Stock/Bond Dynamics and the Cross-section of Country Stock Returns

Sungjune Pyun

National University of Singapore

Seminar at Yonsei University

April 01 2022

- ▶ International equity investments popular with advancements of ETF trading
- ▶ Understanding the influence of local/global risk factors on the cross-section of country equity risk premium is important
 - Mainstream literature focuses on global factor and global exposure (e.g., International CAPM; Adler and Dumas 1983)
 - Existing evidence suggests dividend yields or momentum is a predictor of the cross-sectional returns (e.g., Cenedese et. al. 2016)
 - More to study about the relative performance of the country stock index
- ▶ This paper studies the cross-section of country stock returns
 - Focus on the dynamics of country stock index and sovereign bond returns

Evidence from Russia-Ukraine War 2022

2/14-3/1, with countries credit rating A and above

The US on Feb 12 (Sat): "We're in a window when (Russian) invasion could begin at any time"

	Europe		Asia-Pacific		
	Δ 10Y Yield	Equity		Δ 10Y Yield	Equity
Austria	-17.70bp	-33.79%	Australia	-3.00bp	-1.99%
Belgium	-22.70bp	-4.81%	Canada	-12.40bp	-1.80%
Finland	-12.30bp	-9.80%	China	6.80bp	-8.53%
France	-26.50bp	-8.37%	Japan	-9.10bp	-5.71%
Germany	-25.70bp	-11.02%	Korea	-7.00bp	-3.62%
Netherlands	-17.20bp	-7.45%	Malaysia	-1.70bp	1.15%
Norway	-21.20bp	2.87%	New Zealand	0.50bp	-2.49%
Spain	-17.30bp	-6.28%	Singapore	-7.67bp	-11.01%
Sweden	-27.20bp	-9.43%	United States	-15.30bp	-2.55%
Switzerland	-17.90bp	3.20%			
United Kingdom	-26.50bp	-2.36%			_
Average	-21.11 bp	-8.51 %	Average 3.8	-5.43 bp	-4.06 %
				X 2.09	

Asymmetric exposure of stocks and bonds to global risk

- Stock prices and bond yields both react negatively to positive uncertainty shocks.
 - Increased risk premium (stocks)
 - Precautionary savings channel (bonds)
- - ightarrow Bond yields will be more volatile when most uncertainty shocks are local
- ▷ Source of the asymmetric exposure?
 - Bond yields are primarily determined by the local discount factor, which is a function of local consumption
 - Firms export directly/indirectly, so dividends depend on foreign consumption

Country-specific variance risk and SB relationship

Stock and bond prices move in opposite directions (β_i < 0) upon "uncertainty" shocks.


▷ Bond yield beta

$$R_{i,s} = \alpha_i + \beta_i(-\Delta y_{i,t}) + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

When $\Delta y_{i,t}$ varies more, β_i is flatter (more positive)

- ▷ Correlation
 - When local variance risk is large, bonds and stocks move independently, increasing the correlation.
 - The model in the paper shows that local shocks affect the discount rate of stocks more, which may even change the sign of the beta.
- ▶ Positive stock-bond comovement should imply higher country-specific variance risk and, thus, a higher risk premium


The SB beta of the UK and the US




The SB beta of Japan and the US

Motivation

00000000000



Preview of the results: Performance of SB beta portfolio



Generally, bonds provide hedge against variance risk. However:

▷ For international investors:

Higher country-specific variance reduces stock prices as well as currency value. Hence, country-specific risk is difficult to diversify. Empirically supported by the positive correlation between currency and stock returns (Hau and Rey 2006)

▶ For domestic investors:

Stocks are exposed to global variance risk. Due to country-specific risk, bonds will not completely hedge global risk, making stocks more costly to hold.

