

Steven Rosendahl
Homework 10

1. Let ϕ denote Euler's Function.

(a) Calculate $\phi(22)$.

$$\phi(22) = \phi(11)\phi(2) = 10 \cdot 1 = 10.$$

(b) Does there exist $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a^{7l} \equiv a \pmod{22}$ for all units $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{22}$? If so, find l . Otherwise, explain why no such l exists.

We know that we can find an l if $7l \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(22)}$. Since $\gcd(7, 10) = 1$, there is an inverse of 7 in \mathbb{Z}_{10} , which is 3. Therefore, $a^{21} \equiv a \pmod{22}$ for all units $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{22}$.

2. Suppose that $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ is such that $\gcd(a, 15) = 1$. If $\gcd(k, 8) = 1$, prove that $a^{k^2} \equiv a \pmod{15}$.

Suppose $\gcd(k, 8) = 1$, and define the set U_8 to be the set of units in \mathbb{Z}_8 . We want to find k^{-1} such that $k^{-1}k \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(15)}$, which allows us to say $a^{k^{-1}k} \equiv a \pmod{15}$. We know that $U_8 = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$. Since $\gcd(k, 8) = 1$, $k \in U_8$. Suppose that $k = 1$. Then $k^{-1} = 1$, so $a^{k^2} \equiv a^1 \equiv a \pmod{15}$. If $k = 3$, $k^{-1} = 3$, so $a^{k^2} \equiv a^9 \equiv a \pmod{15}$. If $k = 5$, then $k^{-1} = 5$, and $a^{k^2} \equiv a^{25} \equiv a \pmod{15}$. If $k = 7$, then $k^{-1} = 7$, so $a^{k^2} \equiv a^{49} \equiv a \pmod{15}$.

3. Let Ω denote the prime counting map and λ the Liouville function. Prove that $\lambda(x) = \lambda(y)$ if and only if $\Omega(x) \equiv \Omega(y) \pmod{2}$.

\Rightarrow) Suppose $\lambda(x) = \lambda(y)$. We know

$$\lambda(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & \Omega(a) \in \{2k | k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ -1, & \Omega(a) \in \{2k+1 | k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \end{cases}.$$

Therefore, the only way $\lambda(x) = \lambda(y)$ is if the parity of $\Omega(x)$ is the same as the parity of $\Omega(y)$. Assume that $\Omega(x), \Omega(y) \in \{2k | k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then $\Omega(x) \equiv \Omega(y) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Assume $\Omega(x), \Omega(y) \in \{2k+1 | k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then $\Omega(x) \equiv \Omega(y) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Therefore, if $\lambda(x) = \lambda(y)$, $\Omega(x) \equiv \Omega(y) \pmod{2}$.

\Leftarrow) Suppose that $\Omega(x) \equiv \Omega(y) \pmod{2}$. We know that $\lambda(a)$ is defined to be $(-1)^{\Omega(a)}$, so suppose without loss of generality that $\Omega(x), \Omega(y) \in \{2k | k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then $\lambda(x) = (-1)^{2k} = 1$, and $\lambda(y) = (-1)^{2k} = 1$, so $\lambda(x) = \lambda(y)$.

4. Let $i = \sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ and define $\rho : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\rho(x) = i^{\Omega(x)}$. Prove that $\rho(x) = \rho(y)$ if and only if $\Omega(x) \equiv \Omega(y) \pmod{4}$.

