# **Getting Started with LatinCy**

Patrick J. Burns

2024-08-23

# Table of contents

| Pr  | reface   | 4   |
|-----|--|-----|
|     | Key links  | 4   |
|     | Acknowledgements   | 4   |
| ΑŁ  | obreviations   | 5   |
| I   | Front Matter   | 6   |
| П   | Model/Pipeline Basics  | 7   |
| 1   | Installing LatinCy models  | 8   |
|     | 1.1 Installing spaCy   | 8   |
|     | 1.2 Installing the LatinCy models  | 8   |
|     | 1.3 Installing additional packages   | 8   |
| 2   | Loading LatinCy models   | 10  |
|     | 2.1 Loading LatinCy models with spaCy  | 10  |
|     | 2.2 Creating a spaCy doc from a string   | 10  |
| 3   | LatinCy components and their annotations   | 12  |
|     | 3.1 LatinCy components and their annotations                                     | 12  |
| 111 | I NLP Tasks  | 14  |
| 4   | Sentence segmentation  | 15  |
|     | 4.1 Sentence segmentation with LatinCy   | 15  |
|     | References   |     |
| 5   | Word Tokenization  | 19  |
|     | 5.1 Word tokenization with LatinCy   | 19  |
|     | $5.1.1$ Token attributes and methods related to tokenization $\dots \dots \dots$ |     |
|     | 5.1.2 Customization of the spaCy tokenizer in LatinCy                            | 24  |
|     | References   | 2.7 |

| 6  | Lemmatization |                              |    |  |  |  |  |
|----|---------------|------------------------------|----|--|--|--|--|
|    | 6.1           | Lemmatization with LatinCy   | 28 |  |  |  |  |
|    |               | References                   | 32 |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | POS           | 5 Tagging                    | 33 |  |  |  |  |
|    | 7.1           | POS Tagging with LatinCy     | 33 |  |  |  |  |
|    |               | References                   | 38 |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | Mor           | phological Tagging           | 39 |  |  |  |  |
|    | 8.1           | POS Tagging with LatinCy     | 39 |  |  |  |  |
|    |               | References                   | 41 |  |  |  |  |
| IV | spa           | aCy Tasks                    | 42 |  |  |  |  |
| Αŗ | pen           | dices                        | 43 |  |  |  |  |
| Or | en L          | atinCy Projects              | 43 |  |  |  |  |
|    | Mod           | lel-based enclitic splitting | 43 |  |  |  |  |
| Re | feren         | nces                         | 44 |  |  |  |  |

## **Preface**

"Getting Started with LatinCy" is an always-a-work-in-progress combination of documentation and demo notebooks for working with the LatinCy models on a variety of Latin text analysis and NLP tasks.



#### **Key links**

Models: https://huggingface.co/latincy

Universe: https://spacy.io/universe/project/latincy

Preprint: https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.04365

This book has been written using Jupyter notebooks which have then been collated with

Quarto. To learn more about Quarto books visit https://quarto.org/docs/books.

## **Acknowledgements**

Thank you to all of the readers who have submitted corrections via GitHub PRs: @sjhuskey.

## **Abbreviations**

Where possible, I will include references to standard NLP works using the following abbreviations:

**NLTK** Bird, S., Klein, E., and Loper, E. 2015. *Natural Language Processing with Python: Analyzing Text with the Natural Language Toolkit*. 2nd Edition. https://www.nltk.org/book/. (Bird, Klein, and Loper 2015)

**SLP** Jurafsky, D., and Martin, J.H. 2020. *Speech and Language Processing*. 3rd Edition, Draft. https://web.stanford.edu/~jurafsky/slp3/. (Jurafsky and Martin 2020)

# Part I Front Matter

# Part II Model/Pipeline Basics

## 1 Installing LatinCy models

#### 1.1 Installing spaCy

The LatinCy models are designed to work with the spaCy natural language platform, so you will need to have this package installed before anything else. The following cell has the pip install command for spaCy. At the time of writing, the latest version available for spaCy compatible with LatinCy is v3.7.5.

NB: To run the cells below, uncomment the commands by removing the # at the beginning of the line. The exclamation point at the beginning of the line is shorthand for the **%system** magic command in Jupyter which can be used to run shell commands from within a notebook.

```
# !pip install -U spacy
```

#### 1.2 Installing the LatinCy models

LatinCy models are currently available in three sizes: 'sm', 'md', and 'lg'. We will use the different models throughout the tutorials, so let's install all three now so that they are available for future chapters.

```
# ! pip install "la-core-web-sm @ https://huggingface.co/latincy/la_core_web_sm/resolve/main.
# ! pip install "la-core-web-md @ https://huggingface.co/latincy/la_core_web_md/resolve/main.
# ! pip install "la-core-web-lg @ https://huggingface.co/latincy/la_core_web_lg/resolve/main.
# ! pip install "la-core-web-trf @ https://huggingface.co/latincy/la_core_web_trf/resolve/ma
```

### 1.3 Installing additional packages

We will also use other packages throughout these tutorials. They are included here for your convenience, as well as in the requirements.txt file in the code repository for this Quarto book.

```
# !pip install pandas
# !pip install matplotlib
# !pip install seaborn
# !pip install scikit-learn
# !pip install tqdm
```