Related Literature (1)

Motivation

000000000000

Variance risk as a return predictor of stock returns

- ▶ The variance risk premium as a stock market return predictor
 - e.g., Bollerslev, Tauchen, and Zhou (2009), Drechsler and Yaron (2011), Pyun (2019)
- Cross-section of stock returns
 - e.g., Ang, Hodrick, Xing and Zhang (2006), Chang, Christoffersen, Jacobs (2013)
- Macroeconomic volatility risk
 - e.g., Boguth and Kuehn (2012), Kilic and Wachter (2018)
- - e.g., Lustig, Roussanov, and Verdelhan (2011), Londono and Zhou (2017)

Related Literature (2)

Global vs Local risk factors as determinants of equity returns

- Extensions of International CAPM: Dumas and Solnik (1995), Brusa, Ramadorai, and Verdelhan (2016), etc.
- Ferson and Harvey (1993), Bekaert and Harvey (1995), Bekaert, Ehrmann, Fratzcher, and Mehl (2014) etc.
- ▶ There is time-series predictability generated by global variance risk Bollerslev, Marrone, Xu and Zhou (2017), Bekaert, Hodrick, and Kiguel (2019), Londono and Xu (2021), etc.
- ▶ Equity returns and trade linkages Forbes and Rigobon (2002), Forbes (2004), Pyun and Sulaeman (2022) etc.

Data

- ▷ Total of 30: 14 in Europe (Austria, Belgium, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Russia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, UK), 9 in Asia (China, India, Indonesia, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Philippines, Singapore, Thailand), 3 in NA (Canada, Mexico, US), 2 in Oceania (Australia and New Zealand), 1 from SA (Brazil) and Africa (South Africa)
- - Stocks: MSCI Price index (1990 2020)/ total return index (1999 – 2020)
 - Bonds: Ten-year Treasury yields (1990 2020)
- ▷ Intraday variance (Oxford-Man), macro variables (Worldbank, OECD, and IMF), and CDS data (intercontinental exchange)

Main Result - Total Returns/daily beta

Countries are sorted by their SB beta, portfolios are formed, and the returns of the next month are evaluated:

	Port 1	Port 2	Port 3	Port 4	Port 5	H–L
Returns	0.46	0.67	0.79	0.87	0.99	0.53**
in USD	(1.24)	(1.93)	(2.28)	(2.54)	(2.56)	(2.46)
ICAPM	0.03	0.23	0.57	0.72	0.80	0.77***
	(0.28)	(2.25)	(3.32)	(3.42)	(4.91)	(4.37)
Returns in local \$	0.37	0.56	0.81	0.86	1.05	0.68***
	(1.14)	(1.95)	(2.96)	(3.14)	(3.47)	(3.24)
Currency returns	0.07 (0.51)	0.09 (0.66)	$-0.05 \\ (-0.35)$	$-0.02 \\ (-0.14)$	$-0.12 \ (-1.03)$	$-0.19* \\ (-1.72)$

Main Result - Price Returns/daily beta

Countries are sorted by their SB beta, portfolios are formed, and the returns of the next month are evaluated:

	Port 1	Port 2	Port 3	Port 4	Port 5	H–L
Returns in USD	0.18 (0.59)	0.63 (2.26)	0.64 (2.27)	0.85 (2.91)	0.86 (2.45)	0.68*** (2.86)
ICAPM	$-0.40 \\ (-3.55)$	0.15 (1.56)	0.18 (1.44)	0.43 (3.13)	0.46 (2.80)	0.86*** (4.62)
Returns in local \$	0.12 (0.44)	0.53 (2.25)	0.63 (2.70)	0.82 (3.39)	0.92 (3.05)	0.81*** (3.56)
Currency returns	0.05 (0.42)	0.08 (0.80)	$-0.02 \\ (-0.16)$	0.01 (0.07)	-0.11 (-0.94)	$-0.16 \ (-1.27)$

The results are robust when betas are estimated using weekly, monthly returns, and also when price returns are used for a longer sample Results

Conclusion

Cross-sectional Regressions

Country-specific characteristic (e.g., geography, emerging market) vs. time-varying risk premium?

