≣　Articles　❯　84. Largest Rectangle In Histogram ▾

# 84. Largest Rectangle In Histogram ☒ (/problems/largest-rectangle-in-histogram/)

Dec. 7, 2016 | 59.8K views

Average Rating: 4.54 (68 votes)

Given Ŏnon-negative integers representing the histogram's bar height where the width of each bar is 1, find the area of largest rectangle in the histogram.

Above is a histogram where width of each bar is 1, given height = `[2,1,5,6,2,3]` .

The largest rectangle is shown in the shaded area, which has area = `10` unit.

Example:

```
Input: [2,1,5,6,2,3]
Output: 10
```

Summary

We need to find the rectangle of largest area that can be formed by using the given bars of histogram.

Solution

Approach 1: Brute Force

Firstly, we need to take into account the fact that the height of the rectangle formed between any two bars will always be limited by the height of the shortest bar lying between them which can be understood by looking at the figure below:

Area=2x7

Thus, we can simply start off by considering every possible pair of bars and finding the area of the rectangle formed between them using the height of the shortest bar lying between them as the height and the spacing between them as the width of the rectangle. We can thus, find the required rectangle with the maximum area.

```java
public class Solution {
    public int largestRectangleArea(int[] heights) {
        int maxarea = 0;
        for (int i = 0; i < heights.length; i++) {
            for (int j = i; j < heights.length; j++) {
                int minheight = Integer.MAX_VALUE;
                for (int k = i; k <= j; k++)
                    minheight = Math.min(minheight, heights[k]);
                maxarea = Math.max(maxarea, minheight * (j - i + 1));
            }
        }
        return maxarea;
    }
}
```

Complexity Analysis

- Time complexity : $O(n^3)$. We have to find the minimum height bar $O(n)$ lying between every pair $O(n^2)$.

- Space complexity : $O(1)$. Constant space is used.

Approach 2: Better Brute Force

Algorithm

We can do one slight modification in the previous approach to optimize it to some extent. Instead of taking every possible pair and then finding the bar of minimum height lying between them everytime, we can find the bar of minimum height for current pair by using the minimum height bar of the previous pair.

In mathematical terms, $minheight = \min(minheight, heights(j))$, where $heights(j)$ refers to the height of the jth bar.

Java   📋 Copy

```java
public class Solution {
    public int largestRectangleArea(int[] heights) {
        int maxarea = 0;
        for (int i = 0; i < heights.length; i++) {
            int minheight = Integer.MAX_VALUE;
            for (int j = i; j < heights.length; j++) {
                minheight = Math.min(minheight, heights[j]);
                maxarea = Math.max(maxarea, minheight * (j - i + 1));
            }
        }
        return maxarea;
    }
}
```

Complexity Analysis

- Time complexity : $O(n^2)$. Every possible pair is considered

- Space complexity : $O(1)$. No extra space is used.

Approach 3: Divide and Conquer Approach

Algorithm

This approach relies on the observation that the rectangle with maximum area will be the maximum of:

1. The widest possible rectangle with height equal to the height of the shortest bar.

2. The largest rectangle confined to the left of the shortest bar(subproblem).

3. The largest rectangle confined to the right of the shortest bar(subproblem).

Let's take an example:

```
[6, 4, 5, 2, 4, 3, 9]
```

Here, the shortest bar is of height 2. The area of the widest rectangle using this bar as height is 2x8=16. Now, we need to look for cases 2 and 3 mentioned above. Thus, we repeat the same process to the left and right of 2. In the left of 2, 4 is the minimum, forming an area of rectangle 4x3=12. Further, rectangles of area 6x1=6 and 5x1=5 exist in its left and right respectively. Similarly we find an area of 3x3=9, 4x1=4 and 9x1=9 to the left of 2. Thus, we get 16 as the correct maximum area. See the figure below for further clarification:

Divide and Conquer

<div style="border">
   📋 Copy
</div>

```java
public class Solution {
    public int calculateArea(int[] heights, int start, int end) {
        if (start > end)
            return 0;
        int minindex = start;
        for (int i = start; i <= end; i++)
            if (heights[minindex] > heights[i])
                minindex = i;
        return Math.max(heights[minindex] * (end - start + 1), Math.max(calculateArea(heights,
    start, minindex - 1), calculateArea(heights, minindex + 1, end)));
    }
    public int largestRectangleArea(int[] heights) {
        return calculateArea(heights, 0, heights.length - 1);
    }
}
```

Complexity Analysis

- Time complexity :

  Average Case: $O\left(n \log n\right)$.

