

# STAT 6530

## Homework 2

Spencer King  
811336941

Due: Monday, February 16 via eLC by 11:59 pm

### Problems

1. Consider the following study done at the National Institute of Science and Technology. Asbestos fibers on filters were counted as part of a project to develop measurement standards for asbestos concentration. Asbestos dissolved in water was spread on a filter, and 3-mm diameter punches were taken from the filter and mounted on a transmission electron microscope. An operator counted the number of fibers in each of 23 grid squares, yielding the following counts:

31 29 19 18 31 28 34 27 34 30 16 18  
26 27 27 18 24 22 28 24 21 17 24

We decide to model the counts as arising from a  $\text{Poisson}(\mu)$  distribution. The probability mass function for this distribution is:

$$f(y; \mu) = \frac{\mu^y e^{-\mu}}{y!}$$

for  $y = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and the distribution has mean and variance both equal to  $\mu$ , where the rate parameter  $\mu$  must be a positive real number.

- (a) (5 points) Find the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\mu$ . Show your work (don't just write the answer, even though we did this in class).

The likelihood function is

$$L(\mu) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i; \mu) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu^{y_i} e^{-\mu}}{y_i!}.$$

The log-likelihood function is

$$\ell(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \log(\mu) - n\mu - \sum_{i=1}^n \log(y_i!).$$

To find the maximum likelihood estimate, we take the derivative of the log-likelihood function with respect to  $\mu$  and set it equal to zero:

$$\frac{d\ell}{d\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{\mu} - n = 0$$

Solving for  $\mu$ , we get

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = \frac{573}{23} \approx 24.913,$$

which is the sample mean of the counts. In this case, since the log-likelihood is concave in  $\mu$  for  $\mu > 0$ , the critical point found by setting the first derivative to zero is the unique global maximum, so a second derivative check is unnecessary.

- (b) (5 points) Find an approximate 90% confidence interval for  $\mu$ .

In this case since an approximate confidence interval (CI) will do, we can use the Wald CI. Lets assume  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\mu)$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_i] = \mu, \quad \text{Var}(Y_i) = \mu.$$

The sample mean is  $\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ . By independence,

$$\text{Var}(\bar{Y}) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(Y_i) = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot n\mu = \frac{\mu}{n}.$$

By the Central Limit Theorem,

$$\bar{Y} \approx N\left(\mu, \frac{\mu}{n}\right),$$

so

$$\frac{\bar{Y} - \mu}{\sqrt{\mu/n}} \approx N(0, 1).$$

Replacing  $\mu$  in the standard error by  $\bar{Y}$  gives the approximate CI

$$\mu \in \bar{Y} \pm z_{0.95} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{Y}}{n}}.$$

Here  $n = 23$  and  $\bar{Y} = \hat{\mu} = \frac{573}{23} \approx 24.913$ . So

$$\sqrt{\frac{\bar{Y}}{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{24.913}{23}} \approx 1.041.$$

Using  $z_{0.95} \approx 1.645$  for a 90% two-sided CI, the margin is

$$1.645(1.041) \approx 1.712,$$

hence the approximate 90% CI is

$$24.913 \pm 1.712 = (23.201, 26.625).$$

2. Consider an i.i.d. sample of size  $n$  from a distribution with probability mass function

$$f(y; p) = p(1 - p)^{y-1}$$

for  $y = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , with  $0 < p \leq 1$ .

(a) (5 points) Find the maximum likelihood estimate of  $p$ .

The likelihood function is

$$L(p) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i; p) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(1 - p)^{y_i-1} = p^n(1 - p)^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - n}$$

If we let  $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - n = S - n$ , then the log-likelihood function is

$$\ell(p) = n \log(p) + (S - n) \log(1 - p)$$

Next, we need to take the first and second derivatives

$$\begin{aligned}\ell'(p) &= \frac{n}{p} + \frac{S - n}{1 - p} \\ \ell''(p) &= -\frac{n}{p^2} - \frac{S - n}{(1 - p)^2}\end{aligned}$$

Now, we know that for  $\ell''(p) < 0$  for  $(0 < p < 1)$ . So,  $\ell$  is strictly concave on  $(0, 1)$ . Therefore, an interior solution to  $\ell'(p) = 0$  is the unique global maximizer on  $(0, 1)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\ell'(p) &= \frac{n}{p} + \frac{S - n}{1 - p} = 0 \\ p(S - n) &= n(1 - p) \\ pS - pn &= n - np \\ \hat{p} &= \frac{n}{S}\end{aligned}$$

