

Q&A; Appendix – Public■Safe Professional Profile

Q: What areas do you work in?

A: My work sits at the intersection of cybersecurity, data engineering, AI■assisted decision support, and historical systems analysis. I focus on how complex technical systems behave under stress and uncertainty.

Q: What AI or ML technologies have you worked with?

A: I work primarily with applied AI systems such as retrieval■augmented generation (RAG), simulation■driven analytics, anomaly detection, and decision■support tooling rather than experimental model training.

Q: How do you validate AI outputs?

A: I rely on evidence■based retrieval, deterministic scoring where possible, and explicit scope boundaries. If information is not present in the underlying corpus, the system is designed to say so explicitly.

Q: How do you handle restricted or sensitive environments?

A: I design systems to operate in public■safe, evidence■only modes by default, with strict separation between demonstration environments and any restricted or sensitive work.

Q: What is WarSim?

A: WarSim is a modeling framework used to explore escalation dynamics, decision bottlenecks, and system fragility. It is used for analytical insight rather than tactical planning or real■world targeting.

Q: Do you work internationally?

A: Yes. I have lived and worked in Germany, Japan, and Australia, which informs how I assess systems across different cultural, regulatory, and institutional contexts.

Q: What languages do you use professionally?

A: I work professionally in English and German, am fluent in Greek, and have working proficiency in Russian for reading and technical comprehension.

Q: Do you engage in civic or community work?

A: Yes. I support public■interest technology initiatives focused on education, mentorship, and expanding access to foundational technical literacy for underserved communities.

Q: How do you approach risk and security?

A: I treat risk as a systems problem, combining technical controls, human factors, and organizational behavior rather than relying on single-point solutions.

Q: How would you describe your typical 30/60/90 approach when asked?

A: I avoid rigid templates. I focus instead on understanding the system, establishing reliable measurement, and then iterating toward resilience based on evidence and operational realities.