\Rightarrow) Suppose $\rho(x) = \rho(y)$. We know that i to a power is either 1, -1 , i , or $-i$. If $\rho(x)$ is to equal $\rho(y)$, then both must equal one of the four variations of i to a power. Suppose $\rho(x) = \rho(y) = 1$. Then $i^{\Omega(x)} = i^{\Omega(y)} = 1$, so $\Omega(x)$ and $\Omega(y)$ must be a multiple of 4. Then $\Omega(x) \equiv \Omega(y) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. If $\rho(x) = \rho(y) = -1$, then $\Omega(x)$ and $\Omega(y)$ must be a multiple of 2 but not a multiple of 4, since being a multiple of 4 would cause $\rho(x) = \rho(y) = 1$. If $\Omega(x)$ and $\Omega(y)$ are multiples of 2 and not multiples of 4, then $\Omega(x) \equiv \Omega(y) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Suppose that $\rho(x) = \rho(y) = i$. Then $i^{\Omega(x)} = i^{\Omega(y)} = i$. We know that $\Omega(x)$ and $\Omega(y)$ must be in the set $\{4k+1 | k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, or $\{4k+3 | k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Suppose $\Omega(x), \Omega(y) \in \{4k+1 | k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then $i^{\Omega(x)} = i^{\Omega(y)} = i^{4k+1} = i^{4k}i = i$. In this case, we have $\Omega(x) \equiv \Omega(y) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Finally, suppose that $\Omega(x), \Omega(y) \in \{4k+3 | k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then $i^{\Omega(x)} = i^{\Omega(y)} = i^{4k+3} = i^{4k}(-i) = -i$. In this case, $\Omega(x) \equiv \Omega(y) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Therefore, if $\rho(x) = \rho(y)$, $\Omega(x) \equiv \Omega(y) \pmod{4}$.

\Leftarrow) Suppose $\Omega(x) \equiv \Omega(y) \pmod{4}$. Then $\Omega(x), \Omega(y) \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. If $\Omega(y) = 0$, then $\Omega(x) = 0$, and $\rho(x) = i^0 = 1$ and $\rho(y) = i^0 = 1$, so $\rho(x) = \rho(y)$. If $\Omega(x) = \Omega(y) = 1$, then $\rho(x) = i = \rho(y)$. If $\Omega(x) = \Omega(y) = 2$, then $\rho(x) = -1 = \rho(y)$. If $\Omega(x) = \Omega(y) = 3$, then $\rho(x) = -i = \rho(y)$.

5. Suppose that $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a function such that $f(xy) = f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f(p) = 1$ for all primes p , prove that $f(x) = \Omega(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$.
6. For an ordered pair $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ we define the *Generalized Prime Counting Map* by

$$\overline{\Omega}(a, b) = \Omega(a) - \Omega(b).$$

If $(a, b), (c, d) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ are such that $ad = bc$, prove that $\overline{\Omega}(a, b) = \overline{\Omega}(c, d)$.

7. Let μ be the Möbius Function.

- (a) If p and q are distinct primes, prove that $\mu(pq) = 1$.

Since μ is multiplicative, we have that $\mu(xy) = \mu(x)\mu(y)$ when $\gcd(x, y) = 1$. Since p and q are distinct, we know that $\mu(pq) = \mu(p)\mu(q)$. By definition of the Möbius function, for a prime ρ we have

$$\mu(\rho) = - \sum_{\substack{d|\rho \\ d \neq \rho}} \mu(d) = -\mu(1) = -1,$$

so $\mu(p)\mu(q) = (-1)(-1) = 1$.

- (b) If p is prime, prove that $\mu(p^2) = 0$.

From (a), we know that $\mu(\rho) = 1$ for some prime ρ . If we consider p^2 , we know that the only divisors are 1, p , and p^2 . By the definition of the Möbius function, we have

$$\mu(p^2) = - \sum_{\substack{d|p^2 \\ d \neq p^2}} \mu(d) = -(\mu(1) + \mu(p)) = -1 + 1 = 0.$$

- (c) If p is prime and n is a positive integer, find a formula for the value of $\mu(p^n)$. Prove your answer.

$$\mu(p^n) = \begin{cases} -1, & n = 1 \\ 0, & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

Consider the value of $\mu(p^n)$. We have already shown that $\mu(p) = -1$ for any prime p and that $\mu(p^2) = 0$ for any prime. We can suppose that $\mu(p^n) = 0$ for $n \geq 2$, and $\mu(p^n) = -1$ for $n = 1$. We want to show that $\mu(p^{n+1}) = 0$ for $n \geq 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(p^{n+1}) &= - \sum_{\substack{d|p^{n+1} \\ d \neq p^{n+1}}} \mu(d) \\ &= -(\mu(1) + \mu(p) + \mu(p^2) + \mu(p^3) + \cdots + \mu(p^n)) \\ &= -(1 + (-1) + 0 + 0 + \cdots + 0) \text{ by the inductive hypothesis} \\ &= -(0 + 0 + 0 + \cdots + 0) \\ &= -0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

8. If ϕ denotes Euler's Function and $s > 2$, prove that

$$\frac{\zeta(s-1)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(n)}{n^s}.$$