## SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES

## MATH5425 Graph Theory Semester 2 2015

## Revision of discrete probability

Let  $\Omega$  be a finite set. A probability distribution on  $\Omega$  is a function  $\pi:\Omega\to[0,1]$  such that

$$\sum_{z \in \Omega} \pi(z) = 1.$$

We say that  $(\Omega, \pi)$  forms a *probability space* with underlying set  $\Omega$ . Often our probability distributions will be *uniform*, meaning that every element of  $\Omega$  has equal probability: that is,  $\pi(z) = 1/|\Omega|$  for all  $z \in \Omega$ . In this case  $(\Omega, \pi)$  is called the *uniform probability space* on  $\Omega$ . If z is chosen from  $\Omega$  according to the uniform distribution, then we say that z is chosen uniformly at random from  $\Omega$ .

An *event* is a subset of  $\Omega$ . For any event  $A \subseteq \Omega$  we define

$$\pi(A) = \sum_{z \in A} \pi(z).$$

(Since we only consider finite sets  $\Omega$ , we do not have to worry about many of the intricacies of probability theory. In particular, all subsets of  $\Omega$  are measurable.)

Once  $\pi$  is defined we often just write  $\Pr(z)$  or  $\Pr(A)$  instead of  $\pi(z)$  or  $\pi(A)$ , where  $z \in \Omega$ ,  $A \subseteq \Omega$ .

Exercises: Let  $A, B \subseteq \Omega$  be events.

- (i) Suppose that  $\pi$  is the uniform distribution on  $\Omega$  and let A be an event in  $\Omega$ . Calculate  $\Pr(A)$ .
- (ii) If A and B are events in  $\Omega$ , calculate  $Pr(A \cup B)$  in terms of Pr(A) and Pr(B).

(iii) Write down an expression for  $Pr(A \cup B)$  when A and B are disjoint events.

Let A and B be events in  $\Omega$  with  $Pr(B) \neq 0$ . The conditional probability of event A, given B, is denoted by Pr(A|B) and defined by

$$\Pr(A|B) = \frac{\Pr(A \cap B)}{\Pr(B)}.$$

Two events A, B are said to be *independent* if

$$Pr(A \cap B) = Pr(A) Pr(B).$$

Exercise:

(iv) Suppose that A and B are independent events and that  $Pr(B) \neq 0$ . Explain why Pr(A|B) = Pr(A).

A random variable on  $\Omega$  is a function  $X:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$ . Given any  $T\subseteq\mathbb{R}$ , the predicate " $X\in T$ " defines an event  $A=\{z\in\Omega\mid X(z)\in T\}$  and hence

$$\Pr(X \in T) = \Pr(A).$$

The expectation or expected value of a random variable X, denoted by  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  (or sometimes just  $\mathbb{E}[X]$ ), is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{z \in \Omega} X(z) \Pr(z).$$

Exercises:

(v) Let X be a random variable with  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mu$ . Prove that there exists  $z, w \in \Omega$  such that  $X(z) \leq \mu$  and  $X(w) \geq \mu$ .

- (vi) For X as above, when is it not true that there exists  $z, w \in \Omega$  such that  $X(z) < \mu$  and  $X(w) > \mu$ ?
- (vii) What does  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  equal for a uniform probability space?

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_k$  be random variables defined on the same probability space and let  $c_1, \ldots c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define the random variable  $X = c_1 X_1 + \cdots + c_k X_k$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = c_1 \,\mathbb{E}[X_1] + c_2 \,\mathbb{E}[X_2] + \dots + c_k \,\mathbb{E}[X_k].$$

This relationship is known as *linearity of expectation*. It is surprisingly powerful since is does not require any special conditions on  $X_1, \ldots, X_k$ .

Exercise: (viii) Prove linearity of expectation.

Given an event  $A \subseteq \Omega$ , the *indicator variable* for the event A is the random variable  $I_A$  such that

$$I_A(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z \in A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Exercise: (ix) Calculate the expectation of  $I_A$ .

The following lemma, Markov's lemma or Markov's inequality, is extremely useful. It applies to *nonnegative* random variables (i.e., those which only take nonnegative values).

**Markov's inequality.** Suppose that  $X : \Omega \to [0, \infty)$  is a nonnegative random variable on  $\Omega$  and let k > 0. Then

$$\Pr(X \ge k) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{k}.$$

Exercise:

- (x) Let A denote the event " $X(z) \ge k$ ". Prove that  $k I_A(z) \le X(z)$  for all  $z \in \Omega$ .
- (xi) Hence, or otherwise, prove Markov's inequality.

Let k be an integer,  $k \geq 2$ . Events  $A_1, \ldots, A_k$  are said to be mutually independent if for all  $j, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_j$  with  $2 \leq j \leq k$  and  $1 \leq \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \cdots < \ell_j \leq k$ , we have

$$\Pr\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{j} A_{\ell_i}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{j} \Pr(A_{\ell_i}).$$

Sometimes we just say "independent" instead of "mutually independent". We now explore what this definition means in the case k = 3.

Exercises:

First, consider the set  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  with the uniform distribution, considered as the outcome of the roll of a die, say. Let  $E_1, E_2, E_3 \subseteq \Omega$  be the events defined by the predicates "is greater than 3", "is even" and "is not a multiple of 3", respectively.

(xii) Calculate  $Pr(E_1 \cap E_2)$  and compare with  $Pr(E_1) Pr(E_2)$ .

(xiii)	Calculate	$\Pr(E_1 \cap$	$E_2 \cap E_3$	and compare	with $Pr(E_1)$	$\Pr(E_2)\Pr(E_3)$ .
--------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------	----------------	----------------------

(xiv) What conclusion can you draw about testing mutual independence of three events?

Now consider the uniform probability space over  $\Omega = \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$ . Consider the events  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$  and  $E_3$  defined by the predicates "is even", "is a factor of 20" and "is a factor of 30", respectively.

(xv) Calculate  $Pr(E_i \cap E_j)$  and compare with  $Pr(E_i) Pr(E_j)$ , for  $1 \le i < j \le 3$ .

(xvi) Calculate  $Pr(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap E_3)$  and compare with  $Pr(E_1) Pr(E_2) Pr(E_3)$ .

(xvii) What can you conclude about testing three events for mutual independence?