

Report

Cardgame: By Zachary Fenton and Sebastian Leach

Introduction

Fundamentally, the logic of how the game works is not that complicated, the challenge is presented in implementing new Java ideas and frameworks from lectures, and creating an efficient and functional backend. Our aim in this coursework was to use as much of the information in lectures as possible to make this project, and to make it functional and efficient.

Approach and design decisions

Methodology

To begin with, we used the 'Navigator and driver' methodology to divide up our work. I (Sebastian Leach) acted as the navigator to begin with, laying out the structure and plan for our project, while Zachary coded the basics. As the project continued, we both took turns in each role, Zachary primarily coding the PlayerList and threads while I navigated, and I programmed the input and Player while Zachary navigated.

We found the navigator and driver had great benefits when working on code together, allowing us to be quick in writing it as we were not competing to put code down, and allowing a two way stream of ideas as the navigator had to often justify a decision.

It did have its limitations however. For an extensive program, we found that we also had to implement Code Reviews to allow us to work on code independently, and then quickly review each others work afterwards.

Data Structures

Very quickly, we decided to use arrays as opposed to ArrayLists to store our values, as the nature of the program meant the size was predetermined, and arrays offered lower latency and quicker handling of int variables.

Array's direct storing of data types as opposed to ArrayLists which use autoboxing and unboxing also meant we could work with the elements of the Array easier.

While not a significant difference in optimisation, for large data sets and numbers of players, the distinction would grow obvious.

Exception Handling

We also were intentional in handling as many exceptions as possible, and, where we could, we tried to fix input errors instead of simply rejecting them.

Getting input was rich grounds for errors: the file extension could be missing, while the instructions specified that the file would be in the correct directory, we still needed to check this, the input for the number of players could be the wrong type and so on.

The exceptions we addressed were in the `getInput()` method, handling wrong input types for the players, and `checkName()`, which handled the file name not having an extension, having a space at the end, and we even included a little cybersecurity measure to prevent Path traversal attacks. Which was probably over-zealous for cardgame model coursework.

Most other exceptions we did not try and re-prompt or fix as they were mostly rooted in file errors, such as the file not containing ints, not being the correct length, or not correctly formatted. For these we included as many catch conditions as possible and simply returned an error message, prompting the user to restart and exit.

Challenges

We made it a priority to use nested loops, to use what was taught in lectures, some of which would extend the `Thread` class to fulfill the project requirements. This presented us with our first real challenge. It took a few hours of debugging and logic to properly understand how to instantiate variables, reference methods and actually implement the parent and child classes. The result was that we were very explicit in structuring our program so that it was visually obvious what did what.

We also faced the problem of working on the same codespace as covered in the lecture on Virtual Environments. We found that often we would push and pull in a way that created forks, that we would have to carefully merge so as not to cause loss of code. The result of this was that by the end, we kept redundant copies of the codespace in case the live codespace ever failed.

Finally we were presented with one last problem when dealing with how decks would act, finding it increasingly difficult as we progressed to use the arrays we had created for the decks as attributes of the players. It took rewriting the program to include a dedicated class for Decks for us finally to be successful.

Conclusion

This coursework was instructive in helping understand how to use threads, and how they might actually be useful for concurrent actions, as well as presenting issues like Livelock, Deadlock and starvation.

It improved our testing methods and debugging, teaching us the hard way the exponential time cost of debugging code later in development, and was very instructive in how to work cooperatively as a pair.