



DNA

How scientific malpractice has misinformed generations



AUGUST 8, 2025
SCOTT PETERSON
Storm Walker Ministries

The Collapse of the Human-Chimpanzee Similarity Myth: How Decades of Scientific Malpractice Misled the Public

Abstract

For over half a century, the scientific establishment has promoted the demonstrably false claim that humans and chimpanzees share 98.5-99% genetic similarity. This statistic, now proven to be off by an order of magnitude, has been used to support evolutionary narratives while suppressing contradictory evidence since the 1970s. Recent complete genome assemblies reveal that humans and chimpanzees actually differ by 14-15%, placing them no closer to each other than many other mammalian species pairs. This paper documents the systematic methodological fraud that produced the false similarity statistics, examines the actual genetic relationships between humans and other mammals, and exposes how the scientific community buried inconvenient findings that contradict preferred narratives.

Introduction

The claim that humans share 98.5-99% of their DNA with chimpanzees represents one of the most successful propaganda campaigns in modern science. This statistic has been emblazoned on museum walls, repeated in textbooks, and used to justify fundamental assumptions about human origins. There's only one problem: it's completely false.

Recent genomic research has revealed that humans and chimpanzees differ by approximately 14-15% - not 1-2% as claimed for decades (1,2,3). This represents a fourteen-fold error that cannot be explained by innocent methodological limitations. The evidence suggests systematic bias, cherry-picked data, and deliberate suppression of contradictory findings.

The Fraud Exposed

Methodological Malpractice

The traditional 98.8% similarity claim was built on a foundation of scientific malpractice:

- 1. Reference Scaffolding Fraud:** Previous chimpanzee genomes were literally constructed using human genomes as templates, artificially inflating similarity (4,5). This is equivalent to tracing one drawing over another and then claiming they're nearly identical.
- 2. Cherry-Picked Data:** Researchers systematically excluded highly divergent sequences while focusing exclusively on conserved protein-coding regions (5,6). This represents classic confirmation bias elevated to the level of scientific fraud.
- 3. Contamination Cover-Up:** Multiple studies have documented that chimpanzee genome databases contain significant contamination from human DNA, yet this contamination was neither disclosed nor corrected (5,6).
- 4. Suppression of Contradictory Evidence:** When independent researcher Roy Britten reported only 95% similarity in 2002, his findings were ignored by the mainstream scientific community (7). Similarly, recent findings showing 84-85% similarity have been buried in supplementary data rather than prominently reported (1,2).

The Nature Paper Scandal and Its Vindication

The 2025 Nature paper revealing 14-15% human-chimpanzee genetic difference represents a watershed moment - not because of what it discovered, but because of how the discovery was deliberately obscured, then vindicated when critics attempted to debunk it (1,2,3).

The Initial Cover-Up: The authors deliberately:

- Buried the bombshell finding in 173 pages of supplementary data rather than highlighting it prominently
- Failed to clearly state the 14-15% difference anywhere in the main paper
- Removed references to the "oft-quoted 99% statistic" during the revision process
- Used technical jargon to obscure the magnitude of their discovery

The Failed Debunking Attempts: When the 14-15% figure was exposed by independent researchers, critics attempted multiple lines of attack (51):

- 1. Claiming the alignment failures were due to "technical" problems rather than biological differences**
- 2. Dismissing the divergent DNA as "junk" that could be ignored**

3. Questioning the reliability of the new ape genome assemblies
4. