# **Proof of Quadratic Reciprocity**

## Reading

- Section 11.5
- Section 11.6

## **Practice Problems**

**11.5** 1-12

11.5 (Challenge, optional) 22

**11.6** 1-6

## **Notes**

#### Eisenstein's Lemma

A key component of the proof of quadratic reciprocity is Eisenstein's Lemma, which is a rather surprising:

#### Eisenstein's Lemma

Let p > 2 be prime and a be an *odd* number that is not a multiple of p. Let  $q_k$  be the quotient when dividing  $a \cdot k$  by p. Then we define the quantity:

$$T(a,p) = q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_{\frac{k-1}{2}}$$

Then:

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = (-1)^{T(a,p)}$$

Eisenstein's Lemma offers us yet another way to compute the Legendre Symbol. Let us look at an example, before discussing the proof.

1

Consider p = 13 and a = 3. We have:

- $3 \cdot 1 = 3 = 0 \cdot 13 + 3$ .  $q_1 = 0$ .
- $3 \cdot 2 = 6 = 0 \cdot 13 + 6$ .  $q_2 = 0$ .
- $3 \cdot 3 = 9 = 0 \cdot 13 + 9$ .  $q_3 = 0$ .
- $3 \cdot 4 = 12 = 0 \cdot 13 + 12$ .  $q_4 = 0$ .
- $3 \cdot 5 = 15 = 1 \cdot 13 + 2$ .  $q_5 = 1$ .
- $3 \cdot 6 = 18 = 1 \cdot 13 + 5$ .  $q_6 = 1$ .
- T(3,13) = 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 1 + 1 = 2.

According to Eisenstein's Lemma, this means that 3 is a quadratic residue modulo 13. Let us try the same with 5:

- $5 \cdot 1 = 5 = 0 \cdot 13 + 5$ .  $q_1 = 0$ .
- $5 \cdot 2 = 10 = 0 \cdot 13 + 10$ .  $q_2 = 0$ .
- $5 \cdot 3 = 15 = 1 \cdot 13 + 2$ .  $q_3 = 1$ .
- $5 \cdot 4 = 20 = 1 \cdot 13 + 7$ .  $q_4 = 1$ .
- $5 \cdot 5 = 25 = 1 \cdot 13 + 12$ .  $q_5 = 1$ .
- $5 \cdot 6 = 30 = 2 \cdot 13 + 4$ .  $q_6 = 2$ .
- T(5,13) = 0 + 0 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 2 = 5.

According to Eisenstein's Lemma, this would mean that 5 is a quadratic nonresidue modulo 13.

#### **Proof of Eisenstein's Lemma**

It is time to prove Eisenstein's Lemma, not the easiest of proofs:

We start with carrying out the divisions for  $k = 1, ..., h = \frac{p-1}{2}$ :

$$a \cdot k = q_k p + r_k$$

Where the  $r_k$  are the remainders. In accordance to what we looked at earlier, we will define the "signed" remainders:

$$s_k = \begin{cases} r_k - p & \text{if } r_k > \frac{p-1}{2} \\ r_k & \text{if } r_k \le \frac{p-1}{2} \end{cases}$$

So  $s_k$  are the positive/negative residues we looked at previously. Notice:

$$r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_h = (s_1 + s_2 + \cdots + s_h) + q \cdot p$$

where g is as defined previously.

We then add all those formulas up:

$$a(1+2+\cdots+h) = T(a,p) \cdot p + (s_1 + s_2 + \cdots + s_h) + q \cdot p$$

We will examine this relation modulo 2, as we are only interested at the end of the day in raising -1 to those powers. Because a and p are both odd, the above formula becomes:

$$1 + 2 + \cdots + h = T(a, p) + (s_1 + s_2 + \cdots + s_h) + g$$

Recall that the  $s_k$  are just a permutation of the 1, 2, ..., h, with some signs thrown in. Since we are computing modulo 2, the signs do not matter. Therefore the sum  $s_1 + s_2 + \cdots + s_h$  will cancel out the sum  $1 + 2 + \cdots + h$ . Therefore we get:

$$T(a, p) = -g = g \bmod 2$$

This completes the proof of Eisenstein's Lemma.

### Visualizing Eisenstein's Lemma

A key aspect of using Eisenstein's Lemma for the proof of Quadratic Reciprocity is a visualization of the lemma.

Consider the triangle defined by the lines  $y = \frac{q}{p}$ , y = 0 and  $x = \frac{p}{2}$ .

Then T(q, p) is equal to the number of "lattice points" in the triangle. Lattice points are points with integer coordinates.

To see this, consider an k between 1 and h. Then the lattice points under discussion are points (k,y) where  $y \leq \frac{q}{p}k$ . The largest one of those values y would be what we previously denoted as  $q_k$ . So there are exactly  $q_k$  such values. Adding over all k from 1 to k results in T(q,p).

## **Proof of Quadratic Reciprocity**

Finally it is time to finish the proof of our quadratic reciprocity law. It will boil down to the following:

If  $p \neq q$  are odd primes, then:

$$T(p,q) + T(q,p) = \frac{p-1}{2} \times \frac{q-1}{2}$$

If we take this for granted for the moment, let us review how this relates to the law of quadratic reciprocity:

- Quadratic Reciprocity was restated equivalently as  $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}\times\frac{q-1}{2}}.$
- We showed in steps that  $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = q^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = (-1)^g = (-1)^{T(q,p)}$ .
- We now show that  $T(p,q) + T(q,p) = \frac{p-1}{2} \times \frac{q-1}{2}$ .

• We will now be able to say:

$$\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = (-1)^{T(q,p)+T(p,q)} = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \times \frac{q-1}{2}}$$

And this completes the proof.

Now what is left is to prove the formula for T(p,q). There is a very elegant argument to it.

Consider the rectangle with opposing vertices at (0,0) and  $(\frac{p}{2},\frac{q}{2})$ . The diagonal through those vertices has equation  $y=\frac{q}{n}x$  and splits it in 2 triangles.

We now examine the points with integer coordinates (starting at 1) that lie in that rectangle. There are  $\frac{p-1}{2} \times \frac{q-1}{2}$  such points. They are split between the two triangles. Those triangles are what we examined earlier. The points in the lower right one are T(q,p), those in the upper left are T(p,q). This gives us the desired property.