



Big Data Analytics SOEN 691

Dr. Tristan Glatard Winter 2020 Team ID 12

NBA Playoff Prediction

YING RAO

ELIE DOSH

PROJECT REPO

HTTPS://GITHUB.COM/SKIERWING/SOEN691_PROJECT_ED_YR.GIT

Introduction

☐ The goal of the project is to predict if an NBA Team will make it to the playoff or NOT

According to oddsshark.com

















Los Angeles Lakers Milwaukee Bucks Los Angeles Clippers Houston Rockets Toronto Raptors Boston Celtics Miami Heat

Still, bettors and basketball fans are holding out hope the season will return soon as sportsbooks are still offering **NBA** futures for the 2019-20 **championship** and have the Los Angeles Lakers as the **betting** favorite.

...

Upcoming Events.

NBA - Championship 2019/20

San Antonio		+6000
Toronto		+1000
Utah	B	+4000
Washington		+50000

26 more rows • Mar 18, 2020

Data preparation and analysis

- Manually gather data from basketball-reference.com
 - ☐ Between Seasons 1980-2018
- Analyzing the data:
 - □ Number of teams changed over the years 23, 27,29 and lately 30
 - ☐ Seasons 1980-1983 12 Teams 1984-2018 16 Teams to playoff
 - ☐ Each team plays different number of minutes in total
 - ☐ Used the Minutes Played field as common denominator for all Classifiers
- ☐ Using Spark framework and the Dataframe Library to generate the dataset

Points_Per_minute	3Points_Per_minute	2Points_Per_minute	FThrow_Per_minute			
Rebound_Per_minute	Assists_Per_minute	Steals_Per_minute	Blocks_Per_minute			
TurnOvers_Per_minute						
Playoff						

Machine Learning Libraries



Small Dataset

1074 records & 9 Classifiers



Decision to use Gaussian Naïve Bayes & SVM supervised learning models



Implemented "scikit-learn" library (Sklearn)



Used K-fold technique for training and testing

```
df = spark.read.csv(filename, header=True, mode="DROPMALFORMED", encoding='utf-8')
df = df.select("id", "year", "team", "3P", "2P", "FT", "TRB", "AST", "STL", "BLK", "TOV", "PTS", "MP", "Playoff")
df = df.withColumn("Points_Per_minute",col("PTS")/col("MP"))
df = df.withColumn("3Points Per minute",col("3P")/col("MP"))
df = df.withColumn("2Points_Per_minute",col("2P")/col("MP"))
df = df.withColumn("FThrow_Per_minute",col("FT")/col("MP"))
df = df.withColumn("Rebound_Per_minute",col("TRB")/col("MP"))
df = df.withColumn("Assists Per minute",col("AST")/col("MP"))
df = df.withColumn("Steals_Per_minute",col("STL")/col("MP"))
df = df.withColumn("Blocks Per minute",col("BLK")/col("MP"))
df = df.withColumn("TurnOvers_Per_minute",col("TOV")/col("MP"))
data_classifiers = df.select("id", "Playoff", "Points_Per_minute", "3Points_Per_minute", "2Points_Per_minute", "FThrow_Per_minute",
"Rebound_Per_minute", "Assists_Per_minute", "Steals_Per_minute", "Blocks_Per_minute", "TurnOvers_Per_minute")
return data classifiers#.collect()
```

□ Data loading and pre-processing: Spark dataframe

```
data = np.array(ld.collect()).astype(np.float64)
X = data[:,2:]
y = data[:,1]
ns = 5
kf = KFold(n_splits=ns, random_state=None, shuffle=False)
count=0
for train index, test index in kf.split(X):
    count+=1
    print("############### K-FOLD Round "+str(count)+" ############################"
    X_train, X_test = X[train_index], X[test_index]
   y_train, y_test = y[train_index], y[test_index]
    print("########## Algorithm 1: Support Vector Machines #################
    run_SVM(X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test)
    print("########### Algorithm 2: Gaussian Naive Bayes ###########")
    run GNB(X train, X test, y train, y test)
```

- Split Data using K-fold: sklearn.model_selection
 - ☐ K-fold is a good cross-validation method that tackles overfitting or underfitting

```
def run_GNB(X_train,X_test,y_train,y_test):
    start time = time.time()
    gnb = GaussianNB()
    gnb.fit(X train, y train)
    print("---Training Time %s seconds ---" % (time.time() - start time))
    start_time = time.time()
                                              def run_SVM(X_train,X_test,y_train,y_test):
    predictions = gnb.predict(X test)
                                                  # Training the SVM model using X train and Y train
                                                  start time = time.time()
                                                  svm = SVC(kernel='rbf',C=100,gamma=10)
                                                  svm.fit(X train, y train)
                                                  print("---Training Time %s seconds ---" % (time.time() - start_time))
                                                  # Classification of X test using the SVM model
                                                  start time = time.time()
                                                  predictions = svm.predict(X_test)
```

- □ Data modeling and classification: scikit-learn
 - □library: sklearn.naive_bayes GaussianNB and sklearn.svm SVC

```
# Performance measure
# use the classification report in order to extract the average F1 measure
print(classification_report(y_test, predictions, target_names=target_names))
# displaying the classification performances through the confusion matrix as well.
cm = confusion_matrix(y_test, predictions)
print(cm)
```

- Performance evaluation: F1 score, confusion matrix
 - □ library: sklearn.metrics classification_report and sklearn.metrics confusion_matrix

Observation

- Base on our chosen dataset and classifiers
- Naïve Bayes Classifier was quicker during execution
- ■SVM Classifier is better at predicting the Playoff
 - □ Average Weighted Precision : [SVM=0.734] > [GNB=0.694]
 - \square Average Weighted Recall: [SVM=0.704] > [GNB=0.622]
 - □ Average Weighted F1-Score : [SVM=0.698] > [GNB=0.574]
- Results