	$\hat{\beta}_{d,t}^{i}$ $\bar{\hat{\beta}}_{d}^{i}$	GDP/cap	Total GDP	% Export GDP	GDP growth	Inf. (π)	R^2
Model 1	3.687** (3.14)						0.073
Model 2	5.034** -1.940 (3.31) (-1.06)						0.140
Model 3	2.997** (2.68)	-0.003 (-0.45)	0.055 (0.73)	0.000 (1.27)	1.651 (1.02)	-0.041 (-0.84)	0.348

Cross-sectional Regressions

Motivation

Other possible channels that may drive the return predictability:

Nominal-re	eal covariance $\hat{\beta}_{d,t}^{i}$	π	ΔGDP	Δ GDP $ imes \pi$	Δc	$\Delta c \times \pi$	$ ho_{\pi,GDP}$	$\rho_{\pi,\Delta c}$	R^2
Model 4	2.131**	0.018	6.838	-1.814					0.266
	(2.02)	(0.16)	(1.32)	(-1.02)					
Model 5	3.379**	-0.033	2.524				-0.290*		0.286
	(2.69) (-0.71)	(0.84)				(-1.92)		
Model 6	2.287**	-0.023	` ,		-1.821	1.005	` ′		0.250
	(2.13)	-0.34)			(-0.32)	(0.59)			
Model 7	3.165**	-0.017			-1.218	, ,		-0.121	0.256
	(2.64) (-0.37)			(-0.34)			(-0.89)	

Other com	$\hat{\beta}_{d,t}^{i}$	Div. yield	Term spread	Momentum	R^2
Model 8	2.441** (2.30)	0.520 (0.07)			0.115
Model 9	2.255** (2.11)	,	0.018 (0.51)		0.119
Model 10	2.308**		, ,	1.331* (1.83)	0.157
Model 11	1.819* (1.77)	-0.476 (-0.07)	0.009 (0.24)	1.191 (1.60)	0.249

CDS beta and risk-free yield beta

$$R_{S,t+1}^{i} = a^{i} + b^{i}(-\Delta y_{t+1}^{i} + \Delta CDS_{t+1}^{i}) + b_{g}^{i}(-\Delta CDS_{t+1}^{i}) + \epsilon_{t+1}^{i}$$

Portfolios formed after sorting by CDS beta

Port 1	Port 2	Port 3	Port 4	Port 5	H –L
0.66	0.56	0.46	0.59	0.41	-0.25
(1.57)	(1.25)	(1.25)	(1.31)	(1.02)	(-1.45)
0.63	0.67	0.52	0.68	0.52	-0.11
(1.92)	(1.92)	(1.80)	(1.91)	(1.64)	(-0.67)
0.32	0.34	0.47	0.37	0.06	-0.26*
(2.66)	(1.99)	(3.52)	(2.75)	(0.50)	(-1.78)
0.42	0.37	0.48	0.51	0.30	-0.12
(2.40)	(1.71)	(2.58)	(2.21)	(1.77)	(-1.05)
	0.66 (1.57) 0.63 (1.92) 0.32 (2.66) 0.42	0.66 0.56 (1.57) (1.25) 0.63 0.67 (1.92) (1.92) 0.32 0.34 (2.66) (1.99) 0.42 0.37	0.66 0.56 0.46 (1.57) (1.25) (1.25) 0.63 0.67 0.52 (1.92) (1.92) (1.80) 0.32 0.34 0.47 (2.66) (1.99) (3.52) 0.42 0.37 0.48	0.66 0.56 0.46 0.59 (1.57) (1.25) (1.25) (1.31) 0.63 0.67 0.52 0.68 (1.92) (1.80) (1.91) 0.32 0.34 0.47 0.37 (2.66) (1.99) (3.52) (2.75) 0.42 0.37 0.48 0.51	0.66 0.56 0.46 0.59 0.41 (1.57) (1.25) (1.25) (1.31) (1.02) 0.63 0.67 0.52 0.68 0.52 (1.92) (1.92) (1.80) (1.91) (1.64) 0.32 0.34 0.47 0.37 0.06 (2.66) (1.99) (3.52) (2.75) (0.50) 0.42 0.37 0.48 0.51 0.30