# 2 Loading LatinCy models

## 2.1 Loading LatinCy models with spaCy

```
# Imports
import spacy
from pprint import pprint

nlp = spacy.load('la_core_web_lg')
```

#### 2.2 Creating a spaCy doc from a string

```
text = "Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo."
doc = nlp(text)
print(doc)

Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo.
```

```
print(type(doc))
```

<class 'spacy.tokens.doc.Doc'>

```
print(doc.__repr__())
```

Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo.

```
print(doc.text)
```

Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo.

```
print(type(doc.text))
```

<class 'str'>

## 3 LatinCy components and their annotations

### 3.1 LatinCy components and their annotations

```
# Imports & setup

import spacy
import pandas as pd
from pprint import pprint
nlp = spacy.load('la_core_web_lg')
text = "avus eius Acrisius appellabatur."
doc = nlp(text)
print(doc)
```

avus eius Acrisius appellabatur.

Here are the components provided by the default LatinCy models...

#### pprint(nlp.pipe\_names)

```
['senter',
  'normer',
  'tok2vec',
  'tagger',
  'morphologizer',
  'trainable_lemmatizer',
  'parser',
  'lookup_lemmatizer',
  'ner']
```

The dataframe below summarizes the key annotations provided by these components...

```
data = []

for token in doc:
    data.append([token.text, token.norm_, token.lemma_, token.pos_, token.tag_, token.morph.def = pd.DataFrame(data, columns=['text', 'norm', 'lemma', 'pos', 'tag', 'morph', 'dep', 'ent]

df
```

|           | text               | norm               | lemma                  | pos                   | tag                     | morph   |
|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---|
| 0 $1$ $2$ | avus eius Acrisius | auus eius acrisius | auus<br>is<br>Acrisius | NOUN<br>PRON<br>PROPN | noun pronoun propernoun | Case=Nom Gender=Masc Number=Sing<br>Case=Gen Gender=Masc Number=Sing<br>Case=Nom Gender=Masc Number=Sing<br>Mood-Ind Number=Sing Derson=2 Tor |
| 3<br>4    | appellabatur       | appellabatur       | appello                | VERB<br>PUNCT         | verb<br>punc            | Mood=Ind Number=Sing Person=3 Ter   |

# Part III NLP Tasks

## 4 Sentence segmentation

#### 4.1 Sentence segmentation with LatinCy

Sentence segmentation is the task of splitting a text into sentences. For the LatinCy models, this is a task been trained using spaCy's senter factory to terminate sentences at both strong and weak stops, following the example of Clayman (1981) (see also, Wake (1957), Janson (1964)), who writes: "If all stops are made equivalent, i.e. if no distinction is made between the strong stop, weak stop and interrogation mark, editorial differences will be kept to a minimum."

Given a spaCy Doc, the sents attribute will produce a generator object with the sentence from that document as determined by the dependency parser. Each sentence is a Span object with the start and end token indices from the original Doc.

```
# Imports & setup

import spacy
from pprint import pprint
nlp = spacy.load('la_core_web_lg')
text = "Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo. Perseus filius erat Iovis, maximi deorum. Avus ei
doc = nlp(text)
print(doc)
```

Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo. Perseus filius erat Iovis, maximi deorum. Avus eius Acris

```
sents = doc.sents
print(type(sents))
```

```
<class 'generator'>
```

Like all Span objects, the text from each sentence can be retrieved with the text attribute. For convenience below, we convert the generator to list so that we can iterate over it multiple times. Here are the three (3) sentences identified in the example text as well as an indication of the sentences' type, i.e. <class 'spacy.tokens.span'>.

```
sents = list(sents)

for i, sent in enumerate(sents, 1):
    print(f'{i}: {sent.text}')

1: Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo.
2: Perseus filius erat Iovis, maximi deorum.
3: Avus eius Acrisius appellabatur.

sent = sents[0]
print(type(sent))
```

<class 'spacy.tokens.span.Span'>

Sentences have the same attributes/methods available to them as any span (listed in the next cell). Following are some attributes/methods that may be particularly relevant to working with sentences.

```
sent_methods = [item for item in dir(sent) if '_' not in item]
pprint(sent_methods)
```

```
['conjuncts',
 'doc',
 'end',
 'ents',
 'id',
 'label',
 'lefts',
 'rights',
 'root',
 'sent',
 'sentiment',
 'sents',
 'similarity',
 'start',
 'subtree',
 'tensor',
 'text',
 'vector',
 'vocab']
```

You can identify the **root** of the sentence as determined by the dependency parser. Assuming the parsing in correct, this will be the main verb of the sentence.

```
print(sent.root)
```

#### narrantur

Each word in the sentence has an associated vector. Sentence (any Span in fact) has an associated vector as well that is the mean of the vectors of the words in the sentence. As this example uses the 1g model, the vector has a length of 300.

```
print(sent.vector.shape)
```

(300,)

This vector then can be used to compute the similarity between two sentences. Here we see our example sentence compared to two related sentence: 1. a sentence where the character referred to is changed from Perseus to Ulysses; and 2. the active-verb version of the sentence.

```
sent.similarity(nlp('Haec narrantur a poetis de Ulixe.'))
```

