# C24 Dynamical Systems

Notes based on Ron Daniel's lectures and lecture notes in MT 2014

## 1 Linear algebra

**Definition 1.1.** Let **A** be an  $n \times n$  matrix. Then

$$e^{\mathbf{A}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{A}^k}{k!} \tag{1}$$

**Proposition 1.1.** If **S** and **T** are linear transformations on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which commute, i.e.  $\mathbf{ST} = \mathbf{TS}$ , then  $e^{\mathbf{S}+\mathbf{T}} = e^{\mathbf{S}}e^{\mathbf{T}}$ .

*Proof.* Not interesting.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 1.2.** If  $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{T}$  are linear transformations on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (i.e.  $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ) and  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{P}^{-1}$  then  $e^{\mathbf{S}} = \mathbf{P}e^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{P}^{-1}$ .

Proof.

$$\begin{split} e^{\mathbf{S}} &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(\mathbf{P} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{P}^{-1})^{k}}{k!} \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\mathbf{P} \mathbf{T}^{k} \mathbf{P}^{-1}}{k!} \\ &= \mathbf{P} \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{T^{k}}{k!} \right) \mathbf{P}^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{P} e^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \end{split}$$

Proposition 1.3. If

 $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}$ 

then

 $e^{\mathbf{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} e^a & 0\\ 0 & e^b \end{bmatrix}$ 

*Proof.* By induction

 $\mathbf{A}^k = \begin{bmatrix} a^k & 0 \\ 0 & b^k \end{bmatrix}$ 

for  $k = 0, 1, \ldots$  Then we can write

$$e^{\mathbf{A}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{A}^k}{k!}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \begin{bmatrix} a^k & 0\\ 0 & b^k \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} a^k/k! & 0\\ 0 & b^k/k! \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} e^a & 0\\ 0 & e^b \end{bmatrix}$$

Proposition 1.4. If

 $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}$ 

then

 $e^{\mathbf{A}} = e^a \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

*Proof.* Write  $\mathbf{A} = a\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{B}$  where

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then  $a\mathbf{I}$  commutes with  $\mathbf{B}$  and by Proposition 1.1,

$$e^{\mathbf{A}} = e^{a\mathbf{I}}e^{\mathbf{B}} = e^a e^{\mathbf{B}}$$

And from the definition

$$e^{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}^2/2! + \dots = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{B}$$

since by direct computation  $\mathbf{B}^2 = \mathbf{B}^3 = \cdots = 0$ .

Proposition 1.5. If

 $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$ 

then

$$e^{\mathbf{A}} = e^a \begin{bmatrix} \cos b & -\sin b \\ \sin b & \cos b \end{bmatrix}$$

*Proof.* If  $\lambda = a + ib$ , it follows by induction that

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}^k = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(\lambda^k) & -\operatorname{Im}(\lambda^k) \\ \operatorname{Im}(\lambda^k) & \operatorname{Re}(\lambda^k) \end{bmatrix}$$

explicitly: it is true for k = 0 and assuming true for k - 1, we can write

$$\lambda^{k-1} = a_{k-1} + ib_{k-1}$$

$$\lambda^k = \lambda^{k-1}\lambda$$

$$= (a_{k-1} + ib_{k-1})(a+ib)$$

$$= (a_{k-1}a - b_{k-1}b) + i(b_{k-1}a + a_{k-1}b)$$

and so

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}^k = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(\lambda^{k-1}) & -\operatorname{Im}(\lambda^{k-1}) \\ \operatorname{Im}(\lambda^{k-1}) & \operatorname{Re}(\lambda^{k-1}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} a_{k-1} & -b_{k-1} \\ b_{k-1} & a_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} (a_{k-1}a - b_{k-1}b) & (-a_{k-1}b - b_{k-1}a) \\ (b_{k-1}a + a_{k-1}b) & (-b_{k-1}b + a_{k-1}a) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(\lambda^k) & -\operatorname{Im}(\lambda^k) \\ \operatorname{Im}(\lambda^k) & \operatorname{Re}(\lambda^k) \end{bmatrix}$$