Portfolios formed after sorting by risk-free yield beta

		0 ,	,			
	Port 1	Port 2	Port 3	Port 4	Port 5	H –L
Returns	0.33	0.70	0.31	0.46	0.79	0.47**
in USD	(0.89)	(1.97)	(0.86)	(1.22)	(2.08)	(2.34)
ICAPM	0.28	0.56	0.50	0.57	0.91	0.63***
	(0.90)	(1.82)	(1.76)	(1.88)	(3.09)	(3.20)
Returns	0.22	0.39	0.40	0.39	0.61	0.39**
in local \$	(1.63)	(3.07)	(2.74)	(2.17)	(4.07)	(2.05)
Currency	0.44	0.67	0.28	0.33	0.46	0.02
returns	(2.67)	(4.02)	(1.48)	(1.85)	(2.92)	(0.19)

Summary of the predictability result

- Stock markets that have a positive SB beta (stock markets that respond most negatively to yield increases) have better future performance
- The strategy does not consists of investing in a fixed subset of the world.
- ➤ The returns remain statistically significant, controlling for global yields (in the paper), macroeconomic variables, sovereign credit risk, and standard return predictors.
- ▷ Excess returns earned are partially offset by currency returns, but the difference remains significant for the global investor
- ▶ What else could drive the predictability ?

Cross-region correlations of stocks and bonds

Stock correlations

	Asia	Africa	Europe	Lat Am	Aus & NZ	US & Can
Asia	0.493	0.450	0.437	0.485	0.385	0.457
Europe	0.437	0.521	0.627	0.538	0.428	0.608
Lat Am	0.485	0.590	0.538	0.623	0.414	0.630
Aus & NZ	0.385	0.428	0.428	0.414	0.463	0.461
US & Can	0.457	0.576	0.608	0.630	0.461	0.747

Bond correlations

	Asia	Africa	Europe	Lat Am	Aus & NZ	US & Can
Asia	0.127	0.121	0.139	0.117	0.186	0.179
Europe	0.139	0.127	0.482	0.138	0.360	0.469
Lat Am	0.117	0.248	0.138	0.336	0.086	0.119
Aus & NZ	0.186	0.143	0.360	0.086	0.625	0.492
US & Can	0.179	0.102	0.469	0.119	0.492	0.752

	Test of
the	difference:

Same Continent	Different Continent	Paired t-test
0.292	0.126	-0.151
(11.54)	(1.93)	(-2.12)
0.000	0.063	0.051

➤ Country-level

SB Correlation and Stock or Bond Variance

Bond yields are more subject to *local* risk, stock returns are more exposed to *global* risk.

$$\mathsf{Dep}_{i,t} = \underbrace{b}_{>0} \sigma_t(\Delta y^i) + \underbrace{c}_{<0} \sigma_t(R_s^i) + \mathsf{FE}_{i,t} + e_{i,t}$$

		Dep. va	riable : $\hat{\beta}_d^i$			Dependent variable : $\hat{ ho}_d^i$				
$SD(\Delta y^i)$	1.002		2.385	1.676	0.077		0.096	0.084		
	(2.70)		(3.92)	(3.12)	(2.89)		(3.27)	(3.01)		
$SD(R_m^i)$		-1.309	-1.353	-1.220		-0.017	-0.019	-0.005		
		(-4.86)	(-5.07)	(-4.65)		(-3.09)	(-3.53)	(-1.28)		
FE Country	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Υ		
FE Time	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	N	Υ		
R ²	0.129	0.236	0.238	0.352	0.141	0.251	0.256	0.447		
# of Obs	8232	8232	8232	8232	8232	8232	8232	8232		

SB Correlation and Local/global variance relationship

A more direct test is to estimate the volatility of variance directly from country stock returns. Two methodologies are employed:

- 1) Use variance estimated from intraday data to estimate the volatility of variance shocks
 - ▷ Estimate the realized variance using intraday data, fit a variance forecast model using HAR-RV model

$$RV_{t+1,t+5} = b_0 + b_1 RV_{t-5,t} + b_2 RV_{t-22,t} + \epsilon_{t+1,t+5}$$

- ▶ Take the standard deviation of HAR-RV forecasts.
- 2) A stochastic volatility (SV) model

In a SV model, it follows from the Ito's lemma that if the volatility of log volatility is constant, the volatility of the variance is the variance.