#### 0.9814933448498585

```
sent.similarity(nlp('Haec narrant poetae de Perseo.'))
```

#### 0.7961655550941479

We can retrieve the start and end indices from the original document for each sentence.

```
sent_2 = sents[1]
start = sent_2.start
end = sent_2.end
print(start)
print(end)
print(sent_2.text)
print(doc[start:end].text)
```

```
7
15
Perseus filius erat Iovis, maximi deorum.
Perseus filius erat Iovis, maximi deorum.
```

## References

 $\textbf{SLP} \ Chapter \ 2, Section \ 2.4.5 \ "Sentence Segmentation", pp. 24 \ [link] \ (https://web.stanford.edu/~jurafsky/slp3/) \\ \textbf{spaCy} \ Sentence Recognizer$ 

## 5 Word Tokenization

#### 5.1 Word tokenization with LatinCy

```
# Imports & setup

import spacy
from pprint import pprint
nlp = spacy.load('la_core_web_md')
text = "Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo. Perseus filius erat Iovis, maximi deorum. Avus eig
doc = nlp(text)
print(doc)
```

Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo. Perseus filius erat Iovis, maximi deorum. Avus eius Acris

Word tokenization is the task of splitting a text into words (and wordlike units like punctuation, numbers, etc.). For the LatinCy models, tokenization is the fundamental pipeline component on which all other components depend. SpaCy uses non-destructive, "canonical" tokenization, i.e. non-destructive, in that the original text can be untokenized, so to speak, based on Token annotations and canonical in that indices are assigned to each token during this process and these indices are used to refer to the tokens in other annotations. (Tokens can be separated or merged, but this requires the user to actively undo and redefine the tokenization output.) LatinCy uses a modified version of the default spaCy tokenizer that recognizes and splits enlitic -que using a rules-based process. (NB: It is in the LatinCy development plan to move enclitic splitting to a separate post-tokenization component.)

The spaCy Doc object is an iterable and tokens are the iteration unit.

```
tokens = [item for item in doc]
print(tokens)
```

[Haec, narrantur, a, poetis, de, Perseo, ., Perseus, filius, erat, Iovis, ,, maximi, deorum,

```
token = tokens[0]
print(type(token))
```

```
<class 'spacy.tokens.token.Token'>
```

The text content of a Token object can be retrieved with the text attribute.

```
for i, token in enumerate(tokens, 1):
    print(f'{i}: {token.text}')
```

```
1: Haec
2: narrantur
3: a
4: poetis
5: de
6: Perseo
7: .
8: Perseus
9: filius
10: erat
11: Iovis
12: ,
13: maximi
14: deorum
15: .
16: Avus
17: eius
18: Acrisius
19: appellabatur
20: .
```

Note again that the token itself is a spaCy Token object and that the text attribute returns a Python string even though their representations in the Jupyter Notebook look the same.

```
token = tokens[0]
print(f'{type(token)} -> {token}')
print(f'{type(token.text)} -> {token.text}')

<class 'spacy.tokens.token.Token'> -> Haec
<class 'str'> -> Haec
```

#### 5.1.1 Token attributes and methods related to tokenization

Here are some attributes/methods available for spaCy Token objects that are relevant to word tokenization.

SpaCy keeps track of both the token indices and the character offsets within a doc using either the i or idx attributes, respectively...

```
print(token.doc)
```

Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo. Perseus filius erat Iovis, maximi deorum. Avus eius Acris

```
# token indices

for token in doc:
    print(f'{token.i}: {token.text}')
```

```
0: Haec
```

1: narrantur

2: a

3: poetis

4: de

5: Perseo

6: .

7: Perseus

8: filius

9: erat

10: Iovis

11: ,

12: maximi

13: deorum

14: .

15: Avus

16: eius

17: Acrisius

18: appellabatur

19: .

This is functionally equivalent to using enumerate...

```
# token indices, with enumerate

for i, token in enumerate(doc):
    print(f'{i}: {token.text}')
```

0: Haec 1: narrantur 2: a 3: poetis 4: de 5: Perseo 6: . 7: Perseus 8: filius 9: erat 10: Iovis 11: , 12: maximi 13: deorum 14: . 15: Avus 16: eius 17: Acrisius 18: appellabatur 19: .

Another indexing option is the idx attribute which is the character offset of the token in the original Doc object.

```
# character offsets,
for token in doc:
    print(f'{token.idx}: {token.text}')
```

0: Haec 5: narrantur 15: a 17: poetis 24: de 27: Perseo 33: . 35: Perseus

```
43: filius
50: erat
55: Iovis
60: ,
62: maximi
69: deorum
75: .
77: Avus
82: eius
87: Acrisius
96: appellabatur
108: .
```

Observe these idx attributes relate to the character offsets from the original Doc. To illustrate the point, we will replace spaces with an underscore in the output. We can see from the output above that narrantur begins at idx 5 and that the next word a begins at idx 15. Yet narrantur is only 9 characters long and the difference between these two numbers is 10! This is because we need to account for whitespace in the original Doc. This is handled by the attribute text\_with\_ws.

```
print(doc.text[5:15].replace(' ', '_'))

narrantur_

print(f'text -> {doc[1].text} (length {len(doc[1].text)})')
print()
print(f'text_with_ws -> {doc[1].text_with_ws} (length {len(doc[1].text_with_ws)})')

text -> narrantur (length 9)

text_with_ws -> narrantur (length 10)
```

