


```

    "cv[ditrans]",
    "=VDitrans[promise]",
    "=D[]",
    sem: "",
    phon: "",
    constituents: ["promise", "to_inf", "to-P"]
}

```



- (29) (**The crucial step - HEAD INCORPORATION**) At this point, things get murky, as the original article is a little unclear. If **head incorporation** is going to happen, it pretty much has to happen now (especially given the authors' insistence that their analysis of the ungrammaticality of the passive counterpart is forced by strict adherence to cyclicity). However, if **head incorporation** happens, it would make **Mary** a blocking category for subsequent raising of **John** to subject, which is indeed the next step (because **v\_ditrans** still has one unvalued **selector** feature - =D[]). At least, this is true if