



UNSW
A U S T R A L I A



UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS

Assignment 1

Measure Theory

Author:
Edward McDonald

Student Number:
3375335

Question 1

Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer, and $S = \prod_{k=1}^d [a_k, b_k]$.

Theorem 1. *If $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann integrable, then f is Lebesgue integrable and $\int_S f \, d\lambda = \int_S f(x) \, dx$.*

Proof. Choose a sequence of partitions $\mathcal{P}_n = \{C_{nk}\}$ of S such that □

Question 2

Let X be a set, and (Y, \mathcal{B}) is a measurable space. $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a function.

(a)

Lemma 1. *The collection of subsets of X , $\mathcal{A} = \{f^{-1}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is a σ -algebra and the smallest σ -algebra on X such that f is measurable.*

Proof. Clearly $X = f^{-1}(Y)$ and $\emptyset = f^{-1}(\emptyset)$ are in \mathcal{A} , and if $A = f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}$ then $A^c = f^{-1}(B^c) \in \mathcal{A}$.

Now if $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, with $A_n = f^{-1}(B_n)$, then $\bigcup_n A_n = f^{-1}(\bigcup_n B_n) \in \mathcal{A}$.

Hence \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra.

If \mathcal{A}' is any other σ -algebra on X such that f is \mathcal{A}'/\mathcal{B} measurable, then by definition for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}'$, so we must have $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}'$.

□

(ii)

Now let (Z, \mathcal{C}) be a measurable space, and $h : (X, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (Z, \mathcal{C})$ is measurable and takes only countably many values $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{C}$.

Lemma 2. *There exists a measurable function $g : (Y, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow (Z, \mathcal{C})$ such that $h = g \circ f$.*

Proof. Since for each n , $\{c_n\} \in \mathcal{C}$, by the measurability of h we have $h^{-1}(\{c_n\}) \in \mathcal{A}$.

Hence there exist $B_n \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $h^{-1}(\{c_n\}) = f^{-1}(B_n)$.

Define $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ by $g(x) = c_n$ if $x \in B_n$.

This is unambiguous, since if $B_n \cap B_m \neq \emptyset$, then $c_n = c_m$,

and $h^{-1}(\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty) = X = f^{-1}(\bigcup_n B_n)$, so $g(x)$ is uniquely defined for any $x \in Y$.

By construction g is measurable, since if $C \in \mathcal{C}$ contains the points $\{c_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$, then $g^{-1}(C) = \bigcup_{n_k} B_{n_k} \in \mathcal{B}$.

If $x \in X$, then $f(x) \in B_n$ for some n since $X = f^{-1}(\bigcup_n B_n)$. Then $g(f(x)) = c_n$, but since $f(x) \in B_n$, $x \in f^{-1}(B_n) = h^{-1}(\{c_n\})$.

So $h(x) = c_n = g(f(x))$.

Hence we have $h = g \circ f$, as required. \square

Question 3

In this question, (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is a measure space.

Suppose $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a sequence of sets in \mathcal{A} then the following holds:

Lemma 3.

$$\inf_n \chi_{A_n} = \chi_{\bigcap_n A_n}$$

and

$$\sup_n \chi_{A_n} = \chi_{\bigcup_n A_n}$$

Proof. Let $x \in X$. If $\inf_n \chi_{A_n}(x) = 1$ means that there is no k such that $x \notin A_n$. Hence $x \in \bigcap_n A_n$.

Similarly, if $x \in \bigcap_n A_n$, then $\inf_n \chi_{A_n}(x) = 1$ since for any n , $\chi_{A_n}(x) = 1$.

Now we write, using $\chi_{B^c} = 1 - \chi_B$,

$$\begin{aligned}\inf_n \chi_{A_n^c} &= \chi_{\bigcup_n A_n^c} \\ 1 - \inf_n \chi_{A_n^c} &= \chi_{\bigcap_n A_n} \\ \sup_n 1 - \chi_{A_n^c} &= \chi_{\bigcap_n A_n} \\ \sup_n \chi_{A_n} &= \chi_{\bigcap_n A_n}\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we define

$$\liminf_n A_n := \bigcup_n \bigcap_{k \geq n} A_k.$$

Theorem 2. *The following are equivalent,*

$$\begin{aligned}x &\in \liminf_n A_n \\ \liminf_n \chi_{A_n}(x) &= 1\end{aligned}$$

and $x \in A_n$ for all but finitely many n .