Using this

$$e^{\mathbf{A}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(\frac{\lambda_k}{k!}) & -\operatorname{Im}(\frac{\lambda_k}{k!}) \\ \operatorname{Im}(\frac{\lambda_k}{k!}) & \operatorname{Re}(\frac{\lambda_k}{k!}) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(e^{\lambda}) & -\operatorname{Im}(e^{\lambda}) \\ \operatorname{Im}(e^{\lambda}) & \operatorname{Re}(e^{\lambda}) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= e^{a} \begin{bmatrix} \cos b & -\sin b \\ \sin b & \cos b \end{bmatrix}$$

**Theorem 1.1** (The Jordan Canonical Form). Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{(2n-k)\times(2n-k)}$  be a real matrix with

- real eigenvalues  $\lambda_j, j = 1, \dots, k$  and
- complex eigenvalues  $\lambda_j = a_j + ib_j$  and  $\bar{\lambda}_j = a_j ib_j, j = k + 1, \dots, n$ .

Then there exists a basis  $\{\mathbf{v}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_k,\mathbf{v}_{k+1},\mathbf{u}_{k+1},\ldots,\mathbf{v}_n,\mathbf{u}_n\}$  for  $\mathbb{R}^{2n-k}$ , where

- $\mathbf{v}_{j}, j = 1, \dots, k \text{ and }$
- $\mathbf{u}_i + i\mathbf{v}_i, j = k + 1, \dots, n$

are generalised eigenvectors of A such that the matrix

$$\mathbf{W} = [\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{u}_n]$$

is invertible and

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \mathbf{J}_r \end{bmatrix}$$
 (2)

where the elementary Jordan blocks  $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}_j, j = 1, \dots, r$  are either of the form

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & & & & \\ 0 & \cdots & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

for one of the real eigenvalues of A,  $\lambda$ , or of the form

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{I} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \cdots & & & & \\ \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

with

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for one of the complex eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\lambda = a + ib$ .

**Corollary 1.1.** For any  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ , there exists an invertible matrix  $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$  (described in the proof) such that the matrix

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{W}$$

has one of the following forms

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}, or \ \mathbf{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$$

It then follows from Propositions 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5 that

$$e^{\mathbf{\Lambda}t} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\lambda t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\mu t} \end{bmatrix}, e^{\mathbf{\Lambda}t} = e^{\lambda t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, or \ e^{\mathbf{\Lambda}t} = e^{at} \begin{bmatrix} \cos bt & -\sin bt \\ \sin bt & \cos bt \end{bmatrix}$$

respectively. And by Proposition 1.2, the matrix  $e^{\mathbf{A}t}$  is then given by

$$e^{\mathbf{A}t} = \mathbf{W}e^{\mathbf{\Lambda}t}\mathbf{W}^{-1}$$

*Proof.* We analyse the Jordan Canonical Form of three possible cases of eigendecomposition of  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$  (characteristic equation  $|\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}|$  a quadratic equation in  $\lambda$ ):

1. Eigenvalues are real and distinct:  $\lambda, \mu$ . Eigenvectors are  $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2$ . In this case n = k = 2. For the matrix  $\mathbf{W} = [\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2]$ :

$$\mathbf{W}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} J_1 & 0\\ 0 & J_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $J_1 = \lambda, J_2 = \mu$ .

