

Progress report

Neronet

*Toolbox for managing the training
neural networks*

CSE-C2610
Software Project
Aalto University

April 12, 2016

Outline

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Introduction

Goals

Our goal is to develop a tool for computational researchers to enable easy

- ▶ specification and management of experiment queues

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Introduction

Goals

Our goal is to develop a tool for computational researchers to enable easy

- ▶ specification and management of experiment queues
- ▶ batch submission of experiment jobs to computing clusters

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Introduction

Goals

Our goal is to develop a tool for computational researchers to enable easy

- ▶ specification and management of experiment queues
- ▶ batch submission of experiment jobs to computing clusters
- ▶ monitoring of ongoing experiments' logs and parameter values

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Introduction

Goals

Our goal is to develop a tool for computational researchers to enable easy

- ▶ specification and management of experiment queues
- ▶ batch submission of experiment jobs to computing clusters
- ▶ monitoring of ongoing experiments' logs and parameter values
- ▶ access to experiment information during and after the run

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Introduction

Goals

Our goal is to develop a tool for computational researchers to enable easy

- ▶ specification and management of experiment queues
- ▶ batch submission of experiment jobs to computing clusters
- ▶ monitoring of ongoing experiments' logs and parameter values
- ▶ access to experiment information during and after the run
- ▶ configurable notifications on experiment state and progress

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Introduction

Goals

Our goal is to develop a tool for computational researchers to enable easy

- ▶ specification and management of experiment queues
- ▶ batch submission of experiment jobs to computing clusters
- ▶ monitoring of ongoing experiments' logs and parameter values
- ▶ access to experiment information during and after the run
- ▶ configurable notifications on experiment state and progress
- ▶ configurable criteria for experiment autotermination

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Introduction

Goals

Our goal is to develop a tool for computational researchers to enable easy

- ▶ specification and management of experiment queues
- ▶ batch submission of experiment jobs to computing clusters
- ▶ monitoring of ongoing experiments' logs and parameter values
- ▶ access to experiment information during and after the run
- ▶ configurable notifications on experiment state and progress
- ▶ configurable criteria for experiment autotermination
- ▶ logging of experiment history

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Introduction

What

In essence the product is a Python-based tool that enables computational researchers to conduct their research more effectively.

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Introduction

What

In essence the product is a Python-based tool that enables computational researchers to conduct their research more effectively.

- ▶ It utilizes SSH and TCP sockets to distribute the computational workload into computer clusters. It supports the Slurm job and resource manager but can function without it as well.

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Introduction

What

In essence the product is a Python-based tool that enables computational researchers to conduct their research more effectively.

- ▶ It utilizes SSH and TCP sockets to distribute the computational workload into computer clusters. It supports the Slurm job and resource manager but can function without it as well.
- ▶ It is framework agnostic in that it permits the use of a very wide variety of tools to actually conduct the computing.

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Results

Sprint 0

Goal: *Team building and preparing for sprint 1*

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Results

Sprint 0

Goal: *Team building and preparing for sprint 1* Done

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Results

Sprint 0

Goal: *Team building and preparing for sprint 1* Done

Product Backlog Items: *None*

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Results

Sprint 0

Goal: *Team building and preparing for sprint 1* **Done**

Product Backlog Items: *None*

Sprint 0 took a lot of effort from us since the project topic was very challenging to dive into. Also none of us had done this course before. Interviews with Jelena & Simo helped us to understand the project.

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Results

Sprint 0

Goal: *Team building and preparing for sprint 1* **Done**

Product Backlog Items: *None*

Sprint 0 took a lot of effort from us since the project topic was very challenging to dive into. Also none of us had done this course before. Interviews with Jelena & Simo helped us to understand the project.

We were proud of our efforts in the sprint.

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Results

Sprint 1

Goal: *Develop a prototype that offers the most basic functionality via a CLI*

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Results

Sprint 1

Goal: *Develop a prototype that offers the most basic functionality via a CLI*

Product Backlog Items:

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Results

Sprint 1

Goal: *Develop a prototype that offers the most basic functionality via a CLI*

Product Backlog Items:

- ▶ US1: As a user, I want a basic user guide that would cover the installation of Neronet and its use via CLI.

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Results

Sprint 1

Goal: *Develop a prototype that offers the most basic functionality via a CLI*

Product Backlog Items:

- ▶ US1: As a user, I want a basic user guide that would cover the installation of Neronet and its use via CLI.

Done

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Results

Sprint 1

Goal: *Develop a prototype that offers the most basic functionality via a CLI*

Product Backlog Items:

- ▶ US1: As a user, I want a basic user guide that would cover the installation of Neronet and its use via CLI.
Done
- ▶ US2: As a user, I want to specify clusters by address and type to specify my computing resources.

