The Division Theorem

Reading

Section 3.5

Practice Problems

3.5 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 15, 27, 28
Challenge 3.5 (optional) 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 21, 29, 30

Notes

The main result in this section is the **Division Theorem**:

> For any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \in \mathbb{N}$, there are unique integers q and r such that $0 \leq r < b$ and:

$$a = qb + r$$

The intuitive idea is that we add together as many b's as we can before we reach a. Then whatever remains cannot have any more b's in it, and it will serve as our r.

Before we look at the proof, let us look at some consequences of the theorem:

- The fact that every integer is odd or even follows directly, by using $b = 2$.
- The fact from your homework that every integer was in one of the types A, B, C follows directly, by using $b = 3$.

Technical proof:

The proof has two distinct parts. First we prove that there are q, r satisfying the requirements. Then we will prove that they are unique.

Proof that they exist:

- Consider the set:

$$S = \{a - xb \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}, a - xb \geq 0\}$$

- This set S is always non-empty (WHY?).

- By the well-ordering principle, there must be a smallest element, so there is some $x = q$ that achieves this smallest element in S.

- Define $r = a - qb$, which is this smallest element in S.

- Then first of all $r \geq 0$.

- All that is left is to show that $r < b$. We proceed by contradiction.

  - If $r >= b$, then $r - b \geq 0$.
  - And $r - b = a - (q+1)b$.
  - So $r - b$ is a number in S, and it's smaller that r, which is a contradiction.
  - So it must be the case that $r < b$.

- Essentially the well-ordering principle captures the idea to "use as many b's as you can fit".

Proof that they are unique:

- This is a simple contradiction proof. Suppose that we had two ways to write a, so:

$$a = bq + r = bq' + r'$$

- We can rewrite this as:

$$b(q - q') = r' - r$$

- If $q \neq q'$, then we can assume without loss of generality that $q > q'$ and hence that the left-hand-side is positive.

- Now this equation has on the left side the product of b with a positive integer. So unless that integer is 0, this product will be at least b.

- On the right side we have that $r' < b$ and $r \geq 0$, so their difference must be:

$$r' - r < b$$

- So the only way they can equal each other is if we in fact had $q = q'$, and then we must also have $r = r'$.

We call r the *remainder*, and q the *quotient*. Here is a simple consequence of the division theorem:

b divides a if and only if the remainder of dividing a by b is 0.

This is fairly straightforward to see (DO IT!).

Here is one nice consequence of the division theorem:

If $a|x$ and $b|x$, then their least common multiple $lcm(a,b)$ also must divide x.

To prove this:

- Define $M = lcm(a,b)$. We will need to show that $M|x$.
- Start by doing the division $x = qM + r$ where $0 \leq r < M$.
- It will be enough to show that $r = 0$.
- Since $a|M$, we can write $M = ad$.
- So $x = qda + r$.

  - Question: why is that not enough to say that $r = 0$, since $a|x$?
  - Make sure to answer this question before proceeding to the next line.

- It must be the case that $a|r$, since it divides both x and qda.
- With a similar reasoning, $b|r$.
- So r is a common multiple of both a and b, so it must be $\geq M$. Contradiction.

Another important theorem relates to the greatest common divisor:

GCD as a linear combination

1. $\gcd(a,b)$ is an integer linear combination of a and b.
2. In fact it is the smallest positive number that is an integer linear combination of a and b.
3. If $d|a$ and $d|b$, then we also have that $d|\gcd(a,b)$.

To see this:

- Part 1:

  - This will follow as a byproduct of part 2.
  - We will also prove it independently later.

- Part 2:

  - We start with the set

$$S = \{ax + by \mid ax + by > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

  - This is a nonempty set (WHY?).
  - So S must have a smallest element, call it $D = ax + by$.
  - We have seen that any divisor of both a and b must divide their linear combinations.
  - So it must be the case that $\gcd(a,b)|D$, so $\gcd(a,b) \leq D$.
  - We will now show that D must perfectly divide a, and consequently must also perfectly divide b by symmetry.

    * Let us divide D into a: $a = Dm + r$ where $0 \leq r < D$.

* The key observation is that $D - r$ is also in the set S.
        * This is because r is a linear combination of a and b.
        * But this contradicts the choice of D, so it must be the case that $r = 0$. So $D | a$.
    - Since D divides both a and b it must be no more than the gcd.
    - So $D \leq \gcd(a, b)$.
    - So it must be the case that $\gcd(a, b) = D$.

- Part 3:
    - A divisor of a and b also divides any linear combination of them.
    - The $\gcd(a, b)$ is a linear combination.
    - Therefore any divisor of a and b also divides $\gcd(a, b)$.