2. Eigenvalues are real and equal:  $\lambda$ . There is only one eigenvector,  $\mathbf{w}$ . In this case n = k = 2. For the matrix  $\mathbf{W} = [\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}]$ :

$$\mathbf{W}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{W} = [\mathbf{J}_1]$$

where

$$\mathbf{J}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

3. Eigenvalues are complex conjugates:  $\lambda_1 = a + ib, \lambda_2 = a - ib$ . Eigenvectors are  $\mathbf{w}_1 = \mathbf{u} + i\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{u} - i\mathbf{v}$ . In this case k = 0, n = 1. For the matrix  $\mathbf{W} = [\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}]$ :

$$\mathbf{W}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{W} = [\mathbf{D}]$$

where

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$$

### 2 Introduction

We have: state  $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , function  $\mathbf{f}: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then a dynamical system can be written as

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \tag{5}$$

In this lecture course, we assume that the solution exists and is unique locally, i.e. we will assume that the vector fields are sufficiently smooth to allow this.

We call  $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$  a linear autonomous system.

**Theorem 2.1** (The Fundamental Theorem for Linear Systems). Let **A** be an  $n \times n$  matrix. Then for a given  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the initial value problem

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \tag{6}$$

$$\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0 \tag{7}$$

has a unique solution given by

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{\mathbf{A}t}\mathbf{x}_0 \tag{8}$$

### 3 Equilibria and stability

Equilibrium solution of  $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$  is a solution  $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  which is constant, i.e.  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$ .  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is called a fixed point, stationary point, rest point, critical point or steady state.

For maps,  $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_k)$ , the equilibrium solution is a solution  $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}^*)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** An equilibrium point  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is said to be stable if, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a real number  $\delta > 0$  such that for any other solution  $\mathbf{y}(t)$  satisfying  $|\mathbf{y}(0) - \mathbf{x}^*| < \delta$ ,  $|\mathbf{y}(t) - \mathbf{x}^*| < \epsilon$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Otherwise it is called unstable.

**Definition 3.2.** An equilibrium point  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is said to be asymptotically stable if it is stable and there is a b > 0 such that if  $|\mathbf{y}(0) - \mathbf{x}^*| < b$  then  $\lim_{t \to \infty} |\mathbf{y}(t) - \mathbf{x}^*| = 0$ .

**Definition 3.3.** An equilibrium point  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is said to be exponentially stable if it is asymptotically stable and there exist finite  $\alpha, \beta, \delta > 0$  such that if  $|\mathbf{y}(0) - \mathbf{x}^*| < \delta$  then  $|\mathbf{y}(t) - \mathbf{x}^*| \le \alpha e^{-\beta t} |\mathbf{y}(0) - \mathbf{x}^*|$  for  $t \ge 0$ .

#### 3.1 Analysing stability in 2x2 systems

In a linear system

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$$

$$\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$$

where  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  where we can decompose  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{W} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{W}^{-1}$  according to Corollary 1.1, we can use the coordinate transformation  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{z}_0 = \mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_0$  to analyse the system in the transformed coordinates  $\mathbf{z}$ :

$$\dot{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{z}$$

$$\mathbf{z}(0) = \mathbf{z}_0$$

hence according to Theorem 2.1:

$$\mathbf{z}(t) = e^{\mathbf{\Lambda}t} \mathbf{z}_0$$

We now analyse the three possible cases of  $\Lambda$ :

Real, distinct eigenvalues. We have for  $\lambda, \mu, \lambda \neq \mu$ :

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$e^{\mathbf{\Lambda}t} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\lambda t} & 0\\ 0 & e^{\mu t} \end{bmatrix}$$

hence

$$\mathbf{z}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\lambda t} & 0\\ 0 & e^{\mu t} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_0$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} z_{1,0}e^{\lambda t}\\ z_{2,0}e^{\mu t} \end{bmatrix}$$

There can be three subcases:

- $\lambda < \mu < 0$ : stable.
- $\lambda < 0 < \mu$ : saddle shape (separatrices).
- $0 < \lambda < \mu$ : unstable (opposite arrows to stable case).

**Duplicate real eigenvalue.** We have for  $\lambda \neq 0$ :

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$e^{\mathbf{\Lambda}t} = e^{\lambda t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

hence

$$\mathbf{z}(t) = e^{\lambda t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_0$$

There can be two subcases:

- $\lambda < 0$ : stable.
- $\lambda > 0$ : unstable.

