center, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, 30329, USA
- 41. Neurogenetics Program, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, 94607, USA
- 42. Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Indiana University, Indianapolis, IN, 46202, USA
- 43. Department of Neurology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, 30329, USA
- 44. Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital/Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, 02215, USA
- 45. Department of Pathology (Neuropathology), University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, 15213, USA
- 46. Department of Neurology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, 35294, USA
- 47. Sanders-Brown Center on Aging, Department of Molecular and Biomedical Pharmacology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, 40506, USA
- 48. Taub Institute on Alzheimer's Disease and the Aging Brain, Department of Neurology, Columbia University, New York, NY, 10027, USA
- 49. Department of Pathology, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27710, USA
- 50. Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195, USA
- 51. Department of Medicine (Medical Genetics), University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195, USA
- 52. Sanders-Brown Center on Aging, Department Neurology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, 40506, USA
- 53. Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of California Davis, Sacramento, CA, 95616, USA
- 54. Department of Biology, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 02215, USA
- 55. Department of Neurology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, 97239, USA
- 56. Department of Neurology, Portland Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Portland, OR, 97239, USA
- 57. Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, 92617, USA
- 58. Department of Neurology, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, 02215, USA
- 59. Department of Pathology, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, 02215, USA
- 60. Department of Neuropsychology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, 94122, USA
- 61. Department of Molecular & Medical Genetics, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, 97239, USA
- 62. Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, 92617, USA
- 63. Department of Pathology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195, USA
- 64. Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195, USA

- 65. Department of Pathology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, 48109, USA
- 66. Department of Psychiatry, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, 21218, USA
- 67. Department of Preventive Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, 94607, USA
- 68. Department of Medicine Pulmonary, New York University, New York, NY, 10027, USA
- 69. Department of Pathology, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, 92093, USA
- 70. Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195, USA
- 71. School of Nursing Northwest Research Group on Aging, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195, USA
- 72. Cognitive Neurology and Alzheimer's Disease Center, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, 60208, USA
- 73. Department of Pathology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, 94607, USA
- 74. Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of California Davis, Sacramento, CA, 95616, USA
- 75. Department of Neurology, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, 98101, USA
- 76. Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics, Indiana University, Indianapolis, IN, 46202, USA
- 77. Department of Neurology, University of California Davis, Sacramento, CA, 95616, USA
- 78. Department of Biostatistics, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, 55902, USA
- 79. Department of Anatomic Pathology, Mayo Clinic, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, 55902, USA
- 80. Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, 55902, USA
- 81. Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, 55902, USA
- 82. USF Health Byrd Alzheimer's Institute, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL, 33613, USA
- 83. Arizona Alzheimer's Consortium, Department of Psychiatry, University of Arizona, Phoenix, AZ, 85004, USA
- 84. Banner Alzheimer's Institute, Phoenix, AZ, 85004, USA
- 85. Alzheimer's Disease Center, New York University, New York, NY, 10027, USA
- 86. Department of Neurology, University of Texas Southwestern, Dallas, TX, 75390, USA
- 87. Department of Psychiatry, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY, 10027, USA
- 88. Department of Radiology and Imaging Sciences, Indiana University, Indianapolis, IN, 46202, USA
- 89. Department of Pathology (Neuropathology), Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL, 60208, USA
- 90. Department of Psychiatry, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, 94607, USA
- 91. Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, 19104, USA
- 92. Department of Pathology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, 21218, USA
- 93. Sanders-Brown Center on Aging, Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, 40506, USA
- 94. Department of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, 94607, USA
- 95. Taub Institute on Alzheimer's Disease and the Aging Brain, Department of Pathology, Columbia University, New York, NY, 10027, USA
- 96. Department of Medicine, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27710, USA
- 97. Department of Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27710, USA
- 98. Department of Pathology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, 97239, USA
- 99. Department of Pharmacology and Neuroscience, University of North Texas Health Science Center, Fort Worth, TX, 76102, USA

*Data used in preparation of this article were obtained from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (http://adni.loni.ucla.edu). As such, the investigators within the ADNI contributed to the design and implementation of ADNI and/or provided data but did not participate in analysis or writing of this report. A complete listing of ADNI investigators can be found at: http://adni.loni.ucla.edu/wp-content/uploads/how_to_apply/ADNI_Acknowledgement_List.pdf

