Search for charged Higgs boson with the $H^{\pm} \rightarrow tb$ decay in fully hadronic final state

B2G Resonances meeting

A. Attikis[1], K. Christoforou[1], M. Kolosova[1], **S. Konstantinou**[1], S. Lehti[2], C.Leonidou[1], L.Paizanos[1], F. Ptochos[1], H. Saka[1], A. Stepennov[1]

[1]UCY [2]HIP

Friday 25th November, 2022



Many BSM theories need to enlarge their Higgs sector to two Higgs doublets

- The minimal two-Higgs-doublet models (2HDMs) predict 5 physical states:
  - two neutral, $\mathcal{CP}$-even particles h and H ($m_h \leq m_H$)
  - one neutral, $\mathcal{CP}$-odd particle A^0
  - two charged Higgs bosons $H^\pm$

SM fermion coupling to 2HDs (no FCNCs):

I All quarks & leptons couple to Φ_2

II All u-type to Φ_2 and all d-type & ℓ to Φ_1

X Both u & d types couple to Φ_2, all ℓ to Φ_1

Y Roles of two doublets reversed wrt type II

Type	u	d	ℓ
I	Φ_2	Φ_2	Φ_2
II	Φ_2	Φ_1	Φ_1
III (X)	Φ_2	Φ_2	Φ_1
IV (Y)	Φ_2	Φ_1	Φ_2

For each 2HDMs type there are 7 free parameters (incl. m_h, m_H, m_A, $m_{H^\pm}$):

5. $\tan\beta \equiv \frac{v_2}{v_1}$, the ratio of the Higgs doublet VEVs
6. $\sin(\beta - \alpha)$, α: the mixing angle of the $\mathcal{CP}$-even states
7. m_{12}, diagonal term of the mass matrix of the Higgs doublets

Three mass categories are commonly defined in $H^{\pm}$ searches:

- Light $m_{H^{\pm}} < m_t - m_b$, intermediate $m_{H^{\pm}} \sim m_t$, heavy $m_{H^{\pm}} > m_t + m_b$

Decay BRs model-dependent $\Rightarrow$ different searches constrain different scenarios.

M_h^{125}, $\tan\beta = 0.5$



M_h^{125}, $H^{\pm} \to tb$



BRs of $H^{\pm} \to tb$ dominanates at high $m_{H^{\pm}}$, for wide range of tan β

This analysis searches for a heavy $H^{\pm}$

4FS



5FS



s-channel



Fully-hadronic final state of associated production characterised by:

- ▶ High jet & b jet multiplicities
- ✓ Large branching ratio $\mathcal{B} \simeq 46\%$
- ✓ Invariant mass reconstruction of $H^{\pm}$
- ✗ QCD multijet & $t\bar{t}$ background
- ✗ Combinatorial (self-)background



Various $m_{H^\pm}$ reconstruction techniques available due to signal process kinematics:

- **Resolved t**: At moderate $m_{H^\pm}$ & $p_{T,H^\pm}$ the decay products of $H^\pm$ are well separated
- **Boosted W/t**: As $m_{H^\pm}$ increases the $H^\pm$ decay products become boosted
- **Boosted $H^\pm$**: As $p_{T,H^\pm}$ increases its decay products become collinear

resolved t



boosted W



boosted t



boosted $H^\pm$



boosted $H^\pm$



Previous results

- **Resolved t**, **Boosted W/t** studied separately by dedicated analyses
- 2016 ReReco data
- CADI HIG-18-015

This work

- **Resolved t**, **Boosted t**, **Boosted W**
- Full Run II data
- This talk: status of 2018 data
- Last report (HExtended): 25 Oct 2021

Resolved CADI: HIG-18-015

- Resolved t (t^{res}) identification: custom top tagger (BDT)
- Selected events contain $\geq$ 7 jets, $\geq$ 3 b-tagged, 2 t^{res}
- $H^{\pm}$mass reconstruction (m_{bt}): leading p_T t^{res} + leading p_T b jet
- Main background:
  - Misid. B: From data using CRs (ABCD method)
  - Genuine B: from simulation
- m_{bt} is used to extract the signal in the presence of the SM background.

