

6. Probability and Distributions (Exercises Only)

Exercises

6.1

- Consider the following bivariate distribution $p(x, y)$ of two discrete random variables X and Y :

Y	y_1	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.1	0.1
	y_2	0.05	0.1	0.05	0.07	0.2
	y_3	0.1	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.04
		x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5
		X				

- Compute:
 - a. the marginal distributions $p(x)$ and $p(y)$
- $p(x)$:

$$P(X = x_1) = 0.16$$

$$P(X = x_2) = 0.17$$

$$P(X = x_3) = 0.11$$

$$P(X = x_4) = 0.22$$

$$P(X = x_5) = 0.34$$

- $p(y)$:

$$P(Y = y_1) = 0.26$$

$$P(Y = y_2) = 0.47$$

$$P(Y = y_3) = 0.27$$

- b. The conditional distributions $p(x|Y = y_1)$ and $p(y|X = x_3)$:

- $p(x|Y = y_1)$:

$$P(X = x_1|Y = y_1) = \frac{0.01}{0.26}$$

$$P(X = x_2|Y = y_1) = \frac{0.02}{0.26}$$

$$P(X = x_3|Y = y_1) = \frac{0.03}{0.26}$$

$$P(X = x_4|Y = y_1) = \frac{0.1}{0.26}$$

$$P(X = x_5|Y = y_1) = \frac{0.1}{0.26}$$

- $p(y|X = x_3)$:

$$P(Y = y_1|X = x_3) = \frac{0.03}{0.11}$$

$$P(Y = y_2|X = x_3) = \frac{0.05}{0.11}$$

$$P(Y = y_3|X = x_3) = \frac{0.03}{0.11}$$

6.2

- Consider the mixture of two Gaussian distributions:

$$0.4\mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) + 0.6\mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 8.4 & 2.0 \\ 2.0 & 1.7 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

- a. Compute the marginal distribution for each dimension:

$$p(x_1) = 0.4\mathcal{N}(10, 1) + 0.6\mathcal{N}(0, 8.4)$$

$$p(x_2) = 0.4\mathcal{N}(2, 1) + 0.6\mathcal{N}(0, 1.7)$$

- b. Compute the mean, mode, and median for each marginal distribution:

$$\mu_{x_1} = 0.4(10) = 4$$

$$\mu_{x_2} = 0.4(2) = 0.8$$

$$\text{mode}_{x_1} = 4$$

$$\text{mode}_{x_2} = 0.8$$

$$\text{median}_{x_1} = 4$$

$$\text{median}_{x_2} = 0.8$$

- c. Compute the mean and mode for the two-dimensional distribution:

$$\mu = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 0.8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{median} = \mu$$

6.3

Bernoulli distribution:

$$p(x|\mu) = \mu^x(1 - \mu)^{1-x}, \quad x \in \{0, 1\}$$

- Choose a conjugate prior for the Bernoulli likelihood and compute the posterior distribution

$$p(\mu|x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

- prior: Beta Distribution:

$$p(\mu|\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \mu^{\alpha-1}(1 - \mu)^{\beta-1}$$

- We now compute the posterior according to Bayes' Theorem:

$$p(\mu|x_1, \dots, x_n) \propto p(x|\mu)p(\mu|\alpha, \beta)$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mu|x_1, \dots, x_n) &\propto \mu^x(1 - \mu)^{1-x} \mu^{\alpha-1}(1 - \mu)^{\beta-1} \\ &\propto \mu^{\alpha-1+x}(1 - \mu)^{\beta+(1-x)-1} \end{aligned}$$

- The posterior is itself a beta distribution proportional to:

$$p(\mu|\alpha + x, \beta + (1 - x))$$

- Therefore, the Beta Distribution is indeed a conjugate prior to the Bernoulli likelihood.

