1.) Saf(x)dx using xo=a, x,=a+1/16-a), xz=b

let h=15-0/3, 7=0. Then:

X0 = 0, X1 = N, X2 = 3h

 $I = \int_{\alpha}^{b} f(x) dx = \int_{\alpha}^{b} P_{n}(x) = \int_{\alpha}^{b} \sum_{i=0}^{n} f(x_{i}) L_{n,i}(x)$ $= \sum_{i=0}^{n} f(x_{i}) \int_{\alpha}^{b} L_{n,i}(x) dx$

For this problem, = f(0) sh Lo (x)dx + f(h) sh L (x)dx

Lo = 3h2 (x2-4hx+3h2) -> 50 7h2 (x7-4hx+3h2) dx

 $=\frac{1}{3h^2}\left(\frac{\chi^3}{3}-3h\chi^2+3h^2\chi\right)\bigg]_{3}^{3h}$

= /3h2 (= 18h3 + 9h3)

 $= \frac{1}{3}h^{2}(0) = 0$

 $L_{1} = \frac{1}{2h^{2}} \left(\chi^{2} - 3h\chi \right) - 5 \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h^{2}} \left(\chi^{2} - 3h\chi \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{\chi^{3}}{3} - \frac{3}{2}h\chi^{2} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h^{2}} \left(\chi^{2} - 3h\chi \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{\chi^{3}}{3} - \frac{3}{2}h\chi^{2} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h^{2}} \left(\chi^{2} - 3h\chi \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{\chi^{3}}{3} - \frac{3}{2}h\chi^{2} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h^{2}} \left(\chi^{2} - 3h\chi \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{\chi^{3}}{3} - \frac{3}{2}h\chi^{2} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h^{2}} \left(\chi^{2} - 3h\chi \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{\chi^{3}}{3} - \frac{3}{2}h\chi^{2} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h^{2}} \left(\chi^{2} - 3h\chi \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{\chi^{3}}{3} - \frac{3}{2}h\chi^{2} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h^{2}} \left(\chi^{2} - 3h\chi \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{\chi^{3}}{3} - \frac{3}{2}h\chi^{2} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h^{2}} \left(\chi^{2} - 3h\chi \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{\chi^{3}}{3} - \frac{3}{2}h\chi^{2} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h} \left(\chi^{2} - 3h\chi \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{\chi^{3}}{3} - \frac{3}{2}h\chi^{2} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h} \left(\chi^{2} - 3h\chi^{2} \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{\chi^{3}}{3} - \frac{3}{2}h\chi^{2} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h} \left(\chi^{3} - \frac{3}{2}h\chi^{2} \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{\chi^{3}}{3} - \frac{3}{2}h\chi^{2} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h} \left(\chi^{3} - \frac{3}{2}h\chi^{2} \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{\chi^{3}}{3} - \frac{3}{2}h\chi^{2} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h} \left(\chi^{3} - \frac{3}{2}h\chi^{2} \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{\chi^{3}}{3} - \frac{3}{2}h\chi^{2} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h} \left(\chi^{3} - \frac{3}{2}h\chi^{2} \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{\chi^{3}}{3} - \frac{3}{2}h\chi^{2} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h} \left(\chi^{3} - \frac{3}{2}h\chi^{2} \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{3}{2h} + \frac{3}{2} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h} \left(\chi^{3} - \frac{3}{2} \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{3}{2h} + \frac{3}{2} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h} \left(\chi^{3} - \frac{3}{2} \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{3}{2h} + \frac{3}{2h} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h} \left(\chi^{3} - \frac{3}{2h} \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{3}{2h} + \frac{3}{2h} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h} \left(\chi^{3} - \frac{3}{2h} \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{3}{2h} + \frac{3}{2h} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h} \left(\chi^{3} - \frac{3}{2h} \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{3}{2h} + \frac{3}{2h} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h} \left(\chi^{3} - \frac{3}{2h} \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{3}{2h} + \frac{3}{2h} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h} \left(\chi^{3} - \frac{3}{2h} \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h} \left(\frac{3}{2h} + \frac{3}{2h} \right) \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{2h} \left(\chi^{3} - \frac{3}{2h} \right) d\chi = \frac{1}{2h}$

