

Lecture 13. Linear Dependence and Basis

May 2023

Linear Dependence and Independence of Vectors

DEFINITION 13.1 If $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is a nonempty set of vectors in a vector space V , then S is said to be **linearly dependent** if the vector equation

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \lambda_k \mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0} \quad (1)$$

can be satisfied with coefficients $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ that are not all zero.

DEFINITION 13.2 If $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is a nonempty set of vectors in a vector space V , then S is said to be a **linearly independent** set if the only coefficients satisfying the vector equation (1) are $\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = 0, \dots, \lambda_k = 0$.

LEMMA 13.3 (Dependent Lemma) If $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is a set of two or more vectors in a vector space V , then S is linearly dependent if and only if there is a vector in S that can be expressed as a linear combination of the others.

Proof. Assume first that S is linearly dependent. We will show that if the equation

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \lambda_k \mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}$$

can be satisfied with coefficients that are not all zero, then at least one of the vectors in S must be expressible as a linear combination of the others. To be specific, suppose that $\lambda_1 \neq 0$. Then we can rewrite the last equation as

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \left(-\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right) \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \left(-\frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_1}\right) \mathbf{v}_k$$

which expresses \mathbf{v}_1 as a linear combination of the other vectors in S .

Conversely, suppose that at least one of the vectors is expressible as a linear combination of the others, say

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = x_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + x_r \mathbf{v}_k$$

which we can rewrite as

$$\mathbf{v}_1 + (-x_2) \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + (-x_r) \mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}$$

But this means that the vector equation is satisfied by $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = -x_2, \dots, \lambda_k = -x_k$, the first coefficient being nonzero. Thus, the vectors in S must be linearly dependent. \square

Basis

DEFINITION 13.4 If $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ is an ordered set of vectors in a vector space V , then S is called a **basis** for V if:

- (a) Any vector in V is expressible as a linear combination of the vectors in S (that is, S **spans** V).

(b) S is linearly independent.

If we think of a basis as describing a coordinate system for a vector space V , then part (a) of this definition guarantees that there are enough basis vectors to provide coordinates for all vectors in V , and part (b) guarantees that there is no interrelationship between the basis vectors.

EXAMPLE 13.5 (The Standard Basis for \mathbb{R}^n) It is evident that the standard unit vectors $\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$, $\mathbf{e}_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, \dots , $\mathbf{e}_n = (0, 0, 0, \dots, 1)$ span \mathbb{R}^n and that they are linearly independent.

THEOREM 13.6 (Uniqueness of Basis Representation) If $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ is a basis for a vector space V , then every vector \mathbf{v} in V can be expressed in the form $\mathbf{v} = x_1\mathbf{v}_1 + x_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + x_n\mathbf{v}_n$ in exactly one way.

Proof. Since S spans V , it follows from the definition of a spanning set that every vector in V is expressible as a linear combination of the vectors in S . To see that there is only one way to express a vector as a linear combination of the vectors in S , suppose that some vector \mathbf{v} can be written as

$$\mathbf{v} = x_1\mathbf{v}_1 + x_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + x_n\mathbf{v}_n$$

and also as

$$\mathbf{v} = k_1\mathbf{v}_1 + k_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + k_n\mathbf{v}_n$$

Subtracting the second equation from the first one gives

$$\mathbf{0} = (x_1 - k_1)\mathbf{v}_1 + (x_2 - k_2)\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + (x_n - k_n)\mathbf{v}_n$$

Since the right side of this equation is a linear combination of vectors in S , the linear independence of S implies that

$$x_1 - k_1 = 0, \quad x_2 - k_2 = 0, \quad \dots, \quad x_n - k_n = 0$$

that is, $x_1 = k_1$, $x_2 = k_2$, \dots , $x_n = k_n$. Thus, the two expressions for \mathbf{v} are the same. \square

The following theorem is one of the most useful results in linear algebra.

THEOREM 13.7 (Fundamental Theorem) Suppose a vector space V can be spanned by n vectors. If a set of m vectors in V is linearly independent, then $m \leq n$.

