5793

Group B

Word Count: 820

Meeting Time: MW 1500

February 17, 2016

## Kantian Patriots

Governmental power has drastically increased over the past decade. Much of the growth of

power is due to acts of terrorism that have greatly impacted the nation. One of the most devastat-

ing acts of terrorism that the United States has seen is the attack on the World Trade Centers on

September 11, 2001. As a result, the government passed the USA PATRIOT act, also known as

the Patriot Act. Many of the provisions in the Patriot Act grant the government the ability to avoid

due process. Under the Patriot Act, the government is given excessive power to bypass the law.

Kantian ethics provide an insight that can help determine whether or not use of the liberties granted

8 to the government are ethically sound.

10

11

18

Section 6.6.6 of the text presents a situation in which a British news magazine, known as *The* 

Guardian, published an article describing how the FBI indirectly requested that Verizon turn over

all of its phone records. A key tenant of Kantian ethics is that others should never be used as a

means to an end. The FBI's intention was never revealed, so it is hard to say whether or not they

were using the phone records for personal gain. However, this fact alone is a breach of Kantian

ethics; the FBI wanted to have access to private information, but refused to divulge the reason.

Under the first Categorical Imperative, moral actions should be able to be applied universally. The

FBI did not release their private information, so, under the Categorical Imperative, they should not

17 have taken others private information.

The power over communications granted to the FBI is greatly increased by the Patriot Act as

well. Section 6.6.2 discusses the use of National Security Letters (NSLs), namely in regards to the

Library Connection of Connecticut. In this scenario, the FBI used a provision of the Patriot Act
to demand a user's browser history from a library computer. It follows from the argument made
about the FBI requesting Verizon phone records that NSLs are a breach of Kantian ethics. the FBI
prohibits individuals and companies that receive NSL's to reveal that the FBI had contacted them
in the first place. The Categorical Imperative states that actions should be willed to universal laws,
so it is unethical to demand private information and to demand that their private information not be
given out. This scenario differs from the Verizon scenario in that the FBI wanted the information of
only one individual. The use of the NSL aside, the FBI's actions are still unethical under Kantian
analysis. The agency requested that the Library Connection hand over information about another
individual. In doing so, the FBI was using the Library Connection as a means to an end, which
violates the Categorical Imperative. In this scenario, as well as the last, it is difficult to completely
analyze the situations using Kantian analysis, since the FBI's intention is not actually know.

The third scenario presented by the text involves Brandon Mayfield, an attorney from Portland,
Oregon. The FBI was presented with a partial fingerprint recovered from a terrorist attack in Spain
that was linked back to Mayfield. The FBI then proceeded to investigate Mayfield, going as far as
entering his home and seizing private information without proper legal authorization. This scenario
differs from others in that the FBI's actions were directly aimed at Mayfield. They did not use
anyone as a means to an end, as they did in other scenarios. However, they still violated Kant's
Categorical Imperative. Kantianism focuses on the idea that moral laws should be able to be willed
into universal laws, and as such, no party involved should be able to opt-out. Following this logic,
Mayfield, as well as anyone else who wanted to, should be able to take information without legal
authorization as the FBI did. This scenario differs from the previous two in that the FBI's intention
is known. The agency sought to bring a suspected terrorist into custody. The methods used to reach
that goal were not ethical however, which makes their actions unethical.

It appears that under Kantian analysis, many of the actions taken by the FBI are unethical. The
Patriot Act allows for the government to interfere with the lives of the American population with
little regard for the law, but the legality of an action does not necessarily determine whether that

- action is ethically right or wrong. It is hard to say whether or not the Patriot Act itself is ethical
- or not; Kantian ethics do however focus on the morality of a decision. The Patriot Act was put in
- place to protect the citizens of the United States, which Kant would argue is a morally right cause.
- 50 Many of the actions taken by the FBI in the name of the Patriot Act were presumably done for the
- $_{51}$  same reason, but there is no way to know for certain.

## **Works Cited**

Quinn, Michael J. *Ethics for the Information Age. 6th Edition*. Boston: Pearson/Addison-Wesley, 2013.