

1. a) $\phi = \{x/A, y/A, z/B\}$

b) One doesn't exist as
y must be $G(A, B)$
but then y cannot be $G(x, x)$

c) $\phi = \{x/B, y/A\}$

d) $\phi = \{y/John, x/John\}$

e) One doesn't exist
otherwise x would have to
be Father(y) and y.

2. a)

1. $\forall x \text{ Food}(x) \Rightarrow \text{Likes}(John, x)$

2. $\text{Food}(\text{Apples})$

3. $\text{Food}(\text{Chicken})$

4. $\forall x (\exists y \text{ Eats}(y, x) \wedge \neg(\text{Killed}(x, y)))$
 $\Rightarrow \text{Food}(x)$

5. $\forall x (\exists y \text{ Killed}(y, x))$
 $\Rightarrow \neg(\text{Alive}(x))$

6. $\text{Eats}(\text{Bill}, \text{Peanuts}) \wedge \text{Alive}(\text{Bill})$

7. $\forall x \text{ Eats}(\text{Bill}, x) \Rightarrow \text{Eats}(\text{Alice}, x)$

b) 1. $\neg \text{Food}(x) \vee \text{Likes}(John, x)$

2. $\text{Food}(\text{Apples})$

3. $\text{Food}(\text{Chicken})$

4. $\neg \text{Eats}(F(x), x) \vee \text{Killed}(x, F(x))$
 $\vee \text{Food}(x)$

5. $\neg \text{Killed}(G(x), x) \vee \neg \text{Alive}(x)$

6. a) $\text{Eats}(\text{Bill}, \text{Peanuts})$

b) $\text{Alive}(\text{Bill})$

7. $\neg \text{Eats}(\text{Bill}, x) \vee \text{Eats}(\text{Sue}, x)$

c) Resolving 6a with 4 we get
after unifying Bill with $F(x)$
and Peanuts with x

8. $\text{Killed}(\text{Peanuts}, \text{Bill})$
 $\vee \text{Food}(\text{Peanuts})$

Resolving 6b with 5, we get
after unification of x with Bill

we get 9. $\neg \text{Killed}(G(\text{Bill}), \text{Bill})$

Resolving 9 with 8 and
unifying Peanuts with $G(\text{Bill})$

we get 10. $\text{Food}(\text{Peanuts})$

Finally resolving 10 with 1 and
unifying x with Peanuts we get

11. $\text{Likes}(\text{John}, \text{Peanuts})$

which proves that John likes peanuts

d) Resolving 7 with 6a
unifying x with Peanuts

we get 12. $\text{Eats}(\text{Sue}, \text{Peanuts})$

This is the only clause that relates
Alice to a food she eats that can
be derived from this KB
 \therefore Ans. Peanuts

e) With any of the other statements we cannot infer anything that Sue eats.

(If we make the closed world assumption i.e. everything not in Knowledge Base is false this means Sue eats nothing)

3

1. No

2. Yes

3. This tells us that the given graph needs at least 4 colors for a valid coloring.

i.e. it is 4-colorable but not 3-colorable

RSAT returns a satisfying assignment for the satisfiable instance, this assignment can be parsed into a coloring

assignment: -1 -2 -3 -4

-5 -6 -7 -8

-9 -10 -11 -12

-13 -14 -15 -16

-17 -18 -19 -20

-21 -22 -23 -24

-25 -26 -27 -28

which translates to the following coloring

Node 1 - 4 Node 4 - 3 Node 7 - 1

Node 2 - 3 Node 5 - 1

Node 3 - 2 Node 6 - 2

4. 8 colors are needed at minimum to color graph 2