t^{res} efficiency



m_{bt} Post-fit



Boosted CADI: HIG-18-015

- Events are split in four main categories

t0b



t1b



Wbb



Wbj



- Boosted t/W identification: Based on m_{SD}, τ_N, N_b subjets
- $\mathrm{H}^{\pm}$mass reconstruction (m_{bt}): t + leading p_{T} b jet
- Further categorization according to:
  - $N_b \in [=1, =2, \geq 3]$
  - $N_j^{extra} \in [<3, \geq 3]$
  - $m_{\mathrm{tb}} \in$ [below, in, above] of FWHM of signal



- Main background

QCD : from data using CRs (inverted τ_N), sidebands with $m_{\mathrm{tb}} \in$ [below, above])

$\mathrm{t\bar{t}}$: from sim., normalized in CR with 1 ℓ

- H_T is used to extract the signal from SM background inside the m_{bt} window.

Associated production



Resolved and Boosted analysis overlayed limits

- Resolved: $m_{H^\pm} \leq 0.8$ TeV
- No excess above the estimated background
- Interpretation in hMSSM:

  maximum $\tan\beta = 0.88$ excluded for $m_{H^\pm} = 0.20$-0.55 TeV

s-channel



Boosted categories

Associated production



Boosted analysis:

- Upper limits in the s-channel production for $m_{H^\pm}$ 0.8 to 3 TeV
- Most sensitive main category is *t*1*b*
- Least sensitive category is *Wbj*

Three main categories with different topology

1. Both top candidates are resolved — RESOLVED
2. Associated top is resolved, top from $H^{\pm}$ is boosted — BOOSTED
3. Both top candidates are boosted — BOOSTED

- This analysis targets full Run II data
- This talk presents a study using 2018 data (RunIISummer20UL18)

Datasets	Luminosity (pb^{-1})
JetHT_Run2018A_UL2018_MiniAODv2_v1_315257_316995	14026.95
JetHT_Run2018B_UL2018_MiniAODv2_v1_317080_319310	7060.79
JetHT_Run2018C_UL2018_MiniAODv2_v1_319337_320065	6894.78
JetHT_Run2018D_UL2018_MiniAODv2_v2_320413_325172	31834.89
Total:	59817.41

- MC simulated samples include:
  - Signal: $m_{\mathrm{H}^{\pm}} = 200 - 3000$ GeV (17 points)
  - QCD (H_{T} binned)
  - Top (Single top, $\mathrm{t\bar{t}}$, $\mathrm{t\bar{t}}$ +X)
  - V+jets, diboson, triboson

Signal region (SR):

Trigger	H_T + multijet + 1 or 2 b jets
e veto	$p_{\mathrm{T}} > 10$ GeV, $\lvert\eta\rvert < 2.4$, Loose miniIso, cutBasedElectronID (veto)
μ veto	$p_{\mathrm{T}} > 10$ GeV, $\lvert\eta\rvert < 2.4$, Loose miniIso isCutBasedIDLoose
τ veto	$p_{\mathrm{T}} > 20$ GeV, $\lvert\eta\rvert < 2.3$, DeepTau $D_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{vloose}}$, $D_{\mu}^{\mathrm{medium}}$, D_{j}^{loose}
≥ 7 jets	$p_T^{6th} > 40$ GeV, $p_T^{7th} > 30$ GeV, $\lvert\eta\rvert < 2.4$, Tight ID, $H_T > 500$ GeV
≥ 3 b jets	$p_{\mathrm{T}} > 40$ GeV, DeepJet Medium WP
≥ 1 resolved top (t^{res})	custom DNN medium, $130 < m_{\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{res}}} < 210$ GeV

SR categorization based on t^{res}

- $1M1L_{t^{res}}$: medium $t^{res}_{\mathrm{H}^{\pm}}$
  loose-not-medium t^{res}_{assoc}
- $2M_{t^{res}}$: both t^{res} medium tagged

Invariant $\mathrm{H}^{\pm}$ mass reconstruction:

$$m_{\mathrm{tb}} = t^{res}_{ldg\ p_T} + \mathrm{bjet}^{free}_{ldg\ p_T}$$



RESOLVED top tagging

A fully connected NN is developed to reconstruct resolved top-quarks

- Distinguishes trijets from top-quark decays and trijets from combinatorial background.
- Training on simulated $t\bar{t}$ events
  - Signal: truth-matched trijets
  - Background: non-matched trijets ($\geq$ 1 non-matched jet)

signal



background



Mass decorrelation using sample reweighting:

- Background is reweighted such that m_{top} matches the signal.