  Worst Case: $O(n^2)$. If the numbers in the array are sorted, we don't gain the advantage of divide and conquer.

- Space complexity : $O(n)$. Recursion with worst case depth n.

Approach 4: Better Divide and Conquer

Algorithm

You can observe that in the Divide and Conquer Approach, we gain the advantage, since the large problem is divided into substantially smaller subproblems. But, we won't gain much advantage with that approach if the array happens to be sorted in either ascending or descending order, since every time we need to find the minimum number in a large subarray $O(n)$. Thus, the overall complexity becomes $O(n^2)$ in the worst case. We can reduce the time complexity by using a Segment Tree to find the minimum every time which can be done in $O\left(\log n\right)$ time.

For implementation, click here (https://leetcode.com/problems/largest-rectangle-in-histogram/discuss/28941/segment-tree-solution-just-another-idea-onlogn-solution).

Complexity Analysis

- Time complexity : $O\left(n \log n\right)$. Segment tree takes $\log n$ for a total of n times.

- Space complexity : $O(n)$. Space required for Segment Tree.

Approach 5: Using Stack

Algorithm

In this approach, we maintain a stack. Initially, we push a -1 onto the stack to mark the end. We start with the leftmost bar and keep pushing the current bar's index onto the stack until we get two successive numbers in descending order, i.e. until we get $a[i]$. Now, we start popping the numbers from the stack until we hit a number $stack[j]$ on the stack such that $a\big[stack[j]\big] \leq a[i]$. Every time we pop, we find out the area of rectangle formed using the current element as the height of the rectangle and the difference between the the current element's index pointed to in the original array and the element $stack[top-1]-1$ as the width i.e. if we pop an element $stack[top]$ and i is the current index to which we are pointing in the original array, the current area of the rectangle will be considered as:

$$(i - stack[top-1] - 1) \times a\big[stack[top]\big].$$

Further, if we reach the end of the array, we pop all the elements of the stack and at every pop, this time we use the following equation to find the area: $(stack[top] - stack[top-1]) \times a\big[stack[top]\big]$, where $stack[top]$ refers to the element just popped. Thus, we can get the area of the of the largest rectangle by comparing the new area found everytime.

The following example will clarify the process further: [6, 7, 5, 2, 4, 5, 9, 3]

📋 Copy

```java
public class Solution {
    public int largestRectangleArea(int[] heights) {
        Stack < Integer > stack = new Stack < > ();
        stack.push(-1);
        int maxarea = 0;
        for (int i = 0; i < heights.length; ++i) {
            while (stack.peek() != -1 && heights[stack.peek()] >= heights[i])
                maxarea = Math.max(maxarea, heights[stack.pop()] * (i - stack.peek() - 1));
            stack.push(i);
        }
        while (stack.peek() != -1)
            maxarea = Math.max(maxarea, heights[stack.pop()] * (heights.length - stack.peek()
    -1));
        return maxarea;
    }
}
```

Complexity Analysis

- Time complexity : $O(n)$. n numbers are pushed and popped.

- Space complexity : $O(n)$. Stack is used.

Rate this article:

◉ Previous (/articles/guess-higher-or-lower-number-ii/)   Next ◉ (/articles/minimum-path-sum/)

Comments: (37)   Sort By ▾

Type comment here... (Markdown is supported)

👁 Preview   Post

TravellingSalesman (/travellingsalesman) ★ 108 ⏱ March 8, 2019 3:48 AM   ⋮

Let me try to give my thought process behind using stack, hope it helps:

1. Idea is, we will consider every element a[i] to be a candidate for the area calculation. That is, if a[i] is the minimum element then what is the maximum area possible for all such rectangles? We can easily figure out that it's a[i]*(R-L+1-2) or a[i] * (R-L-1), where a[R] is first subsequent element(R>i) in the array just smaller

Read More

102 ⌃ ⌄ ┊ ⎘ Share ↩ Reply

SHOW 8 REPLIES

Kaiyubo (/kaiyubo) ★ 90 ⏱ November 13, 2018 1:22 PM   ⋮

I think there is a typo in Divide and Conquer example. It should be 2 x 7 = 14.

