





## University of New South Wales

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS

# Homework 2

Measure Theory

Author: Edward McDonald

Student Number: 3375335

#### Question 1

(a)

We are given,

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}\}.$$

See that C is closed under intersection, so we only need to consider unions of elements of C Hence,

$$\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 4, 5\}, \{2, 3, 4, 5\}\}.$$

(b)

Then we define  $\gamma: \mathcal{C} \to [0, \infty]$  as follows,

$$\gamma(\emptyset) = 0 
\gamma(\{1\}) = 1 
\gamma(\{2,3\}) = 1 
\gamma(\{1,2,3\}) = 2 
\gamma(\{4,5\}) = 1 
\gamma(X) = 3.$$

Let  $\mu^*$  be the outer measure on  $2^X$  defined by  $\gamma$ .

**Lemma 1.**  $\mu^*$  restricted to  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$  is a measure.

*Proof.* Since  $\mu^*$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$ , it is sufficient to show that  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$ . Then since  $\mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$ .

Clearly  $\emptyset$  and X are in  $\mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$ , so we need only show that  $\{1\}, \{2,3\}, \{1,2,3\}$  and  $\{4,5\}$  are in  $\mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$ . We do not need to show that  $\{1,2,3\} \in \mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$  since  $\{1,2,3\} = \{1\} \cup \{2,3\}$ .

Let  $A \subset X$ . Then

$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap \{1\}) + \mu^*(A \setminus \{1\})$$

since if  $1 \in A$ ,  $\mu^*(A \cap \{1\}) = 1$  and  $\mu^*(A \setminus \{1\})$ ,

#### Question 2

For this question,  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure on the set X defined by the function  $\gamma: \mathcal{C} \subseteq 2^X \to [0, \infty]$ .

**Lemma 2.**  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ .

*Proof.* By definition,

$$\mu * (\emptyset) = \inf \{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma(A_n) : \emptyset \subseteq \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n, A_n \in \mathcal{C} \}.$$

However this is simply

$$\mu^*(\emptyset) = \inf\{\gamma(A) | A \in \mathcal{C}\}\$$

since  $\emptyset$  is a subset of any set. However  $\gamma(\emptyset) = 0$ , so  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ .

**Lemma 3.** If  $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ , then  $\mu^*(A) \leq \mu^*(B)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $A \subseteq B$ , we have the set inclusion

$$\{\{E_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{C} : A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} E_i\} \subseteq \{\{E_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{C} : B \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} E_i\}$$

Hence,

$$\inf\{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma(E_i) \subseteq \mathcal{C} : A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} E_i\} \le \inf\{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma(E_i) \subseteq \mathcal{C} : B \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} E_i\}$$

So by definition,

$$\mu^*(A) \le \mu^*(B).$$

### Question 3

The euclidean topology  $\tau$  on  $\mathbb R$  is defined as the toplogy generated by open intervals  $(a,b),a < b \in \mathbb R$ 

**Lemma 4.** Every open set in  $\tau$  is a countable union of intervals  $(a,b),a,b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Edward McDonald

*Proof.* Let  $U \in \tau$ , and  $x \in U$ . Then there is some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \subset U$ . By the density of  $\mathbb Q$  in  $\mathbb R$ , there is some  $p,q \in \mathbb Q$  such that  $q \in (x - \varepsilon,x)$  and  $q \in (x,x+\varepsilon)$ . Then  $x \in (p,q) \subset U$ .

Hence U can be expressed as a union of intervals of the form (p,q) for  $p,q \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

However the set  $\{(p,q): p,q\in\mathbb{Q}\}$  is countable, so any open set in  $\tau$  is a countable union of intervals.

**Theorem 1.** The set  $\mathcal{T}$  consisting of all countable unions of open intervals (a,b),  $a,b \in \mathbb{R}$  is a topology.

*Proof.* Since any open set in  $\tau$  is a countable union of intervals,  $\tau \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ , and since any countable union of intervals is in  $\tau$ ,  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \tau$ . Hence  $\mathcal{T} = \tau$ .

We now consider the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) = \sigma(\mathcal{T})$ .

**Theorem 2.**  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  can be generated by the system