SF vs t^{res} p_{T} measured in a region with 1 isolated ℓ





HIG-21-010 Submitted to JHEP

Documentation: AN 2021/019

Approved by JMAR group

Main background for the $H^{\pm} \rightarrow$ tb fully hadronic final state:

- QCD multijet ← DATA DRIVEN
- EWK processes (mainly $t\bar{t}$) ← SIMULATION

QCD background measurement

Defining 3 orthogonal control regions (CR) for each SR

- t^{res}_{assoc} mass: On-mass → Off-mass "sidebands"
- $t^{res}_{H^{\pm}}$ mva: t-tagged (t) → non t-tagged (!t)

"ABCD" method

$$N^{SR}_{QCD} = \sum_{i}^{\text{bins}} N^{CR(off-m,t)}_{QCD,i} \cdot \left(\frac{N^{CR(on-m,!t)}_{QCD,i}}{N^{CR(off-m,!t)}_{QCD,i}} \right)$$

- Performed in bins of the t^{res}_{assoc} p_{T}:
  - $2M_{t^{res}}$: $p_{\mathrm{T}} \in [0, 100, 300, \infty]$ GeV
  - $1M1L_{t^{res}}$: $p_{\mathrm{T}} \in [0, 175, \infty]$ GeV

Sidebands



Two validation regions (VRs) for each SR

- $t\bar{t}$ enriched: == 2 b jets, $m_{t_{H^\pm}^{res}} \in [145, 195]$ GeV, $\Delta R_{min}(bb) > 1.2$
- QCD enriched: == 2 b jets, $m_{t_{H^\pm}^{res}} \notin [145, 195]$ GeV, $\Delta R_{min}(bb) < 0.9$

SR | VR_{QCD} | $VR_{t\bar{t}}$

$1M1L_{t^{res}}$







$2M_{t^{res}}$







A parameterized DNN is developed to extract signal from SM background

- Signal: $H^{\pm} \rightarrow$ tb for different mass hypotheses
- Background: $t\bar{t} \rightarrow$ SR, Combinatorial $\rightarrow$ $CR^{(off-m,t)}$ ← $t\bar{t}$ MC

Input variables

1. $\Delta\theta(t_{H+}, b_{H+})$ in $H^{\pm}$ CM
2. $H_{T,3b}$
3. $p_T(\mathrm{bb}_{dRmin})$
4. $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{bb}_{maxPt})$
5. $\mathrm{y23} = p^2_{T,j3}/(p_{T,j1} + p_{T,j2})^2$
6. $p_{T,b(\mathrm{H}^{\pm})}/H_{T,3b}$
7. $m_{H^{\pm}}$
8. $p_T^{Asym}(\mathrm{H}^{\pm}, \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{H}^{\pm}})$
9. Circularity
10. Sphericity
11. Aplanarity
12. Number of medium tops
13. True mass

Parameterized DNN



- True mass is the θ parameter
- In background events, the true mass is randomly assigned to the same values used for signal
- Training (test) is done using 2017 (2018) data

Parameterized DNN is trained using 6 different mass hypotheses.

- Training masses = [220, 350, 600, 1000, 1500, 2500] GeV
- Performance compared to DNNs with fixed $m_{H^\pm}$

ROC



- Each curve is evaluated at the true mass DNN($\mathbf{x}$,$m_{H^\pm}$)
- Comparable results!
- Good prediction even for masses not given in the training

DNN($m_{H^\pm}$ = 300 GeV)

DNN($m_{H^\pm}$ = 600 GeV)

DNN($m_{H^\pm}$ = 1250 GeV)

$1M1L_{t^{res}}$







$2M_{t^{res}}$







Expected limits on $\sigma_{\mathrm{H}^{\pm}t(b)} \times \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{H}^{\pm} \to \mathrm{tb})$

