AUS Sketches for Anithmetic Universes: Infinitary disjunctions in finitary form Skue Vickers School of Computer Science University of Birmingham

PSSL 100

Cambridge, 22 May 2016

Toposes as spaces geometric theory T Space = Map = geometric morphism Still->Str2] = model of T2 in 8[T.]

Let M be model of T,

Then f(m) = ----wat - using geometric maths is model of Tz

Formal system? - Difficult Infinite disjunctions: infinities extrinsic to logic - supplied by base topos & IDEA Aus have some intrinsic infinities e-g. M, Z, Q Enough for point-free R

Loyal [Wraith] Maieti Arithmetic Universes Prefopos

+ parametrized list objects colimits e.g. IN = List (1) Cartesian theory of AUS :- presentation T > AU(TT) cf. T ~ S[T]

Toposes as spaces Aus arithmetic Space = geometric theory To Au functor Autro Autro Map = geometric morphism Still->Still = model of The in SETT. TAUCT. using a sometric Let M be model of T, Then f(M) = ----maths is model of Tz

Sketches

cons 2

Sketch homomorphisms

 T_1

gives

AUCT,>

Strictness

semantics non-strict syntax universal algebra unuseful object equations

TC T+ 5T Extensions Universals - only for fresh structure ST Extension

= finite sequence of simple
extensions

Context = extension of \$\phi\$

Simple exknsions TCT+ST Data nothina Universals - e.g. pullback

primitive node

Coherence

Theorem For a context T, every non-strict model has an isomorphism with a strict model that is unique, subject to agreement on primitive nodes.

Leindexina extensions along sketch homs Hom takes extension data to extension data : transports extensions f(c)Will give strict pullbacks of extension maps

homomorphisms Not general enough. Want: TT,' - stuff derived from IT, T_1 \leftarrow T_2 AU(T,') gives AUCT,> Equivalence extensions -adjoin derived stuff

Equivalence extensions TETT+8T Delta Data 2 composition e.g.) 1 2 associativity as before P.b. fillins 312 ph. fillin uniqueness + adjoining inverses for balance, stability, exactness

Object equalities $X \Rightarrow 7$ $X \stackrel{id}{\longrightarrow} X$ Either or - same construction done twice on equal data Identity in any strict model

Context category Con bom -> Con · dualize homomorphisms to maps · invert equivalence extensions · object equalifies become identifies Map $T_1 \to T_2$:

To T_2 : Con is 2-category . has finite pie limits · strict pb of extension maps · full a faithful embedding in Aus

Lims

- · Single AU-proof

 >> base-independent proof for
 Grothendieck toposes
 - · Extensions as bundles, fibrewise topology. Constructive real analysis