PATH

• Given a directed graph *G* and two nodes *s*, *t* in *G*. Question: Is there a path from *s* to *t*?

• $PATH = \{(G, s, t): G \text{ is a directed graph that has a directed path from } s \text{ to } t\}$

Is
$$(G, s, t) \in PATH$$
?

• This is a stronger question than the first one. It hides more questions: Is *G a* directed graph? Are *s*, *t* vertices in *G*?

Theorem: PATH $\in P$

- The language PATH is in the class P
- What is the language PATH exactly?

It is a collection of **binary strings** that represent triples (directed graph, vertex1, vertex2) where the vertex1 and vertex2 belong to the graph in the first component

- The first component, the graph itself, is a collection of vertices and edges.
 This can be represented by an adjacency matrix (array)
- So, every triple can be represented as an array at the end, and we know that arrays get saved into bits (binary strings)

The input

• Given a binary string, can a computer decide if it corresponds to a triple (directed graph, vertex1, vertex2)?

Yes

• Can then the computer decide if vertex1 and vertex2 are in the graph?

Yes

- After that, can the computer decide if there is a path from vertex1 to vertex2 in the graph? (Let me call this the surface question)
- Can all this be done in polynomial time in the size of the initially given binary string?

That's what the Theorem says

The input size

 A proof of the Theorem requires finding a polynomial time algorithm that decides PATH

Moment of awareness before we start thinking of the algorithm:
 How should we think of the size of an input here?

The input size: Theoretical vs Mechanical

- We feed an array representing (G, s, t) to our machine, which gets saved (coded) as some binary representation
- G is a set of vertices and edges, theoretically we write G = (V, E)
- Theoretically, it is practical to think of the size of a graph as the number of its vertices
- Mechanically, the size of a graph for a computer (TM) is the size of the graph's binary representation (including edges)

Theoretical is good enough

• When it comes to complexity analysis, it is safe to assume that the size of a graph is the number of its vertices

 Because: The size of the mechanical representation of a graph is polynomial in the number of vertices

• More precisely, there is a polynomial function f(x) such that, For an arbitrary directed graph G, if G has n vertices, then the size of the mechanical representation of G is < f(n)

Why safe?

- Suppose we have a graph G with n vertices
- For simplicity, assume for now it is loop-free, and not a multi-graph
- Worst case scenario for the number of edges is when every two vertices are connected (complete graph)
- Ignoring direction, that number is $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. Taking direction into account we have n(n-1) edges
- Note that the number of edges follows a polynomial function of degree 2

• In case we have loops

Still poly of degree 2

In case we have a multi-graph

Still poly of degree 2

 The information of vertices and edges can then be captured by arrays (adjacency matrix, say)

 ${f \cdot}$ Finally, switching all this to binary still results in a representation of size polynomial in n

 Note that final step is the same for natural numbers, symbols, or strings; all bits (which we always ignore)

Break

Now we are happy to simply think of the size of the graph as the number of its vertices

An Algorithm

- Recall, we want a polytime algorithm that decides PATH.
- Given a binary string:
- 1. Decide if it corresponds to a triple (directed graph, vertex1, vertex2)
- 2. Decide if vertex1 and vertex2 are in the graph?
- 3. Decide if there is a path from vertex1 to vertex2 in the graph?
- For ease, think of having a separate polytime algorithms for each of 1,2,3, and we run them after each other (if needed)

We focus only on 3

- Note that, in almost every decision problem, there are other hidden decision problems similar in nature to 1 or 2. Consider for example Surt we discussed last time. Or even something simpler, like addition.
- If you notice, those hidden problems concern how the data are coded into bits, and how the algorithm is designed to take in an input.
- Normally, if the input isn't valid (does not allow 3), a good program will quickly give an error within a short time (polynomial)
- This is why such hidden problems are not the main issue and do not change tractability

• In practice, deciding PATH means deciding if there is a path assuming that the given data correspond to a graph and two vertices in the graph.

So basically, like our very initial question

At this point

• We are ready to consider time complexity based on the number of vertices instead of the size of the binary representation

We are fine investigating 3 without worrying about 1,2

Enough of the fuss!

Let's start an algorithm for real

- First, let's consider a brute-force algorithm
- Examine all potential sequences of vertices (edges)
- Check for each sequence if all the edges are valid direction-wise
- Check each sequence if it starts at s and ends at t
- Brute-force is clearly exponential

Let's do better

1. Mark the vertex s (perhaps save it in a specific array called Marked)

2. Scan all the edges in the graph, and if any of them starts at a marked vertex, then mark its end vertex

3. If t gets marked, accept (there is a path). Otherwise, reject (no path).

Analysis

• Stage 1: Marking s takes a constant time (it is already given as an input).

This stage gets executed once and takes polynomial time (just creating Marked then writing s in Marked).

• Stage 2 is a loop work. This stage may get executed many times (How many?).

Once for each vertex in worst case.

• Stage 3: Executed once in polytime (is t in Marked?)

Stage 2

• Executed at most *n* times (the number of vertices).

This is because each time it marks at most one single extra vertex

 Involves scanning the input edges, checks if the start vertex is marked, marks the end vertex

The class NP

• $NTIME(f(n)) = \{L: L \text{ is a language decidable by an } O(f(n)) \text{ nondeterministic TM} \}$

• $NP = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} NTIME(n^k)$