

JUSTIFICATION REVIEW DOCUMENT

NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU
111 South George Mason Drive
Arlington, VA 22204-1373



I. OVERVIEW

a. Program/Equipment Name b. Amount *(Total price/cost to include options.)*
 Oracle [REDACTED]

c. Authority
 41 USC 1901 as implemented in FAR 13.106-1(b)(1) Soliciting from a single source.

d. Technical Representative

Name	Title	Email	Phone	Date
Allmon, Kenneth	Data Management Superviosr	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	

e. Contracting Officer *(Populates from the KO signature field.)*

Name	Title	Email	Phone	Date
	Contracting Officer			

☐ Requirements Representative *(If different from Technical Representative.)*

☐ Prepared by *(If different from Contracting Officer.)*

II. REVIEWS

I have reviewed this justification and find it adequate to support other than full and open competition.

- ☐ Program Manager *(If applicable.)*
- ☐ Program Executive Officer *(When item is Program Executive Officer managed.)*

Controlled by: [REDACTED]
 Controlled by: [REDACTED]
 CUI Category: SOURCE SELECTION
 Limited Dissemination Control: FEDCON
 POC: [REDACTED]
(Populates from the KO signature field.)

Memorandum for Record - Absence of Competition

Instructions

Local Control Number

NGB Control Number (if applicable)

1a. CONTRACTING ACTIVITY

W911YP - USPFO for UT - P&C

b. Requiring Activity

G6

c. POC

Taylor Haycock

2a. NATURE OF ACTION

Brand name request.

b. Contract Action

New Contract

c. Contract Type

Firm Fixed Price

d. Fund Type

O&M, Army

3a. ESTIMATED TOTAL PRICE OF REQUEST (including options, if any)

b. If Applicable, Estimated Price of Supplies/Services

4a. Name of Requirement

Oracle

b. Description of Requirement

Oracle software update license and security support

c. Demonstrate, in Detail, Why This Specific Item or Service, is the Only One That Meets Your Requirements

1. With the complexities and scope of this software, switching to a competitor database software at this time is cost-effective and time restrictive and would create an interruption in regular software database operations. Any competitor software would require a similar level of configuration, tuning and customizing, training and maintenance.

2. The Oracle Database software provides version updates, security, and stability patches as well as technical support and access to the on-line knowledge base and documentation. This will help ensure timely routine maintenance and timely critical problems. The Oracle Database software provides patches for all server systems running the database software to keep each of these servers and entire system stable and secure.

3. The Oracle Database software is already owned and operated by the UTARNG G6-DPI. It would be cost prohibitive to attempt to replace our network platforms with a different vendor. Standardization to a single device/brand simplifies training, maintenance, and logistical support of the systems we operate.

5a. HAS THIS BEEN PREVIOUSLY PROCURED?

☒ Yes, details are below.
 ☐ No.

b. Contract Number

W911YP25PA004

c. Date

October 29, 2024

d. Competitive Status

Brand Name

e. Authority Previously Cited (if OTF&O)

FAR 6.302-1(c)

f. Summary of "Actions to Increase Competition" and an explanation of the results.

This requirement was originally limited to the vendors within the Army's IT mandatory source CHESS, IAW AFARS 5139.101-90(a)(1), AR25-1, and DA PAM 25-1-1. However, a CHESS Statement of Non-Availability (SoNA) was obtained indicating this requirement cannot be fulfilled through CHESS. Therefore, this requirement will be posted on SAM.gov as a Total Small Business Set-Aside.

g. If previously used "Full and Open Competition" explain what changes causing this to now have limited sources.

Previous contract, W911YP24PA004, was not "Full and Open Competition"

h. Provide an explanation of any unusual patterns that may be revealed by the history, e.g., several consecutive, urgent buys.

No unusual patterns were revealed.

i. If a justification was prepared to support the procurement made before this one, briefly describe the circumstances justifying the procurement and whether there have been any significant changes.

Previous procurement of this requirement justified the use of a Brand Name J&A with no significant changes. This will be a new Brand Name renewal contract. This is a software module renewal for Oracle.

j. Provide any other facts necessary to justify limiting competition that were not previously stated.

There is no other facts that was not previously stated.

6a. AUTHORITY CITED

41 USC 1901 as implemented in FAR 13.106-1(b)(1) Soliciting from a single source.

b. Reason for Authority Cited

Brand Name requirement using Simplified Acquisition Procedures (FAR 13) and under the SAT

7. POSTING REQUIREMENTS

Include MFR with solicitation (FAR 13.106-1(b)(3)).

8. CONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS

a. Efforts to Obtain Competition

This requirement will be posted on SAM.gov as a Brand Name requirement with a Small Business Set-Aside.

b. Actions to Increase Competition

This requirement was originally limited to the vendors within the Army's IT mandatory source CHES, IAW AFARS 5139.101-90(a)(1), AR25-1, and DA PAM 25-1-1. However, a CHES Statement of Non-Availability (SoNA) was obtained indicating this requirement cannot be fulfilled through CHES. Therefore, this requirement will be posted on SAM.gov as a Total Small Business Set-Aside.

c. Market Research

Reviewed publicly available information on CHES and in the marketplace, including FPDS.gov. Conducted additional market research from prior year contracts awarded from our agency and other government agencies. Previous contracts were reviewed (W911YP25PA004 and W911YP24P0001) and extended market research on CHES, which resulted in this requirement not being able to be procured through the DOD ELA, DOD ESI, and CHES. Therefore, will an RFQ will be posted on SAM.gov. Market research revealed there is a reasonable expectation of receiving two (2) or more small business quotes that are competitive in terms of fair market prices, quality, and delivery IAW FAR 19.502-2(a).

d. Interested Sources

Reviewed publicly available information on CHES and in the marketplace. Conducted additional market research from prior year contracts awarded from our agency and other government agencies.

e. Other Facts

N/A

9. REQUIRING ACTIVITY CERTIFICATION

Technical and Requirements

I certify that the supporting data under my cognizance, which are included in the justification, are accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name	Date	Title
Allmon, Kenneth		Data Management Supervisor
Phone	Email	ALLMON.KENNETH.LYNN.1105878032
		Digitally signed by ALLMON.KENNETH.LYNN.1105878032 Date: 2025.11.25 07:12:49 -0700

11. APPROVAL

Contracting Officer (KO)

- I hereby determine that the anticipated cost to the government for this contract action will be Fair and Reasonable.
- I certify that this Justification is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.
(This certification shall be made by the contracting Officer who will sign the contract resulting from this Justification and Approval.)

Name	Date	Phone
William (Billy) T. Brown	November 24, 2025	
Email		William T. Brown III
		Digitally signed by BROWN.WILLIAM.THOMAS.III.1055355610 Date: 2025.11.24 16:02:58 -0700

12. REDACTION INSTRUCTIONS

After completion and signatures, print to PDF. In Adobe, Redact items such as, but not limited to:

- Dollar amounts.
- Names.
- Signatures.
- Proprietary information.

FAR 5.401, FAR 24.1, and FAR 24.2