

**CALL TO CONTRIBUTE TO**  
**Special issue *Citizenship Studies***  
**To be submitted by 1 February 2021**

1. Title of Special Issue

**Digital Citizenship in the COVID-19 Urban Realm**

2. Guest Editors

Dr Igor Calzada, MBA, FeRSA  
Research Fellow – Cardiff University, WISERD  
[calzadai@cardiff.ac.uk](mailto:calzadai@cardiff.ac.uk)  
Senior Research Affiliate – University of Oxford  
[igor.calzada@compas.ox.ac.uk](mailto:igor.calzada@compas.ox.ac.uk)  
+44 (0)7887661925

Asst Prof. Dr. Naomi C. Hanakata  
National University of Singapore  
[akinch@nus.edu.sg](mailto:akinch@nus.edu.sg)

Federico Tomasello, PhD  
Senior Research Fellow – Ca' Foscari University of Venice – Department of Philosophy and Cultural Heritage  
Research Associate – European University Institute – Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies  
[Federico.Tomasello@EUI.eu](mailto:Federico.Tomasello@EUI.eu)

Filippo Bignami, PhD  
Senior researcher and lecturer  
University of applied sciences of Southern Switzerland, SUPSI – Department of economics, health and social sciences, DEASS, LUCI - Labour, Urbanscape and Citizenship Research area  
[Filippo.bignami@supsi.ch](mailto:Filippo.bignami@supsi.ch)

### 3. Brief statement about the issue theme

This special issue explores multifaceted roles played by digital citizenship in the context of the political, social and economic aftermath of COVID-19. The planning and governance of the urban environment is increasingly executed and administered by means of platforms, data ecosystems, institutions, and infrastructures, inflating the capacity of data correlation and projection (Hanakata, 2020), multiplying the stakeholders and creating a new topology of inclusion/exclusion, negotiation, participation as well as control (Calzada & Almirall, 2020). Many scholars theorise the concept of citizenship in the digital age through the lens of the evolutionary character of the classical concept of citizenship or by delving into narratives regarding the democratising potential and risks of the Internet (Goode, 2010; Mathiason, 2008; Buente, 2015; McCosker, Vivienne, & Johns, 2016; Dumbrava, 2017).

Beyond this approach, this issue aims at analysing a wide range of citizenship regimes and forms being re-organised by processes of digitization and related urban transformation (Calzada, 2020a; Hanakata & Bignami, 2021 forthcoming). In the context of COVID-19 the production and governance of urban space as well as our daily lives have experienced a rapid digitization, creating new challenges for citizenship (Leszczynski, 2020; Srnicek, 2017). The urban realm is, in effect, the environment where a new standard for digital development in the twenty-first century is set (E. Isin & Ruppert, 2020). Accordingly, the aim of this issue is to delve into the complex interaction of social and political variables that shape offline and online civic practices and their intertwined relation to the urban environment and the way it is produced and governed in the new context of COVID-19.

Shaping the concept of citizenship in hyper-connected societies requires to think across the growing field of research on digital, urban, and political transformations (Hintz, Dencik, & Wahl-Jorgensen, 2019; Gorwa, 2019). It also provides the opportunity to resignify (smart) citizens as techno-political subjects that are different from, but related to, citizens as political subjects of a polity (Calzada, 2018a; Isin & Ruppert, 2015; 2017). In order to arrive at a productive understanding of citizenship and urban space, this special issue investigates recent developments and effects of digitization on cities in order to identify commonalities and differences in diverse geographies. Hence, the urban is a quintessential environment to investigate a swing towards 'pandemic citizenship' (Calzada, 2020b; 2020c) regimes and forms.

### 4. A rationale justifying the proposal

The COVID-19 pandemic has stressed the growing impact of digital technologies in political and social life. Digital citizenship and the growing influence of platforms on our urban environment has accelerated during the global pandemic, equally affecting countries around the world. Contact tracing applications based on mobile phones are raising vibrant debates and epitomize the magnitude of contemporary trends to incorporate digital computation into the government of urban areas.

After the euphoria over a *digital renaissance* and the internet as a free network of networks, which characterized the dawn of the new millennium, recent years have witnessed growing concerns regarding the algorithmic extractivism and surveillance effects of digitization

(Barassi, 2017; Wood and Mackinnon, 2019; Cheney-Lippold 2017; Couldry & Mejias 2019; Zuboff, 2019). The pandemic has further accelerated the need to foster a political and societal understandings of potentials and risks of *technopolitics*, and of the entrenchment of digital technologies in political and governmental practices (Calzada, 2017; Ruppert, Isin, & Bigo, 2017).

A rights-based approach to technopolitics can be articulated by connecting digital transformation which is reshaping urban spaces to the concept and institution of citizenship. This raises the question of how digitization processes are redefining citizenship through the incorporation of new digital rights and forms of participation related to the status of a citizen framed in this “new” urban realm. In this process, the urban is challenged as a primary social and political space (Cuppini, 2017; Tomasello, 2017; 2020; Hanakata & Bignami, 2021 forthcoming) and is threatened to be alienated from citizens as a space for participation, exchange, and action. In order to adapt to the COVID-19 new normal, and establish a productive understanding of citizenship and urban space, we need to critically assess recent developments and the impact of digitization on our cities and identify commonalities and differences across different geographies.

This special issue proposal aims to provide a substantial and original contribution in this direction by outlining an in-depth investigation into how digitization processes in a post-COVID-19 world can bring about new substantive citizenship changing regimes and patterns.