Proof. Let $V = \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$, and suppose that $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m\}$ is an independent set in V . Then

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + a_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + a_n\mathbf{v}_n, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

As $\mathbf{u}_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$, not all of the a_i are zero, say $a_1 \neq 0$ (after relabelling the \mathbf{v}_i). It is easy to verify that $V = \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$. Hence, write

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = b_1\mathbf{u}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + c_3\mathbf{v}_3 + \dots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n.$$

Then some $c_i \neq 0$ because $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2\}$ is independent; so, as before, $V = \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$, again after possible relabelling of the \mathbf{v}_i . If $m > n$, this procedure continues until all the vectors \mathbf{v}_i are replaced by the vectors $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$. In particular,

$$V = \{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}.$$

But then \mathbf{u}_{n+1} is a linear combination of $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ contrary to the independence of the \mathbf{u}_i . Hence, the assumption $m > n$ cannot be valid, so $m \leq n$ and the theorem is proved. \square

COROLLARY 13.8 (Steinitz Exchange Lemma) If $V = \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$, and if $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m\}$ is an independent set in V , then m of the (spanning) vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ can be replaced by the (independent) vectors $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m$ so that the resulting set will still span V .

THEOREM 13.9 (Invariance Theorem) Let $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ and $\{\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \dots, \mathbf{f}_m\}$ be two bases of a vector space V . Then $n = m$.

Proof. Because $V = \text{span}\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ and the set $\{\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \dots, \mathbf{f}_m\}$ is independent, it follows from Fundamental theorem that $m \leq n$. Similarly $n \leq m$, so $n = m$, as asserted. \square

Theorem 13.9 guarantees that no matter which basis of V is chosen it contains the same number of vectors as any other basis. Hence there is no ambiguity about the following definition.

DEFINITION 13.10 If $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ is a basis of the nonzero vector space V , the number n of vectors in the basis is called the **dimension** of V , and we write $\dim V = n$. The zero vector space $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ is defined to have dimension 0: $\dim\{\mathbf{0}\} = 0$.

EXAMPLE 13.11 Show that the set V of all symmetric 2×2 matrices is a vector space, and find the dimension of V .

Solution. A matrix A is symmetric if $A^T = A$. If A and B lie in V , then

$$(A + B)^T = A^T + B^T = A + B \quad \text{and} \quad (kA)^T = kA^T = kA.$$

Hence $A + B$ and kA are also symmetric. As the 2×2 zero matrix is also in V , this shows that V is a vector space (being a subspace of M_{22}). Now a matrix A is symmetric when entries directly across the main diagonal are equal, so each 2×2 symmetric matrix has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ c & b \end{pmatrix} = a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence the set $B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ spans V , and it can be easily verified that B is linearly independent. Thus B is a basis of V , so $\dim V = 3$. \square

Subspaces and Bases

Up to this point, we have had no guarantee that an arbitrary vector space has a basis – and hence no guarantee that one can speak at all of the dimension of V . However, we will

show that any space that is spanned by a finite set of vectors has a (finite) basis: The proof requires the following basic lemma, of interest in itself, that gives a way to enlarge a given independent set of vectors.

LEMMA 13.12 (Independent Lemma) Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ be an independent set of vectors in a vector space V . If $\mathbf{u} \in V$ but $\mathbf{u} \notin \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$, then $\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is also independent.

Proof. Let $t\mathbf{u} + k_1\mathbf{v}_1 + k_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + t_k\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}$; we must show that all the coefficients are zero. If $t \neq 0$, then \mathbf{u} is in $\text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$, contrary to our assumption. If $t = 0$, then $t_1\mathbf{v}_1 + t_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + t_k\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}$ so the rest of the t_i are zero by the independence of $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$. This is what we wanted. \square

Note that the converse of Lemma 13.12 is also true: if $\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is independent, then \mathbf{u} is not in $\text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$.

DEFINITION 13.13 A vector space V is called **finite dimensional** if it can be spanned by a finite set of vectors. Otherwise, V is called **infinite dimensional**.

LEMMA 13.14 If V is a finite dimensional vector space, then any independent subset of V can be enlarged to a finite basis of V .