Volatility of variance estimated from Intraday (N=3671)

Estimate the HAR-RV model, calculate the standard deviation of the first difference over three months.

Estimate the global volatility of variance as the standard deviation of the cross-sectional average of the first-order difference.

		Dep	$\mathbf{p} = \hat{\beta}_d^i$			$Dep = \hat{\rho}_d^i$			
Local VOV	6.182	4.724			0.159	0.180			
Global VOV	(2.50) -8.059 (-1.63)	(2.24) -8.720 (-2.45)			(3.60) -0.293 (-2.66)	(3.21) -0.193 (-2.11)			
Var corr	, ,	, ,	-2.401 (-1.87)	-6.172 (-6.22)	, ,	, ,	-0.046 (-1.74)	-0.115 (-4.09)	
FE Country	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	
FE Time	Υ	N	Υ	N	Υ	N	Υ	N	
R ²	0.421	0.287	0.420	0.289	0.497	0.356	0.495	0.355	

SB relationship and volatility of variance using SV (N=6981)

$$\begin{split} R_{m,t}^{i} &= \beta_{0}^{i} + \beta_{m}^{i} R_{m,t}^{*} + \beta_{q}^{i} \Delta q_{t}^{i} + \exp(h_{t}^{i}/2) \epsilon_{t}^{i} \\ h_{t+1}^{i} &= \mu_{h}^{i} + \varphi_{h}^{i} (h_{t}^{i} - \mu_{h}^{i}) + \sigma_{h}^{i} \eta_{t}^{i}, \end{split}$$

		Dep	$= \hat{\beta}_d^i$		$Dep = \hat{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{d}}^i$				
$\exp(h_t^i)$	1.055 (2.99)	-0.09 (-0.80)	1.056 (2.99)	1.998 (3.47)	0.055 (3.21)	0.029 (2.95)	0.055 (3.21)	0.080 (3.09)	
$\exp(h_t^*)$			13.990 (0.16)	-67.450 (-3.46)			-0.043 (-0.03)	-1.208 (-2.64)	
FE Country	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	
FE Time	Υ	N	Υ	N	Υ	N	Υ	N	
R ²	0.400	0.282	0.400	0.226	0.491	0.269	0.491	0.282	

Model

Does high country-specific volatility of variance predict higher stock returns?

Estimate the country-specific volatility of variance as the RMSE from the regression of local variance shocks regressed on global variance shocks.

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6
Country-specific VOV	0.052** (2.22)	0.047** (2.04)				
Variance correlation			-0.011** (-2.41)	-0.013** (-3.01)	9	
Local RV					0.003 (1.27)	0.002 (1.13)
ICAPM Beta	$-0.006 \ (-1.50)$		-0.002 (-0.56)		-0.006 (-1.34)	, ,

Global/local exposure of dividend growth

For USD-denominated dividend and consumption growth:


$$\Delta d_t^i = b\Delta c_t^i + c\Delta c_t^* + FE_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t},$$

			Δd_t^i		
Δc_t^i	4.950 (5.32)	0.731 (0.99)		1.514 (1.78)	0.731 (0.99)
Δc_t^*	(3.32)	(0.33)	11.690 (7.72)	9.799 (5.04)	6.537 (7.33)
Country FE	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ
Time FE	N	Υ	N	N	Υ
	0.234	0.462	0.330	0.339	0.462

 \rightarrow dividend growth is highly exposed to global consumption growth than local consumption growth.

Global/local exposure of dividend growth

Motivation



Model

•00000

Model set-up

Epstein-Zin (1991) preference for country i and world (*):

$$m_{t+1}^{i/*} = \theta \log \beta - \frac{\theta}{\psi} \Delta c_{t+1}^{i/*} + (\theta - 1) R_{TW,t+1}^{i/*},$$

Country i:

$$\Delta c_{t+1}^{i} = \mu + \sqrt{v_{t}^{i}} \epsilon_{c,t+1}^{i} + \sqrt{v_{t}^{*}} \epsilon_{c,t+1}^{*}$$
$$v_{t+1}^{i} = \nu_{0} + \nu_{1} v_{t}^{i} + \sigma_{I} \sqrt{v_{t}^{i}} \epsilon_{v,t+1}^{i},$$

World:

$$\Delta c_{t+1}^* = \mu + \sqrt{v_t^*} \epsilon_{c,t+1}^*$$

$$v_{t+1}^* = v_0 + v_1 v_t^* + \sigma_g \sqrt{v_t^*} \epsilon_{v,t+1}^*,$$

All four shocks are independent to each other.