68 ∧ ∨ ⬀ Share ↶ Reply

SHOW 1 REPLY

laaptu (/laaptu) ★ 40 🕐 March 11, 2019 5:39 PM ⋮

This video https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RVlh0snn4Qc (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RVlh0snn4Qc), helped me understand the logic for solution of this problem using stack based approach.

> Largest Rectangle in a His…

Read More

31 ∧ ∨ ⬀ Share ↶ Reply

SHOW 2 REPLIES

go2ready (/go2ready) ★ 12 🕐 February 22, 2018 12:13 AM ⋮

I guess there is a typo in the description of the algorithm. The second equation used to find area when for loop ends seems differ from the Java implementation down below. In the implementation, the formula used is: (len(a) - stack[top−1] - 1) * a[stack[top]]. Can anyone else confirm this for me? Whether it is a typo? Or I have misunderstood sth.

12 ∧ ∨ ⬀ Share ↶ Reply

SHOW 3 REPLIES

dance-henry (/dance-henry) ★ 18 🕐 September 11, 2019 11:52 PM ⋮

There are 3 critical points to understand the stack approach.

1. the stack is used to stored the index.
2. when we pop the stack, the heights[stack.pop()] is monotonically decreasing, and therefore we can treat the original index (i - 1) as one anchor point to calculate the width.

Read More

6 ∧ ∨ ⬀ Share ↶ Reply

SHOW 1 REPLY

XiangkunYe (/xiangkunye) ★ 66 🕐 January 12, 2019 10:50 PM ⋮

About Approach 5 I think there's a mistake that we should use (len(a)-1−stack[top−1])×a[stack[top]] instead of (stack[top]−stack[top−1])×a[stack[top]].

6 ∧ ∨ ⬀ Share ↶ Reply

SHOW 1 REPLY

nxn (/nxn) ★ 65 🕐 September 14, 2018 8:09 PM ⋮

What's the intuition behind the stack approach? Like why does this: `(i−stack[top−1]−1)×a[stack[top]]` work? What I mean is what's the relationship between a[stack[top]] and stack[top-1] as an index? I have seen several explanations of this solution from different sources but nobody has an intuitive explanation of why it works.

6 ∧ ∨ ⬀ Share ↶ Reply

SHOW 6 REPLIES

lh19900702 (/lh19900702) ★ 92 ⊙ May 24, 2017 7:44 PM   ⋮

The last step of animation is wrong. The final result 16 comes from (the minimum height 2 * the number of column 8). This is because, the last stop of i is 7. 2 * (7 - (-1)) = 16 as well. The algorithm for ending is incorrect.

4 ∧ ∨ │ ⓒ Share │ ↩ Reply

SHOW 1 REPLY

boy27910231 (/boy27910231) ★ 97 ⊙ April 4, 2017 9:23 PM   ⋮

for the last solution, we can add a 0 at the end of heights and "force pop" all the remaining elements in the stack. That way we can save the last while loop

4 ∧ ∨ │ ⓒ Share │ ↩ Reply

kevinhynes (/kevinhynes) ★ 270 ⊙ July 2, 2019 6:24 AM   ⋮

Is Solution 3 (vanilla Divide and Conquer) supposed to pass? I am getting TLE in Python but if I copy and paste the Java solution it works..

```
def largestRectangleArea(self, heights: List[int]) -> int:
    max area = 0
```
Read More

2 ∧ ∨ │ ⓒ Share │ ↩ Reply

‹ ① ② ③ ④ ›

Copyright © 2020 LeetCode

Help Center (/support/) | Terms (/terms/) | Privacy Policy (/privacy/)

🇺🇸 United States (/region/)