Complex eigenvalues. We have for  $\lambda_1 = a + ib, \lambda_2 = a - ib$ :

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$e^{\mathbf{\Lambda}t} = e^{at} \begin{bmatrix} \cos bt & -\sin bt \\ \sin bt & \cos bt \end{bmatrix}$$

hence

$$\mathbf{z}(t) = e^{at} \begin{bmatrix} \cos bt & -\sin bt \\ \sin bt & \cos bt \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_0$$

We can look at the norm of  $\mathbf{z}(t)$  to analyse the shape of the solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{z}(t)\|^2 &= |e^{at}|^2 \left\| \begin{bmatrix} z_{1,0}\cos bt - z_{2,0}\sin bt \\ z_{1,0}\sin bt + z_{2,0}\cos bt \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2 \\ &= |e^{at}|^2 (z_{1,0}^2\cos^2 bt - 2z_{1,0}z_{2,0}\sin bt\cos bt + z_{2,0}^2\sin^2 bt + z_{1,0}^2\sin^2 bt + 2z_{1,0}z_{2,0}\sin bt\cos bt + z_{2,0}^2\cos^2 bt) \\ &= |e^{at}|^2 (z_{1,0}^2(\sin^2 bt + \cos^2 bt) + z_{2,0}^2(\sin^2 bt + \cos^2 bt)) \\ &= |e^{at}|^2 (z_{1,0}^2 + z_{2,0}^2) \\ &= |e^{at}|^2 \|\mathbf{z}_0\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $\|\mathbf{z}(t)\| = |e^{at}| \|\mathbf{z}_0\|$ . So

- a = 0: circle.
- a < 0: stable spiral.
- a > 0: unstable spiral.
- b > 0: anticlockwise.
- b < 0: clockwise.

### 3.2 Nonlinear systems

Consider  $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$  with an equilibrium  $\mathbf{x}^*$ , such that  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$ . Let  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{w}$  and assume  $\mathbf{f}$  is differentiable and not that the equilibrium point doesn't change with time. Then for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ :

$$f_j(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}) = f_j(\mathbf{x}^*) + (\operatorname{grad}_{\mathbf{x}} f_j(\mathbf{x}^*))^T \mathbf{w} + \cdots$$

Hence we can write

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \dot{\mathbf{w}} = D\{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^*)\}\mathbf{w} + \cdots$$

where

$$D\{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^*)\} = \begin{bmatrix} (\operatorname{grad}_{\mathbf{x}} f_1(\mathbf{x}^*))^T \\ \vdots \\ (\operatorname{grad}_{\mathbf{x}} f_n(\mathbf{x}^*))^T \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

Hence we can analyse the following small perturbation model

$$\dot{\mathbf{w}} \approx D\{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^*)\}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{w}$$

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $\mathbf{x}^*$  be an equilibrium of  $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ . Then  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is called a hyperbolic fixed point if none of the eigenvalues of  $D\{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^*)\}$  have zero real part.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is a hyperbolic fixed point and all the real parts of the eigenvalues are negative. Then the equilibrium solution  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^*$  is asymptotically stable.

### 4 Invariant Manifolds

### 4.1 Linear systems: Stable, Unstable and Centre subspaces

In an  $n \times n$  linear system

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$$

if  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , n = 2m - k is eigendecomposed to

- real eigenvalues  $\lambda_j = a_j$  with corresponding eigenvectors  $\mathbf{w}_j = \mathbf{u}_j, \mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{0}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , and
- complex eigenvalues  $\lambda_j = a_j + ib_j$  and  $\bar{\lambda}_j = a_j ib_j$  with corresponding eigenvectors  $\mathbf{w}_j = \mathbf{u}_j + i\mathbf{v}_j$  and  $\mathbf{w}_j = \mathbf{u}_j i\mathbf{v}_j$  for  $j = k + 1, \dots, m$

then

$$\mathbf{W} = [\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{u}_n]$$
 $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{W}$ 

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \mathbf{J}_r \end{bmatrix}$$

by Theorem 1.1.