CHARGE consortium

Rhoda Au¹, Philip A Wolf¹, Alexa Beiser², Stephanie Debette^{3,4}, Qiong Yang², Galit Weinstein¹, Andrew D Johnson⁵, Jing Wang², Andre G Uitterlinden^{6,7,8}, Fernando Rivadeneira⁶, Peter J Koudstaal⁹, William T Longstreth Jr^{10,11,12}, James T Becker¹³, Lewis H Kuller¹⁴, Thomas Lumley¹⁵, Kenneth Rice¹⁶, Melissa Garcia¹⁷, Thor Aspelund¹⁸, Josef JM Marksteiner¹⁹, Peter Dal-Bianco²⁰, Anna Maria Töglhofer²¹, Paul Freudenberger²¹, Gerhard Ransmayr²², Thomas Benke²³, Anna M Toeglhofer²¹, Jan Bressler²⁴, Monique MB Breteler²⁵, Myriam Fornage²⁶, Isabel Hernández²⁷, Maitee Rosende Roca²⁷, Ana Mauleón²⁷, Montserrat Alegret²⁷, Reposo Ramírez-Lorca²⁸, Antonio González-Perez²⁸.

- 1. Department of Neurology, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA 2118, USA
- 2. Department of Biostatistics, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA 2118, USA
- 3. Department of Neurology, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA 2118, USA
- 4. UMR744 Inserm, Lille, France
- 5. NHLBI Center for Cardiovascular Genomics, the Framingham Study, Framingham, MA 1702, USA
- 6. Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam, 3000 CA, The Netherlands (Uiterlinden)
- 7. Department of Epidemiology, Erasmus University Medical Center, PO Box 2040, 3000 CA Rotterdam, The Netherlands (Uiterlinden)
- 8. Netherlands Consortium for Healthy Aging (NCHA), Leiden, The Netherlands (Uiterlinden)
- 9. Department of Neurology, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam 3000 CA, The Netherlands
- 10. Cardiovascular Health Research Unit, Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98101, USA
- 11. Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98101, USA
- 12. Group Health Research Institute, Group Health Cooperative, Seattle, WA 98101, USA
- 13. The Departments of Neurology and Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA
- 14. Departments of Medicine and Epidemiology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA
- 15. Department of Statistics, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand.
- 16. Department of Biostatistics, University of Washington, School of Medicine, Seattle, WA 98101, USA
- 17. Laboratory of Epidemiology, Demography and Biometry, National Institute on Aging, Bethesda, MD, 20892, USA
- 18. The Icelandic Heart Association, Landspitali-University Hospital, Reykjavik, Iceland
- 19. Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, General Hospital, Hall, 6060, Austria
- 20. Department of Neurology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, 1090, Austria
- 21. Institute for Molecular Biology and Biochemistry, Medical University of Graz, Graz, 8010, Austria
- 22. Department of Neurology and Psychiatry, General Hospital Linz, Austria, Linz, 4020, Austria
- 23. Clinic of Neurology, Medical University Innsbruck, Innsbruck, 6020, Austria
- 24. School of Public Health, University of Texas Health Sciences Center at Houston, Houston, TX 77030, USA
- 25. German Center for Neurodegenerative diseases (DZNE), Bonn, 53175, Germany
- 26. Institute of Molecular Medicine and School of Public Health Division of Epidemiology Human Genetics and Environmental Sciences, University of Texas Health Sciences Center at Houston, Houston, TX 77030, USA
- 27. Memory Clinic of Fundació ACE. Institut Català de Neurociències Aplicades, Barcelona, 8029, Spain
- 28. Departamento de Genómica Estructural . Neocodex, Sevilla, 8029, Spain

