

My notes

Cryptography

Asymptotic Complexity

Probability

One-Way Functions

Pseudo-Random Number Generators

Hardcore Bits

The Blum-Micali Generator

Session Key Construction: Extracting Randomness

Pseudo-Random Functions

The Goldreich-Goldwasser-Micali Construction

Pseudo-Random Permutations

Secure Function Evaluation

Yao's SFE: Obfuscating Boolean

Circuits

BGW SFE

Oblivious Transfer (OT)

Factoring and Discrete Logarithms

Zero-Knowledge Proofs

Streams and Broadcasts

Electronic Voting

1-out-of- n Oblivious Transfer

Suppose A has a list (x_1, \dots, x_n) , B has $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

We want a SFE protocol where $F_A = 0, F_B = x_i$, that is,

1. B learns x_i and nothing else
2. A learns nothing about i

Theorem: [Kilian'87] 1-out-of-2 OT is universal for 2-party SFE

In other words, given a 1-out-of-2 OT protocol, one can do any 2-party SFE. (Yao's construction requires a block cipher in addition to a 1-out-of-2 OT protocol.)

Note that oblivious transfer implies 2-party SFE, which implies key exchange, and hence 1-out-of-2 OT cannot be built from a blackbox one-way function. Instead, we build one using the DDH assumption [Bellare-Micali'92].

Bellare-Micali Construction

Let G be a group of prime order p , and let $g \in G$ be a generator, and $H : G \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ be a hash function.

Suppose A has $x_0, x_1 \in \{0, 1\}^n$, and B has $b \in \{0, 1\}$.

1. A publishes a random $c \leftarrow G$, B picks $k \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$, sets $PK_b = g^K, PK_{1-b} = c/g^k$ and sends PK_0, PK_1 to A . A checks $PK_0 PK_1 = c$.
2. A encrypts x_0 with El Gamal using PK_0 , i.e. sets $C_0 = [g^{r_0}, H(PK_0^{r_0}) \oplus x_0]$, encrypts x_1 using PK_1 , and sends C_0, C_1 to B .
3. B decrypts C_b using K , i.e. if $C_b = [V_1, V_2]$, B computes $X_b = H(V_1^K) \oplus V_2$.

Security: A cannot learn anything about b (information theoretic result).

If B is honest-but-curious, then assuming DDH, B can only decrypt one of C_0 or C_1 .

If B is malicious, then assuming DDH is not enough: conceivably B could generate PK_0, PK_1 in such a way that B knows partial information about their corresponding private keys, and perhaps B can then learn partial information about both x_0 and x_1 . However, if H is a random oracle, then the protocol is secure under CDH.

Naor-Pinkas Construction

[Naor, Pinkas '00] Let G be a group of prime order q and let $g \in G$ be a generator. Suppose A has m_0, m_1 and B has $V \in \{0, 1\}$.

1. B sends the tuple
 $(g, x = g^a, y = g^b, z_0 = g^{c_0}, z_1 = g^{c_1})$ to A ,
 where $a, b \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$, $c_v = ab$ and $c_{1-v} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$.
2. A verifies that $z_0 \neq z_1$ and applies a partial DDH random self-reduction: (g, x, y, z_0) becomes $T_0 = (g, x, y_0, z'_0)$, and (g, x, y, z_1) becomes $T_1 = (g, x, y_1, z'_1)$.
3. A encrypts m_0 using T_0 and m_1 using T_1 , that is, A sends to B the ciphertexts
 $(CT_0 = (y_0, m_{z'_0}), CT_1 = (y_1, m_{z'_1}))$.
4. B decrypts CT_v .

This protocol is information theoretically secure against B , and DDH secure against A . The random self-reduction destroys any partial information in the message B sends to A . Note that this construction also generalizes to a 1-out-of- n protocol.

DDH Random Self-Reduction

Suppose we have a tuple g, g^x, g^y, g^z . Then to perform a random self-reduction, pick random $a, b \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^*$, and output $g, g^{(x+b)a}, g^y, g^{(z+by)a}$.

Note that this transformation takes DH-tuples to DH-tuples, and non-DH-tuples to non-DH-tuples. Furthermore, the new exponents are independent of the originals. This is easy to see if we start with a DH tuple. On the other hand, if the tuple is not DH, then given any x', z' , there exists a unique a, b such that $(x+b)a = x', (z+by)a = z'$ (we can solve to get $a = (z' - x'y)/(z - xy)$ and b can be easily determined from a). As expected, these solutions are

not well defined if $z = xy$, i.e. the original tuple is DH.

1-out-of- n From 1-out-of-2

We show how to construct a 1-out-of- n OT protocol from any 1-out-of-2 OT protocol.

Suppose A has $m_0, \dots, m_N \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and B has $t \in \{0, \dots, N\}$. Assume $N = 2^l - 1$ for some l .

1. A prepares $2l$ keys $(K_1^0, K_1^1), \dots, (K_l^0, K_l^1)$.
2. Let $F_k : \{0, 1\}^l \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ be a PRF. A sends to B the tuple (C_0, \dots, C_N) : view the message index as a bit string $I = I_1 \dots I_l \in \{0, 1\}^l$, and encrypt using

$$C_I = m_I \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^l F_{K_i^{I_i}}(I)$$

Note A sends $O(N)$ bits to B .

3. Let $t = t_1 \dots t_l \in \{0, 1\}^l$. Then l 1-out-of-2 OT's are performed where during the j th OT, A has (K_j^0, K_j^1) and B has $t_j \in \{0, 1\}$.
4. B now has $K_1^{t_1}, \dots, K_l^{t_l}$ and can decrypt C_t to get m_t .

Thus with $\log N$ 1-out-of-2 OT's, a single 1-out-of- N OT can be constructed, that has $O(N)$ communication complexity.

[[My Homepage](#)] Email: blynn.pleasedontspamme at cs dot stanford dot edu