**Definition 4.1.**  $E^s$ ,  $E^c$  and  $E^u$  are the subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  spanned by the real and imaginary parts of the generalised eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalues with negative, zero and positive real parts respectively:

$$E^{s} = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{v}_{j} : a_{j} < 0\}$$
  

$$E^{u} = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{v}_{j} : a_{j} > 0\}$$
  

$$E^{c} = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{v}_{j} : a_{j} = 0\}$$

**Definition 4.2.** If all eigenvalues of the  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  have nonzero real part, then the flow  $e^{\mathbf{A}t} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  (mapping  $\mathbf{x}_0$  to  $\mathbf{x}(t)$ ) is called a hyperbolic flow and  $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$  is called a hyperbolic linear system.

**Definition 4.3.** A subspace  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be invariant with respect to the flow  $e^{\mathbf{A}t}$  if for any  $\mathbf{x} \in E$ :  $e^{\mathbf{A}t}\mathbf{x} \in E, \forall t$  (in other words, E is closed under the linear transformation  $e^{\mathbf{A}t}$  for all t; or: what starts in E stays in E).

**Theorem 4.1.** Let **A** be a real  $n \times n$  matrix. Then

$$\mathbb{R}^n = E^s \oplus E^u \oplus E^c$$

where  $E^s$ ,  $E^u$  and  $E^c$  are the stable, unstable and center subspaces of  $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$  respectively; furthermore,  $E^s$ ,  $E^u$  and  $E^c$  are invariant with respect to the flow  $e^{\mathbf{A}t}$  of  $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$  respectively.

*Proof.* (fake (Perko p55 for the real deal)) Let

$$\{\mathbf{w}_{i}^{s}, i = 1, \dots, n_{s}\} = \{\mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{v}_{j} : a_{j} < 0, \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{v}_{j} \neq \mathbf{0}\}$$

$$\{\mathbf{w}_{i}^{u}, i = 1, \dots, n_{u}\} = \{\mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{v}_{j} : a_{j} > 0, \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{v}_{j} \neq \mathbf{0}\}$$

$$\{\mathbf{w}_{i}^{c}, i = 1, \dots, n_{c}\} = \{\mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{v}_{j} : a_{j} = 0, \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{v}_{j} \neq \mathbf{0}\}$$

then we can rearrange (questionable)  ${\bf W}$  and  ${\bf \Lambda}$  in the following way

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{W} &= [\mathbf{w}_1^s, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{n_s}^s, \mathbf{w}_1^u, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{n_u}^u, \mathbf{w}_1^c, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{n_c}^c] \\ \mathbf{\Lambda} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}_1^s & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & \mathbf{J}_r^s & & & \\ & & & \mathbf{J}_r^u & & & \\ & & & & \mathbf{J}_1^c & & \\ & & & & & \mathbf{J}_r^c \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Lambda}^s & & & & & \\ & \mathbf{\Lambda}^u & & & \\ & & \mathbf{\Lambda}^c \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

where  $\mathbf{\Lambda}^s \in \mathbb{R}^{n_s \times n_s}$ ,  $\mathbf{\Lambda}^u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u \times n_u}$  and  $\mathbf{\Lambda}^c \in \mathbb{R}^{n_c \times n_c}$  such that  $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{W}$  still holds.

