

# Lecture 2. Kernel and Image of a Linear Map

September 2022

## Similarity

A particular case of Change-of-Basis Theorem (see Lecture 1) is so important that it is worth stating separately. It corresponds to the case in which  $m = n$  and  $B' = B$ .

**COROLLARY 2.1 (Similarity Theorem)** Suppose that  $f : V \rightarrow V$  is a linear operator on  $V$  and that  $B = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$  is a basis of  $V$ . Let  $A$  be the matrix corresponding to  $f$  with respect to basis  $E$ . Then, with respect to the basis  $B$ , the operator  $f$  is represented by the matrix

$$A_{B,B} = P^{-1}AP,$$

where  $P$  is a transition matrix from  $E$  to  $B$ .

The relationship between the matrices  $A_{B,B}$  and  $A$  is a central one in the theory of linear algebra. The matrix  $A_{B,B}$  performs the same linear map as the matrix  $A$ , but  $A_{B,B}$  describes it in terms of the basis  $B$ . This effect inspires the following definition.

**DEFINITION 2.2 (Similarity)** We say that the square matrix  $C$  is **similar** to the matrix  $A$  if there is an invertible matrix  $P$  such that  $C = P^{-1}AP$ .

Similarity defines an equivalence relation on matrices. Recall that an equivalence relation satisfies three properties; it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive. For similarity, this means:

- a matrix  $A$  is similar to itself (reflexive),
- if  $C$  is similar to  $A$ , then  $A$  is similar to  $C$  (symmetric), and
- if  $D$  is similar to  $C$ , and  $C$  to  $A$ , then  $D$  is similar to  $A$  (transitive).

Because the relationship is symmetric, we usually just say that  $A$  and  $C$  are **similar matrices**, meaning one is similar to the other, and we can express this either as  $C = P^{-1}AP$  or  $A = Q^{-1}CQ$  for invertible matrices  $P$  and  $Q$  (in which case  $Q = P^{-1}$ ).

Similar matrices share many properties, some of which are collected in the next theorem.

**THEOREM 2.3** If  $A$  and  $C$  are similar  $n \times n$ -matrices, then  $A$  and  $C$  have the same determinant, rank, and trace.

**Proof.** 1) Let  $C = P^{-1}AP$  for some invertible matrix  $P$ . Then we have

$$\det C = \det(P^{-1}) \det A \det P = (1/\det P) \det A \det P = \det A.$$

2) Since  $\text{rank}(AB) \leq \text{rank } A$ , it follows that  $\text{rank } C \leq \text{rank } A$  and  $\text{rank } A \leq \text{rank } C$ , so they are equal.

3) Write  $A = [a_{ij}]$  and  $B = [b_{ij}]$ . For each  $i$ , the  $(i, i)$ -entry of the matrix  $AB$  is  $d_i = \sum_j a_{ij}b_{ji}$ . Hence

$$\text{tr}(AB) = d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n = \sum_i \left( \sum_j a_{ij}b_{ji} \right) = \text{tr}(BA).$$

Therefore,  $\text{tr } C = \text{tr}(P^{-1}AP) = \text{tr}(APP^{-1}) = \text{tr } A$ . □

### Definition of Kernel and Image

Now we will introduce two important subspaces associated with a linear map  $f : V \rightarrow W$ .

**DEFINITION 2.4** The **kernel** of  $f$  (denoted  $\text{Ker } f$ ) and the **image** of  $f$  (denoted  $\text{Im } f$  or  $f(V)$ ) are defined by

$$\text{Ker } f = \{\mathbf{v} \in V \mid f(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}\}, \quad \text{Im } f = f(V) = \{\mathbf{w} \in W \mid \exists \mathbf{v} \in V : \mathbf{w} = f(\mathbf{v})\}.$$

The kernel of  $f$  is often called the **nullspace** of  $f$ . It consists of all vectors  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $V$  satisfying the condition  $f(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$ . The image of  $f$  is often called the **range** of  $f$  and consists of all vectors  $\mathbf{w}$  in  $W$  of the form  $\mathbf{w} = f(\mathbf{v})$  for some  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $V$ .

**THEOREM 2.5** Let  $f : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear map.

1.  $\text{Ker } f$  is a subspace of  $V$ .
2.  $\text{Im } f$  is a subspace of  $W$ .

**Proof.** The fact that  $f(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$  shows that  $\text{Ker } f$  and  $\text{Im } f$  contain the zero vector of  $V$  and  $W$  respectively.

1. If  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_1$  lie in  $\text{Ker } f$ , then  $f(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0} = f(\mathbf{v}_1)$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_1) &= f(\mathbf{v}) + f(\mathbf{v}_1) = \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} \\ f(k\mathbf{v}) &= kf(\mathbf{v}) = k \cdot \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_1$  and  $k\mathbf{v}$  lie in  $\text{Ker } f$  (they satisfy the required condition), so  $\text{Ker } f$  is a subspace of  $V$  since it is closed under addition and scalar multiplication.