 $= \frac{-1}{2h^2} (9h^3 - \frac{27}{2}h^3) = \frac{9}{4}h$

 $L_{2} = \frac{1}{6h^{2}}(\chi^{2} - h\chi) - \int_{0}^{3h} \frac{1}{6h^{2}}(\chi^{2} - h\chi) d\chi = \frac{1}{6h^{2}}(\frac{\chi^{3}}{3} - \frac{h}{2}\chi^{2})\int_{0}^{3h} d\chi = \frac{1}{6h^{2}}(\frac{\chi^{3}}{3} - \frac{h}{2}\chi^{2})\int_{0}^{3h} d\chi = \frac{1}{6h^{2}}(\frac{\chi^{3}}{3} - \frac{h}{2}\chi^{2}) = \frac{1}{6h^{2}}(\frac{\chi^{3}}{3} - \frac{h}{2}\chi^{2})\int_{0}^{3h} d\chi = \frac{1}{6h^{2}}(\frac{\chi^{3}}{3} - \frac{h}{2}\chi^{3})$

I = 10 = + 9hf(h) + 3hf (3h)

Numerically, this scheme can calculate qualate integral exactly

hw6

October 17, 2020

```
In [1]: import numpy as np
        import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
       plt.style.use('seaborn-bright')
       plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = [15, 5]
       plt.rcParams['axes.grid'] = True
       plt.rcParams['grid.alpha'] = 0.25
In [2]: def midpoint(f, a, b, n):
           h = (b - a) / (n + 1)
           nodes = np.arange(a + h/2, b, step=h)
            return h*np.sum(f(nodes))
       def trapezoidal(f, a, b, n):
          nodes, h = np.linspace(a,b,n+1,retstep=True)
          y = f(nodes)
          return h*(np.sum(y) - (y[0]+y[-1])/2)
        def simpson(f, a, b, n):
          n = int(n/2)*2
          nodes, h = np.linspace(a, b, n+1, retstep=True)
          y = f(nodes)
          return h/3*(y[0] + 2*np.sum(y[2:n:2]) + 4*np.sum(y[1:n:2]) + y[-1])
0.1 Problem 2
In [3]: def composite_prob1(f, a, b, n):
           n = int(n/2)*2
           nodes, h = np.linspace(a, b, num=int(3*n / 2) + 1, retstep=True)
           nodes = list(nodes)
            del nodes[2::3]
           nodes = np.array(nodes)
            y = f(nodes)
            return (h/4)*((9)*np.sum(y[1::2]) + (3)*np.sum(y[2::2]))
In [4]: f = lambda x : np.exp(3*x)
       F = lambda x : 1/3*np.exp(3*x)
       a = -1
       b = 2
        I = F(b) - F(a)
```

```
In [5]: ns = np.logspace(1, 4)
        midpoint_error = []
        trapezoidal_error = []
        simpson_error = []
        prob1_error = []
        for n in ns:
            n = int(n)
            midpoint_error.append(np.abs(I - midpoint(f, a, b, n)))
             trapezoidal_error.append(np.abs(I - trapezoidal(f, a, b, n)))
             simpson_error.append(np.abs(I - simpson(f, a, b, n)))
             prob1_error.append(np.abs(I - composite_prob1(f, a, b, n)))
        plt.plot(ns, midpoint_error)
        plt.plot(ns, trapezoidal_error)
        plt.plot(ns, simpson_error)
        plt.plot(ns, prob1_error)
        plt.plot(ns, 1/ns**2, '--')
        plt.plot(ns, 1/ns**4, '--')
        plt.legend(['midpoint', 'trapezoidal', 'simpsons', 'Problem 2', 'Order 2', 'Order 4'])
        plt.xscale('log')
        plt.yscale('log')
        plt.xlabel('n')
        plt.ylabel('absolute error')
        plt.show()
       101
      10-
      10-
      10
      10
            midpoint
      10-11
            simpsons
            Problem 2
            Order 4
            101
                                                        103
                                                                              104
```

Based on the plot, the new method seems to be about second order. It performs better than midpoint and trapezoidal, but not as well as Simpson's.