GERAD consortium

Jade Chapman¹, Alexandra Stretton¹, Angharad Morgan¹, Patrick G Kehoe², Christopher Medway³, Jenny Lord³, James Turton³, Nigel M Hooper⁴, Emma Vardy⁵, Jason D Warren⁶, Jonathan M Schott⁶, James Uphill⁷, Natalie Ryan⁶, Martin Rossor^{6,7}, Yoav Ben-Shlomo⁸, Daniilidou Makrina⁹, Olymbia Gkatzima⁹, Michelle Lupton¹⁰, Maria Koutroumani⁹, Despoina Avramidou⁹, Antonia Germanou⁹, Frank Jessen¹¹, Steffi Riedel-Heller¹², Martin Dichgans¹³, Reiner Heun¹⁴, Heike Kölsch¹⁴, Britta Schürmann¹⁴, Christine Herold¹⁵, André Lacour¹⁵, Dmitriy Drichel¹⁵, Per Hoffmann¹⁶, Johannes Kornhuber¹⁷, Wei Gu¹⁸, Thomas Feulner¹⁸, Hendrik van den Bussche¹⁹, Brian Lawlor²⁰, Aoibhinn Lynch²⁰, David Mann²¹, A David Smith²², Donald Warden²², Gordon Wilcock²², Isabella Heuser²³, Jens Wiltfang²⁴, Lutz Frölich²⁵, Michael Hüll²⁶, Kevin Mayo²⁷, Gill Livingston²⁸, Nicholas J Bass²⁸, Hugh Gurling²⁸, Andrew McQuillin²⁸, Rhian Gwilliam²⁹, Panagiotis Deloukas²⁹, Ammar Al-Chalabi³⁰, Christopher E Shaw³⁰, Andrew B Singleton³¹, Rita Guerreiro³¹, Karl-Heinz Jöckel³², Norman Klopp³³, H-Erich Wichmann³³, Dennis W Dickson³⁴, Neill R Graff-Radford³⁴, Li Ma³⁴, Gina Bisceglio³⁴, Elizabeth Fisher³⁵, Nick Warner³⁶, Stuart Pickering-Brown³⁷.

- 1. Institute of Psychological Medicine and Clinical Neurosciences, MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics & Genomics, Cardiff University, UK
- 2. University of Bristol Institute of Clinical Neurosciences, School of Clinical Sciences, Frenchay Hospital, Bristol UK
- 3. Institute of Genetics, Queen's Medical Centre, University of Nottingham, UK.
- 4. Institute of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, LIGHT Laboratories, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK.
- 5. Institute for Ageing and Health, Newcastle University, Biomedical Research Building, Campus for Ageing and Vitality, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
- 6. Dementia Research Centre, Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, UCL Institute of Neurology, London, IJK
- 7. MRC Prion Unit, Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, UCL Institute of Neurology, London, UK.
- 8. School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
- 9. Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Despere 3, Thessaloniki, 54621, Greece
- 10. King's College London, Institute of Psychiatry, Department of Neuroscience, De Crespigny Park, Denmark Hill, London, UK
- 11. Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Bonn, Germany and German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE, Bonn), Bonn, Germany
- 12. Institute of Social Medicine, Occupational Health and Public Health, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany
- 13. Institute for Stroke and Dementia Research, Klinikum der Universität München, Munich, Germany and German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE, Munich), Munich, Germany
- 14. Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Bonn, Germany
- 15. Deutsches Zentrum für Neurodegenerative Erkrankungen (DZNE, Bonn), Bonn, Germany
- 16. Division of Medical Genetics, University Hospital and Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland
- 17. Department of Psychiatry, University of Erlangen, Nürnberg, Germany
- 18. Dept. Of Psychaitry, University Hospital, Saarland, Germany
- 19. Institute of Primary Medical Care, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany
- 20. Mercer's Institute for Research on Aging, St. James Hospital and Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland
- 21. Clinical Neuroscience Research Group, Greater Manchester Neurosciences Centre, University of Manchester, Salford, UK
- 22. Oxford Project to Investigate Memory and Ageing (OPTIMA), University of Oxford, Level 4, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford OX3 9DU, UK
- 23. Department of Psychiatry, Charité, Berlin, Germany

- 24. LVR-Hospital Essen, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Duisburg-Essen, Germany
- 25. Central Institute of Mental Health, Medical Faculty Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, Germany.
- 26. Department of Psychiatry, University of Frankfurt am Main, Frankfurt am Main, Germany
- 27. Departments of Psychiatry, Neurology and Genetics, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO 63110, USA
- 28. Department of Mental Health Sciences, University College London, UK.
- 29. The Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridge, UK.
- 30. MRC Centre for Neurodegeneration Research, Department of Clinical Neuroscience, King's College London, Institute of Psychiatry, London, SE5 8AF, UK.
- 31. Laboratory of Neurogenetics, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, 20892, USA.
- 32. Institute for Medical Informatics, Biometry and Epidemiology, University Hospital of Essen, University Duisburg-Essen, Hufelandstr. 55, D-45147 Essen, Germany.
- 33. Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany
- 34. Department of Neuroscience, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, Florida, 32224, USA
- 35. Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, UCL Institute of Neurology, London, UK
- 36. Somerset Partnership NHS Trust, Somerset, UK
- 37. Institute of Brain, Behaviour and Mental Health, Faculty of Human and Medical Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