Proof. Using lemma 3, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\liminf_n \chi_{A_n}(x) &= \sup_n \inf_{k \geq n} \chi_{A_k} \\ &= \sup_n \chi_{\bigcap_{k \geq n} A_k} \\ &= \chi_{\liminf_n A_n}(x).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $x \in \liminf_n A_n$ if and only if $\liminf_n \chi_{A_n}(x) = 1$.

If $\liminf_n \chi_{A_n}(x) = 1$. then 1 is the only limit point of the sequence $\chi_{A_n}(x)$, hence since χ_{A_n} takes only the values 0 and 1, it must take the value 0 only finitely many times. Hence $x \in A_n$ for all but finitely many n .

Conversely, if $x \in A_n$ for all but finitely many n , then the numerical sequence $\chi_{A_n}(x)$ takes the value 0 only finitely many times. Since it must have a limit point, we conclude $\liminf_n \chi_{A_n}(x) = 1$. □

Now we define

$$\limsup_n A_n = \bigcap_n \bigcup_{k \geq n} A_k$$

Theorem 3. *The following are equivalent:*

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in \limsup_n A_n \\ \limsup_n \chi_{A_n}(x) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

and $x \notin A_n$ for infinitely many n .

Proof. The equivalence of the first two statements is identical to theorem 2.

For the third statement, if $\limsup_n \chi_{A_n}(x) = 1$ then the numerical sequence $\chi_{A_n}(x)$ has 1 as a limit point, so x must be in A_n infinitely often.

Conversely, if x is in A_n infinitely often then 1 is a limit point of the sequence $\chi_{A_n}(x)$. Hence it must be the largest limit point so $\limsup_n \chi_{A_n}(x) = 1$. \square

Hence it is clear that $\liminf_n A_n \subseteq \limsup_n A_n$ since $\chi_{\liminf_n A_n}(x) = \liminf_n \chi_{A_n}(x) \leq \limsup_n \chi_{A_n}(x) = \chi_{\limsup_n A_n}(x)$.

Question 4

For this question, (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is a measure space with $\mu(X) < \infty$ and $C \subseteq X$.

(a)

Lemma 4. $\mathcal{A}_C = \{A \cap C : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a σ -algebra on C .

Proof. We have $C = C \cap X \in \mathcal{A}_C$, and if $A \cap C \in \mathcal{A}_C$, then $A^c \cap C = C \setminus A \in \mathcal{A}_C$.

Now if $\{C_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a countable subset of \mathcal{A}_C , with $C_n = C \cap A_n$ for $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\bigcup_n C_n = \bigcup_n C \cap A_n = C \cap \bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{A}_C$.

Hence \mathcal{A}_C is a σ -algebra. \square

(b)

Now define the outer and inner measures $\mu_*, \mu^* : 2^X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by the usual formulae,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_*(B) &= \sup\{\mu(A) : A \subseteq B, A \in \mathcal{A}\} \\ \mu^*(B) &= \inf\{\mu(A) : A \supseteq B, A \in \mathcal{A}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5. *For each $B \in 2^X$ there exist $A_0 \subseteq B \subseteq A_1$ with $A_0, A_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mu(A_0) = \mu_*(B)$ and $\mu(A_1) = \mu^*(B)$.*

Proof. We may choose $C_n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mu^*(B) \leq \mu(C_n) \leq \mu^*(B) + \frac{1}{n}$

Then $B \subseteq \bigcap_n C_n \in \mathcal{A}$, and for every n ,

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_n C_n\right) \leq \mu^*(B) + \frac{1}{n}$$

Hence, if $A_1 = \bigcap_n C_n$, we have $\mu(A_1) = \mu^*(B)$.

