

Steven Rosendahl
Homework 5

1. Let $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$. Observe that $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ defines a solution to the equation $f(x, y) = 0$. For the remainder of this problem, we shall call $(0, 0)$ the trivial solution to $f(x, y) = 0$.

- (a) Prove that $f(x, y) = 0$ has no non-trivial solutions with $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Suppose that $f(x, y)$ has a non-trivial zero in \mathbb{Q} . Then $x^2 + y^2 = 0$ for some pair $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$. For any choice of $x \neq 0$ or $y \neq 0$, we know that x^2 and y^2 are both positive. The sum of two positive numbers is still positive, so $x^2 + y^2 > 0$ for $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$. Therefore there cannot be any other zeros besides $(0, 0)$.

- (b) Prove that there exists a prime p such that $f(x, y) = 0$ has a nontrivial solution with $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Let $p = 2$ and $y = 1$. We want to show by Hensel's Lemma that $f(x, 1) = g(x) = x^2 + 1$ has a solution near $x = 1$. We can see that the first property of Hensel's Lemma is satisfied since

$$g(1) = 1^2 + 1 = 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Similarly, the second property is satisfied, since

$$g'(1) = 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Hence, there is a non-trivial zero of f at $(x, y) = (1, 1)$.

- (c) Verify that f satisfies the Hasse Principle without referring to the Hasse-Minkowski Theorem.

We have shown that f has no non-trivial solutions in \mathbb{Q} and that f has a non-trivial solution in \mathbb{Q}_2 , so it satisfies the negation of the Hasse Principle.

2. Suppose that $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of points in \mathbb{Z}_p . Prove that the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n p^n$$

converges in \mathbb{Q}_p .

Proof: We know that a series will converge if and only if its sequence of partial sums converges. We can test for convergence by calculating

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_{n+1} p^{n+1} - a_n p^n|_p.$$

We can say

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{n+1} p^{n+1} - a_n p^n|_p &\leq \max \left\{ |a_{n+1} p^{n+1}|_p, |a_n p^n|_p \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{p^{v_p(a_{n+1}) + n + 1}}, \frac{1}{p^{n + v_p(a_n)}} \right\}. \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{1}{p^{v_p(a_{n+1}) + n + 1}}, \frac{1}{p^{n + v_p(a_n)}} \right\} &= \max \{0, 0\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the p -adic absolute value is greater than or equal to 0, we know

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_{n+1} p^{n+1} - a_n p^n|_p = 0.$$

Therefore, the sequence of partial sums is Cauchy, and thus convergent, so the series converges.

3. Suppose that $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(a) Prove that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^k}$$

does not converge in \mathbb{Q}_p for any prime $p \neq \infty$.

Proof: If we can show that the sequence of partial sums does not converge, then the series will not converge. We want to evaluate (and eventually take the limit of)

$$\left| \frac{1}{(n+1)^k} - \frac{1}{n^k} \right|_p.$$

We know by definition that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{(n+1)^k} - \frac{1}{n^k} \right|_p &= \left| \frac{n^k - (n+1)^k}{n^k(n+1)^k} \right|_p \\ &= p^{-[v_p(n^k - (n+1)^k) - v_p(n^k) - v_p((n+1)^k)]}. \end{aligned}$$

Through some tedious calculation, we can solve for the valuation of each term in the exponent.

$$\begin{aligned} v_p(n^k - (n+1)^k) &= \frac{\log(n^k - (n+1)^k) - \log(m_0)}{\log(p)} \\ v_p(n^k) &= \frac{k \log(n) - \log(m_1)}{\log(p)} \\ v_p((n+1)^k) &= \frac{k \log(n+1) - \log(m_2)}{\log(p)}, \end{aligned}$$

where m_0, m_1 , and m_2 do not divide p . Combining these valuations as required yields

$$- [v_p(n^k - (n+1)^k) - v_p(n^k) - v_p((n+1)^k)] = \frac{\log\left(\frac{n^k(n+1)^k}{n^k - (n+1)^k}\right)}{\log p} + c$$

where c is some constant number independent of n . We can now evaluate the real valued limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log\left(\frac{n^k(n+1)^k}{n^k - (n+1)^k}\right)}{\log p} + c = c + \frac{1}{\log p} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log\left(\frac{n^k(n+1)^k}{n^k - (n+1)^k}\right).$$

The function on the inside of the limit is continuous for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, so we can determine the limit as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log\left(\frac{n^k(n+1)^k}{n^k - (n+1)^k}\right) &= L \\ e^{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log\left(\frac{n^k(n+1)^k}{n^k - (n+1)^k}\right)} &= e^L \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{\log\left(\frac{n^k(n+1)^k}{n^k - (n+1)^k}\right)} &= e^L \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n^k(n+1)^k}{n^k - (n+1)^k}\right) &= e^L. \end{aligned}$$

For the fraction inside the limit, notice that after multiplication, the highest order term in the numerator will be n^{2k} , while the highest order term in the denominator will be n^k , so the limit behaves like

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{2k}}{n^k} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^k = \infty = e^L.$$

Taking the logarithm of both sides changes nothing, nor does dividing by $\log p$ where $p \neq \infty$, or adding c . Hence, we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{(n+1)^k} - \frac{1}{n^k} \right|_p = \infty,$$

so the sequence of partial sums does **not** converge, and therefore the series does not converge.

(b) Prove that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k$$

does not converge in \mathbb{Q}_p for any prime $p \neq \infty$.

Proof: We want to show that the individual terms in the series do not tend towards 0 p -adically. Consider all the elements of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. We know that all multiples of p will live in $\bar{0}$ and as such will have a p -adic absolute value less than 1. All other elements will have a p -adic absolute value of 1, since they contain a factor of p^0 . Hence, the sum tends towards infinity, so the series does not converge.