Using the coordinate transformation  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{W}^{-1}\mathbf{x}$ , we get  $\dot{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{z}$  hence

$$egin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{z}}^s &= \mathbf{\Lambda}^s \mathbf{z}^s \ \dot{\mathbf{z}}^u &= \mathbf{\Lambda}^u \mathbf{z}^u \ \dot{\mathbf{z}}^c &= \mathbf{\Lambda}^c \mathbf{z}^c \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{z}^{s} = [z_{1}, \dots, z_{n_{s}}]^{T}$$

$$= [z_{1}^{s}, \dots, z_{n_{s}}^{s}]^{T}$$

$$\mathbf{z}^{u} = [z_{n_{s}+1}, \dots, z_{n_{s}+n_{u}}]^{T}$$

$$= [z_{1}^{u}, \dots, z_{n_{u}}^{u}]^{T}$$

$$\mathbf{z}^{c} = [z_{n_{s}+n_{u}+1}, \dots, z_{n_{s}+n_{u}+n_{c}}]^{T}$$

$$= [z_{1}^{c}, \dots, z_{n_{c}}^{c}]^{T}$$

Fix  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in E^s$ . We can then write (questionable)

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{x}_0 &= \mathbf{W} \mathbf{z}(0) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_s} \mathbf{w}_i^s z_i^s(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_u} \mathbf{w}_j^u z_j^u(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{n_c} \mathbf{w}_k^c z_k^c(0) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_s} \mathbf{w}_i^s z_i^s(0) + \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

hence (questionable)  $\mathbf{z}^{u}(0) = \mathbf{z}^{c}(0) = \mathbf{0}$ . The solution in  $\mathbf{z}(t)$  then becomes

$$\mathbf{z}^{s}(t) = e^{\mathbf{\Lambda}^{s}t}\mathbf{z}^{s}(0)$$
$$\mathbf{z}^{u}(t) = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\mathbf{z}^{c}(t) = \mathbf{0}$$

which corresponds to

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{x}(t) &= \mathbf{W} \mathbf{z}(t) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_s} \mathbf{w}_i^s z_i^s(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_u} \mathbf{w}_j^u z_j^u(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{n_c} \mathbf{w}_k^c z_k^c(t) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_s} \mathbf{w}_i^s z_i^s(t) + \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

which is  $\in E^s$ . Hence  $E^s$  is invariant with respect to the flow  $e^{\mathbf{A}t}$ . Similarly for  $E^u$  and  $E^c$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** If  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in E^s$ , then  $e^{\mathbf{A}t}\mathbf{x}_0 \in E^s$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} e^{\mathbf{A}t} \mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{0}.$$

And if  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in E^u$ , then  $e^{\mathbf{A}t}\mathbf{x}_0 \in E^u$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} e^{\mathbf{A}t} \mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{0}.$$

### 4.2 Nonlinear system local theory

Consider the linearised model

$$\dot{\mathbf{w}} \approx D\{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^*)\}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{w}$$

**Theorem 4.3** (Hartman-Grobman).  $\forall$  hyperbolic equilibrium points  $\mathbf{x}^* \in A$  (A is an open set)

 $\exists$  bi-continuous (a mapping that is continuous and whose inverse is also continuous) function  $H:A \rightarrow B$  where

B is the open set containing the origin of the linearised model

so that trajectories are mapped exactly and the parameterisation of time is preserved.

### 4.3 Conservative systems

If there exists a non-constant function  $V(\mathbf{x})$  such that dV/dt = 0 along a solution of the nonlinear differential equation  $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ , the equations are called conservative.

If  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^*$  is an isolated equilibrium and there is a  $V(\mathbf{x})$  that is a local minimum/maximum at  $\mathbf{x}^*$  then there is a region around that point that contains a *closed orbit*.

# 5 Lyapunov Functions

**Theorem 5.1.** Consider a nonlinear system of the form

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$$

and let  $\mathbf{x}^*$  be an equilibrium point, i.e.  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$ . Let  $V: D \to \mathbb{R}$  (unrelated to V in conservative systems) be a continuously differentiable function, defined on a neighbourhood D of (open set containing)  $\mathbf{x}^*$  such that

- $V(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$  and  $V(\mathbf{x}) > 0$  for  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}^*$ .
- $\dot{V}(\mathbf{x}) = (\operatorname{grad}_{\mathbf{x}} V(\mathbf{x}))^T \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \le 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in D \setminus \{\mathbf{x}^*\}.$

Then  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is stable. If moreover

•  $\dot{V}(\mathbf{x}) < 0$  in  $D \setminus {\mathbf{x}^*}$ .

then  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is asymptotically stable.