6.4

- Bag 1:

- $p(\text{mango}) = 2/3$
- $p(\text{apple}) = 1/3$

- Bag 2:

- $p(\text{mango}) = 1/2$
- $p(\text{apple}) = 1/2$

- $p(\text{heads}) = 0.6$

- $p(\text{tails}) = 0.4$

$$p(\text{tails}|\text{fruite} = \text{mango}) = \frac{p(\text{mango}|\text{tails})}{p(\text{mango})} = \frac{1/2(0.4)}{2/3(0.6) + 1/2(0.4)} = \frac{0.2}{0.6} = \frac{1}{3}$$

6.5

- Consider the time-series model

$$x_{t+1} = Ax_t + w, \quad w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, Q)$$

$$y_t = Cx_t + v, \quad v \sim \mathcal{N}(0, R)$$

- w and v are i.i.d Gaussian noise variables
- $p(x_0) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_0, \Sigma_0)$
- a. What is the form of $p(x_t|x_1, \dots, x_T)$
 - $p(x_t|x_1, \dots, x_T)$ is **Gaussian** because the linear combination of Gaussian densities is Gaussian
- b. Assume that $p(x_{t+1}|y_1, \dots, y_t) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_t, \Sigma_t)$
 1. Compute $p(x_{t+1}|y_1, \dots, y_t)$

$$\mathbb{E}[x_{t+1}] = \mu_{t+1} = A\mu_t$$

$$\mathbb{V}[x_{t+1}] = \Sigma_{t+1} = \mathbb{V}[Ax_t + w] = A\mathbb{V}[x_t] + \mathbb{V}[w] = A\Sigma_t A^T + Q$$

– Therefore:

$$p(x_{t+1}|y_1, \dots, y_t) = \mathcal{N}(A\mu_t, A\Sigma_t A^T + Q)$$

2. Compute $p(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}|y_1, \dots, y_t)$:

- First we need to compute: $p(y_{t+1}|y_1, \dots, y_t)$

$$p(y_{t+1}|x_{t+1}, y_1, \dots, y_t) = \mathcal{N}(Cx_{t+1}, R)$$

$$p(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}|y_1, \dots, y_t) = p(x_{t+1}|y_1, \dots, y_t)p(y_{t+1}|x_{t+1}, y_1, \dots, y_t)$$

$$p(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}|y_1, \dots, y_t) = \mathcal{N}(A\mu_t, A\Sigma_t A^T + Q)\mathcal{N}(Cx_{t+1}, R)$$

3. At time $t + 1$ we observe the value $y_{t+1} = \hat{y}$. Compute the conditional distribution

$$p(x_{t+1}|y_1, \dots, y_{t+1})$$

$$p(x_{t+1}|y_1, \dots, y_t, y_{t+1}) = \frac{p(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}|y_1, \dots, y_t)}{p(y_{t+1}|x_{t+1}, y_1, \dots, y_t)}$$

$$p(x_{t+1}|y_1, \dots, y_t, y_{t+1}) = \frac{\mathcal{N}(A\mu_t, A\Sigma_t A^T + Q)\mathcal{N}(Cx_{t+1}, R)}{\mathcal{N}(CA\mu_t, C(A\Sigma_t A^T + Q)C^T + R)}$$

6.6

- Prove:

$$\mathbb{V}_X[x] = \mathbb{E}_X[x^2] - (\mathbb{E}_X[x])^2$$

- Start with:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{V}_X[x] &:= \mathbb{E}[(x - \mu)^2] \\
 \mathbb{V}_X[x] &= \mathbb{E}[x^2 - 2\mu x + \mu^2] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}[x^2] - 2\mathbb{E}[\mu x] + \mathbb{E}[\mu^2] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}[x^2] - 2\mu\mathbb{E}[x] + \mu^2 \\
 &= \mathbb{E}[x^2] - 2\mathbb{E}[x]\mathbb{E}[x] + (\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{X}])^2 \\
 &= \mathbb{E}[x^2] - 2(\mathbb{E}[x])^2 + (\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{X}])^2 \\
 &= \mathbb{E}[x^2] - (\mathbb{E}[x])^2 \checkmark
 \end{aligned}$$