Proof. Suppose that I is an independent subset of V . If $\text{span } I = V$ then I is already a basis of V . If $\text{span } I \neq V$, choose $\mathbf{u}_1 \in V$ such that $\mathbf{u}_1 \notin \text{span } I$. Hence the set $I \cup \{\mathbf{u}_1\}$ is independent by Lemma 13.12. If $\text{span}(I \cup \{\mathbf{u}_1\}) = V$ we are done; otherwise choose $\mathbf{u}_2 \in V$ such that $\mathbf{u}_2 \notin \text{span}(I \cup \{\mathbf{u}_1\})$. Hence $I \cup \{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2\}$ is independent, and the process continues. We claim that a basis of V will be reached eventually. Indeed, if no basis of V is ever reached, the process creates arbitrarily large independent sets in V . But this is impossible by the fundamental theorem because V is finite dimensional and so is spanned by a finite set of vectors. \square

COROLLARY 13.15 Let V be a finite dimensional vector space spanned by m vectors.

1. V has a finite basis, and $\dim V \leq m$.
2. Every independent set of vectors in V can be enlarged to a basis of V by adding vectors from any fixed basis of V .

THEOREM 13.16 If U is a subspace of a finite dimensional vector space V , then

1. U is finite dimensional and $\dim U \leq \dim V$.
2. Every basis of U is a part of a basis of V .
3. If $\dim U = \dim V$, then $U = V$.

Proof 1. This is clear if $U = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Otherwise, let $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}$ in U . Then $\{\mathbf{u}\}$ can be enlarged to a finite basis B of U by Lemma 13.14, proving that U is finite dimensional. But B is independent in V , so $\dim U \leq \dim V$ by the fundamental theorem.

2. This is clear if $U = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ because V has a basis; otherwise, it follows from Corollary 13.15.

3. Now assume $\dim U = \dim V = n$, and let B be a basis of U . Then B is an independent set in V . If $U \neq V$, then $\text{span } B \neq V$, so B can be extended to an independent set of $n + 1$ vectors in V by Lemma 13.14. This contradicts the fundamental theorem because V is spanned by $\dim V = n$ vectors. Hence $U = V$. \square

EXAMPLE 13.17 Find a basis of P_3 containing the independent set $\{1 + x, 1 + x^2\}$.

Solution. The standard basis of P_3 is $\{1, x, x^2, x^3\}$, so including two of these vectors will do. If we use 1 and x^3 , the result is $\{1, 1 + x, 1 + x^2, x^3\}$. This is independent because the polynomials have distinct degrees, and so is a basis. Of course, including $\{1, x\}$ or $\{1, x^2\}$ would not work! \square

EXAMPLE 13.18 Show that the space P of all polynomials is infinite dimensional.

Solution. For each $n \geq 1$, P has a subspace P_n of dimension $n + 1$. Suppose P is finite dimensional, say $\dim P = m$. Then $\dim P_n \leq \dim P$ by Theorem 13.16, that is $n + 1 \leq m$. This is impossible since n is arbitrary, so P must be infinite dimensional. \square

EXAMPLE 13.19 If $\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \dots, \mathbf{c}_k$ are independent columns in \mathbb{R}^n and $k < n$, show that they are the first k columns in some invertible $n \times n$ matrix.

Solution. By Theorem 13.16, expand $\{\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \dots, \mathbf{c}_k\}$ to a basis $\{\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \dots, \mathbf{c}_k, \mathbf{c}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n\}$ of \mathbb{R}^n . Then the matrix

$$A = [\mathbf{c}_1 \ \mathbf{c}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{c}_k \ \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \ \dots \ \mathbf{c}_n]$$

with this basis as its columns is an $n \times n$ matrix and it is invertible. \square

EXAMPLE 13.20 If a is a number, let W denote the subspace of all polynomials in P_n that have a as a root:

$$W = \{p(x) \mid p(x) \in P_n \text{ and } p(a) = 0\}.$$

Show that $\{(x - a), (x - a)^2, \dots, (x - a)^n\}$ is a basis of W .

Solution. Observe first that $(x - a), (x - a)^2, \dots, (x - a)^n$ are members of W , and that they are independent because they have distinct degrees. Write

$$U = \text{span}\{(x - a), (x - a)^2, \dots, (x - a)^n\}.$$

Then we have $U \subset W \subset P_n$, $\dim U = n$, and $\dim P_n = n + 1$. Hence $n \leq \dim W \leq n + 1$ by Theorem 13.16. Since $\dim W$ is an integer, we must have $\dim W = n$ or $\dim W = n + 1$. But then $W = U$ or $W = P_n$, again by Theorem 13.16. Because $W \neq P_n$, it follows that $W = U$, as required. \square

Lemma 13.14 gives a way to enlarge independent sets to a basis; by contrast, Lemma 13.21 shows that spanning sets can be cut down to a basis.