#### 5.1 Vector fields possesing an integral

### 5.2 Hamiltonian Systems

Let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $H = H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ . A system of the form

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{y}}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{x}},$$

is called a *Hamiltonian* system with n degrees of freedom.

**Theorem 5.2** (Conservation of Energy). The total energy  $H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  of a Hamiltonian system remains constant along its trajectories.

*Proof.* The total derivative of the Hamiltonian function  $H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  along a solution trajectory  $\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{y}(t)$  is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}H}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{y}}$$
$$= \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \cdot \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$
$$= 0$$

### 5.3 Gradient Systems

A system of the form

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = -\operatorname{grad}V(\mathbf{x})\tag{9}$$

is called a gradient system.

**Theorem 5.3.** At regular points of the function  $V(\mathbf{x})$ , trajectories of a gradient system cross the level surfaces  $V(\mathbf{x}) = constant$  orthogonally. And strict local minima of the function  $V(\mathbf{x})$  are asymptotically stable equilibrium points of this gradient system.

Also,  $V(\mathbf{x}) - V(\mathbf{x}_0)$  is a strict Lyapunov function for this system, where  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is a strict local minimum of  $V(\mathbf{x})$ .

### 5.4 A relationship between Gradient and Hamiltonian Systems

They are orthogonal.

## 6 Asymptotic behaviour

Let the non-linear system

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \tag{10}$$

have a flow

$$\phi(t, \mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \phi(0, \mathbf{x})$$

within some open set D around the point  $\mathbf{x}_0$ . We require the solution to pass through the point  $\mathbf{x}_0$  at t = 0. This solution defines a path  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}_0}$  which is the curve

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}_0} = {\mathbf{x} \in D : \mathbf{x} = \phi(t, \mathbf{x}_0), t \in R}.$$

**Definition 6.1.** A point  $p \in D$  is called an  $\omega$  limit point of the trajectory  $\phi(t, x)$  if there exists a sequence of times,  $\{t_i\}, t_i \to \infty$  such that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \phi(t_i, x) \to p. \tag{11}$$

We denote this point  $\omega(x)$ .  $\alpha$  limit points are defined in a similar way but now the sequence  $\{t_i\}$  is such that  $t_i \to \infty$ .

The  $\alpha$  limit set of a trajectory is the set of all  $\alpha$  limit points. Similarly, we can define the  $\omega$  limit set.

**Definition 6.2** (Positively invariant set). Define the positive path  $\Gamma_{x_0}^+ := \{x \in D : x = \phi(t, x_0), t \geq 0\}$ . Set  $S \subseteq D$  is called positively invariant if  $x_0 \in S$  implies  $\Gamma_{x_0}^+ \subseteq S$ .

**Definition 6.3** (Attracting set). An invariant set  $A \subset D$  is attracting if there is some neighbourhood U of A which is positively invariant and all trajectories starting in U tend to A as  $t \to \infty$ .

**Definition 6.4** (Trapping region (informal)). Trapping region is a region such that every trajectory that starts within the trapping region will move to the region's interior and remain there as the system evolves.

Let  $\dot{x} = f(x)$  with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and suppose  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a positively invariant set. Suppose the boundry of S is differentiable and S has non-empty interior. S is a trapping region.

**Definition 6.5** (Basin of attraction). The domain or basin of attraction of an attracting set A is the union of all trajectories forming a trapping region of A.

**Theorem 6.1** (La Salle's invariance principle). Let D be a trapping region. Suppose now there exists V(x) which satisfies  $\dot{V} \leq 0$  on D and consider the following two sets:

$$E = \{x \in D : \dot{V}(x) = 0\}$$
(12)

and

$$M = \{ the \ union \ of \ all \ trajectories \ in \ E \ that \ are \ positively \ invariant \}.$$
 (13)

La Salle's invariance principle then states that for all  $x \in D$ , all trajectories starting at x tend to M as  $t \to \infty$ .