Acknowledgements

This work was made possible by the generous participation of the control subjects, the patients, and their families. The i-Select chips was funded by the French National Foundation on Alzheimer's disease and related disorders. The French National Fondation on Alzheimer's disease and related disorders supported several I-GAP meetings and communications. Data management involved the Centre National de Génotypage, and was supported by the Institut Pasteur de Lille, Inserm, FRC (fondation pour la recherche sur le cerveau) and Rotary. This work has been developed and supported by the LABEX (laboratory of excellence program investment for the future) DISTALZ grant (Development of Innovative Strategies for a Transdisciplinary approach to ALZheimer's disease) and by the LABEX GENMED grant (Medical Genomics). The French National Foundation on Alzheimer's disease and related disorders and the Alzheimer's Association (Chicago, IL) grant supported IGAP in-person meetings, communication and the Alzheimer's Association (Chicago, IL) grant provided some funds to each consortium for analyses.

EADI

We thank Dr. Anne Boland (CNG) for her technical help in preparing the DNA samples for analyses. This work was supported by the National Foundation for Alzheimer's disease and related disorders, the Institut Pasteur de Lille and the Centre National de Génotypage.

The Three-City Study was performed as part of a collaboration between the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (Inserm), the Victor Segalen Bordeaux II University and Sanofi-Synthélabo. The Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale funded the preparation and initiation of the study. The 3C Study was also funded by the Caisse Nationale Maladie des Travailleurs Salariés, Direction Générale de la Santé, MGEN, Institut de la Longévité, Agence Française de Sécurité Sanitaire des Produits de Santé, the Aquitaine and Bourgogne Regional Councils, Agence Nationale de la Recherche, ANR supported the COGINUT and COVADIS projects. Fondation de France and the joint French Ministry of Research/INSERM «Cohortes et collections de données biologiques» programme. Lille Génopôle received an unconditional grant from Eisai. The Three-city biological bank was developed and maintained by the laboratory for genomic analysis LAG-BRC - Institut Pasteur de Lille.

Belgium sample collection: The patients were clinically and pathological characterized by the neurologists Sebastiaan Engelborghs, Rik Vandenberghe and Peter P De Deyn, and in part genetically by Caroline Van Cauwenberghe, Karolien Bettens and Kristel Sleegers. Research at the Antwerp site is funded in part by the Belgian Science Policy Office Interuniversity Attraction Poles program, the Foundation Alzheimer Research (SAO-FRA), the Flemish Government initiated Methusalem Excellence Program, the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO) and the University of Antwerp Research Fund, Belgium. KB is a postdoctoral fellow of the FWO. The Antwerp site authors thank the personnel of the VIB Genetic Service Facility, the Biobank of the Institute Born-Bunge and the Departments of Neurology and Memory Clinics at the Hospital Network Antwerp and the University Hospitals Leuven.

Finish sample collection: Financial support for this project was provided by the Health Research Council of the Academy of Finland, EVO grant 5772708 of Kuopio University Hospital, and the Nordic Centre of Excellence in Neurodegeneration.

Italian sample collections: the Bologna site (FL) obtained funds from the Italian Ministry of research and University as well as Carimonte Foundation. The Florence site was supported by grant RF-2010-2319722, grant from the the Cassa di Risparmio di Pistoia e Pescia (Grant 2012) and the Cassa di Risparmio di Firenze (Grant 2012). The Milan site was supported by a grant from the «fondazione Monzino». We thank the expert contribution of Mr. Carmelo Romano. The Roma site received financial support from Italian Ministry of Health, Grant RF07-08 and RC08-09-10-11-12. The Pisa site is grateful to Dr Annalisa LoGerfo for her technical assistance in the DNA purification studies.

Spanish sample collection: the Madrid site (MB) was supported by grants of the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia and the Ministerio de Sanidad y Consumo (Instituto de Salud Carlos III), and an institutional grant of the Fundación Ramón Areces to the CBMSO. We thank I. Sastre and Dr. A Martínez-García for the preparation and control of the DNA collection, and Drs. P. Gil and P. Coria for their cooperation in the cases/controls recruitment. We are grateful to the Asociación de Familiares de Alzheimer de Madrid (AFAL) for continuous encouragement and help.

