



UNSW  
A U S T R A L I A



UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS

---

# Assignment 1

Lie Groups

---

*Author:*  
Edward McDonald

*Student Number:*  
3375335

## Question 1

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, and  $A$  is an  $R$  algebra. Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $R$ -linear derivations on  $A$ , that is  $X, Y : A \rightarrow A$  and for all  $f, g \in A$  and  $r \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} X(fg) &= X(f)g + fX(g) \\ X(f + g) &= X(f) + X(g) \\ X(rf) &= rX(f) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for  $Y$ .

**Theorem 1.** *The commutator  $[X, Y] = XY - YX$  is an  $R$ -linear derivation on  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $[X, Y]$  is  $R$ -linear, since it is a sum of compositions of  $R$ -linear maps.

Now suppose  $f, g \in A$ . Then we simply must expand out,

$$\begin{aligned} [X, Y](fg) &= XY(fg) - YX(fg) \\ &= X(Y(f)g + fY(g)) - Y(X(f)g + fX(g)) \\ &= X(Y(f)g) + X(fY(g)) - Y(X(f)g) - Y(fX(g)) \\ &= (XY)(f)g + Y(f)X(g) + X(f)Y(g) + f(XY)(g) - (YX)(f)g - X(f)Y(g) - \\ &\quad Y(f)X(g) - f(YX)(g) \\ &= [X, Y](f)g + f[X, Y](g) \end{aligned}$$

□

Note that we did not need to assume the commutativity of  $A$ .

## Question 2

For this question,  $M$  and  $M'$  are smooth manifolds and  $f : M \rightarrow M'$  is smooth. We say that vector fields  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  and  $X' \in \mathfrak{X}(M')$  are  $f$ -related if  $df_p(X) = X'_{f(p)}$  for all  $p \in M$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  are  $f$ -related to  $X', Y' \in \mathfrak{X}(M')$  respectively, then  $[X, Y]$  is  $f$ -related to  $[X', Y']$ .*

*Proof.* We must compute  $df_p[X, Y]$ . To do this, let  $h \in C^\infty(M')$  and  $p \in M$ . Then by definition,

$$\begin{aligned}
 df_p[X, Y](h) &= [X, Y](h \circ f)(p) \\
 &= (XY)(h \circ f)(p) - (YX)(h \circ f)(p) \\
 &= X(df(Y)(h))(p) - Y(df(X)(h))(p) \\
 &= X(Y'(h) \circ f)(p) - Y(X'(h) \circ f)(p) \\
 &= df_p(X)(Y'(h)) - df_p(Y)(X'(h)) \\
 &= X'Y'(h \circ f) - Y'X'(h \circ f) \\
 &= [X', Y'](h(f(p)))
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $df_p[X, Y] = [X', Y']_{f(p)}$ .  $\square$

### Question 3

For this question,  $G$  and  $H$  are Lie groups with identity elements  $e_G$  and  $e_H$  respectively. We denote the Lie algebras  $T_{e_G}G$  and  $T_{e_H}H$  as  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  respectively.

**Theorem 3.** *If  $f : G \rightarrow H$  is a Lie group homomorphism, then  $df_{e_G} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism.*

*Proof.* We already know that  $df_{e_G}$  is a linear map between vector spaces  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$ . It remains to prove that

$$df_{e_G}[X, Y] = [df_{e_G}X, df_{e_H}Y].$$

For  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . However this is precisely the result of question 2, so  $df_{e_G}$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism.  $\square$

### Question 4

For this question,  $\mathbb{H}$  is the set of real  $3 \times 3$  upper triangular matrices equal to 1 on the main diagonal.

(a)

**Lemma 1.**  $\mathbb{H}$  is a Lie subgroup of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $\mathbb{H} \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  since each element of  $\mathbb{H}$  has determinant 1. We also see the identity matrix is in  $\mathbb{H}$ .

Thus it is sufficient to prove that  $\mathbb{H}$  is closed under matrix multiplication. Let  $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d & e \\ 0 & 1 & f \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a+d & e+b+af \\ 0 & 1 & f+c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence  $\mathbb{H}$  is closed under matrix multiplication so is a Lie subgroup of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$   $\square$

(b)

Now that we know  $\mathbb{H}$  is a Lie group, denote its Lie algebra by  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

**Lemma 2.** The tangent space at the identity  $T_I \mathbb{H}$  can be described by the set of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.*  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** Thus the Lie Bracket on  $\mathfrak{h}$  is given by

(c)

**Lemma 3.**  $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = \mathbb{R}e_2$

*Proof.*  $\square$

**Lemma 4.**  $[\mathfrak{h}, [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}]] = 0$ .

*Proof.*  $\square$