Review of a lecture 2 - A Diversity-Promoting Objective Function for Neural Conversation Models

summary 1

Idx	Contents
Idv	Lightents

Topic We suggest that the traditional objective function, i.e., the likelihood of output (response) given input (message) is unsuited to response generation tasks. Instead we propose using Maximum Mutual Information (MMI) as the objective function in neural models.

DatasefTwitter Conversation Triple Dataset, OpenSubtitles dataset, GithubTorch implementation

Conclu**M**enshow that use of MMI results in a clear decrease in the proportion of generic response sequences, generating correspondingly more varied and interesting outputs

Model	BLEU	distinct-1	distinct-2
SEQ2SEQ	1.28	0.0056	0.0136
MMI-antiLM	1.74	0.0184	0.066
	(+35.9%)	(+228%)	(407%)
MMI-bidi	1.44	0.0103	0.0303
	(+28.2%)	(+83.9%)	(+122%)

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Table 3: Performance of the SEQ2SEQ baseline and two} \\ \text{Analys} \end{array}$ MMI models on the OpenSubtitles dataset.

Ana.

Problem

• In part at least, this behavior can be ascribed to the relative frequency of generic responses like I don't know in conversational datasets, in contrast with the relative sparsity of more contentful alternative responses

Intuition

• Intuitively, it seems desirable to take into account not only the dependency of responses on messages, but also the inverse, the likelihood that a message will be provided to a given response.s

MMI Criterion

- $\frac{p(S,T)}{p(S)p(T)} = argmax\{logp(T|S) logp(T)\}$ Current research only used the criterion in testing time
- reason 1: nontrivial to calculate it
- reason 2: time consuming

generalization of MMI

```
argmax\{logp(T|S) - \lambda logp(T)\} = argmax\{(1 - \lambda)log(T|S) + \lambda logp(S|T) - \lambda logp(S|T)\}
\lambda logp(S)
```

MMI-antiLM

- $logp(T|S) \lambda logp(T)$
- limit: ungrammatical responses
- It penalizes not only high-frequency, generic responses, but also fluent ones and thus can lead to ungrammatical outputs
- solution : we replace the language model p(T) with U(T)
- $U(T) = \prod p(t_k|t_1,...,t_{k-1}) * g(k)$ where g(k) = 1 if $k \le \gamma$ g(k) = 0
- intuition: Thanks to memory-loss property of seq-2-seq models, first few sentences determine the decoded sentences. Therefore, penalizing only those is valid.

MMI-bidi

- $(1 \lambda)logp(T|S) + \lambda logp(S|T)$
- limit : decoding intractable
- Reason's simple. We literally can't compute log p(S|T)
- solution: Pick N-best lists from logp(T|S) and compute log(S|T)

Decoding techniques

MMI-antiLM

- $\bullet\,$ length of the sequences is Important
- Score(T) = $p(T|S) \lambda U(T) + \gamma U(T)$
- hyperparams γ and λ
- optimize by MERT with N-best lists by beam search.

MMI-bidi

- hyperparams γ and λ
- optimize by MERT with N-best lists by beam search.