|                 |                 |  | 1st Class Cadet Develor               | ment Report                        |  |                               |  |  |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Cadet Name _    |                 |  | Pos                                   | sition                             |  |                               |  |  |  |
| Rater (Direct S | upervisor)      |  | Po:                                   | sition                             |  |                               |  |  |  |
| Senior Rater (R | Rater's Rater)  |  | Po:                                   | ition                              |  |                               |  |  |  |
|                 |                 |  | Rater: Perform                        | ance                               |  |                               |  |  |  |
| LEADS           |                 |  | EXTENDS INFLUENCE BEYO                | ND THE CHAIN OF COMMAND            |  |                               |  |  |  |
| _               | STRENGTH        | Demonstrates effective use of indirect influer organization.   | ence techniques. Establishes trust to | extend influence outside the chain | of command. Proactively builds and maint     | ains alliances to benefit the |  |  |  |
| _               | STANDARD        | Demonstrates understanding of conditions of indirect influence. Builds trust to extend influence outside the organization. Displays understanding of the importance of building alliances.   |                                       |                                    |  |                               |  |  |  |
| _               | NEED            | Inconsistently demonstrates understanding of indirect influence. Misses or passively acts on opportunities to build trusting relationships outside the organization.   |                                       |                                    |  |                               |  |  |  |
| DEVELOPS        |                 |  | STEWARDS                              | THE PROFESSION                     |  |                               |  |  |  |
| _               | STRENGTH        | Applies a mindset that looks to strengthen the profession of arms into the future. Assumes some risk to forego some short-term or personal gains in favor of improving one's own organization, other units, and other individuals. Cooperates by providing more assistance to others than expected to receive in return. |                                       |                                    |  |                               |  |  |  |
| _               | STANDARD        | Supports developmental opportunities of subordinates. Takes steps to improve the organization. Carefully manages resources of time, equipment, people, and money.  |                                       |                                    |  |                               |  |  |  |
| _               | NEED            | Fails to extend assistance to others or other units. Disregards oversight of the tracking and use of resources. Fails to improve subordinates for follow-on assignments and fails to take steps to leave the organization in equal or better condition than when this leader arrived.                                    |                                       |                                    |  |                               |  |  |  |
| ACHIEVES        |                 |  | GET                                   | 'S RESULTS                         |  |                               |  |  |  |
| _               | STRENGTH        | Utilizes other competencies to accomplish objectives. Demonstrates understanding of supervising, managing, monitoring, and controlling of priorities of work. Reflects on end state prior to issuing guidance. Provides subordinates autonomy to accomplish the work.  |                                       |                                    |  |                               |  |  |  |
| -               | STANDARD        | Prioritizes, organizes, and coordinates taskings for others. Plans for expected setbacks and enacts appropriate contingencies when needed. Monitors, coordinates and regulates subordinate actions but allows subordinates to accomplish the work.   |                                       |                                    |  |                               |  |  |  |
| _               | NEED            | Demonstrates a limited understanding of supervising, managing, monitoring, and controlling priorities of work. Hasty prioritization and planning lead to incomplete guidance and direction.  |                                       |                                    |  |                               |  |  |  |
| CHARACTER       |                 |  | WARRIOR ETH                           | OS / SERVICE ETHOS                 |  |                               |  |  |  |
| _               | STRENGTH        | Models the spirit of the profession of arms. Instills this behavior in subordinates and others.  |                                       |                                    |  |                               |  |  |  |
| _               | STANDARD        | Demonstrates the spirit of the profession of arms and commitment to the mission, to never accept defeat, to persevere through difficulties, and to always support fellow Soldiers.  Inconsistently demonstrates the spirit of the profession of arms. Downplays the importance of this sentiment.                        |                                       |                                    |  |                               |  |  |  |
| _               | NEED            | meaning commended the spirit of the profession of times, company and importance of times sentiment.  |                                       |                                    |  |                               |  |  |  |
| PRESENCE        |                 |  | co                                    | NFIDENCE                           |  |                               |  |  |  |
| _               | STRENGTH        | Projects self-confidence and inspires confidence in others. Models composure, an outward calm, and control over emotions in adverse situations. Manages personal stress, and remains supportive of stress in others.   |                                       |                                    |  |                               |  |  |  |
|                 | STANDARD        | Supportive or stress in otners.  Displays composure, confidence, and mission-focus under stress. Effectively manages own emotions and remains in control of own emotions when situations become adverse.   |                                       |                                    |  |                               |  |  |  |
| _               | NEED            | Inconsistently displays composure or a calm presence. Allows a setback to derail motivation. Displays a less than professional image of self or unit.  |                                       |                                    |  |                               |  |  |  |
