

- (a)  $E[\text{collisions}] = \sum P(h(x_i) = P(x_j)) = \binom{n}{2} \cdot 2^{-d}$ . When  $n$ , the number of hashes we compute, is  $c \cdot 2^{d/2}$ :

$$E[\text{collisions}] = \binom{c \cdot 2^{d/2}}{2} \cdot 2^{-d}$$

This approximates to  $\frac{(c \cdot 2^{d/2})^2}{2} \cdot 2^{-d} = \frac{c^2}{2}$ .

- (b) XOR'ing two inputs does not change one-way of the function.  $x \oplus y$  distributes evenly across the input space  $\{0, 1\}^n$  of  $h(x)$ ; if finding  $n$  given  $h(n)$  is worst case  $O(2^d)$  operations, finding  $x \oplus y$  (and thus  $x, y$ ) given  $h'(x, y) = h(x \oplus y)$ , is still  $O(2^d)$ .

However, in the case of AND'ing the two inputs,  $x \wedge y$  distributes unevenly across  $\{0, 1\}^n$ ; for example, given a random  $x, y$ , our input to  $h(n)$  is much more likely to have be entirely 0's than entirely 1's. Because our input space collapsed in a certain direction, iterating through  $x, y$  to find our  $h'(x, y)$  no longer is expected  $\Theta(2^d)$ .

- (c) Not collision resistant. For any  $x_1, x_2$ , pick  $y_1, y_2$  such that  $y_1 = h(x_2)$  and  $y_2 = h(x_1)$ .

$$h'(x_1, y_1) = h(x_1) \oplus y_1 = h(x_1) \oplus h(x_2) = h(x_2) \oplus h(x_1) = h(x_2) \oplus y_2 = h'(x_2, y_2)$$

We have a collision.

- (d) Not weak collision resistant. Because  $h(x)$  is only TCR, we can assume that finding  $x_1, x_2$  s.t.  $h(x_1) = h(x_2)$  is easy. That means for target  $h'(x, y) = 0$ , finding colliding  $x, y$  is easy with the aforementioned pairs because  $h(x_1) \oplus h(x_2) = h(x_1) \oplus h(x_1) = 0$ . More generally, for any target  $h'(x, y)$  and input  $(x, y)$ , the input  $(y, x)$  always collides with the target, because of the commutivity of XOR.