Swedish sample collection: Financially supported in part by the Swedish Brain Power network, the Marianne and Marcus Wallenberg Foundation, the Swedish Research Council (521-2010-3134), the King Gustaf V and Queen Victoria's Foundation of Freemasons, the Regional Agreement on Medical Training and Clinical Research (ALF) between Stockholm County Council and the Karolinska Institutet, the Swedish Brain Foundation and the Swedish Alzheimer Foundation.

CHARGE

AGES: The AGES-Reykjavik Study is funded by NIH contract N01-AG-12100 (NIA with contributions from the NEI, NIDCD and NHLBI), the NIA Intramural Research Program, Hjartavernd (the Icelandic Heart Association), and the Althingi (the Icelandic Parliament).

ASPS/PRODEM: The Austrian Stroke Prevention Study and The Prospective Dementia Register of the Austrian Alzheimer Society was supported by The Austrian Science Fond (FWF) grant number P20545-P05 (H. Schmidt) and P13180; The Austrian Alzheimer Society; The Medical University of Graz. Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS): This CHS research was supported by NHLBI contracts HHSN268201200036C, HHSN268200800007C, N01HC55222, N01HC85079, N01HC85080, N01HC85081, N01HC85082, N01HC85083, N01HC85086, and HHSN268200960009C; and NHLBI grants HL080295, HL087652, HL105756 with additional contribution from the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS). Additional support was provided through AG023629, AG15928, AG20098, AG027058 and AG033193 (Seshadri) from the National Institute on Aging (NIA). A full list of CHS investigators and institutions can be found at http://www.chs-nhlbi.org/pi. The provision of genotyping data was supported in part by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, CTSI grant UL1TR000124, and the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Disease Diabetes Research Center (DRC) grant DK063491 to the Southern California Diabetes Endocrinology Research Center.Framingham Heart Study (FHS): This work was supported by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute's Framingham Heart Study (Contract No. N01-HC-25195) and its contract with Affymetrix, Inc for genotyping services (Contract No. N02-HL-6-4278). A portion of this research utilized the Linux Cluster for Genetic Analysis (LinGA-II) funded by the Robert Dawson Evans Endowment of the Department of Medicine at Boston University School of Medicine and Boston Medical Center. This study as also supported by grants from the National Institute on Aging: AG08122 and AG033193 (Seshadri). Drs. Seshadri and DeStefano were also supported by additional grants from the National Institute on Aging: (R01 AG16495; AG031287, AG033040), the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (R01 NS17950), and the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (U01 HL096917, HL093029 and K24HL038444, RC2-HL102419 and UC2 HL103010.

Fundació ACE would like to thank patients and controls who participated in this project. This work has been funded by the Fundación Alzheimur (Murcia), the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (PCT-010000-2007-18), (DEX-580000-2008-4), (Gobierno de España), Corporación Tecnológica de Andalucía (08/211) and Agencia IDEA (841318) (Consejería de Innovación, Junta de Andalucía). We thank to Ms. Trinitat Port-Carbó and her family for their generous support of Fundació ACE research programs.

The Rotterdam Study: The Rotterdam Study was funded by Erasmus Medical Center and Erasmus University, Rotterdam; the Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development; the Research Institute for Diseases in the Elderly; the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science; the Ministry for Health, Welfare and Sports; the European Commission; and the Municipality of Rotterdam; by grants from the Research Institute for Diseases in the Elderly (014-93-015; RIDE2), Internationale Stichting Alzheimer Onderzoek, Hersenstichting Nederland, the Netherlands Genomics Initiative—Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (Center for Medical Systems Biology and the Netherlands Consortium for Healthy Aging), the Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007-2013), the ENGAGE project (grant agreement HEALTH-F4-2007-201413), MRACE-grant from the Erasmus Medical Center, the Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMW Veni-grant no. 916.13.054). ARIC: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study (ARIC) is carried out as a collaborative study supported by National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute contracts N01-HC-55015, N01-HC-55016, N01-HC-55018, N01-HC-55019, N01-HC-55020, N01-HC-55021, N01-HC-55022 and grants R01-HL087641, RC2-HL102419 (Boerwinkle, CHARGE-S), UC2 HL103010, U01-HL096917 (Mosley) and R01-HL093029; NHGRI contract U01-HG004402; and NIH contract HHSN268200625226C and NIA: R01 AG033193 (Seshadri). Infrastructure was

partly supported by Grant Number UL1RR025005, a component of the National Institutes of Health and NIH Roadmap for Medical Research.