0.2 Problem 3

```
for i in range(nRows):
           I = midpoint(f, a, b, n)
           newRow = [I]
           if i > 0:
             oldRow = table[-1]
           for j in range(i):
             qi = 4**(j+1)
             newRow.append( (qj*newRow[-1] - oldRow[j])/( qj-1) )
           table.append(newRow)
           n *= 2
         return table
In [7]: f = lambda x : np.cos(x)
       F = lambda x : np.sin(x)
       a = 0
       b = 50
       I = F(b) - F(a)
       table = create_table(f, a, b, 12)
       for row in table:
         for entry in row:
           print("{:.2e} ".format(abs(entry-I)),end="") # suppress new line
         print("") # new line
4.97e+01
2.20e+00 1.95e+01
1.63e+00 2.91e+00 4.40e+00
1.79e+00 2.92e+00 3.31e+00 3.44e+00
1.25e-01 4.28e-01 6.52e-01 7.14e-01 7.31e-01
2.69e-02 5.96e-03 2.22e-02 3.29e-02 3.58e-02 3.66e-02
6.58e-03 1.86e-04 1.99e-04 1.50e-04 2.79e-04 3.14e-04 3.23e-04
1.65e-03 5.39e-06 1.81e-05 1.52e-05 1.59e-05 1.62e-05 1.63e-05 1.63e-05
4.14e-04 2.47e-06 2.28e-06 2.02e-06 1.97e-06 1.96e-06 1.95e-06 1.95e-06 1.95e-06
1.04e-04 4.24e-07 2.88e-07 2.56e-07 2.49e-07 2.48e-07 2.47e-07
                                                                   2.47e-07 2.47e-07
                                                                                      2.47
2.60e-05 6.04e-08 3.61e-08 3.21e-08 3.13e-08 3.11e-08 3.10e-08 3.10e-08 3.10e-08 3.10e
6.51e-06 8.01e-09 4.52e-09 4.02e-09 3.91e-09 3.88e-09 3.88e-09 3.88e-09 3.88e-09
                                                                                      3.88
```

Based on the table values, there seems to be an adavantage to using composite trapezoidal rule.

0.3 Problem 4

```
In [8]: f = lambda x : np.exp(-x*1j)/(1 + np.cos(x)/2)
    a = -np.pi
    b = np.pi
    I = 2 - (4 / np.sqrt(3))
    I *= (np.pi * 2)
```

41)
$$f_{x} = \frac{1}{3\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x)e^{-ix/x} dx = f_{0} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-ix/x} dx = f_{0} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-ix/x} dx = f_{0} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) dx = f_{0} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) dx = f_{0} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) \int_{0$$

```
In [11]: ns = np.linspace(1, 200)
         midpoint_error = []
         trapezoidal_error = []
         simpson_error = []
         for n in ns:
              n = int(n)
              midpoint_error.append(np.abs(I - np.real(midpoint(f, a, b, n))))
              trapezoidal_error.append(np.abs(I - np.real(trapezoidal(f, a, b, n))))
              simpson_error.append(np.abs(I - np.real(simpson(f, a, b, n))))
         plt.plot(ns, midpoint_error)
         plt.plot(ns, trapezoidal_error)
         plt.plot(ns, simpson_error)
         plt.plot(ns, 1/ns**2, '--')
         plt.plot(ns, 1/ns**4, '--')
         plt.legend(['midpoint', 'trapezoidal', 'simpsons', 'Order 2', 'Order 4'])
         plt.xscale('log')
         plt.yscale('log')
         plt.xlabel('n')
         plt.ylabel('absolute error')
         plt.show()
                                                                              simpsons
      10-
                                                                            -- Order 2
      10-
     absolute error
      10-
      10-10
      10^{-14}
      10-16
```

There doesn't seem to be an advantage to using a higher-order method like Simpson's rule. Based on the plot, the midpoint and trapezoidal methods converge much faster. Theoretically, this is most likely because Simpson's method works better for polynomials, but when working with oscilatory functions like those used for DFT, the lower order methods work better.

In []: