

## Chapter 7 notes

### Quadratic Reciprocity

- We focus exclusively on odd primes  $p$ .
- General fact: A degree  $n$  equation can have at most  $n$  solutions modulo  $p$ .
- The number  $a$  is a *quadratic residue* modulo  $p$  iff it is the square of a number modulo  $p$ , i.e there is a solution to the equation  $x^2 = a \pmod{p}$ .
  - If  $x$  is a solution then  $-x$  also is a solution. And since  $p$  is odd,  $x$  and  $-x$  are NOT congruent modulo  $p$ , unless  $x = 0 \pmod{p}$ .
  - The equation  $x^2 = a \pmod{p}$  can have at most 2 solutions. It has exactly two solutions unless  $a = 0$ .
  - The squares of the numbers modulo  $p$  produce exactly  $1 + \frac{p-1}{2}$  possible numbers.
- The numbers modulo  $p$  are therefore classified in three groups (7.6):
  - zero
  - non-zero quadratic residues ( $\frac{p-1}{2}$  of them)
  - non-zero quadratic non-residues (the remaining  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  of them)
- Check modulo 13: There are 6 quadratic residues: 1, 2, 4, 9, 3, 12
- Multiplicative relation (7.7):
  - Residue times Residue is a Residue
  - Residue times Non-residue is a Non-residue
  - Non-residue times Non-residue is a Residue
  - Proof:
    - \* Residue times Residue is obvious  $x^2y^2 = (xy)^2$
    - \* Multiplying the numbers by a specific non-zero Residue is 1-1 function, so it must take the Non-residues to the Non-residues.
    - \* Now consider multiplying by a Non-residue: It is 1-1, and take the Residues onto all the Non-residues. Therefore it must take the Non-residues onto the Residues.
- Legendre Symbol (7.8)
- Euler's criterion (7.9):  $a^{(p-1)/2} = 1 \pmod{p}$  for quadratic residues, and equals  $-1 \pmod{p}$  for non-residues (for  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ).
  - Proof:
    - \* First off, note that  $a^{(p-1)/2}$  squared must equal  $a^{p-1} = 1 \pmod{p}$ . Therefore  $a^{(p-1)/2}$  must be  $\pm 1 \pmod{p}$ .

- \* The equations  $x^{(p-1)/2} = 1 \pmod p$  and  $x^{(p-1)/2} = -1 \pmod p$  have at most  $(p-1)/2$  solutions each, and together must account for all  $p-1$  non-zero numbers modulo  $p$ , therefore they each must have *exactly*  $(p-1)/2$  solutions.
  - \* If  $a = x^2 \pmod p$  is a quadratic residue, the  $a^{(p-1)/2} = x^{p-1} = 1 \pmod p$ , therefore the quadratic residues are *exactly* those solving the “equals to 1” equation.
  - \* Therefore the non-residues must be solving the “equals to -1” equation.
- Application (7.10): Use for  $a = -1$ . Then if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$  then  $(p-1)/2$  is even and  $a^{(p-1)/2} = 1 \pmod p$ , so  $-1$  is a quadratic residue. If  $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$  then  $(p-1)/2$  is odd and therefore  $-1$  is a quadratic non-residue.
  - Gauss’ lemma (7.13 and 7.14)
    - We start by choosing different representatives for the equivalence classes, using the numbers from  $-\frac{p-1}{2}$  up to  $\frac{p-1}{2}$ .
    - For  $p = 13$  this would be  $-6, -5, -4, \dots, 5, 6$ .
    - For a number  $a$  multiply the positive representatives  $(1, 2, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2})$  by  $a$ : This function is 1-1. Denote by  $g$  the number of negative representatives.
      - \* Example  $p = 13$  and  $a = 3$ . Products are  $3, 6, 9, 12, 2, 5, 8, 11, 7, 10, 4$ . So  $g = 2$ .
      - \* Example  $p = 13$  and  $a = 5$ . Products are  $5, 10, 3, 15, 2, 20, 1, 25, 6, 30, 4$ . So  $g = 3$ .
    - This function also never produces a number and its negative: If  $ax = -ay \pmod p$  then  $x = -y \pmod p$  but we started with only the positive representatives.
    - So the numbers obtained as  $a \cdot 1, a \cdot 2, \dots, a \cdot (\frac{p-1}{2})$  are up to their order the same as  $1, 2, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}$  with exactly  $g$  of them having negative signs instead.
    - Multiply together to obtain  $a^{(p-1)/2} \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! = (-1)^g \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! \pmod p$  or else  $a^{(p-1)/2} = (-1)^g \pmod p$ .
    - Gauss’ lemma: The Legendre symbol of  $a$  modulo  $p$  equals  $(-1)^g$ . Therefore  $a$  is a quadratic residue iff  $g$  is even.
    - Check with example from earlier.
  - Application (7.16):
    - Special case of  $a = 2$ :
    - Multiplying by 2 produces the numbers  $2, 4, \dots, p-1$ . We have to simply count how many of those are greater than  $\frac{p-1}{2}$ .
      - \* If  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  is even, then it’s exactly half of them, or  $\frac{p-1}{4}$ . This happens when  $p \equiv 1 \pmod 8$  or  $p \equiv 5 \pmod 8$ , in which cases  $\frac{p-1}{4}$  is even and odd respectively.
      - \* If  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  is odd, it’s  $\frac{p+1}{4}$  of them. This happens when  $p \equiv 3 \pmod 8$  or  $p \equiv 7 \pmod 8$ , in which cases  $\frac{p+1}{4}$  is odd and even respectively.