6.7

It turns out we can avoid two passes by rearranging terms:

$$\mathbb{V}_X[x] = \mathbb{E}_X[x^2] - (\mathbb{E}_X[\mathbb{X}])^2$$

- This can be computed in one pass since we accumulate x_i (to calculate the mean) and x_i^2 simultaneously, where x_i is the i th observation in the data
- Unfortunately this implementation has numerical stability issues
- Another way of understanding variance is as the sum of pairwise differences between all pairs of observations.
- We can compute the squared difference between pairs x_i and x_j

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^N (x_i - x_j)^2$$

- expanding out the square:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{i,j=1}^N (x_i^2 - 2x_i x_j + x_j^2) \\
 &\sum_{i,j=1}^N x_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N x_i x_j + \sum_{i,j=1}^N x_j^2
 \end{aligned}$$

- since the last term is also just a sum over the square of all x s in the data set we can rewrite it to be the same as the first term:

$$2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N x_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N x_i x_j$$

- The double sum can be distributed to the product of sums:

$$2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N x_i^2 - 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^N x_j \right)$$

- Once again, because we're summing over all of the x s in the data set, x_j in the last term can just be written as x_i allowing us to combine the last two terms into:

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 - 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \right)^2$$

- Now we can factor out a 2:

$$2 \left[\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \right)^2 \right]$$

- Now if you compare this to the second equation for variance we introduced:

$$\mathbb{V}_X[x] = \mathbb{E}_X[x^2] - (\mathbb{E}_X[x])^2$$

- you will see that these look extremely similar save for two differences:
 1. The equation above is the expectation instead of the sum
 2. The equation above is not multiplied by 2
- So all we need to do to equate this sum of squared differences expression to the variance is divide to divide each sum by N and divide the entire expression by 2:

$$\mathbb{E}_X[x^2] - (\mathbb{E}_X[x])^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 - \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \right)^2$$

- This is equivalent to dividing the original expression by $2N^2$

6.8

- Express the Bernoulli distribution in the natural parameter form of the exponential family:
- Bernoulli:

$$p(x|\mu) = \mu^x (1 - \mu)^{1-x}$$

- We want this to be in the form:

$$p(x) = h(x) \exp(\theta^T \phi(x) - A(\theta))$$

- We start by taking the $\exp(\log(\cdot))$ of the Bernoulli distribution:

$$\exp(\log(\mu^x (1 - \mu)^{1-x}))$$

- The log of products is equal to the sum of logs:

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(\log(\mu^x) + \log((1 - \mu)^{1-x})) \\ & \exp(x \log(\mu) + (1 - x) \log(1 - \mu)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\exp(x \log(\mu) - x \log(1 - \mu) + \log(1 - \mu))$$

$$\exp(x \log\left(\frac{\mu}{1 - \mu}\right) + \log(1 - \mu))$$

- This is now in the natural parameter form of the exponential family with:

- $h(x) = 1$

- $\theta = \log\left(\frac{\mu}{1 - \mu}\right)$

- $\phi(x) = x$

- To find get the log partition function $A(\theta)$ in terms of θ we first need to find μ in terms of θ

$$\theta = \log\left(\frac{\mu}{1 - \mu}\right)$$

$$\exp(\theta) = \frac{\mu}{1 - \mu}$$

$$\exp(\theta)(1 - \mu) = \mu$$

$$\exp(\theta) - \mu \exp(\theta) = \mu$$

$$\exp(\theta) = \mu + \mu \exp(\theta)$$

$$\exp(\theta) = \mu(1 + \exp(\theta))$$

$$\mu = \frac{\exp(\theta)}{1 + \exp(\theta)}$$

- Now we rewrite:

$$\log(1 - \mu)$$

- in terms of θ to get our log partition function:

$$\begin{aligned} A(\theta) &= -\log\left(1 - \frac{\exp(\theta)}{1 + \exp(\theta)}\right) \\ &= -\log\left(\frac{1 + \exp(\theta)}{1 + \exp(\theta)} - \frac{\exp(\theta)}{1 + \exp(\theta)}\right) \\ &= -\log\left(\frac{1}{1 + \exp(\theta)}\right) \\ &= \log(1 + \exp(\theta)) \end{aligned}$$

