## Steven Rosendahl Homework 4

1. If p is prime and  $p \mid a^k$ , prove that  $p \mid a$ . Conclude that  $p^k \mid a^k$ .

Since  $p \mid a^k$ , we have that  $p \mid aa^{k-1}$ . Then  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid a^{k-1}$ , but not both. If we assume that  $p \mid a^{k-1}$ , we can say that  $p \mid aa^{k-2}$ . If  $p \mid a^{k-2}$ , then we have that  $p \mid aa^{k-3}$ . If we repeat this process, we will ultimately have that  $p \mid aa^0$ . Then  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid a^0$ . If  $p \mid a$ , then we are done. If  $p \mid a^0$ , then  $p \mid 1$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore, p must divide a.

Assume  $p \mid a$ . Then a = pm for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Raising both sides to the k power gives  $a^k = p^k m^k$ . Since  $m^k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we can say that  $m^k = j$ . Then  $a^k = p^k j$ , so  $p^k \mid a^k$ .

 $\triangle$ 

2. Suppose that a and b are positive integers.

(a) If  $a^2 \mid b^2$  prove that  $a \mid b$ . (Hint: Assuming that  $a^2m = b^2$ , use unique factorization to prove that m is a perfect square.)

Assume that  $a^2 \mid b^2$ . Then  $b^2 = a^2 m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, we have that  $(p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_j^{\alpha_j})^2 = (p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \dots p_k^{\beta_k})^2 m$  for  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we can say that

$$\frac{(p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \dots p_k^{\beta_k})^2}{(p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_i^{\alpha_j})^2} = m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, m is a perfect square, so we can take its square root. Let  $n = \sqrt{m}$ . Then  $\sqrt{a^2m} = \sqrt{b^2}$ , or an = b, so a|b.

(b) If n is a positive integer such that  $a^n \mid b^n$  can we conclude that  $a \mid b$ ? Either prove your answer or provide a counterexample.

By the same argument used above, we can say

$$\frac{b^n}{a^n} = \frac{(p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \dots p_k^{\beta_k})^n}{(p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_j^{\alpha_j})^n} = m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, m is a perfect  $n^{\text{th}}$  power, so we can say  $j = \sqrt[n]{m}$ . Then  $\sqrt[n]{b^n} = \sqrt[n]{a^n m}$  simplifies to b = aj. Therefore, a|b.

 $\triangle$ 

3. Suppose that a and b are positive integers and  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$  are primes such that

$$a = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_n^{\alpha_n}$$
 and  $b = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \dots p_n^{\beta_n}$ ,

where  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$  are non-negative (possibly equal to 0) integers. Prove that

$$lcm(a,b) = p_1^{\max(\alpha_1,\beta_1)} p_2^{\max(\alpha_2,\beta_2)} \dots p_n^{\max(\alpha_n,\beta_n)}.$$

Let L = lcm(a, b). We have by definition that  $a \mid L$  and  $b \mid L$ . If we consider the case where  $a \mid L$ , then we have that L = am for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We can arrange this as  $\frac{L}{a}$ , which is

$$\frac{L}{p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_n^{\alpha_n}} = \frac{p_1^{\gamma_1} p_2^{\gamma_2} \dots p_n^{\gamma_n}}{p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_n^{\alpha_n}} \text{ by the FTA}.$$

If we assume that  $\gamma_i < \alpha_i$  for some  $i \leq n$ , then L < a, and  $\frac{L}{a} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , which is a contradiction; we must have that  $\gamma_i \geq \alpha_i$ . Similarly, we can express  $b \mid L$  as

$$\frac{p_1^{\gamma_1}p_2^{\gamma_2}\dots p_n^{\gamma_n}}{p_1^{\beta_1}p_2^{\beta_2}\dots p_n^{\beta_n}}=q\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

By the same argument, we must have that we must have that  $\gamma_i \geq \beta_i$ . If we take any arbitrary  $\alpha_i$  such that  $\alpha_i < \beta_i$ , then letting  $\gamma_i = \alpha_i$  would cause  $\frac{L}{b} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ ; we also have that taking  $\gamma_i = \beta_i$  when  $\beta_i < \alpha_i$  will cause  $\frac{L}{a} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore,  $\gamma_i$  must be the maximum of  $\alpha_i, \beta_i$  for all i. We can conclude that the prime factorization of L must be  $p_1^{\max(\alpha_1,\beta_1)}p_2^{\max(\alpha_2,\beta_2)}\dots p_n^{\max(\alpha_n,\beta_n)}$ .

 $\triangle$ 

- 4. Determine whether each of the following statements is true or false. If true, prove it. If false, provide a counterexample.
  - (a) If  $gcd(a, p^2) = p$  then  $gcd(a^2, p^2) = p^2$ .

We know that  $p \mid a$  by the definition of the gcd. We can say that a = pn for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $a^2 = p^2 n^2$ , so  $p^2 \mid a^2$ . Since  $p^2$  is the greatest thing that divides  $p^2$  and a > p so  $a^2 > p^2$ ,  $gcd(a^2, p^2) = p^2$ .

(b) If  $gcd(a, p^2) = p$  and  $gcd(b, p^2) = p^2$  then  $gcd(ab, p^4) = p^3$ .

If we take the case where a=p and  $b=p^3$ , then we have  $gcd(p,p^2)=p$  and  $gcd(p^3,p^2)=p^2$ . However,  $gcd(p^4,p^4)\neq p^3$ .

(c) If  $gcd(a, p^2) = p$  then  $gcd(a + p, p^2) = p$ .

If we take a, p = 2, then we have that gcd(2, 4) = 2, but  $gcd(4, 4) \neq 2$ .

5. Prove that every prime  $p \neq 3$  has the form 3q + 1 or 3q + 2 for some integer q. Moreover, prove that there are infinitely many primes of the form 3q + 2.

If we consider a prime number  $p \neq 3$ , we will get a remainder of either 1 or 2 when we divide it by three; if we get a remainder of 0, then that number was a multiple of 3 and therefore not prime. We also know that all prime numbers above 3 are odd. Therefore, by the division algorithm, we have that p = 3q + 1 or p = 3q + 2.

Assume that there are finitely many primes of the form 3q + 2. Then we can say

$$p_1 = 3q_1 + 2$$

$$p_2 = 3q_2 + 2$$

. . .

$$p_n = 3q_n + 2$$

We will let  $m = 3p_1p_2 \dots p_k - 1$ , which we can express as  $3p_1p_2 \dots p_k - 3 + 2$ . By factoring we have that  $m = 3(p_1p_2 \dots p_k - 1) + 2$ . Then we have a prime p = 3q + 2 that divides m. Since there are finitely many primes of this form, we have that  $p = p_i$  for some  $i \le n$ . Without loss of generality, we will let i = 1. Then we have

$$1 = 3(p_1 p_2 \dots p_n) - m$$
$$= p_1(3p_2 p_3 \dots p_n - \frac{m}{p_1})$$
$$= p_1 j \text{ for some } j \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Therefore,  $p_1 \mid 1$ , which is a contradiction.

 $\triangle$ 

6. Two primes p and q with p < q are called twin primes if p + 2 = q. If p and q are twin primes with  $3 , prove that <math>6 \mid p + 1$ .

We can take any three consecutive numbers starting with a prime p where p+2=q is also prime. We know that any prime number greater than 3 is even, so it is divisible by two. We also know that if we take three consecutive numbers, one of them has to be divisible by 3, since it will either have a remainder of 0, 1, or 2. Since p is prime and p+2 is prime, then p+1 must be divisible by three. Therefore p+1 must be divisible by 6, or 6|p+1.