LEMMA 13.21 Let V be a finite dimensional vector space. Any spanning set for V can be cut down (by deleting vectors) to a basis of V .

Proof. Since V is finite dimensional, it has a finite spanning set S . Among all spanning sets contained in S , choose S_0 containing the smallest number of vectors. It suffices to show that S_0 is independent (then S_0 is a basis, proving the lemma). Suppose, on the contrary,

that S_0 is not independent. Then some vector $\mathbf{u} \in S_0$ is a linear combination of the set $S_1 = S_0 \setminus \{\mathbf{u}\}$ of vectors in S_0 other than \mathbf{u} . It follows that $\text{span } S_0 = \text{span } S_1$, that is, $V = \text{span } S_1$. But S_1 has fewer elements than S_0 so this contradicts the choice of S_0 . Hence S_0 is independent after all. \square

EXAMPLE 13.22 Find a basis of P_3 in the spanning set $S = \{1, x + x^2, 2x - 3x^2, 1 + 3x - 2x^2, x^3\}$.

Solution. Since $\dim P_3 = 4$, we must eliminate one polynomial from S . It cannot be x^3 because the span of the rest of S is contained in P_2 . But eliminating $1 + 3x - 2x^2$ does leave a basis. Note that $1 + 3x - 2x^2$ is the sum of the first three polynomials in S . \square

In general, to show that a set of vectors $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ is a basis for a vector space V , we must show that the vectors are linearly independent and $\text{span } V$. However, if we know that V has dimension n (so that S contains the right number of vectors for a basis), then it suffices to check either linear independence or spanning — the remaining condition will hold automatically. This is the content of the following theorem.

THEOREM 13.23 Let V be an n -dimensional vector space V , and suppose S is a set of exactly n vectors in V . Then S is a basis for V if either S spans V or S is linearly independent.

Proof. Assume first that S is independent. By Theorem 13.16, S is contained in a basis B of V . Since S has the same number of vectors as B , it follows that $S = B$.

Conversely, assume that S spans V , so by Lemma 13.21, S contains a basis B . Again S and B have the same number of vectors, thus $S = B$. \square

THEOREM 13.24 Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Columns of A are a basis of \mathbb{R}^n if and only if $\det A \neq 0$.

Proof. 1) Let $\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n$ denote columns of A and $\det A \neq 0$. Consider the dependency equation $x_1\mathbf{c}_1 + \dots + x_n\mathbf{c}_n = \mathbf{0}$. In matrix notation, it takes the form $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, where $\det A \neq 0$. By Cramer's rule, it has a unique solution that is trivial. Therefore, the vectors are linearly independent. By Theorem 13.23, they are a basis of \mathbb{R}^n .

2) If columns of A are linearly dependent, then by Corollary 9.19, $\det A = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

EXAMPLE 13.25 Check whether the vectors $(1, 2, 3)^T$, $(-3, 0, 5)^T$, $(7, -1, 2)^T$ form a basis in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Solution. Construct the matrix with these vectors as columns and compute the determinant:

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & -3 & 7 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 3 & 5 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 96 \neq 0,$$

so these vectors are a basis. \square

Exercises

1. Which of the following vectors are linear combinations of $\mathbf{u} = (0, -2, 2)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (1, 3, -1)$:

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = (2, 2, 2)^T, \quad \mathbf{a}_2 = (0, 4, 5)^T, \quad \mathbf{a}_3 = (0, 0, 0)^T?$$

Answer. \mathbf{a}_1 and \mathbf{a}_3 .

2. Which of the following matrices are linear combinations of

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ -2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} :$$

$$(a) \begin{pmatrix} 6 & -8 \\ -1 & -8 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (b) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (c) \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 5 \\ 7 & 1 \end{pmatrix}?$$

Answer. (a) and (b).

3. Show that $\text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2\}$ if

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 6 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{w}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ -5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{w}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 8 \\ 9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

4. Determine whether the following polynomials span P_2 :

$$p_1 = 1 - x + 2x^2, \quad p_2 = 3 + x, \\ p_3 = 5 - x + 4x^2, \quad p_4 = -2 - 2x + 2x^2.$$

Answer. No.