2. If  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{w}_1$  lie in  $\text{Im } f$ , write  $\mathbf{w} = f(\mathbf{v})$  and  $\mathbf{w}_1 = f(\mathbf{v}_1)$  where  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1 \in V$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}_1 &= f(\mathbf{v}) + f(\mathbf{v}_1) = f(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_1) \\ k\mathbf{w} &= kf(\mathbf{v}) = f(k\mathbf{v}) \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}_1$  and  $k\mathbf{w}$  both lie in  $\text{Im } f$  (they have the required form), so  $\text{Im } f$  is a subspace of  $W$ . □

**DEFINITION 2.6** Given a linear map  $f : V \rightarrow W$ :

- $\dim(\text{Ker } f)$  is called the **nullity** of  $f$  and denoted as  $\text{nullity } f$
- $\dim(\text{Im } f)$  is called the **rank** of  $f$  and denoted as  $\text{rank } f$

The rank of a matrix  $A$  was defined earlier to be the dimension of  $\text{col } A$ , the column space of  $A$ . The two usages of the word *rank* are consistent in the following sense.

**THEOREM 2.7** Let  $f_A$  be a matrix map induced by an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$ . Then

1.  $\text{Im } f_A = \text{col } A$ ;
2.  $\text{rank } f_A = \text{rank } A$ .

**Proof.** Since  $f_A(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$ , then

$$\text{Im } f_A = \{A\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\} = \{x_1\mathbf{c}_1 + \cdots + x_n\mathbf{c}_n \mid x_i \in \mathbb{R}\} = \text{col } A.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{rank } A = \dim(\text{col } A) = \dim(\text{row } A). \quad \square$$

### One-to-One and Onto Maps

**DEFINITION 2.8** Let  $f : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear map.

- $f$  is said to be **onto** (or **surjective**) if  $\text{Im } f = W$ .
- $f$  is said to be **one-to-one** (or **injective**) if  $f(\mathbf{v}) = f(\mathbf{v}_1)$  implies  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_1$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.9** The identity map  $\text{Id} : V \rightarrow V$  is both one-to-one and onto for any vector space  $V$ .

A vector  $\mathbf{w}$  in  $W$  is said to be **hit** by  $f$  if  $\mathbf{w} = f(\mathbf{v})$  for some  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $V$ . Then  $f$  is onto if every vector in  $W$  is hit at least once, and  $f$  is one-to-one if no element of  $W$  gets hit twice. Clearly the onto maps  $f$  are those for which  $\text{Im } f = W$  is as large a subspace of  $W$  as possible. By contrast, the next theorem shows that the one-to-one maps  $f$  are the ones with  $\text{Ker } f$  as small a subspace of  $V$  as possible.

**THEOREM 2.10** If  $f : V \rightarrow W$  is a linear map, then  $f$  is one-to-one if and only if  $\text{Ker } f = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

**Proof.** If  $f$  is one-to-one, let  $\mathbf{v}$  be any vector in  $\text{Ker } f$ . Then  $f(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$ , so  $f(\mathbf{v}) = f(\mathbf{0})$ . Hence  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  because  $f$  is one-to-one. Hence  $\text{Ker } f = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

Conversely, assume that  $\text{Ker } f = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  and let  $f(\mathbf{v}) = f(\mathbf{v}_1)$  with  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_1$  in  $V$ . Then  $f(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_1) = f(\mathbf{v}) - f(\mathbf{v}_1) = \mathbf{0}$ , so  $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_1$  lies in  $\text{Ker } f = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . This means that  $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ , so  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_1$ , proving that  $f$  is one-to-one.  $\square$

**EXAMPLE 2.11** Consider the linear maps

- $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  given by  $f(x, y, z) = (x + y + z, x - y)$
- $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  given by  $g(x, y) = (x, x + y, x - y)$

Show that  $f$  is onto but not one-to-one, whereas  $g$  is one-to-one but not onto.

**Solution.** The verification that  $f$  and  $g$  are linear is omitted.

Map  $f$  is not one-to-one because  $(1, 1, -2)$  lies in  $\text{Ker } f$ . But every element  $(s, t)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  lies in  $\text{Im } f$  because  $(s, t) = (x + y + z, x - y) = f(x, y, z)$  for some  $x, y$ , and  $z$  (for example,  $x = \frac{1}{2}(s + t)$ ,  $y = \frac{1}{2}(s - t)$ , and  $z = 0$ ). Hence  $f$  is onto.

Map  $g$  is one-to-one because

$$\text{Ker } g = \{(x, y) \mid x + y = x - y = x = 0\} = \{(0, 0)\}.$$

However, it is not onto. For example  $(1, 0, 0)$  does not lie in  $\text{Im } g$  because if  $(1, 0, 0) = (x, x + y, x - y)$  for some  $x$  and  $y$ , then  $x + y = 0 = x - y$  and  $x = 1$ , which is contradictory.

The next theorem gives conditions under which a linear map  $f : V \rightarrow W$  of finite-dimensional spaces is onto or one-to-one.

**THEOREM 2.12** Let  $f : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear map of finite-dimensional spaces, which is represented by an  $m \times n$ -matrix  $A$  with respect to some bases of  $V$  and  $W$ , i.e.,  $f(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$  for all  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $V$ . Then the following statements hold.

1.  $f$  is onto if and only if  $\text{rank } A = m$ .
2.  $f$  is one-to-one if and only if  $\text{rank } A = n$ .

**Proof.** 1. We have that  $\text{Im } f$  is the column space of  $A$ , so  $f$  is onto if and only if the column space of  $A$  is  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Because the rank of  $A$  is the dimension of the column space, this holds if and only if  $\text{rank } A = m$ .

2.  $\text{Ker } f = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}\}$ , so  $f$  is one-to-one if and only if  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  implies  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . This is equivalent to  $\text{rank } A = n$ . □