We must now check the boundary  $p = 1$ . If  $S > n$  (i.e., at least one  $y_i > 1$ ), then  $S - n > 0$  and

$$L(1) = 1^n \cdot 0^{(S - n)} = 0$$

so the maximizer must be interior and  $\hat{p} = \frac{n}{S} \in (0, 1)$ . If  $S = n$  (i.e., all  $y_i = 1$ ), the  $L(p) = p^n$ , which is increasing on  $(0, 1]$ , so the maximum occurs at  $p = 1$ . Thus the final MLE is as follows:

$$\hat{p} = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}, & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n y_i > n, \\ 1, & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = n. \end{cases} = \min\left(1, \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}\right)$$

- (b) (5 points) Find the Fisher information  $\mathcal{I}(p)$ .

We start here by making the following assumptions:

- $p$  is an interior parameter value on the interval  $0 < p < 1$  such that derivatives exist and are finite.
- Support,  $y$ , is parameter independent and does not depend on  $p$ .
- Parameter is smooth such that for each  $y$ ,  $\ell$  is twice differentiable
- We can exchange expectation and differentiation in this case.

Now, we can use the following definition for fisher information:

$$\mathcal{I}(p) = -E[\ell''(p)].$$

So

$$\mathcal{I}(p) = -E\left[-\frac{n}{p^2} - \frac{\frac{S-n}{n}}{(1-p)^2}\right]$$

- (c) (5 points) Find the asymptotic variance (meaning, the approximate variance when the sample size  $n$  is large) of the maximum likelihood estimate.
3. Consider an i.i.d. sample of size  $n$  from a  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  distribution.
- (a) (5 points) Show that the sample mean and sample variance make up a two-dimensional sufficient statistic for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .  
 By definition, we know that
- (b) (5 points) Suppose we know that  $\sigma^2 = 4$  but  $\mu$  unknown. Find a sufficient statistic for  $\mu$ .
- (c) (5 points) Suppose we know that  $\mu = -3$  but  $\sigma^2$  is unknown. Find a sufficient statistic for  $\sigma^2$ .
4. (10 points) A social scientist wanted to estimate the proportion of school children in Boston who live in a single-parent family. She decided to use a sample size such that, with probability 0.95, the error would not exceed 0.05. How large a sample size should she use, if she has no idea of the size of that proportion?
5. Consider collecting a sample of size  $n = 1497$  from a population with the goal of estimating the proportion of the population that prefers coffee rather than tea. Suppose that the true proportion is  $p = 0.53$ .
- (a) (10 points) Use R to simulate  $s$  different samples of size  $n$  from the population (using the true value of  $p$  to simulate the data). For each sample, construct a 95% score confidence interval for  $p$ . Report the percentage of the  $s$  confidence intervals that contain the true value of  $p$ . Do this for  $s = 5, 10, 100, 1000$ .
- (b) (10 points) Repeat part [(a)], but this time construct 70% score confidence intervals.

6. Consider a sample  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  that we wish to use to estimate the parameter  $\theta$ . Suppose that  $\theta_1(\mathbf{Y})$  is an estimator of  $\theta$  with  $E[(\theta_1(\mathbf{Y}))^2] < \infty$  for all  $\theta$ , suppose that  $T(\mathbf{Y})$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ , and let  $\theta_2(\mathbf{Y}) = E[\theta_1(\mathbf{Y})|T(\mathbf{Y})]$ . Then, for all  $\theta$ ,

$$E[(\theta_2(\mathbf{Y}) - \theta)^2] \leq E[(\theta_1(\mathbf{Y}) - \theta)^2],$$

and the inequality is strict unless  $\theta_1(\mathbf{Y}) = \theta_2(\mathbf{Y})$ .

- (a) (5 points) Note that  $\theta_1(\mathbf{Y})$  and  $\theta_2(\mathbf{Y})$  are both estimators of  $\theta$ . Interpret the statement above in the context of comparing the two estimators and what it implies about using sufficient statistics to construct estimators.
- (b) **This question is only required for students enrolled in STAT 6530.** (10 points) Provide a proof of the statement above. Hints: First, show that the two estimators have the same means, so it suffices to compare their variances. To compare the variances of the estimators, recall the following result: if  $X$  and  $Z$  are random variables and  $X$  has finite variance, then  $Var(X) = E[Var(X|Z)] + Var(E[X|Z])$ .