

SUBSET-SUM

and Related Problems

SUBSET-SUM

- Recall, the subset-sum problem is the following language:

$$\{\langle S, t \rangle : S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \text{ and for some } \{y_1, \dots, y_l\} \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \sum_{i=1}^l y_i = t\}$$

- Inputs: an integer value (target) t , and a set of integers a_1, \dots, a_n
- Output: **YES** if there is a subset that adds up to t , **NO** otherwise

SUBSET-SUM is in NP

- Proof: Sipser Theorem 7.25

SUBSET-SUM is in NPc

- Proof: Sipser Theorem 7.56
- We show that $3SAT \leq_p SUBSET-SUM$
- How?
- Given a 3CNF formula \emptyset , we want (**within polytime** in the length of \emptyset) to **create(build)** an instance of SUBSET-SUM (dependent on \emptyset): $\langle S_\emptyset, t_\emptyset \rangle$ such that \emptyset is satisfiable iff S_\emptyset has a subset that adds up to t_\emptyset .

From \emptyset to $\langle S_\emptyset, t_\emptyset \rangle$

- Suppose it has variables x_1, \dots, x_l and clauses c_1, \dots, c_k
- $t_\emptyset = \underbrace{11 \dots 1}_l \underbrace{33 \dots 3}_k$ (decimal number)
- Example: If $l = 1$ and $k = 1$, then t_\emptyset is thirteen

If $\emptyset = (x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (x_3 \vee \neg x_4 \vee x_5)$, then
 $t_\emptyset = 1111133$

$$S_{\emptyset} = ??$$

(1) If x_i is a variable in the formula \emptyset and the literal x_i appears in the clauses c_{j_1}, \dots, c_{j_r} , then include in S_{\emptyset} the number $Y_i =$:

$$\underbrace{1 \ 00 \dots 0}_{l-i} \underbrace{0 \dots 1 \dots 010 \dots 0}_k$$

Where in red, the 1's are in the positions j_1, \dots, j_r

(2) Do the exact same for the literal $\neg x_i$. Call the resulting number Z_i

(3) For each clause c_j , include $D_j = 1 \underbrace{00 \dots 0}_{k-j}$ and $H_j = 2 \underbrace{00 \dots 0}_{k-j}$

This construction works

- (1) If \emptyset is satisfiable, we can find a subset T of S_\emptyset such that the sum of the elements in T equals t_\emptyset
- (2) Conversely, if we can find a subset T of S_\emptyset such that the sum of the elements in T equals t_\emptyset , then \emptyset is satisfiable

(1)

- Suppose that \emptyset is satisfiable (by some assignment); here we build T
- If x_i is assigned TRUE, include Y_i in T
- If x_i is assigned FALSE, include Z_i in T
- With the elements included so far, the sum of the elements in T should be something like
$$\underbrace{11 \dots 1}_l \underbrace{23 \dots 1 \dots 3}_k$$

(the last k digits are made from 1,2,3)
- If needed, include in T from D_i and H_i what brings up the sum to $\underbrace{11 \dots 1}_l \underbrace{33 \dots 3}_k$

(2)

- Suppose we can find a subset T of S_\emptyset such that the sum of the elements in T equals t_\emptyset
- We build an assignment which satisfies \emptyset
- If T contains Y_i , then assign x_i to TRUE
- If T contains Z_i , then assign x_i to FALSE

(2) Clarified

- Note that all we know about T here is that it is a collection of Y_i 's, Z_j 's, D_m 's, and H_n 's
- They all add up to $\underbrace{11 \dots 1}_l \underbrace{33 \dots 3}_k$
- The 1's at the beginning imply that for each variable x_i , exactly one of Y_i or Z_i is in T
- These Y_i 's (or Z_i 's) contribute at least a 1 to each 3

Related Problems

PARTITION

- $\text{PARTITION} = \{S : S \text{ finite subset of } \mathbb{Z} \text{ that can be split into two sets which sum to equal values}\}$
- $\text{SUBSET-SUM} \leq_p \text{PARTITION}$
- So, PARTITION is NP-complete

KNAPSACK