SVM								GNB								
Round 1								Round 1								
▼	Precision 💌	Recall 💌	F1-score ▼	Support					Precision 💌	Recall 💌	F1-score	Support				
PlayOff 0	0.58	0.83	0.68	78				PlayOff 0	0.92	0.15	0.26	78				
PlayOff1	0.87	0.66	0.75	137		Playoff/0	Playoff/1	PlayOff1	0.67	0.99	0.80	137		Playoff/0	Playoff/1	
					Playoff/0	65	13		2				Playoff/0	12	66	
Micro Average	0.72	0.72	0.72	215	Playoff/1	47	90	Micro Average	0.69	0.69	0.69	215	Playoff/1	1	136	
Macro Average	0.73	0.75	0.72	215				Macro Average	0.80	0.57	0.53	215				
Weighted Average	0.77	0.72	0.73	215				Weighted Average	0.76	0.69	0.61	215			ult	- ~
Round 2								Round 2					K	PS		S
	Precision 💌	Recall 💌	F1-score ▼	Support ▼					Precision 💌	Recall ▼	F1-score 💌	Support 💌			CIL	<u> </u>
PlayOff 0	0.80	0.49	0.61	90				PlayOff 0	0.67	0.42	0.52	90				
PlayOff1	0.71	0.91	0.80	125				Play0ff1	0.67	0.85	0.75	115				
						Playoff/0	Playoff/1							Playoff/0	Playoff/1	
Micro Average	0.73	0.73	0.73	215	Playoff/0	44	46	Micro Average	0.67	0.67	0.67	215	Playoff/0	38	52	
Macro Average	0.76	0.70	0.70	215	Playoff/1	11	114	Macro Average	0.67	0.64	0.63	215	Playoff/1	19	106	
Weighted Average	0.75	0.73	0.72	215				Weighted Average	0.67	0.67	0.65	215				
Round 3								Round 3	0.01			2.0				
	Precision 🔻	Recall 🔻	F1-score ▼	Support▼					Precision 🔻	Recall ▼	F1-score	Support 🔻				
PlayOff 0	0.65	0.87	0.74	97				PlayOff 0	0.49	0.95	0.65	97				
PlayOff 1	0.85	0.62	0.72	118				PlayOff 1	0.83	0.20	0.33	118				
						Playoff/0	Playoff/1							Playoff/0	Playoff/1	
Micro Average	0.73	0.73	0.73	215	Playoff/0	84	13	Micro Average	0.54	0.54	0.54	215	Playoff/0	92	5	
Macro Average	0.75	0.74	0.73	215	Playoff/1	45	73	Macro Average	0.66	0.58	0.49	215	Playoff/1	94	24	
Weighted Average	0.76	0.73	0.73	215	,, .	40		Weighted Average	0.68	0.54	0.47	215	,, .			
Round 4	0.70	0.70	0.75	213				Round 4	0.00	0.54	0.47	210				
Rouliu 4	Precision 💌	Recall 🔻	F1-score ▼	Support ▼				Round 4	Precision 🔻	Recall 🔻	F1-score ▼	Support ▼				
PlayOff 0	0.65	0.73	0.69	100				PlayOff 0	0.51	0.96	0.66	100				
PlayOff 1	0.74	0.66	0.70	115				PlayOff1	0.85	0.19	0.31	115				
Ptayonii	0.74	0.00	0.70	113		Playoff/0	Playoff/1	Ptayonii	0.65	0.17	0.51	113		Playoff/0	Playoff/1	
Micro Average	0.49	0.49	0.49	215	Dlavett/0			Micro Average	0.55	O EE	0.55	215	Diavett/0			
Micro Average	0.69	0.69	0.69	215 215	Playoff/0	73 39	27 76	Micro Average	0.55 0.68	0.55 0.58	0.55 0.49	215 215	Playoff/0	65 47	90	
Macro Average					Playoff/1	37	76	Macro Average					Playoff/1	47	90	
Weighted Average	0.70	0.69	0.69	215				Weighted Average	0.69	0.55	0.48	215				
Round 5	Dunasiai	Descrit .	Et avenue	Curr				Round 5	Donataio	Decett 1	F1	Cumment				
	Precision ▼	Recall ▼	F1-score ▼					DI - 2W 2	Precision 🔻	Recall ▼	F1-score ▼	Support ▼				
PlayOff 0	0.77	0.37	0.50	101				PlayOff 0	0.63	0.71	0.67	101				
Play0ff1	0.61	0.90	0.73	113				Play0ff1	0.71	0.62	0.66	113				
						Playoff/0	Playoff/1		1					Playoff/0	Playoff/1	
Micro Average	0.65	0.65	0.65	214	Playoff/0	37	64	Micro Average	0.66	0.66	0.66	214	Playoff/0	65	13	
Macro Average	0.69	0.63	0.61	214	Playoff/1	11	102	Macro Average	0.67	0.67	0.66	214	Playoff/1	47	90	
Weighted Average	0.69	0.65	0.62	214				Weighted Average	0.67	0.66	0.66	214				

Conclusion

- ☐Given our dataset
 - ☐ Gaussian Naïve Bayes performed the worst
 - □ SVM Decent for playoffs prediction [~70%]





Thank You

Questions?

References

- ☐ Google
- □ https://www.basketball-reference.com/leagues/
- https://scikit-learn.org/stable/
- https://muthu.co/understanding-the-classification-report-in-sklearn/
- https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.metrics.precision_recall_fscore_support.html
- https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.model_selection.KFold.html