

A note on anisotropic quantum gravity

S. Halayka*

Sunday 22nd January, 2023 10:26

Abstract

Anisotropic quantum gravity is considered, leading to a unique view of dark matter. Dark matter is a graviton condensate.

1 On the interruption of a process by time dilation

A *process* is a system of mass-energy, including its internal interactions, over time.

Time dilation is the *interruption* of said process, whether it be kinematic and/or gravitational – both are the result of external interactions. In the case of the gravitational interaction, the process is interrupted by spacetime itself (e.g. gravitons). In the case of the non-gravitational interaction, the process is interrupted by the other force-carrying particles (e.g. photons, etc).

It is the gradient of the gravitational time dilation [1], based on the distance from the gravitating process, that causes acceleration toward the gravitating process. This gravitational time dilation is encoded in the first term on the right-hand side of the Schwarzschild line element

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{R_s}{r}\right) c^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{R_s}{r}\right)} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (1)$$

where R_s is the Schwarzschild radius

$$R_s = \frac{2GM}{c^2}, \quad (2)$$

and M is the mass-energy of the gravitating process. Note that the Schwarzschild line element is irrotational – it is used as a rough model, useful for where rotation speed is practically zero (when compared to the speed of light).

Simplified, the gravitational time dilation equation is based on distance:

$$dt' = dt \sqrt{1 - \frac{R_s}{r}}, \quad (3)$$

*sjhalayka@gmail.com

and the kinematic time dilation equation is based on speed:

$$dt' = dt \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}. \quad (4)$$

Here dt' is the rate of time, after taking into account the time dilation.

We do not use geometrized units in this paper, because we do not have proof that c , G , \hbar and k are all fundamental and independent of one another.

If all of physics is about processes, then it is therefore all about *computation* [2, 3] – here we have even adopted the concept of process interruption, which is surely familiar to all x86 assembly programmers [4].

In Newton and Einstein’s theory, all mass-energy gravitates in an *isotropic* (spherical) manner. In this paper, we will consider aspherical – *anisotropic* – gravitating processes.

2 On anti-gravity and time contraction

It should be noted that there is no *anti-gravity* – there is no *anti-interruption*, just simply a *lack* of interruption. That said, there is the *overclocking* and *optimization* of processes to consider – literally making a process run faster than its natural rate by reducing redundant and slow interactions [5–7]. That is, there is the possibility of time *contraction*.

3 On taking the holographic principle literally

In simple terms, the holographic principle states that a black hole process is the densest process for any given mass-energy M – contemporary digital or quantum processors are nowhere close to this limit.

It takes n Boolean degrees of freedom (e.g. a measurement of binary Bekenstein-Hawking entropy) to describe the gravitational field [8–10] generated by a black hole process of mass-energy M . Where $\ell_p^2 = \hbar G/c^3$ is the Planck area, this number of gravitational degrees of freedom is

$$n = \frac{k A_s}{4 \ell_p^2 \log(2)}, \quad (5)$$

where in the case of the Schwarzschild line element, the event horizon area is

$$A_s = 4\pi R_s^2. \quad (6)$$

This effectively quantizes the black hole event horizon – the event horizon is made up of an ensemble of n Planck-scale oscillators. All of the non-gravitational degrees of freedom have been stripped away as gravitational waves, leaving only the gravitational degrees of freedom. In other words: a black hole is raw spacetime.

In this paper we take the holographic principle literally, and so even for non-black hole processes, the number of gravitational degrees of freedom is still n – the process is just not as small as a black hole would be. Of course, the non-black hole also contains non-gravitational degrees of freedom, something that the black hole process does not.

It’s a matter of degeneracy, and minimum size – there is no singularity.

4 On the source of gravitational time dilation

It's important to note that there is no such thing as a gravitational shadow. This means that all mass-energy *relays* (e.g. *repeats*) all gravitons, which allows a gravitating process to *indirectly* influence even more than n receivers. It also means that a process is interrupted by the act of relaying itself – the relaying of gravitons is the source of gravitational time dilation. Thus, there is a fundamental limit to the amount of processing that can occur per unit of time, otherwise the relaying of gravitons would not cause time dilation at all.

As a process falls toward a black hole's event horizon, the process is interrupted to the point where it becomes fully *assimilated* – it becomes one process with the black hole. In essence, a process *blossoms* as kinematic and/or gravitational time dilation increases, opening up like a flower in the brightening sunlight.