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Results

Sprint 1

Goal: *Develop a prototype that offers the most basic functionality via a CLI*

Product Backlog Items:

- ▶ US1: As a user, I want a basic user guide that would cover the installation of Neronet and its use via CLI. **Done**
- ▶ US2: As a user, I want to specify clusters by address and type to specify my computing resources. **Done**

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Results

Sprint 1

Goal: *Develop a prototype that offers the most basic functionality via a CLI*

Product Backlog Items:

- ▶ US1: As a user, I want a basic user guide that would cover the installation of Neronet and its use via CLI.
Done
- ▶ US2: As a user, I want to specify clusters by address and type to specify my computing resources. **Done**
- ▶ US3: As a user, I want to specify experiments by name, files and parameters.

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Results

Sprint 1

Goal: *Develop a prototype that offers the most basic functionality via a CLI*

Product Backlog Items:

- ▶ US1: As a user, I want a basic user guide that would cover the installation of Neronet and its use via CLI. **Done**
- ▶ US2: As a user, I want to specify clusters by address and type to specify my computing resources. **Done**
- ▶ US3: As a user, I want to specify experiments by name, files and parameters. **Done**

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Results

Sprint 1

Goal: *Develop a prototype that offers the most basic functionality via a CLI*

Product Backlog Items:

- ▶ US1: As a user, I want a basic user guide that would cover the installation of Neronet and its use via CLI. **Done**
- ▶ US2: As a user, I want to specify clusters by address and type to specify my computing resources. **Done**
- ▶ US3: As a user, I want to specify experiments by name, files and parameters. **Done**
- ▶ US4: As a user, I want to submit experiments to unmanaged nodes.

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Results

Sprint 1

Goal: *Develop a prototype that offers the most basic functionality via a CLI*

Product Backlog Items:

- ▶ US1: As a user, I want a basic user guide that would cover the installation of Neronet and its use via CLI. **Done**
- ▶ US2: As a user, I want to specify clusters by address and type to specify my computing resources. **Done**
- ▶ US3: As a user, I want to specify experiments by name, files and parameters. **Done**
- ▶ US4: As a user, I want to submit experiments to unmanaged nodes. **Done**

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Results

Sprint 1

Goal: *Develop a prototype that offers the most basic functionality via a CLI*

Product Backlog Items:

- ▶ US1: As a user, I want a basic user guide that would cover the installation of Neronet and its use via CLI. **Done**
- ▶ US2: As a user, I want to specify clusters by address and type to specify my computing resources. **Done**
- ▶ US3: As a user, I want to specify experiments by name, files and parameters. **Done**
- ▶ US4: As a user, I want to submit experiments to unmanaged nodes. **Done**
- ▶ US5: As a user, I want an experiment status report so that I can review experiment status details.

Results

Sprint 1

Goal: *Develop a prototype that offers the most basic functionality via a CLI*

Product Backlog Items:

- ▶ US1: As a user, I want a basic user guide that would cover the installation of Neronet and its use via CLI. **Done**
- ▶ US2: As a user, I want to specify clusters by address and type to specify my computing resources. **Done**
- ▶ US3: As a user, I want to specify experiments by name, files and parameters. **Done**
- ▶ US4: As a user, I want to submit experiments to unmanaged nodes. **Done**
- ▶ US5: As a user, I want an experiment status report so that I can review experiment status details. **Done**

Results

Sprint 1

Goal: *Develop a prototype that offers the most basic functionality via a CLI*

Product Backlog Items:

- ▶ US1: As a user, I want a basic user guide that would cover the installation of Neronet and its use via CLI. **Done**
- ▶ US2: As a user, I want to specify clusters by address and type to specify my computing resources. **Done**
- ▶ US3: As a user, I want to specify experiments by name, files and parameters. **Done**
- ▶ US4: As a user, I want to submit experiments to unmanaged nodes. **Done**
- ▶ US5: As a user, I want an experiment status report so that I can review experiment status details. **Done**

Just a prototype, not ready for release to users.

Demo script:

1. Neronet Installation, preferences and initial setup of clusters
2. Specification of clusters via CLI
3. Specification of an experiment
4. Submission of the specified experiment to an unmanaged node
5. Retrieval of experiment status report

Definition of done:

- ▶ We defined **Done** in three levels: BI, sprint and project
- ▶ BI level: unit tests done where applicable, functional test coverage 80%, conformity (PEP-8), commented, documented, peer reviewed
- ▶ Sprint level: BI:s are **Done**, increment is tested and reviewed, sprint goal is achieved

Definition of done:

- ▶ We defined **Done** in three levels: BI, sprint and project
- ▶ BI level: unit tests done where applicable, functional test coverage 80%, conformity (PEP-8), commented, documented, peer reviewed
- ▶ Sprint level: BIs are **Done**, increment is tested and reviewed, sprint goal is achieved
- ▶ Updates to DoD:
 - ▶ We replaced *unit test coverage 90%* with *unit tests are written where applicable* – the old metric was not useful for all BIs
- ▶ Otherwise, we have followed our DoD as planned.