$1\mathrm{M}1\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}$



$2\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{t}}$



Combined



- Statistical uncertainties only
- Sensitivity comes to a plateau for $m_{H^{\pm}} > 1000$ GeV
- Results improved by a factor of 2 wrt 2016 data analysis **FIXME**

Two SRs based on the number of boosted tops (t^{bst}):

SR1 : $N_{t^{bst}} == 1$
SR2 : $N_{t^{bst}} == 2$

SR1



SR2



Preliminary

SR1	SR2	
Trigger	Trigger	H_T + multijet + 1 or 2 b jets H_T + AK8 jet + trim mass
ℓ veto	ℓ veto	same as resolved
$= 1$ t^{bst}	$= 2$ t^{bst}	$p_T > 400$ GeV, $\|\eta\| < 2.4$, `ParticleNet_TvsQCD` Medium WP
≥ 4 jets	≥ 2 jets	$p_T > 40$ GeV, $\|\eta\| < 2.4$, tight ID, $H_T > 500$ GeV
≥ 2 b jets	≥ 1 b jets	DeepJet Medium WP
≤ 2 t^{res}	≥ 0 t^{res}	custom DNN loose, $130 < m_{t^{res}} < 210$ GeV
$\Delta R(t^{bst}, b^{ldg}) > 1.2$	$\Delta R(t^{bst}, b^{ldg}) > 0.0$	
$\max(m_{bb}) > 200$ GeV	$\max(m_{bb}) > 0$ GeV	

Invariant $H^{\pm}$ mass reconstruction:

$m_{tb} = t^{bst} + \text{bjet}^{ldg\ p_T}$



Boosted top jets t^{bst} are identified using the `ParticleNet_TvsQCD` discriminant

Designed decorrelated tagger (DDT)

- A 3D map of the tagger's score for a fixed mID rate vs p_{T} and $\rho = \ln(m_{SD}^2/p_T^2)$

before smearing



5σ Gaussian smear



- Calculated with simulation QCD multijet events
- For each (p_{T}, ρ) bin: estimate the WP that corresponds to %y mID rate: $X(y\%)$
- Transformed score: $X(DDT) = X$ - $X(y\%)$ ← **p_t, ρ dependent**
- Selection requirment $X(DDT) > 0$

Main background: QCD multijet, $t\bar{t}$

- 2D (m_{SD}^{t}, m_{tb}) templates derived from MC simulation
- Normalization: from sidebands on m_{tb}or CRs with inverted requirements FIXME
- ...

SUMMARY

Search for $H^{\pm} \rightarrow$ tb in fully hadronic final state presented with 2018 UL Data

New with respect to the previous results:

- Three search topologies containing resolved and/or boosted tops
- Resolved Analysis:
  - Top tagging: custom mass-decorrelated DNN (almost published!)
  - Event categorization based on the number of medium tagged t^{res}
  - QCD background measurement shows good agreement in validation region
  - Mass parameterized event-based tagger used as a final discriminant
  - First expected limits with 2018 data with statistical uncertainties only
- Boosted Analysis:
  - boosted top indentification with `ParticleNet` (mva-based)
  - Study new category with 1 boosted and 1 resolved top
  - Designed decorrelated t-tagger to eliminate the mass sculpting effect
  - Event categorization based on the number of t^{bst}
  - First results show improved signal sensitivity and significance FIXME

FUTURE WORK

- Resolved Analysis:
  - Incorporate the systematic uncertainties — IN PROGRESS
  - Final touches on the event-based tagger — IN PROGRESS
- Boosted Analysis:
  - `ParticleNet` W/t re-calibration: Work in progress (L.Paizanos)
  - Study the boosted W-jet category
  - Categorization based on the top tagging rate and N_{bjets}^{extra}
  - Extract SD mass templates for QCD and $t\bar{t}$ (t, W, non-matched)
  - Background data driven method — IN PROGRESS
  - Produce first limits with simultaneous 2D-fit in (m_{SD}^{t}, m_{tb}) plane
  - Investigate using the particleNet regressed mass
- Finalize and release documentation
- Extend the analysis with entire Run II

BACKUP