GERAD

Cardiff University was supported by the Wellcome Trust, Medical Research Council (MRC), Alzheimer's Research UK (ARUK) and the Welsh Government. ARUK supported sample collections at the Kings College London, the South West Dementia Bank, Universities of Cambridge, Nottingham, Manchester and Belfast. The Belfast group acknowledges support from the Alzheimer's Society, Ulster Garden Villages, N.Ireland R&D Office and the Royal College of Physicians/Dunhill Medical Trust. The MRC and Mercer's Institute for Research on Ageing supported the Trinity College group. DCR is a Wellcome Trust Principal Research fellow. The South West Dementia Brain Bank acknowledges support from Bristol Research into Alzheimer's and Care of the Elderly. The Charles Wolfson Charitable Trust supported the OPTIMA group. Washington University was funded by NIH grants, Barnes Jewish Foundation and the Charles and Joanne Knight Alzheimer's Research Initiative. Patient recruitment for the MRC Prion Unit/UCL Department of Neurodegenerative Disease collection was supported by the UCLH/UCL Biomedical Centre and their work was supported by the NIHR Queen Square Dementia BRU. LASER-AD was funded by Lundbeck SA. The Bonn group would like to thank Dr. Heike Koelsch for her scientific support. The Bonn group was funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF): Competence Network Dementia (CND) grant number 01GI0102, 01GI0711, 01GI0420. The AgeCoDe study group was supported by the German Federal Ministry for Education and Research grants 01 GI 0710, 01 GI 0712, 01 GI 0713, 01 GI 0714, 01 GI 0715, 01 GI 0716, 01 GI 0717. Genotyping of the Bonn case-control sample was funded by the German centre for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Germany. The GERAD Consortium also used samples ascertained by the NIMH AD Genetics Initiative. HH was supported by a grant of the Katharina-Hardt-Foundation, Bad Homburg vor der Höhe, Germany. The KORA F4 studies were financed by Helmholtz Zentrum München; German Research Center for Environmental Health; BMBF; German National Genome Research Network and the Munich Center of Health Sciences. The Heinz Nixdorf Recall cohort was funded by the Heinz Nixdorf Foundation (Dr. Jur. G.Schmidt, Chairman) and BMBF. Coriell Cell Repositories is supported by NINDS and the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute on Aging. We acknowledge use of genotype data from the 1958 Birth Cohort collection, funded by the MRC and the Wellcome Trust which was genotyped by the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium and the Type-1 Diabetes Genetics Consortium, sponsored by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development and Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation International. The Nottingham Group (KM) are supported by the Big Lottery. MRC CFAS is part of the consortium and data will be included in future analyses.

ADGC

The National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Aging (NIH-NIA) supported this work through the following grants: ADGC, U01 AG032984, RC2 AG036528; NACC, U01 AG016976; NCRAD, U24 AG021886; NIA LOAD, U24 AG026395, R01 AG041797; MIRAGE R01 AG025259; Banner Sun Health Research Institute P30 AG019610; Boston University, P30 AG013846, U01 AG10483, R01 CA129769, R01 MH080295, R01 AG017173, R01AG33193; Columbia University, P50 AG008702, R37 AG015473; Duke University, P30 AG028377, AG05128; Emory University, AG025688; Group Health Research Institute, U01 AG06781, U01 HG004610; Indiana University, P30 AG10133; Johns Hopkins University, P50 AG005146, R01 AG020688; Massachusetts General Hospital, P50 AG005134; Mayo Clinic, P50 AG016574; Mount Sinai School of Medicine, P50 AG005138, P01 AG002219; New York University, P30 AG08051, MO1RR00096, and UL1 RR029893; Northwestern University, P30 AG013854; Oregon Health & Science University, P30 AG008017, R01 AG026916; Rush University, P30 AG010161, R01 AG019085, R01 AG15819, R01 AG17917, R01 AG30146; TGen, R01 NS059873; University of Alabama at Birmingham, P50 AG016582, UL1RR02777; University of Arizona, R01 AG031581; University of California, Davis, P30 AG016577; University of California, Irvine, P50 AG016570; University of California, San Diego, P50 AG016571; University of California, San Francisco, P50 AG023501, P01 AG019724; Uni-