| INTELECT        |                 |  | _                                     | vocation.                          |  |                               |  |  |  |
| _               | STRENGTH        | EXPERTISE  Demonstrates expert-level proficiency with technical aspects of job. Demonstrates understanding of joint, cultural and geopolitical knowledge. Conveys knowledge of technical,  technological, and tactical systems to subordinates and others.   |                                       |                                    |  |                               |  |  |  |
| <del>-</del>    | STANDARD        | Possesses facts and beliefs of joint, cultural technical, technological, and tactical areas  |                                       | it information on systems, equipm  | ent, capabilities, and situations. Expands p | ersonal knowledge of          |  |  |  |
| <del>-</del>    | NEED            | Demonstrates uncertainty or novice profice toward expanding knowledge or skill set.  |                                       | onsistently applies competence of  | joint, cultural and geopolitical knowledge   | . Displays indifference       |  |  |  |
| Overall Assess  | ment            | Excels (A) Top 49% Only  | Proficient (B)                        | Capable (C)                        | Unsatisfactory (D)                           | Unsatisfactory (F)            |  |  |  |
| Recommend a     | + or -?         |  |                                       |                                    |  |                               |  |  |  |
| Comments (M     | andatory for D/ | 'F and/or "Needs" Block):  |                                       |                                    |  |                               |  |  |  |
|                 | . ,             | ,  |                                       |                                    |  |                               |  |  |  |
|                 |                 |  |                                       |                                    |  |                               |  |  |  |
|                 |                 |  |                                       |                                    |  |                               |  |  |  |

| Senior Rater   | : Performance in Duty Position        | Potential for Increased F                 | Responsibility              |                            |  |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| I Currently Senior Rate in this grade                      |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
|  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
| 1. Given their performance I believe this cadet is prepare | ed to lead Soldiers at the            | level.                                    |                             |                            |  |
| The next Three positions I would recommend this cadet i    | for are:                              |   |                             |                            |  |
| 1  | 2                                     |   | 3                           |                            |  |
| (Must be KD)   | Broadening                            |   | Broadening                  |                            |  |
| Most Qualified (B) Top 25% Only                            | Highly Qualified (B)                  | Qualified (C)                             | Not Qualified (D)           | Not Qualified (F)          |  |
| Recommend + or - to grade?                                 |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
| Has the Cadet committed any serious infractions du         | iring the rating period? If Yes, plea | ase indicate level of infrac              | tion and outcome below: (Ar | ticle 10, Honor, Respect)  |  |
|  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
| Comments (Mandatory for D/F):                              |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
| , , ,  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
|  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
|  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
|  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
|  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
|  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
|  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
|  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
| TAC Overall A  | Assessment: Performance across        | all Pillars / Potential for C             | ommissioning                | _                          |  |
| Date of Last APFT  | Score                                 | APFT Grade                                |                             |                            |  |
| Is the Cadet in compliance with the ABCP?                  |                                       | -   |                             |                            |  |
| Does the cadet demonstrate potential for commissi          | ioning? (Y/N)                         |   |                             |                            |  |
|  |                                       |   | Potential compared to p     | neers in company           |  |
| Assess development IAW WPLDS Outcomes (Stren               | gth - S; Standard - ST; Developme     | S; Standard - ST; Developmental Need -D): |                             | Most Qualified (A) Top 25% |  |
| Lives Honorably  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
|  |                                       |   | Highly Qual                 |                            |  |
| Leads Honorably  |                                       |   | Qualified (C                |                            |  |
| Domonstratos Evnortiso                                     |                                       |   | Unqualified                 |                            |  |
| Demonstrates Expertise                                     |                                       |   | Unqualified                 | (F)                        |  |
|  |                                       |   | Overall TAC                 | Grade                      |  |
|  |                                       |   | Final Grade                 |                            |  |
| Grade verification comments/plan for remediation;          | general comments:                     |   |                             |                            |  |
|  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
|  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
|  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
|  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
|  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
| PDR Summary Report:  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
|  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
|  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
|  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
|  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |
|  |                                       |   |                             |                            |  |