

Appendix A — Logical Inevitability of Disclosure (v1.3)

Ethical & Structural Note to the S.V.E. Public License v1.3

1 Essence

S.V.E. turns truthfulness from a moral duty into a **system property**.
Hidden or unauthorized use creates **logical contradictions** within data, language, and outcomes — exposure becomes a deterministic event, not a moral chance.

2 Mechanism of Self-Disclosure

Level	Description	Effect
Methodological	Concealment forces imitation of S.V.E. logic	Semantic fingerprints appear
Network	Each accomplice multiplies risk	Disclosure probability ↑ exponentially
Digital	Metadata & models preserve lineage	Forensic proof inevitable
AI Forensics	Algorithms detect S.V.E. reasoning patterns	Concealment measurable

Result: Truth leaks through structure itself.

3 Entropy Law of Falsehood

Deception adds entropy; entropy destroys coherence.
Over time, the chance of revelation → 1.
Time is an ally of truth.

4 Moral Analogy

“Nothing is hidden that will not be made manifest.” — Luke 8:17

S.V.E. makes this theological axiom an **engineering reality**:
a system designed for coherence cannot sustain deceit.

5 Implications

Actor	Outcome of Concealment
Governments / Intel	Fragmentation → Leaks → Loss of legitimacy
Corporations	Forensic similarity → Reputational collapse
Public Sphere	Honesty = stable equilibrium; deception = self-termination

6 Conclusion

Truth amplifies itself — falsehood decays.
Within S.V.E., disclosure is **not optional**; it is **mathematically inevitable**.
To hide truth inside this architecture is to trigger its revelation.

“Transparency is not a policy; it’s a physical law of information.”

Any attempt to exploit a truth-verification protocol covertly **inverts its function** and triggers an irreversible process of self-revelation.

Within this architecture, **disclosure is not a possibility — it is a mathematical certainty.**