versity of Kentucky, P30 AG028383; University of Michigan, P50 AG008671; University of Pennsylvania, P30 AG010124; University of Pittsburgh, P50 AG005133, AG030653, AG041718; University of Southern California, P50 AG005142; University of Texas Southwestern, P30 AG012300; University of Miami, R01 AG027944, AG010491, AG027944, AG021547, AG019757; University of Washington, P50 AG005136; Vanderbilt University, R01 AG019085; and Washington University, P50 AG005681, P01 AG03991. The Kathleen Price Bryan Brain Bank at Duke University Medical Center is funded by NINDS grant # NS39764, NIMH MH60451 and by Glaxo Smith Kline. Genotyping of the TGEN2 cohort was supported by Kronos Science. The TGen series was also funded by NIA grant AG034504 to AJM, The Banner Alzheimer's Foundation, The Johnnie B. Byrd Sr. Alzheimer's Institute, the Medical Research Council, and the state of Arizona and also includes samples from the following sites: Newcastle Brain Tissue Resource (funding via the Medical Research Council, local NHS trusts and Newcastle University), MRC London Brain Bank for Neurodegenerative Diseases (funding via the Medical Research Council), South West Dementia Brain Bank (funding via numerous sources including the Higher Education Funding Council for England (HEFCE), Alzheimer's Research Trust (ART), BRACE as well as North Bristol NHS Trust Research and Innovation Department and DeNDRoN), The Netherlands Brain Bank (funding via numerous sources including Stichting MS Research, Brain Net Europe, Hersenstichting Nederland Breinbrekend Werk, International Parkinson Fonds, Internationale Stiching Alzheimer Onderzoek), Institut de Neuropatologia, Servei Anatomia Patologica, Universitat de Barcelona. Marcelle Morrison-Bogorad, PhD., Tony Phelps, PhD and Walter Kukull PhD are thanked for helping to co-ordinate this collection. ADNI Funding for ADNI is through the Northern California Institute for Research and Education by grants from Abbott, AstraZeneca AB, Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eisai Global Clinical Development, Elan Corporation, Genentech, GE Healthcare, Glaxo-SmithKline, Innogenetics, Johnson and Johnson, Eli Lilly and Co., Medpace, Inc., Merck and Co., Inc., Novartis AG, Pfizer Inc, F. Hoffman-La Roche, Schering-Plough, Synarc, Inc., Alzheimer's Association, Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation, the Dana Foundation, and by the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering and NIA grants U01 AG024904, RC2 AG036535, K01 AG030514. Data collection and sharing for this project was funded by the ADNI (National Institutes of Health Grant U01 AG024904). ADNI is funded by the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, and through generous contributions from the following: Alzheimer's Association; Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation; BioClinica, Inc.; Biogen Idec Inc.; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; Eisai Inc.; Elan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Eli Lilly and Company; F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd and its affiliated company Genentech, Inc.; GE Healthcare; Innogenetics, N.V.; IXICO Ltd.; Janssen Alzheimer Immunotherapy Research & Development, LLC.; Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development LLC.; Medpace, Inc.; Merck & Co., Inc.; Meso Scale Diagnostics, LLC.; NeuroRx Research; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Pfizer Inc.; Piramal Imaging; Servier; Synarc Inc.; and Takeda Pharmaceutical Company. The Canadian Institutes of Health Research is providing funds to support ADNI clinical sites in Canada. Private sector contributions are facilitated by the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health (www.fnih.org). The grantee organization is the Northern California Institute for Research and Education, and the study is coordinated by the Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study at the University of California, San Diego. ADNI data are disseminated by the Laboratory for Neuro Imaging at the University of California, Los Angeles. This research was also supported by NIH grants P30 AG010129 and K01 AG030514. We thank Drs. D. Stephen Snyder and Marilyn Miller from NIA who are ex-officio ADGC members. Support was also from the Alzheimer's Association (LAF, IIRG-08-89720; MP-V, IIRG-05-14147) and the US Department of Veterans Affairs Administration, Office of Research and Development, Biomedical Laboratory Research Program. P.S.G.-H. is supported by Wellcome Trust, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, and the Canadian Institute of Health.