**Theorem 6.2** (Poincaré-Bendixson). Let M be a positively invariant region of a vector field, containing only a finite number of equilibria. Let  $x \in M$  and consider  $\omega(x)$ . Then one of the following possibilities holds:

- 1.  $\omega(x)$  is an equilibrium;
- 2.  $\omega(x)$  is a closed orbit;
- 3.  $\omega(x)$  consists of a finite number of equilibria  $x_1^*, \ldots, x_n^*$  and orbits  $\gamma_k$  with  $\alpha(\gamma_k) = x_i^*$  and  $\omega(\gamma_k) = x_j^*$  for some i, j. i.e. connected set composed of a finite number of fixed points together with homoclinic and heteroclinic orbits connecting these.

As a result, if inside M there are only stable equilibria, then there can only be one. If there are no equilibria, then there is a closed orbit inside it.

# 7 Limit Cycles and Index Theory

**Definition 7.1** (Periodic orbit). A solution of  $\dot{x} = f(x)$  through  $x_0$  is said to be periodic if there exists a T > 0 such that  $\phi(t, x_0) = \phi(t + T, x_0)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . The minimum such T is called a period of the periodic orbit.

**Theorem 7.1** (Bendixson). If  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}$  is not identically 0 and does not change sign on a region D of the phase-plane, then

$$\dot{x} = f(x, y)\dot{y} = g(x, y) \tag{14}$$

has no closed orbits in this region.

**Theorem 7.2** (Dulac). Let B(x,y) be a continuously differentiable function, defined on a region  $D \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . If  $\frac{\partial (Bf)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (Bg)}{\partial y}$  is not identically 0 and does not change sign on a region D of the phase-plane, then

$$\dot{x} = f(x, y)\dot{y} = g(x, y) \tag{15}$$

has no closed orbits in this region.

Claim 7.1. A gradient system has no closed orbits.

Index of a simple closed curve  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , the index of  $\Gamma$ ,  $I(\Gamma)$  is defined by

$$I(\Gamma) = \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{d\varphi(x_1, x_2)}{2\pi} \tag{16}$$

Index theory properties:

1. The index is always an integer.

- 2. If there are no fixed points in the interior of a simple closed curve  $\Gamma$  then  $I(\Gamma) = 0$ .
- 3. If  $\Gamma$  is a closed orbit of the system then  $I(\Gamma) = 1$ .
- 4. Let  $\Gamma$  encircle counterclockwisely an isolated fixed point  $x^*$ . If  $x^*$  is a saddle node, then  $I(\Gamma) = -1$ , otherwise  $I(\Gamma) = 1$ . In the case of fixed points, we also identify  $I(\Gamma)$  with  $I(x^*)$ .
- 5. The index of a curve  $\Gamma$  surrounding a number of fixed points  $x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*$  is

$$I(\Gamma) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} I(x_k^*) \tag{17}$$

6. The index of a closed trajectory enclosing fixed points  $x_1^*, \ldots, x_n^*$  is

$$I(\Gamma) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} I(x_k^*) = 1.$$
 (18)

**Definition 7.2** (Stability of periodic orbits). A periodic orbit  $\Gamma$  is said to be stable if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a neighbourhood U of  $\Gamma$  such that for all  $x \in U$ , the distance between  $\phi(t,x)$  and  $\Gamma$  is less than  $\epsilon$ . Moreover,  $\Gamma$  is called asymptotically stable, if it is stable and if for all points  $x \in U$  we have that this distance tends to zero as  $t \to \infty$ .

An asymptotically stable cycle is referred to as an  $\omega$ -limit cycle.

### 8 Local Bifurcations

### 9 Chaos