- So  $g$  is odd if  $p = 3 \pmod 8$  or  $p = 5 \pmod 8$  and  $g$  is even if  $p = 1 \pmod 8$  or  $p = 7 \pmod 8$ .
- Quadratic Reciprocity for  $p, q$  (7.19).
  - Proof (not in the book, see Eisenstein's proof here<sup>1</sup>):
  - Sketch of proof:
    - \* Statement 7.19 is equivalent to:  $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}}$
    - \* We will define something we will denote by  $T(q, p)$ , with the property that  $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (-1)^{T(q, p)}$ .
    - \* We will also show that  $T(q, p) + T(p, q) = \frac{p-1}{2} \frac{q-1}{2}$ .
    - \* These two properties of  $T$  help us prove the equivalent statement.
  - Statement 7.19 is equivalent to:  $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}}$
  - Now we establish a certain way to compute  $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)$ .
    - \* For  $a$  odd we consider the products  $a \cdot 1, a \cdot 2, \dots, a \cdot \frac{p-1}{2}$ . From Gauss' lemma we consider  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$  their representatives in the set  $-\frac{p-1}{2}, -1, \dots, 1, \frac{p-1}{2}$ . It will be exactly half of them. Denote by  $g$  the number of those that are negative. We already know  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = (-1)^g$ .
    - \* We consider the same products, and divide them each by  $p$ . So we have division formulas  $a \cdot k = d_k p + s_k$ , where  $s_k$  is the usual remainder in range 0 to  $p - 1$ .
      - These remainders  $s_k$  are either equal to  $r_k$  or  $p - r_k$  depending on whether  $r_k$  was positive or negative. Exactly  $g$  of them will equal  $p - r_k$ .
    - \* Now we consider the sum of all the  $a \cdot k$  (there are  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  of them). By the divisions this is equal to the sum of  $d_k p$  plus the sum of  $s_k$ .
    - \* We now separately compute the two sides modulo 2. The sum of the  $a \cdot k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}$  is going to equal  $a$  times the sum of the  $k$ s. Since  $a$  is odd, it is equal to 1 modulo 2, so this sum will equal just the sum of the  $k$ s.
    - \* The other side has the sum of the  $d_k p$  terms and the sum of the  $s_k$  terms. Since  $p$  is an odd prime, it is the same as 1 modulo 2 so the first sum is just the sum of the  $d_k$  terms, modulo 2.
    - \* The sum of the  $s_k$  terms is related to the sum of the  $r_k$  terms.
      - Remember that each  $s_k$  was either equal to  $r_k$  or to  $p - r_k$ , and exactly  $g$  terms had the second property.
      - Note that modulo 2 the expression  $p - r_k$  is the same as  $1 + r_k$ .
      - Therefore the sum of the  $s_k$  equals the sum of the  $r_k$  plus  $g$ .
      - Further note that the  $r_k$  are just the  $k$ s but just in different order and some of them negated. But when we consider the sum modulo 2, none of these two factors matter. so the sum of the  $r_k$  is equal to the sum of the  $k$ , modulo 2.
    - \* So to sum up, the left side is equal to the sum of the  $k$ s while the right side has the sum of the  $d_k$  plus the sum of the  $k$  plus  $g$ , and these sides are equal modulo 2.

---

<sup>1</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Proofs\\_of\\_quadratic\\_reciprocity#Eisenstein's\\_proof](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Proofs_of_quadratic_reciprocity#Eisenstein's_proof)

- \* We can cancel out the sum of the  $k$ , which is common to both sides, then move one of the remaining terms to the other side, and we end up with  $g$  equaling the sum of the  $d_k$ s, modulo 2.
- \* The end result of all this is that  $(-1)^g$  is equal to  $-1$  raised to the sum of the  $d_k$ s. We will denote this sum by  $T(a, p)$ .
- \* We therefore have  $\binom{p}{q}, p = (-1)^{T(q, p)}$ .
- Now we can rewrite our  $\binom{p}{q} \binom{q}{p} = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}}$  statement, which we are still trying to prove, as:
  - \*  $(-1)^{T(q, p)} (-1)^{T(p, q)} = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}}$
- We will now use a geometric argument to show that  $T(q, p) + T(p, q) = \frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}$ .
  - \* In the  $x - y$ -plane consider the points with integer coordinates  $(x, y)$  where  $x = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}$  and  $y = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{q-1}{2}$ .
  - \* Consider the line  $y = \frac{q}{p}x$  on this plane. It divides the points in two parts (it has to miss all the points because  $q$  and  $p$  are relatively prime).
  - \* If we consider a particular  $k$ , there are exactly  $d_k$  integer points lying directly below the point  $y = \frac{q}{p}k$ .
  - \* So the points below the line add up to  $T(q, p)$ .
  - \* Symmetrically, looking at things with the axes reversed and the equation  $x = \frac{p}{q}y$ , we see that the points above the line in the original picture add up to  $T(p, q)$ .
  - \* Therefore  $T(q, p) + T(p, q)$  equals the total number of integers points, which is  $\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}$ .