Used QA practices and tools:

- ▶ Commenting & documentation – forces to rethink from another perspective, facilitates peer review
- ▶ Python standard unittest framework – white-box test automation
- ▶ Functional testing – manual black-box testing based on specs
- ▶ Peer review – quality assurance

Performance in quality assurance practices peer-graded with scale 0-3:

US	UT	FT	Com	Doc	Peer
1	3	3	3	3	3
2	2	2	3	2	3
3	3	3	3	3	3
4	3	3	3	3	1
5	1	3	2	1	1
	2	3	3	2	2

Performance in quality assurance practices peer-graded with scale 0-3:

US	UT	FT	Com	Doc	Peer
1	3	3	3	3	3
2	2	2	3	2	3
3	3	3	3	3	3
4	3	3	3	3	1
5	1	3	2	1	1
	2	3	3	2	2

Qualitatively we achieved our standards only partially:

- ▶ Unit and functional test coverage – good
- ▶ Quality of comments and documentation – good
- ▶ Peer review – ok (done rather quickly)

Quality

Relevant quality attributes:

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Relevant quality attributes:

- ▶ **Usability** – Our user guide helps newbies understand our product → The usability should be good

Relevant quality attributes:

- ▶ **Usability** – Our user guide helps newbies understand our product → The usability should be good
- ▶ **Reliability** – We estimate the overall reliability only satisfactory. We will put more effort on this in the future.

Relevant quality attributes:

- ▶ **Usability** – Our user guide helps newbies understand our product → The usability should be good
- ▶ **Reliability** – We estimate the overall reliability only satisfactory. We will put more effort on this in the future.
- ▶ **Extendability** – At the moment our software's extendability is ok, but it is only a prototype.

Relevant quality attributes:

- ▶ **Usability** – Our user guide helps newbies understand our product → The usability should be good
- ▶ **Reliability** – We estimate the overall reliability only satisfactory. We will put more effort on this in the future.
- ▶ **Extendability** – At the moment our software's extendability is ok, but it is only a prototype.
- ▶ **Performance** – The current performance is mediocre. We will put more effort on this in the future.

Effort

Spent and budgeted effort in hours by team member and sprint:

S	Samuel	Teemu	Tuomo	Joona	Iiro	Matias
0	140/50	36/35	45/35	40/35	36/35	43/35
1	52/30	37/33	42/33	46/33	32/33	37/33
2	0/30	0/33	0/33	0/33	0/33	0/33
3	0/15	0/33	0/33	0/33	0/33	0/33
4	0/15	0/33	0/33	0/33	0/33	0/33
5	0/15	0/33	0/33	0/33	0/33	0/33
6	0/20	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
	192/175	73/225	87/225	86/225	68/225	80/225

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Effort

Spent and budgeted effort in hours by team member and sprint:

S	Samuel	Teemu	Tuomo	Joona	Iiro	Matias
0	140/50	36/35	45/35	40/35	36/35	43/35
1	52/30	37/33	42/33	46/33	32/33	37/33
2	0/30	0/33	0/33	0/33	0/33	0/33
3	0/15	0/33	0/33	0/33	0/33	0/33
4	0/15	0/33	0/33	0/33	0/33	0/33
5	0/15	0/33	0/33	0/33	0/33	0/33
6	0/20	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25	0/25
	192/175	73/225	87/225	86/225	68/225	80/225

- ▶ Our team had comparatively little experience
- ▶ The project's problem domain was challenging to understand
- ▶ Studying existing products and technologies took a lot of time
- ▶ The scrum master served also as team leader and lead developer

Retros: Sprint 0

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Sprint planning:

- ▶ BI clarity and simplicity (user guide helps)
- ▶ It might have been better if the PO had created the stories from scratch -Matias, Tuomo
- ▶ We should actively seek more input from PO when developing the user guide
- ▶ we should make sure we reserve enough time for the actual story selection on Monday -Matias

Retros: Sprint 0

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Daily scrums:

- ▶ We have mostly been doing teamwork, so there has been little new info in the scrums -Matias -Joona -Teemu
- ▶ They have been overly long and they have extended due to inexperience.
- ▶ People are late.

Retros: Sprint 0

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Teamwork sessions:

- ▶ Sessions are too long and sometimes people get hungry
- ▶ Generally someone has to leave early or comes late

Retros: Sprint 0

Tools:

- ▶ Flowdock is good x6
- ▶ Agilefant has a steep learning curve. -liro
- ▶ People tend to forget to log their time at agilefant
- ▶ Github hasn't been used much. Hope to use it more during future sprints
- ▶ Floobits is very buggy.
- ▶ Top 3 tools: 1) GitHub 2) Flowdock 3) Agilefant
- ▶ Worst 3 tools: 1) Floobits 2) Six tactics 3) Agilefant

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

Retros: Sprint 0

Progress report

Neronet

Introduction

Results

Demo

Quality

Effort

Retros

How teamwork could be improved:

- ▶ People should be more on time
- ▶ Scrum Master shouldn't have to work as a team leader too.
- ▶ Hard to think of improvements since we haven't really started coding yet