



UNSW  
A U S T R A L I A



UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS

---

## Homework 3

Measure Theory

---

*Author:*  
Edward McDonald

*Student Number:*  
3375335

## Question 1

In this question we work over  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , with  $d > 1$ .  $\lambda_d$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\ell \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a line. Then  $\lambda_d(\ell) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\ell$  is closed,  $\ell$  is Borel and hence Lebesgue measurable.

Since  $\lambda_d$  is translation invariant, we may assume that  $0 \in \ell$ . Let  $v \in \ell$  with  $v \neq 0$ . Consider half open line segment,

$$S = \{tv : t \in [0, 1)\}.$$

$S$  is Borel, hence measurable. Suppose  $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d)$ , and define the box,

$$B_n = \prod_{k=1}^d [0, \frac{v_k}{2^n}).$$

If  $t \in [0, 1)$  then for any  $n > 0$  there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $\frac{k}{2^n} \leq t \leq \frac{k+1}{2^n}$ .

Hence,

$$S \subset \bigcup_{k=0}^{2^n-1} (B_n + \frac{k}{2^n}v).$$

So by translation invariance,

$$\lambda_d(S) \leq 2^n \lambda_d(B_n).$$

But by definition,  $\lambda_d(B_n) = \frac{1}{2^{nd}} \lambda_d(B_0)$ . Hence,

$$\lambda_d(S) \leq 2^{n(1-d)} \lambda_d(B_0).$$

Since  $d > 1$ ,  $n$  is arbitrary and  $\lambda_d(B_0)$  is finite, we conclude that  $\lambda_d(S) = 0$ .

Now since

$$\ell = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (nv + S)$$

by translation invariance we conclude that  $\lambda_d(\ell) = 0$ . □

## Question 2

Let  $A, B$  and  $C$  be sets, and define  $A \triangle B := (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ .

(a)

**Lemma 1.**  $A^c \triangle B^c = A \triangle B$ .*Proof.* This is a simple computation, since by definition,

$$\begin{aligned}
A^c \triangle B^c &= (A^c \setminus B^c) \cup (B^c \setminus A^c) \\
&= (A^c \cap B) \cup (B^c \cap A) \\
&= (B \cap A^c) \cup (A \cap B^c) \\
&= (B \setminus A) \cup (A \setminus B) \\
&= (A \triangle B).
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2.**  $A \triangle C \subseteq (A \triangle B) \cup (B \triangle C)$ *Proof.* See that

$$\begin{aligned}
A \triangle C &= (A \triangle C) \cap B \cup (A \triangle C) \cap B^c \\
&= (A \cap B \cap C^c) \cup (A^c \cap B \cap C) \cup (A \cap B^c \cap C^c) \cup (A^c \cap B^c \cap C) \\
&\subseteq (A^c \cap B) \cup (B^c \cap A) \cup (B \cap C^c) \cup (B^c \cap C) \\
&= (A \triangle B) \cup (B \triangle C).
\end{aligned}$$

□

(b)

Now we define

$$\mathcal{G} := \{ B \in \mathcal{F} : \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists B_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A} \text{ such that } \mu(B \triangle B_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon \}.$$

**Lemma 3.** If  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ , then  $A^c \in \mathcal{G}$ .*Proof.* Let  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ , and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then choose  $B_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mu(A \triangle B_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ . Hence  $\mu(A^c \triangle B_\varepsilon^c) < \varepsilon$ , and since  $B_\varepsilon^c \in \mathcal{A}$ , we conclude  $A^c \in \mathcal{G}$ . □

(c)

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $A_n \in \mathcal{G}, n \geq 1$ , with  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq A_n \subseteq \cdots$ . If  $A = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n$ , then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N > 0$  such that  $\mu(A \triangle A_N) < \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* We compute,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(A) &= \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n).\end{aligned}$$

And,

$$\mu(A \triangle A_n) = \mu(A \setminus A_n) = \mu(A) - \mu(A_n).$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A \triangle A_n) = 0.$$

□

(d)

**Corollary 1.**  $A \in \mathcal{G}$

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $N > 0$  such that  $\mu(A \triangle A_n) < \varepsilon/2$  and select  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mu(B \triangle A_n) < \varepsilon/2$ . Hence,

$$\mu(A \triangle B) \leq \mu(A \triangle A_n \cup B \triangle A_n) \leq \mu(A \triangle A_n) + \mu(B \triangle A_n) < \varepsilon.$$

Hence  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ .

□

e

**Theorem 2.**  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $A, B \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then choose  $A_\varepsilon, B_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mu(A \triangle A_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon/2$  and  $\mu(B \triangle B_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon/2$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((A \cap B) \triangle (A_\varepsilon \cap B_\varepsilon)) &= \mu((A \cap B) \setminus (A_\varepsilon \cap B_\varepsilon) \cup ((A_\varepsilon \cap B_\varepsilon) \setminus (A \cap B))) \\ &= \mu((A \cap B) \setminus A_\varepsilon \cup (A \cap B) \setminus B_\varepsilon \cup (A_\varepsilon \cap B_\varepsilon) \setminus A \cup (A_\varepsilon \cap B_\varepsilon) \setminus B) \\ &\leq \mu(A \triangle A_\varepsilon \cap B \triangle B_\varepsilon) \\ &\leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathcal{G}$  is closed under intersection. Thus  $\mathcal{G}$  is closed under relative complement since it is closed under complement.

Hence  $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $d$ -class containing  $\mathcal{A}$  since we have shown that it is closed under complement and increasing countable union, hence  $d(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ . But since  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra, it is a  $\pi$ -class. Hence  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  since  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = d(\mathcal{A})$  by the monotone class theorem.

Since by definition  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , we conclude  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}$ .  $\square$

### Question 3

In this question we consider the measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  and the completed measure  $\bar{\mu}$  with associated algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ .

**Lemma 5.**  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\mu$ , we have  $X \in \mathcal{A}_\mu$ .

Suppose  $A \in \mathcal{A}_\mu$ . Then by definition there are  $E, F \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $E \subseteq A \subseteq F$  and  $\mu(F \setminus E) = 0$ . Hence we have  $F^c \subseteq A^c \subseteq E^c$ , and  $\mu(E^c \setminus A^c) = \mu(F \setminus E) = 0$ . Since  $F^c, E^c \in \mathcal{A}$ , we conclude that  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}_\mu$ .

Now let  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a countable subcollection of  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ . Choose  $E_n, F_n \in \mathcal{A}$  for each  $n \geq 1$  such that  $E_n \subseteq A_n \subseteq F_n$  and  $\mu(F_n \setminus E_n) = 0$ . Hence,

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F_n$$

where the left and right hand sides are in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F_n \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(F_n \setminus E_n) = 0.$$

Hence  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.**  $\bar{\mu}$  is a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{A}_\mu)$ .

*Proof.* We need to prove that  $\mu$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ . Suppose

that  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of  $\bar{\mu}$ -measurable sets that is pairwise disjoint. Then choose  $E_n, F_n \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $E_n \subseteq A_n \subseteq F_n$  and  $\mu(F_n \setminus E_n) = 0$ .

Hence  $\bar{\mu}(A_n) = \mu(E_n)$ . Then we have

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F_n$$

Then since  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F_n \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n) = 0$ , we have

$$\bar{\mu}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n\right).$$

But since the  $A_n$  are pairwise disjoint, so are the  $E_n$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n\right) &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(E_n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \bar{\mu}(A_n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\bar{\mu}$  is countably additive, hence a measure. □

**Lemma 7.** The restriction of  $\bar{\mu}$  to  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\mu$ .

*Proof.* Let  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $E \in \mathcal{A}_\mu$  since  $E \subseteq E \subseteq E$ , and  $\mu(E \setminus E) = 0$ .

Then  $\bar{\mu} = \mu(E)$ . Hence  $\bar{\mu}$  restricted to  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\mu$ . □

**Lemma 8.** The measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}_\mu, \bar{\mu})$  is complete.

*Proof.* We need to show that every subset of a  $\bar{\mu}$ -null set is measurable.

Suppose  $E \in 2^X$  with  $E \subseteq F \in \mathcal{A}_\mu$  and  $\bar{\mu}(F) = 0$ . Then since  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$ , and there is some set  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $F \subseteq B$  and  $\mu(B \setminus \emptyset) = \mu(B) = 0$ , then  $F \in \mathcal{A}_\mu$  and  $\bar{\mu}(F) = \mu(B) = 0$ .

Hence  $(X, \mathcal{A}_\mu, \bar{\mu})$  is complete. □