Accordingly, using the text\_with\_ws attribute (as opposed to simply the text attribute) we can reconstruct the original text. This is what was meant above by "non-destructive" tokenization. Look at the difference between a text joined using the text attribute and one joined using the text\_with\_ws attribute.

```
joined_tokens = ' '.join([token.text for token in doc])
print(joined_tokens)
print(joined_tokens == doc.text)

print()

reconstructed_text = ''.join([token.text_with_ws for token in doc])
print(reconstructed_text)
print(reconstructed_text == doc.text)
```

Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo . Perseus filius erat Iovis , maximi deorum . Avus eius Ac False

Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo. Perseus filius erat Iovis, maximi deorum. Avus eius Acris True

Because spaCy tokenization is set from the outset, you can traverse the tokens in a Doc objects from the tokens themselves using the nbor method. This method takes an integer argument that specifies the number of tokens to traverse. A positive integer traverses the tokens to the right, a negative integer traverses the tokens to the left.

```
print(doc[:6])
print('----')
print(f'{doc[3]}, i.e. i = 3')
print(f'{doc[3].nbor(-1)}, i.e. i - 1 = 2')
print(f'{doc[3].nbor(-2)}, i.e. i - 2 = 1')
print(f'{doc[3].nbor(1)}, i.e. i + 1 = 4')
print(f'{doc[3].nbor(2)}, i.e. i + 2 = 5')
```

```
Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo
----
poetis, i.e. i = 3
a, i.e. i - 1 = 2
narrantur, i.e. i - 2 = 1
de, i.e. i + 1 = 4
Perseo, i.e. i + 2 = 5
```

#### 5.1.2 Customization of the spaCy tokenizer in LatinCy

LatinCy aims to tokenize the *que* enclitic in Latin texts. As noted above this is currently done through a rule-based approach. Here is the custom tokenizer code (beginning at this line in

the code) followed by a description of the process. Note that this process is based on the following recommendations in the spaCy documentation: https://spacy.io/usage/training#customtokenizer.

```
from spacy.util import registry, compile_suffix_regex
@registry.callbacks("customize_tokenizer")
def make_customize_tokenizer():
    def customize_tokenizer(nlp):
        suffixes = nlp.Defaults.suffixes + [
            "que",
            "qve",
        ]
        suffix_regex = compile_suffix_regex(suffixes)
        nlp.tokenizer.suffix_search = suffix_regex.search
        for item in que_exceptions:
            nlp.tokenizer.add_special_case(item, [{"ORTH": item}])
            nlp.tokenizer.add_special_case(item.lower(), [{"ORTH": item.lower()}])
            nlp.tokenizer.add_special_case(item.title(), [{"ORTH": item.title()}])
            nlp.tokenizer.add_special_case(item.upper(), [{"ORTH": item.upper()}])
    return customize_tokenizer
```

Basically, we treat que (and its case and u/v norm variants) as punctuation. These are added to the Defaults.suffixes. If no other intervention were made, then any word ending in que or a variant would be split into a before-que part and que. Since there are large number of relatively predictable words that end in que, these are maintained in a list called que\_exceptions. All of the words in the que\_exceptions list are added as a "special case" using the tokenizer's add\_special\_case method and so will not be split. The que\_exceptions lists is as follows:

```
que_exceptions = ['quisque', 'quidque', 'quicque', 'quodque', 'cuiusque', 'cuique', 'quemque
```

You can see these words in the rules attribute of the tokenizer.

```
# Sample of 10 que rules from the custom tokenizer
tokenizer_rules = nlp.tokenizer.rules
print(sorted(list(set([rule.lower() for rule in tokenizer_rules if 'que' in rule])))[:10])
```

```
['absque', 'abusque', 'adaeque', 'adusque', 'aeque', 'antique', 'atque', 'circumundique', 'c
```

With the exception of basic enclitic splitting, the LatinCy tokenizer is the same as the default spaCy tokenizer. The default spaCy tokenizer is described in detail in the spaCy documentation. Here are some useful attributes/methods for working with LatinCy.

Tokenize a string without any other pipeline annotations with a call.

```
tokens = nlp.tokenizer(text)
print(tokens)
print(tokens[0].text)
print(tokens[0].lemma_) # Note that there is no annotation here because since the tokenizer in the company of the company of the tokenizer in the company of the
```

Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo. Perseus filius erat Iovis, maximi deorum. Avus eius Acris Haec

A list of texts can be tokenized in one pass with the pipe method. This yields a generator object where each item is Doc object of tokenized-only texts

```
texts = ["Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo. Perseus filius erat Iovis, maximi deorum; avus
tokens = list(nlp.tokenizer.pipe(texts))
print(len(tokens)) # number of documents
print(len(tokens[0])) # number of tokens in first document
```

3 76

You can get an explanation of the tokenization "decisions" using the **explain** method. In the example below, we see how the *que* in *virumque* is treated as a suffix (as discussed above) and so is split during tokenization.

```
tok_exp = nlp.tokenizer.explain('arma virumque cano')
print(tok_exp)

[('TOKEN', 'arma'), ('TOKEN', 'virum'), ('SUFFIX', 'que'), ('TOKEN', 'cano')]

tokens = nlp.tokenizer('arma uirumque cano')
for i, token in enumerate(tokens):
    print(f'{i}: {token.text}')
```