Bond yields and currency returns

$$y_{t+1}^i = -E_t[m_{t+1}^i] - Var_t[m_{t+1}^i].$$

- Bond yields are determined by the country i's consumption variance.
- ▷ In this model, bond yields equally exposed to country-specific and global component of variance

$$y_t^i = Y_0 + Y_1(v_t^i + v_t^*)$$

$$\Delta q_{t+1}^i = m_{t+1}^i - m_{t+1}^*.$$

Under these dynamics, the UIRP relationship holds. \rightarrow The goal is not to explain the UIRP puzzle.

Dividend dynamics

$$\Delta d_{t+1}^i = \mu_d + \phi_d \sqrt{v_t^i} \epsilon_{d,t+1}^i + \phi_f \sqrt{v_t^*} \epsilon_{d,t+1}^*,$$
 where $\rho(\epsilon_{d,t+1}^i, \epsilon_{c,t+1}^i) = \rho(\epsilon_{d,t+1}^*, \epsilon_{c,t+1}^*) = \rho_c.$


- ▷ Firms produce goods that are being exported. The exposure of firm's dividends to global shocks are assumed to be higher than the exposure of consumption. $(\phi_d < \phi_f)$
- ▶ The stock market and stock market variance are sensitive to global variance shocks than bond yields.

Model Calibration


	Parameters	Parameters				
Prefere	ence parameters	Consumption/dividend				
$\overline{\gamma}$	10	$\overline{\mu}$	0.002			
ψ	1.5	$\mu_{\sf d}$	0.0025			
β	0.9986	$\phi_{\sf d}$	2.5			
Varian	ce Parameters	ϕ_f	6.0			
v_1	0.992	$ ho_c$	0.5			
v_0	2.88×10^{-7}					
σ_{v}	0.00125					

	Model	U.S. Data	World Average Data
μ	2.40%	2.55%	3.40%
σ	2.94%	1.57%	3.51%
Real yields (y)	2.37%	1.86%	2.54%
Yield volatility	0.41%	0.87%	0.69%
Real stock returns	6.75%	7.07%	5.74%
Stock market volatility	13.97%	14.83%	19.09%
$Cor(\Delta y, R_m)$	0.196	0.234	0.224
$Cor(\Delta y, \Delta y^*)$	0.766	0.848	0.579
$Cor(R_m, R_m^*)$	0.927	0.895	0.576


Model Implications: SB Correlation




(a) Country-specific volatility/SB Correlation



(c) Country-specific volatility/SB Beta




(b) Global volatility/SB Correlation




(d) Global volatility/SB Beta

Model Implications: SB Correlation



(a) County-specific volatility and local/global variance correlation



(b) Stock market risk premium

Conclusion

- ▷ Countries with a positive relationship between returns on stocks and bonds have higher future stock returns
- ▶ The correlation is associated with the time-varying component of stock market risk premium
- - Bond yield volatility of the country
 - Country-specific variance shocks

