

- (a) We can give only A and B shares of the secret and then require that there must be 2 out of 2 parties to construct the secret in a Shamir secret sharing scheme.
- (b) Assuming we have some combination of gates that take inputs and outputs the secret if the inputs are correct, we will start our definition of our scheme by working top down starting from the output. Starting from the output, whenever there is an OR gate, we make it so each input of the OR gate will construct the same secret, which is also the output secret. Whenever we see an AND gate, we give each of the inputs of the gate a different share that is also itself a secret and make it so that we need shares equal to the number of inputs of the AND gate to produce the output secret with Shamir secret-sharing. Each input to an AND gate has its own secret (its share), different from that of the other inputs to that AND gate as well as the output. The input to the AND gate needs to determine its own secret before it can provide an input to the AND gate. In other words, we make each AND gate a N out of N gate and make it so that each input to the AND gate needs to construct its share since the share is also a secret. For each T out of N gate, we use Shamir secret-sharing. Part c will illustrate how this scheme works with an example.
- (c) We make it so both inputs of the OR gate will construct the same secret. So, Professor Rivest gets the secret and ((2 out of 3 TA's) AND (10 out of 20 students)) will be able to construct the same secret. We then make the AND gate a 2 out of 2 Shamir secret-sharing gate and give both inputs a share which is also a secret. In this case, (2 out of 3 TA's) will be enough to reconstruct S1 and (10 out of 20 students) will reconstruct S2. Where S1 and S2 are secrets, S1 is not S2, and S1 and S2 are the shares that together will reconstruct out original secret. Then, we use Shamir secret-sharing for both (2 out of 3 TA's) and (10 out of 20 students) such that (2 out of 3 TA's) will reconstruct the secret S1 and (10 out of 20 students) will reconstruct the secret S2.

- (a)  $E[\text{collisions}] = \sum P(h(x_i) = P(x_j)) = \binom{n}{2} \cdot 2^{-d}$ . When  $n$ , the number of hashes we compute, is  $c \cdot 2^{d/2}$ :

$$E[\text{collisions}] = \binom{c \cdot 2^{d/2}}{2} \cdot 2^{-d}$$

This approximates to  $\frac{(c \cdot 2^{d/2})^2}{2} \cdot 2^{-d} = \frac{c^2}{2}$ .

- (b) XOR'ing two inputs does not change one-way of the function.  $x \oplus y$  distributes evenly across the input space  $\{0, 1\}^n$  of  $h(x)$ ; if finding  $n$  given  $h(n)$  is worst case  $O(2^d)$  operations, finding  $x \oplus y$  (and thus  $x, y$ ) given  $h'(x, y) = h(x \oplus y)$ , is still  $O(2^d)$ .

However, in the case of AND'ing the two inputs,  $x \wedge y$  distributes unevenly across  $\{0, 1\}^n$ ; for example, given a random  $x, y$ , our input to  $h(n)$  is much more likely to have be entirely 0's than entirely 1's. Because our input space collapsed in a certain direction, iterating through  $x, y$  to find our  $h'(x, y)$  no longer is expected  $\Theta(2^d)$ .

- (c) Not collision resistant. For any  $x_1, x_2$ , pick  $y_1, y_2$  such that  $y_1 = h(x_2)$  and  $y_2 = h(x_1)$ .

$$h'(x_1, y_1) = h(x_1) \oplus y_1 = h(x_1) \oplus h(x_2) = h(x_2) \oplus h(x_1) = h(x_2) + y_2 = h'(x_2, y_2)$$

We have a collision.

- (d) Not weak collision resistant. Because  $h(x)$  is only TCR, we can assume that finding  $x_1, x_2$  s.t.  $h(x_1) = h(x_2)$  is easy. That means for target  $h'(x, y) = 0$ , finding colliding  $x, y$  is easy with the aforementioned pairs because  $h(x_1) \oplus h(x_2) = h(x_1) \oplus h(x_1) = 0$ . More generally, for any target  $h'(x, y)$  and input  $(x, y)$ , the input  $(y, x)$  always collides with the target, because of the commutivity of XOR.

3. a. 000000c4d41c812229f06b454c5be8ed7578a839ce14a564e29439700b0000d1 b. 000000000013e89b64470493a  
if the current chain is the longest chain. 0000000013bd8a5052bf398013a5847d17df2ed0ab5856e937e060748bfd3e  
if a different chain overtakes the longest chain when the assignment is due. I currently suspect that  
the teams pushing the chain where we have the second hash are hiding their results and will publish  
all of them when the assignment is due. We coded a GPU based Java program using the aparapi  
library and continuously tried to add to the current longest chain. The program works by randomly  
creating hashes and checking if they have the correct number of zeros. Every some seconds, we  
query to see if the current node has a next node. If so, we stop trying to build a block and work on  
the next block instead. Generally, we waited at most 4 confirmations to determine that our block  
was on the longest chain. We have about 300 Megahashes/second and have been running this since  
2/26. c. Haven't done d. Peinan spent it on food.