0: arma

1: uirum

2: que

3: cano

#### References

 ${\bf SLP}$  Chapter 2, Section 2.4.2 "Word Tokenization", pp. 18-20 link

## 6 Lemmatization

#### 6.1 Lemmatization with LatinCy

Lemmatization is the task of mapping a token in a text to its dictionary headword. With the default LatinCy pipelines, two components are used to perform this task: 1. spaCy's Edit Tree Lemmatizer and 2. a second custom Lookup Lemmatizer, named in the pipeline "trainable\_lemmatizer" and "lookup\_lemmatizer" respectively. In the first lemmatization pass, a probabilistic tree model is used to predict the transformation from the token form to its lemma. A second pass is made at the end of the pipeline which checks the token form against a large (~1M item) lemma dictionary (i.e. lookups) for ostensibly unambiguous forms; if a match is found, the lemma is overwritten with the corresponding value from lookup. The two-pass logic largely follows the approach recommended in Burns (2018) and Burns (2020), as facilitated by the spaCy pipeline architecture.

```
# Imports & setup

import spacy
from pprint import pprint
nlp = spacy.load('la_core_web_sm')
text = "Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo. Perseus filius erat Iovis, maximi deorum. Avus eig
doc = nlp(text)
print(doc)
```

Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo. Perseus filius erat Iovis, maximi deorum. Avus eius Acris

Note here the two lemmatizer components that are included in the pipeline, i.e. "trainable\_lemmatizer" and "lookup\_lemmatizer"...

```
print(nlp.pipe_names)
```

```
['senter', 'normer', 'tok2vec', 'tagger', 'morphologizer', 'trainable_lemmatizer', 'parser',
```

Once a text is annotated using the LatinCy pipeline, i.e. as part of the Doc creation process, lemmas can be found as annotations of the Token objects...

```
sample_token = doc[0]

print(f'Sample token: {sample_token.text}')
print(f'Sample lemma: {sample_token.lemma_}')
```

Sample token: Haec Sample lemma: hic

```
import tabulate

data = []

tokens = [item for item in doc]

for token in tokens:
    data.append([token.text, token.lemma_])

print(tabulate.tabulate(data, headers=['Text', 'Lemma']))
```

| Text      | Lemma    |
|-----------|----------|
|           |          |
| Haec      | hic      |
| narrantur | narro    |
| a         | ab       |
| poetis    | poeta    |
| de        | de       |
| Perseo    | Perseo   |
| •         |          |
| Perseus   | Perseus  |
| filius    | filius   |
| erat      | sum      |
| Iovis     | Iuppiter |
| ,         | ,        |
| maximi    |          |
| deorum    | deus     |
| •         | •        |
| Avus      | auus     |
| eius      | is       |

```
Acrisius Acrisius appellabatur appello
```

The lemma\_ attribute has the type str and so is compatible with all string operations...

```
print(f'Token: {tokens[0].text}')
print(f'Lemma: {tokens[0].lemma_}')
print(f'Lowercase lemma: {tokens[0].lemma_.lower()}')
```

Token: Haec Lemma: hic

Lowercase lemma: hic

The lemma\_ attribute, though, is only the human-readable version of the lemma. Internally, spaCy uses a hash value to represent the lemma, which is stored in the lemma attribute. (Note the lack of trailing underscore.)

```
print(f'Token: {tokens[1].text}')
print(f'Human-readable lemma: {tokens[1].lemma_}')
print(f'spaCy lemma key: {tokens[1].lemma}')
```

Token: narrantur

Human-readable lemma: narro

spaCy lemma key: 11361982710182407617

In order to compare the two different lemmatization passes, we can create two copies of the LatinCy pipeline, each with one of the two lemmatizers removed...

```
import copy

P1 = copy.deepcopy(nlp)
P1.disable_pipes((['tagger', 'morphologizer', 'lookup_lemmatizer']))
print(f'First pipeline components: {P1.pipe_names}')

P2 = copy.deepcopy(nlp)
P2.disable_pipes((['tagger', 'morphologizer', 'trainable_lemmatizer']))
print(f'Second pipeline components: {P2.pipe_names}')
```

```
First pipeline components: ['senter', 'normer', 'tok2vec', 'trainable_lemmatizer', 'parser', Second pipeline components: ['senter', 'normer', 'tok2vec', 'parser', 'lookup_lemmatizer', ':
```

We can then run the same text through both pipelines and compare the results side-by-side...

```
P1_annotations = P1(text)
P2_annotations = P2(text)

data = []

for p1_token, p2_token in zip(P1_annotations, P2_annotations):
    data.append([p1_token.text, p1_token.lemma_, p2_token.lemma_])

print(tabulate.tabulate(data, headers=['Text', 'Trainable lemmatizer', 'Lookup lemmatizer'])
```

| Text         | Trainable lemmatizer | Lookup lemmatizer |
|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Haec         | hic                  |                   |
| narrantur    | narro                | narro             |
| a            | ab                   |                   |
| poetis       | poetus               | poeta             |
| de           | de                   |                   |
| Perseo       | Perseo               |                   |
| •            |                      |                   |
| Perseus      | Perseus              |                   |
| filius       | filius               | filius            |
| erat         | sum                  | sum               |
| Iovis        | Iovis                | Iuppiter          |
| ,            | ,                    | ,                 |
| maximi       |                      |                   |
| deorum       | deus                 | deus              |
| •            |                      | •                 |
| Avus         | avus                 | auus              |
| eius         | is                   | is                |
| Acrisius     | Acrisius             |                   |
| appellabatur | appello              | appello           |
| •            |                      | •                 |