Main Result - Alternative Specifications

A. Weekly Estimation

Total retur	ns					Price returns						
	Port 1	Port 2	Port 3	Port 4	Port 5	H-L	Port 1	Port 2	Port 3	Port 4	Port 5	H-L
Returns	0.28	0.32	0.53	0.52	0.93	0.65***	0.02	0.23	0.50	0.67	0.66	0.66**
in USD	(0.74)	(0.86)	(1.45)	(1.38)	(2.27)	(2.73)	(0.05)	(0.77)	(1.71)	(2.08)	(1.76)	(2.57)
ICAPM	-0.18	0.10	0.32	0.30	0.92	1.11***	-0.35	-0.02	0.22	0.28	0.44	0.81***
	(-1.77)	(0.60)	(2.47)	(2.03)	(4.32)	(5.16)	(-2.45)	(-0.15)	(1.60)	(1.60)	(2.01)	(3.83)
Returns	0.22	0.26	0.35	0.62	1.05	0.83***	0.00	0.25	0.49	0.67	0.81	-0.81***
in local \$	(0.68)	(0.85)	(2.11)	(2.06)	(3.14)	(3.60)	(0.01)	(1.05)	(2.04)	(2.51)	(2.45)	(3.40)
Currency	0.06	0.06	-0.07	-0.09	-0.11	-0.17	0.01	-0.03	0.02	0.00	-0.14	-0.15
returns	(0.40)	(0.41)	(-0.47)	(-0.68)	(-0.97)	(-1.59)	(0.09)	(-0.24)	(0.16)	(0.01)	(-1.06)	(-1.09)

B. Monthly Estimation

Total retur	ns			Price returns								
	Port 1	Port 2	Port 3	Port 4	Port 5	H-L	Port 1	Port 2	Port 3	Port 4	Port 5	H-L
Returns	0.15	0.26	0.32	0.72	0.71	0.57**	0.55	0.52	0.46	0.87	0.96	0.42**
in USD	(0.47)	(0.87)	(1.13)	(2.27)	(1.95)	(2.34)	(1.72)	(1.81)	(1.57)	(2.67)	(2.68)	(2.06)
ICAPM	-0.18	-0.01	-0.07	0.42	0.46	0.66***	-0.11	0.08	0.28	0.41	0.85	0.96***
	(-1.17)	(-0.11)	(-0.43)	(2.80)	(2.31)	(3.27)	(-0.85)	(0.71)	(2.07)	(2.79)	(4.64)	(4.77)
Returns	0.13	0.30	0.31	0.71	0.80	0.68***	0.60	0.44	0.57	0.88	1.07	0.50***
in local \$	(0.44)	(1.26)	(1.27)	(2.79)	(2.61)	(3.04)	(2.28)	(1.82)	(2.31)	(3.39)	(3.61)	(2.72)
Currency	0.02	-0.05	0.01	0.01	-0.09	-0.10	-0.05	0.07	-0.10	-0.01	-0.11	-0.07
returns	(0.20)	(-0.40)	(0.10)	(0.05)	(-0.80)	(-0.90)	(-0.40)	(0.69)	(-0.85)	(-0.07)	(-0.93)	(-0.64)

M. Co. Rock

Country-level cross-region correlations

	C	ontinent			Con	tinent	
	Different	Same	S-D		Diff Continent	Same	S-D
Australia	0.263	-0.161	-0.424	Mexico	0.417	0.287	-0.130
Austria	0.218	-0.025	-0.244	Netherlands	0.223	0.065	-0.158
Belgium	0.220	0.043	-0.177	Norway	0.230	0.118	-0.112
Brazil	0.363	0.287	-0.076	NZ	0.077	-0.161	-0.238
Canada	0.284	-0.004	-0.288	Philippines	0.364	0.391	0.027
China	0.413	0.474	0.061	Russia	0.360	0.459	0.099
Finland	0.155	-0.071	-0.225	Singapore	0.201	0.367	0.166
France	0.271	0.091	-0.180	S. Africa	0.365		
Germany	0.247	0.096	-0.151	Spain	0.331	0.243	-0.088
India	0.345	0.389	0.044	Sweden	0.277	0.134	-0.143
Indonesia	0.318	0.410	0.092	Switzerland	0.226	0.163	-0.063
Italy	0.332	0.262	-0.070	Thailand	0.223	0.282	0.058
Japan	0.292	0.341	0.049	Turkey	0.254	0.290	0.036
Korea	0.297	0.346	0.050	UK	0.290	0.157	-0.133
Malaysia	0.200	0.293	0.094	USA	0.265	-0.004	-0.270
				Mean	0.277	0.192	-0.083
				T-stat	(22.07)	(5.71)	(-3.10)

→ Go Back