5 On dark matter and the fractional dimension of gravitationally bound processes

With regard to the flat rotation curve found in galactic dynamics [11]: if n is at least conserved as a gravitationally bound process (e.g. a galaxy) goes from sphere to disk as distance from the process centre increases, then the gravitation becomes anisotropic, strengthening along the orbit plane, weakening elsewhere. In fact, gravitation is anisotropic for all gravitationally bound processes, for there is no such thing as a perfect spherically symmetric, isotropic, homogeneous process (not even a Schwarzschild black hole is perfectly spherically symmetric, because the event horizon is quantized). This includes galaxies, clusters, walls, and filaments. For instance, for a perfect disk, the interaction strength increases by a factor of c with a long-range falloff proportional to $1/r$, and for a perfect filament it increases by a factor of c^2 with a long-range falloff proportional to 1 (e.g. no falloff). For these perfect shapes, the spatial dimension of the gravitational field D goes from being $D = 3$ down to $D = 2$ or $D = 1$.

Using a rough model, which assumes that the gravitation is Newtonian – irrotational like with the Schwarzschild line element, but where the gradient of time dilation has a length of practically zero (e.g. where $r \gg R_s$), and so only space is curved – it is found that at a distance of roughly 10 kiloparsecs from the centre of the Milky Way, the spatial dimension of the gravitational field is roughly $D = 2.97$. The equation used to obtain this measure is likely familiar to researchers of the fractal geometry of nature [12]:

$$D = \frac{\log\left(\frac{c^3 GM}{v^2 r}\right)}{\log(c)}, \quad (7)$$

where $M = 1 \times 10^{41}$ is the mass-energy of the Milky Way's core, $v = 200000$ is the desired circular orbit speed, and the orbit radius is $r = 3 \times 10^{20}$ metres (e.g. roughly 10 kiloparsecs). To compare, where $v = \sqrt{GM/r} = 149108$, it is found that $D = 3$ (e.g. where Newton and Einstein's isotropic theory of gravitation still works great).

We have no reason to expect that we will find a WIMP, axion, or similar solution [13–18] to the dark matter problem – if gravitation is quantized, then dark matter is made up of a

graviton *condensate*.

Without evidence to the contrary, it is assumed that the maximum speed of the graviton is the same as the maximum speed of the photon.

6 Conclusion

The main takeaway from all of the sections of this paper is that interaction is quantized – it is composed of gravitons, photons, electrons, etc.

Here we have defined a unique view of dark matter, which forms due to anisotropic gravitation in gravitationally bound processes. Of greatest importance is the fact that there is a finite number of gravitational degrees of freedom n for a process of mass-energy M , and that when aligned, these gravitational degrees of freedom form gravitational bonds that are stronger than those predicted by Newton and Einstein’s isotropic theory of gravitation.

It should be noted that for processes bound by all four forces, such as protoplanetary disks, there is practically no dark matter to be found, because the emission of gravitons is so very close to being isotropic due to the isotropic nature of the other three forces. For the Solar system – which was once a protoplanetary disk – the overall mass-energy distribution has become too sparse to form any appreciable amount of anisotropic gravitation in the Sun. This is to say that Newton and Einstein’s isotropic theory of gravitation is still very great, for the relatively small AU-scale cases where dark matter practically need not be factored in.

References

- [1] Misner et al. Gravitation. (1970)
- [2] Zuse. Calculating Space. (1969)
- [3] Wolfram. A New Kind of Science. (2002)
- [4] Abrash. Michael Abrash's Graphics Programming Black Book. (1997)
- [5] Wainner et al. The Book of Overclocking: Tweak Your PC to Unleash Its Power. (2003)
- [6] McConnell. Code Complete. 2E. (2004)
- [7] Pikus. The Art of Writing Efficient Programs: An advanced programmer's guide to efficient hardware utilization and compiler optimizations using C++ examples. (2021)
- [8] 't Hooft. Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity. (1993)
- [9] Susskind. The World as a Hologram. (1994)
- [10] Bousso. The holographic principle. (2002)
- [11] Binney et al. Galactic Dynamics. Second Edition. (2008)
- [12] Mandelbrot. The Fractal Geometry of Nature. (1982)
- [13] Berger et al. Snowmass 2021 White Paper: Cosmogenic Dark Matter and Exotic Particle Searches in Neutrino Experiments. (2022)
- [14] Aalbers et al. First Dark Matter Search Results from the LUX-ZEPLIN (LZ) Experiment. (2022)
- [15] Quiskamp et al. Direct Search for Dark Matter Axions Excluding ALP Cogenesis in the 63-67 micro-eV Range, with The ORGAN Experiment. (2022)
- [16] Haipeng et al. Direct detection of dark photon dark matter using radio telescopes. (2022)
- [17] Hui et al. Ultralight scalars as cosmological dark matter. (2016)
- [18] Ackerman et al. Dark Matter and Dark Radiation. (2008)