

Steven Rosendahl
Homework 9

1. Directly calculate $\sum_{d|12} \phi(d)$ and verify that you obtain 12 as your answer.

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{d|12} \phi(d) &= \phi(1) + \phi(2) + \phi(3) + \phi(4) + \phi(6) + \phi(12) \\ &= 1 + 1 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 4 \\ &= 12\end{aligned}$$

2. Suppose that p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N are distinct primes. Prove that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\phi(p_1 p_2 \dots p_N)}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_N} &= \prod_{n=1}^N \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_n}\right) \\ \frac{\phi(p_1 p_2 \dots p_N)}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_N} &= \frac{\phi(p_1) \phi(p_2) \dots \phi(p_N)}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_N} \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\phi(p_n)}{p_n} \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{p_n - 1}{p_n} \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^N \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_n}\right)\end{aligned}$$

3. Find a value of n such that $\phi(n)/n < 1/4$. What do you think is a good strategy for choosing n so that $\phi(n)/n$ is close to zero?

One value for which this holds true is $n = 210$. $\phi(210)$ is 48, and $48/210 = 8/35 < 1/4$. One strategy for finding these numbers would be to

4. Suppose that p is prime and m and n are non-negative integers.

- (a) Prove that $\phi(p^{m+n}) \geq \phi(p^m) \phi(p^n)$.

We can consider $\phi(p^{m+n})$. If we let $m+n = j$, then we have $\phi(p^j)$, which can be expressed as $p^j - p^{j-1}$. If we consider $\phi(p^m) \phi(p^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(p^m) \phi(p^n) &= (p^m - p^{m-1})(p^n - p^{n-1}) \\ &= p^{m+n} - 2p^{m+n-1} + p^{m+n-2} \\ &= p^j - 2p^{j-1} + p^{j-2}.\end{aligned}$$

If we compare the two values, we get

$$\begin{aligned}p^j - p^{j-1} &\stackrel{?}{\geq} p^j - 2p^{j-1} + p^{j-2} \\ p^{j-1} &\geq p^{j-2}.\end{aligned}$$

We know this is true since $m, n > 0$, so $j > 0$.

- (b) Under what additional assumptions on m and n do we obtain $\phi(p^{m+n}) = \phi(p^m) \phi(p^n)$?

If either m or n , but not both m and n are zero, then we have $\phi(p^{m+0}) = \phi(p^m) \phi(p^0) = \phi(p^m)$ or $\phi(p^{0+n}) = \phi(p^0) \phi(p^n) = \phi(p^n)$.

5. Suppose that a and b are positive integers.

(a) Prove that $\phi(ab) \geq \phi(a)\phi(b)$.

Suppose that a and b are not relatively prime and consider the product $\phi(a)\phi(b)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(a)\phi(b) &< \phi(p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}) \phi(p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \dots p_r^{\beta_r}) \\ &= \phi(p_1^{\alpha_1}) \phi(p_2^{\alpha_2}) \dots \phi(p_r^{\alpha_r}) \phi(p_1^{\beta_1}) \phi(p_2^{\beta_2}) \phi(p_r^{\beta_r}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r (p_i^{\alpha_i} - p_i^{\alpha_i-1}) \prod_{i=1}^r (p_i^{\beta_i} - p_i^{\beta_i-1}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\alpha_i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\beta_i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) \\ &= ab \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

We can also consider the function $\phi(ab)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(ab) &= \phi(p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r} p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \dots p_r^{\beta_r}) \\ &= \phi(p_1^{\alpha_1+\beta_1} p_2^{\alpha_2+\beta_2} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r+\beta_r}) \\ &= \phi(p_1^{\alpha_1+\beta_1}) \phi(p_2^{\alpha_2+\beta_2}) \dots \phi(p_r^{\alpha_r+\beta_r}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} - p_i^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} \frac{1}{p_i} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) \\ &= ab \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\phi(ab) = ab \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) > ab \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right)^2 > \phi(a)\phi(b),$$

we have

$$\phi(ab) > \phi(a)\phi(b).$$

If $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, then we can say $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$. Therefore $\phi(ab) \geq \phi(a)\phi(b)$.

(b) Prove that $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$ if and only if $\gcd(a, b) = 1$.

\Rightarrow) Suppose $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$ implies $\gcd(a, b) > 1$. We know from (a) that when a and b are not relatively prime, $\phi(ab) > \phi(a)\phi(b)$, which is a direct contradiction to $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$. Therefore $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$ implies $\gcd(a, b) = 1$.

\Leftarrow) Suppose $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Then a and b are relatively prime, so $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$ by the theorem.

6. Suppose that n is a positive integer and k is any integer.

(a) Prove that $\gcd(n, k) = 1$ if and only if $\gcd(n, n - k) = 1$.

\Leftarrow) Suppose that $\gcd(n, k) > 1$. Then $\gcd(n, k) = q$, so $q \mid n$ and $q \mid k$, $n = qa$ and $k = qb$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $n - k = pa - pb = p(a - b)$, so $p \mid n - k$, which implies that $\gcd(n, n - k) > 1$. Therefore, by contrapositive, $\gcd(n, k) = 1$ implies $\gcd(n, n - k) = 1$.

\Rightarrow) Suppose $\gcd(n, n - k) > 1$. Then there exists $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p \mid n$ and $p \mid k$. Then $n = pa$ and $k = pb$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can express $n - k = pa - pb = p(a - b)$, so $p \mid (n - k)$ and $p \mid n$, and $\gcd(n, n - k) > 1$.

(b) Prove that $\phi(n)$ is an even integer for all $n \geq 3$.

By definition, the totient function counts the number of units in \mathbb{Z}_n . Suppose that $n \geq 3$. If we take any element k from \mathbb{Z}_n , we know by (a) that if k is relatively prime to n , then $n - k$ is relatively prime to n . Therefore, if k is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_n , then $n - k$ is also a unit in \mathbb{Z}_n . Then for every unit k in \mathbb{Z}_n , we can find another unit $n - k$ also in \mathbb{Z}_n , which means there are an even number of units in \mathbb{Z}_n , so $\phi(n) \in \{2j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

7. If n is a positive integer prove that $\phi(n) = 2$ if and only if $n \in \{3, 4, 6\}$.

\Rightarrow)

\Leftarrow) Suppose $n \in \{3, 4, 6\}$. Then $\phi(3) = 2$, $\phi(4) = 2$, and $\phi(6) = 2$.