Note specifically the lemmatization of *Iovis*—since it is a highly irregular form, it is not surprising that the Edit Tree Lemmatizer has manufactured a potential, but incorrect, lemma based on the root *Iov*-. Since *Iovis* is an unambiguous Latin form and has been added to the LatinCy lookups, the Lookup Lemmatizer steps in to correct the erroneous first pass. The lookup data can be found a custom form of the spaCy spacy-lookups-data package [https://github.com/diyclassics/spacy-lookups-data/tree/master/spacy\_lookups\_data/data]. These lookups are installed as a dependency of each of the LatinCy pipelines.

The code below shows how to access the lookup data directly...

```
# Load the lookups data
from spacy.lookups import Lookups, load_lookups

blank_nlp = spacy.blank("la")
lookups = Lookups()

lookups_data = load_lookups(lang=blank_nlp.vocab.lang, tables=["lemma_lookup"])
LOOKUPS = lookups_data.get_table("lemma_lookup")

print(LOOKUPS['Iovis'])
```

Iuppiter

#### References

NLTK Chapter 3, Section 3.6 "Normalizing text" link SLP Chapter 2, Section 2.6 "Word normalization, lemmatization and stemming", pp. 23-24 link spaCy EditTreeLemmatizer link

## 7 POS Tagging

#### 7.1 POS Tagging with LatinCy

Part-of-speech tagging is the task of mapping a token in a text to its part of speech, whether 'noun' or 'verb' or 'preposition' and so on. There are two components in the default LatinCy pipelines that provide such annotations, that is the tagger and the morphologizer. Ostensibly, the tagger provides language-specific, fine-grain POS tags and the morphologizer provides coarse-grain tags (as defined by the UD Universal POS tags); at present, the LatinCy models have a high degree of overlap between these two tagsets and there are effectively no fine-grain tags.

```
# Imports & setup

import spacy
from pprint import pprint
nlp = spacy.load('la_core_web_lg')
text = "Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo. Perseus filius erat Iovis, maximi deorum. Avus eig
doc = nlp(text)
print(doc)
```

Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo. Perseus filius erat Iovis, maximi deorum. Avus eius Acris

Note here the two "tagging" components that are included in the pipeline, i.e. "tagger" and "morphologizer"...

```
print(nlp.pipe_names)
```

```
['senter', 'normer', 'tok2vec', 'tagger', 'morphologizer', 'trainable_lemmatizer', 'parser',
```

Once a text is annotated using the LatinCy pipeline, i.e. as part of the Doc creation process, tags can be found as annotations of the Token objects. The coarse-grain tags are stored in the pos\_attribute; fine-grain tags are stored in the tag\_attribute.

```
sample_token = doc[1]

print(f'Sample token: {sample_token.text}')

print(f'Sample POS: {sample_token.pos_}')

print(f'Sample TAG: {sample_token.tag_}')
```

Sample token: narrantur

Sample POS: VERB Sample TAG: verb

Note the high degree of overlap between the coarse-grain and fine-grain tags in the LatinCy models in the chart below. That said, it is perhaps worth paying more attention to where the tagsets do not overlap. In the LatinCy training, conventions for classes of words to be labeled, say, "DET" (as in the *Haec* pos\_) or "AUX" (as in *est*) are inferred from usage in the six different treebanks used. A sense of the inconsistency in the tagsets can be gleaned from the following page: (https://universaldependencies.org/la/); note also the important work of Gamba and Zeman (2023) in this area.

```
import tabulate

data = []

tokens = [item for item in doc]

for token in tokens:
    data.append([token.text, token.pos_, token.tag_])

print(tabulate.tabulate(data, headers=['Text', "POS", "TAG"]))
```

| Text      | POS   | TAG                    |
|-----------|-------|------------------------|
|           |       |                        |
| Haec      | DET   | pronoun                |
| narrantur | VERB  | verb                   |
| a         | ADP   | preposition            |
| poetis    | NOUN  | noun                   |
| de        | ADP   | preposition            |
| Perseo    | PROPN | <pre>proper_noun</pre> |
|           | PUNCT | punc                   |
| Perseus   | PROPN | <pre>proper_noun</pre> |
| filius    | NOUN  | noun                   |
| erat      | AUX   | verb                   |
|           |       |                        |

```
Iovis
              PROPN proper_noun
              PUNCT punc
              ADJ
                     adjective
maximi
              NOUN
                     noun
deorum
              PUNCT punc
Avus
              NOUN
                     noun
eius
              PRON
                     pronoun
Acrisius
              PROPN proper_noun
appellabatur VERB
                     verb
              PUNCT punc
```