Similarly, choose $C'_n \in \mathcal{A}$ $C'_n \subseteq B$ and $\mu_*(B) \geq \mu(C'_n) \geq \mu_*(B) - \frac{1}{n}$, and define $A_0 = \bigcup_n C'_n$. \square

(c)

Let $C_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ with $C \subseteq C_1$ be such that $\mu(C_1) = \mu^*(C)$.

Lemma 6. $\mu^*(C_1 \setminus C) = 0$.

Proof. There exists some $B \in \mathcal{A}$ with $B \subseteq C_1 \setminus C$ such that $\mu(B) = \mu^*(C_1 \setminus C)$. If $\mu(B) > 0$, then $\mu(C_1) = \mu(B) + \mu(C_1 \setminus B) > \mu(C_1 \setminus B)$.

But $C \subseteq C_1 \setminus B$, so $\mu^*(C) \leq \mu(C_1 \setminus B)$. Hence $\mu(C_1) > \mu^*(C)$, but this is a contradiction. \square

Lemma 7. *If $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\mu(A \cap C_1) = \mu^*(A \cap C)$.*

Proof. Since $C \subseteq C_1$, we have $\mu^*(A \cap C) \leq \mu(A \cap C_1)$.

Now by the subadditivity of μ^* , $\mu(C_1 \cap A) \leq \mu^*(C \cap A) + \mu^*((C_1 \setminus C) \cap A)$. But $(C_1 \setminus C) \cap A \subseteq C_1 \setminus C$, so $\mu^*((C_1 \setminus C) \cap A) = 0$.

Hence $\mu(A \cap C_1) = \mu^*(A \cap C)$. \square

Theorem 4. *Suppose $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A_1 \cap C = A_2 \cap C$. Then $\mu(A_1 \cap C_1) = \mu(A_2 \cap C_1)$.*

Proof. Since $A_1 \cap C = A_2 \cap C$, we have $\mu(A_1 \cap C_1) = \mu^*(A_1 \cap C) = \mu^*(A_2 \cap C) = \mu(A_2 \cap C_1)$. \square

(c)

Now define $\mu_C : \mathcal{A}_C \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by $\mu_C(A \cap C) = \mu(A \cap C_1)$,

Theorem 5. $\mu_C(B) = \mu^*(B)$ for every $B \in \mathcal{A}_C$.

Proof. Let $B \in \mathcal{A}_C$, then $B = C \cap A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Then $\mu_C(B) = \mu(A \cap C_1)$. This does not depend on the choice of A by lemma 4.

Then $\mu_C(B) = \mu^*(A \cap C) = \mu^*(B)$.

□

(d)

Lemma 8. Suppose (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is now any measure space, and $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is a sequence with $\mu(A_n \cap A_m) = 0$ for $n \neq m$. Then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(A_n).$$

Proof. We disjointify the sequence. Recursively define the sequence $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ by

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= A_1 \\ B_n &= A_n \setminus \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} A_k\right), n > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n.$$

And if $n > m$, $B_n \cap B_m = \emptyset$.

Hence,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(B_n).$$

Note that,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(A_n) &= \mu(B_n) + \mu(A_n \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} A_k) \\ &= \mu(B_n) + \mu(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} A_n \cap A_k).\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\mu(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} A_n \cap A_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mu(A_n \cap A_k) = 0.$$

Hence $\mu(A_n) = \mu(B_n)$ so the result follows.

□

Corollary 1. μ_C is a measure on \mathcal{A}_C .

Proof. We have proved that μ_C is the restriction of μ^* to \mathcal{A}_C . It is therefore sufficient to prove that μ^* is countably additive on \mathcal{A}_C .

Let $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets in \mathcal{A}_C . Let $B = \bigcup_n B_n$. Let $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that $B_n = C \cap A_n$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_C(B) &= \mu(C_1 \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \\ &= \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_1 \cap A_n).\end{aligned}$$

The sets $\{C_1 \cap A_n\}$ are not necessarily pairwise disjoint, however we may say that

$$\mu(C_1 \cap A_n \cap C_1 \cap A_m) = 0$$

unless $n = m$. So by lemma ??,

$$\mu_C(B) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_C(B_n).$$

Hence μ_C is a measure.

□