6.9

- Express the Binomial Distribution as an exponential family distribution:

$$p(m) = \binom{N}{m} \mu^m (1 - \mu)^{N-m}$$

- Take the $\exp(\log(\cdot))$ "

$$\binom{N}{m} \exp(\log(\mu^m (1 - \mu)^{N-m}))$$

$$\binom{N}{m} \exp(\log(\mu^m) + \log((1 - \mu)^{N-m}))$$

$$\binom{N}{m} \exp(m \log(\mu) + (N - m) \log(1 - \mu))$$

$$\binom{N}{m} \exp(m \log(\mu) - m \log(1 - \mu) + N \log(1 - \mu))$$

$$\binom{N}{m} \exp\left(m \log\left(\frac{\mu}{1 - \mu}\right) + N \log(1 - \mu)\right)$$

- This is exponential family form:
- $\theta = \log\left(\frac{\mu}{1 - \mu}\right)$
- $\phi(m) = m$
- $h(m) = \binom{N}{m}$
- $A(\theta) = N \log(1 + \exp(\theta))$
- Express the Beta Distribution as an exponential family distribution:

$$p(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \mu^{\alpha-1} (1 - \mu)^{\beta-1}$$

$$\exp(\log(\mu^{\alpha-1} (1 - \mu)^{\beta-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}))$$

$$\exp(\log(\mu^{\alpha-1}) + \log((1 - \mu)^{\beta-1}) + \log(\frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}))$$

$$\exp((\alpha - 1) \log(\mu) + (\beta - 1) \log(1 - \mu) + \log(\frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}))$$

- $\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha - 1 \\ \beta - 1 \end{bmatrix}$
- $\phi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \log(\mu) \\ \log(1 - \mu) \end{bmatrix}$
- $h(x) = 1$
- $A(\theta) = -\log\left(\frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}\right) = -[\log(\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)) - \log(\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta))] = \log(\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)) + \log(\Gamma(\alpha)) + \log(\Gamma(\beta))$
- Now we find the product of these two distributions:

$$\binom{N}{m} \exp\left(m \log\left(\frac{\mu}{1 - \mu}\right) + N \log(1 - \mu)\right) \times \exp((\alpha - 1) \log(m) + (\beta - 1) \log(1 - m) + \log(\Gamma(\theta_1 + 1)))$$

$$\binom{N}{m} \exp\left(m \log\left(\frac{\mu}{1 - \mu}\right) + (\alpha - 1) \log(m) + (\beta - 1) \log(1 - m) + N \log(1 - \mu) + \log(\Gamma(\theta_1 + 1)) + (\theta_2\right)$$

6.10

6.11

- Consider the two random variables x and y with joint distribution $p(x, y)$. Show that

$$\mathbb{E}_X[x] = \mathbb{E}_Y[\mathbb{E}_X[x|y]]$$

- First let's write out what the joint probability is in terms of the conditional probability $p(x|y)$:

$$p(x, y) = p(x|y)p(y)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_X[x|y] = \int xp(x|y)dx$$

- Now we write

$$\mathbb{E}_Y[f(y)] = \int f(y)p(y)dy$$

- Where $f(y) = \mathbb{E}_X[x|y]$
- Plugging the formula for the expectation of the conditional distribution in for $f(y)$ gives us:

$$\int \mathbb{E}_X[x|y]p(y)dy = \int \int xp(x|y)p(y)dxdy = \int \int xp(x, y)dxdy$$

- Which is $\mathbb{E}_X[x]$ over the joint distribution $p(x, y)$