As with the lemma annotations, the pos\_ and tag\_ attributes are only the human-readable of the lemma. Internally, spaCy uses a hash value to represent this, again noting the lack of trailing underscore...

```
print(f"Token: {tokens[1].text}")
print(f'Human-readable TAG: {tokens[1].tag_}')
print(f'spaCy TAG key: {tokens[1].tag}')
```

Token: narrantur

Human-readable TAG: verb

spaCy TAG key: 6360137228241296794

This tag 'key' can be looked up in spaCy's NLP.vocab.strings atrribute...

```
T = nlp.get_pipe('tagger')
tag_lookup = T.vocab.strings[6360137228241296794] # also would work on `nlp`, i.e. nlp.vocab
print(f'TAG key: {tokens[1].tag}')
print(f'Human-readable TAG: {tag_lookup}')
```

TAG key: 6360137228241296794 Human-readable TAG: verb

The same process applies to the POS 'keys'...

```
print(f'Token: {tokens[1].text}')
print(f'Human-readable POS: {tokens[1].pos_}')
print(f'spaCy POS key: {tokens[1].pos}')
```

Token: narrantur

Human-readable POS: VERB

spaCy POS key: 100

```
M = nlp.get_pipe("morphologizer")
pos_lookup = M.vocab.strings[100]
print(f'POS key: {tokens[1].pos}')
print(f'Human-readable POS: {pos_lookup}')
```

POS key: 100

Human-readable POS: VERB

We can use the label\_data attribute from the morphologizer component to derive the complete (coarse-grain) tagset...

```
def split_pos(morph):
    if 'POS=' in morph:
        return morph.split('POS=')[1].split('|')[0]
    else:
        return None

tagset = sorted(list(set([split_pos(k) for k, v in M.label_data['morph'].items() if split_pos(tagset)
```

['ADJ', 'ADP', 'ADV', 'AUX', 'CCONJ', 'DET', 'INTJ', 'NOUN', 'NUM', 'PART', 'PRON', 'PROPN',

SpaCy has an explain methods that can show human-readable descriptions of these standard tags...

```
data = []

for tag in tagset:
    data.append([tag, spacy.explain(tag)])

print(tabulate.tabulate(data, headers=['TAG', 'Description']))
```

```
TAG Description
----- ADJ adjective
ADP adposition
```

```
ADV
       adverb
AUX
       auxiliary
CCONJ coordinating conjunction
DET
       determiner
INTJ
       interjection
NOUN
       noun
NUM
      numeral
PART
       particle
PRON
       pronoun
PROPN proper noun
PUNCT
      punctuation
      subordinating conjunction
SCONJ
VERB
       verb
X
       other
```

It may also be useful to know the "confidence" of the tagger in making its decision. We can derive this from the output tagger's model.predict method. This returns (at least, in part) a ranked list of per-scores, the maximum value of which determines the final annotation.

```
# Helper function to get tagging scores

def get_tagging_scores(doc, n=3):
    # cf. https://stackoverflow.com/a/69228515
    scores = []
    tagger = nlp.get_pipe('tagger')
    labels = tagger.labels
    for token in doc:
        token_scores = tagger.model.predict([doc])[0][token.i]
        r = [*enumerate(token_scores)]
        r.sort(key=lambda x: x[1], reverse=True)
        scores.append([(labels[i], p) for i, p in r[:n]])
    return scores
```

```
# Get the top 3 tags by score for each token in the Doc

tagging_probs = get_tagging_scores(doc)

for token in doc:
    print(f'Token: {token.text}', end='\n\n')
    data = []
    for label, prob in tagging_probs[token.i]:
        data.append([label, prob])
```

# print(tabulate.tabulate(data, headers=['Label', 'Score'])) break

Token: Haec

| Label     | Score   |
|-----------|---------|
|           |         |
| pronoun   | 10.7023 |
| adjective | 8.26614 |
| noun      | 3.13534 |

#### References

NLTK Chapter 5 "Categorizing and tagging words" link SLP Chapter 8 "Sequence labeling for parts of speech and named entities" link spaCy Tagger and Morphologizer

## 8 Morphological Tagging

#### 8.1 POS Tagging with LatinCy

Morphological tagging is the task of mapping a token in a text to various morphological tags as appropriate for the token's part of speech. A noun will have morphological tags for its gender, number, and case, while a verb—a finite verb, at least—will have tags for its person, number, tense, mood, and voice. The default LatinCy pipelines have a morphologizer component that assigns these tags.

```
# Imports & setup

import spacy
from pprint import pprint
nlp = spacy.load('la_core_web_lg')
text = "Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo. Perseus filius erat Iovis, maximi deorum. Avus eidoc = nlp(text)
print(doc)
```

Haec narrantur a poetis de Perseo. Perseus filius erat Iovis, maximi deorum. Avus eius Acris

Morphological tags are annotations of the Token objects and are stored in the morph attribute.

```
sample_token = doc[1]

print(f'Sample token: {sample_token.text}')
print(f'Sample morph: {sample_token.morph}')
```

Sample token: narrantur
Sample morph: Mood=Ind|Number=Plur|Person=3|Tense=Pres|VerbForm=Fin|Voice=Pass

Note that the morphological annotations are stored in a spaCy's MorphAnalysis object...

```
type(sample_token.morph)
```

spacy.tokens.morphanalysis.MorphAnalysis

LatinCy users may find the following MorphAnalysis methods of use.