## REFERENCES

- Barassi, V. (2017), "Digital citizens? Data traces and family life." *Contemporary Social Science*, 12/1–2, 84–95.
- Bignami, F. (2020). The possible social and political citizenship modifications linked to closure of borders and implication on concept of globalization. In *Modern Labor Migration - Social issues and challenges of new time*. Moscow: Russian Institute for Advanced Studies - Moscow Pedagogical State University, Jean Monnet Centre of Excellence.
- Bratton, B. H. (2015). *The Stack: On Software and Sovereignty*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.
- Brenner, N. (2018). Debating planetary urbanization: For an engaged pluralism. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 36(3), 570–590.
- Buente, W. (2015). Digital Citizenship or Inequality? Linking Internet Use and Education to Electoral Engagement in the 2008 U.S. Presidential Election Campaign. *Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society*, 35(5–6), 145–157.
- Calzada, I. (2015). Benchmarking future city-regions beyond nation-states. *Regional Studies, Regional Science*, 2(1), 351–362. doi:10.1080/21681376.2015.1046908
- Calzada, I. (2017). The Techno-Politics of Data and Smart Devolution in City-Regions: Comparing Glasgow, Bristol, Barcelona, and Bilbao. *Systems*, 5(1), 18. doi:10.3390/systems5010018
- Calzada, I. (2018a). (Smart) Citizens from Data Providers to Decision-Makers? The Case Study of Barcelona. *Sustainability*, 10(9), 3252. doi:10.3390/su10093252
- Calzada, I. (2018b). Algorithmic Nations: Seeing Like a City-Regional and Techno-Political Conceptual Assemblage. *Regional Studies, Regional Science* 5(1): 267–289. DOI: [10.1080/21681376.2018.1507754](https://doi.org/10.1080/21681376.2018.1507754).
- Calzada, I. (2020a). Emerging Citizenship Regimes and Rescaling (European) Nation-States: Algorithmic, Liquid, Metropolitan and Stateless Citizenship Ideal Types. In S. Moisis, N. Koch, A. E. Jonas, C. Lizotte, & J. Luukkonen (Eds.), *Handbook on the Changing Geographies of the State: New Spaces of Geopolitics*. Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, USA: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Calzada, I. (2020b). Platform and Data Co-Operatives amidst European Pandemic Citizenship. *Sustainability*, 12(20), 8309. Retrieved from <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/12/20/8309>
- Calzada, I. (2020c). Will Covid-19 be the end of the global citizen? *Apolitical*. doi:10.13140/RG.2.2.11942.27208/1
- Calzada, I., & Almirall, E. (2020). Data Ecosystems for Protecting European Citizens' Digital Rights. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 14(2), 133–147. doi:10.1108/TG-03-2020-0047
- Couldry, Nick & Mejias, Ulises A. (2019), *The costs of connection : how data is colonizing human life and appropriating it for capitalism*. Stanford, Ca : Stanford University Press.
- Cuppini, N. (2017). Dissolving Bologna: tensions between citizenship and the logistics city. *Citizenship Studies*, 21(4), 495–507.
- Dumbrava, C. (2017). Citizenship and Technology. In A. Shachar, R. Bauböck, I. Bloemraad, & M. Vink (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Citizenship* (pp. 767–788). Oxford: OUP.
- Ennaji, M., & Bignami, F. (2019). Logistical tools for refugees and undocumented migrants: smartphones and social media in the city of Fès. *Work organisation, labour & globalisation*, 13(1), 62–78.
- Goode, L. (2010). Cultural citizenship online: The Internet and digital culture. *Citizenship Studies*, 14, 527–542. doi:10.1080/13621025.2010.506707
- Gorwa, R. (2019). What is Platform Governance? *Information, Communication & Society*, 22(6), 854–871.
- Hanakata, N. (2020). *Tokyo: An Urban Portrait. Looking at a Megacity Region Through Its Differences*. Berlin: Jovis.

- Hanakata, N., & Bignami, F. (2021 forthcoming). Platform Urbanization and the Impact on Urban Transformation and Citizenship. *South Atlantic Quarterly - Duke University Press*, 120(4).
- Hintz, A., Dencik, L., & Wahl-Jorgensen, K. (2019). *Digital citizenship in a datafied society*. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.
- Isin, E., & Ruppert, E. (2015). *Being digital citizens*. New York Rowman & Littlefield.
- Isin, E., & Ruppert, E. (2017). Citizen Snowden. *International Journal of Communication*, 11, 843-857.
- Isin, E., & Ruppert, E. (2020). *Being Digital Citizens - Second edition*. London, New York: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Leszczynski, A. (2020). Glitchy Vignettes of Platform Urbanism. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 38(2), 189–208. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0263775819878721>
- Mathiason, J. (2008). *Internet Governance. The New Frontier of Global Institutions*. London: Routledge.
- McCosker, A., Vivienne, S., & Johns, A. (Eds.). (2016). *Negotiating Digital Citizenship: Control, Contest and Culture*. London: Rowman&Littlefield.
- OECD. (2020). *Tackling Coronavirus (COVID-19): Contributing to a Global Effort - Cities Policy Responses*. OECD - Cities, Urban Policies and Sustainable Development Division
- Ruppert, E., Isin, E., & Bigo, D. (2017). Data politics. *Big Data & Society*, 1-7. doi:10.1177/2053951717717749
- Sassen, S. (2017). Embedded borderings: making new geographies of centrality. *Territory, Politics, Governance*, 6(1), 5-15. doi:10.1080/21622671.2017.1290546
- Srnicke, N. (2017). *Platform capitalism*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Zuboff, S. (2019). *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power*. London: Profile.