To get all of the morphological tags for a token as a Python dict, you can use the to\_dict method...

```
sample_morph_dict = sample_token.morph.to_dict()
pprint(sample_morph_dict)
```

```
{'Mood': 'Ind',
  'Number': 'Plur',
  'Person': '3',
  'Tense': 'Pres',
  'VerbForm': 'Fin',
  'Voice': 'Pass'}
```

You can also get the string representation of the morphological analysis with the to\_json method:

```
sample_morph_dict = sample_token.morph.to_json()
pprint(sample_morph_dict)
```

'Mood=Ind|Number=Plur|Person=3|Tense=Pres|VerbForm=Fin|Voice=Pass'

Conversely, a MorphAnalysis object can be created from a Python dict using the set\_morph method on a spaCy Token object.

```
text = "Festina lente."
doc_no_annotations = nlp.make_doc(text)
print(f'{doc_no_annotations[0].text} -> {doc_no_annotations[0].morph if doc_no_annotations[0].
```

Festina -> {No morph data}

```
festina_dict = {"Person": "2", "Number": "Singular", "Tense": "Present", "Mood": "Imperative
doc_no_annotations[0].set_morph(festina_dict)
print(f'{doc_no_annotations[0].text} -> {doc_no_annotations[0].morph if doc_no_annotations[0]
print(type(doc_no_annotations[0].morph))
```

Festina -> Mood=Imperative|Number=Singular|Person=2|Tense=Present|Voice=Active <class 'spacy.tokens.morphanalysis.MorphAnalysis'>

As noted above, the mophological tags are POS-specific. LatinCy uses a limited set of morphological keys based on the UD treebanks. Moreover, with respect to the tag values, one specific adjustment that has been made from the UD treebanks is that for verbs LatinCy uses the six "traditional" tense values, i.e. present, imperfect, future, perfect, pluperfect, and future perfect.

```
import tabulate

data = []

doc = nlp("Tum arcam ipsam in mare coniecit.")
tokens = [item for item in doc]

for token in tokens:
    data.append([token.text, token.morph.to_json()])

print(tabulate.tabulate(data, headers=['Text', "Morph"]))
```

Note how in the sentence above the verb *coniecit* is tagged with the 'Tense=Perf' (for perfect), whereas in the UD treebanks this would have been annotated with 'Tense=Past' in coordination with 'Aspect=Perf'.

#### References

**NLTK** Chapter 5 "Categorizing and tagging words" link **spaCy** Morphologizer

# Part IV spaCy Tasks

## **Open LatinCy Projects**

Listed below are some open projects that I would like to see implemented in the LatinCy pipelines. If you are interested in contributing to the development of these projects or have recommendations for additional projects that could be added, please contact me through the LatinCy GitHub or HuggingFace repositories.

#### Model-based enclitic splitting

As discussed in the Word Tokenization chapter, enclitic splitting is currently limited to que (and variants) and is a rules-based process that is part of the LatinCy custom tokenizer. It would be preferable to make enclitic splitting a separate pipeline component and moreover one that is model-based. This component could be placed immediately after the tokenizer and use the Retokenizer.split method to reconstruct token sequences where valid enclitics are identified. This would have the added advantage of allowing enclitic splitting to be "turned off", so to speak, by removing the component from the pipeline.

## References

- Bird, Steven, Ewan Klein, and Edward Loper. 2015. Natural Language Processing with Python: Analyzing Text with the Natural Language Toolkit. 2nd Edition. https://www.nltk.org/book/.
- Burns, Patrick J. 2018. "Backoff Lemmatization as a Philological Method." In *Digital Humanities 2018*, DH 2018, Book of Abstracts, El Colegio de México, UNAM, and RedHD, Mexico City, Mexico, June 26-29, 2018.
- ———. 2020. "Ensemble Lemmatization with the Classical Language Toolkit." *Studi e Saggi Linguistici* 58 (1): 157–76. https://doi.org/10.4454/ssl.v58i1.273.
- Clayman, Dec. 1981. "Sentence Length in Greek Hexameter Poetry." Quantitative Linguistics 11: 107–36. https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=1627358.
- Gamba, Federica, and Daniel Zeman. 2023. "Universalising Latin Universal Dependencies: A Harmonisation of Latin Treebanks in UD." In *Proceedings of the Sixth Workshop on Universal Dependencies (UDW, GURT/SyntaxFest 2023)*, edited by Loïc Grobol and Francis Tyers, 7–16. Washington, D.C.: Association for Computational Linguistics. https://aclanthology.org/2023.udw-1.2.
- Janson, Tore. 1964. "The Problems of Measuring Sentence-Length in Classical Texts." Studia Linguistica 18 (1): 26–36. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9582.1964.tb00443.x.
- Jurafsky, Daniel, and James H. Martin. 2020. "Speech and Language Processing (3rd Edition, Draft)." https://web.stanford.edu/~jurafsky/slp3/.
- Wake, William C. 1957. "Sentence-Length Distributions of Greek Authors." Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series A (General) 120 (3): 331–46. https://www.jstor.org/stable/2343104.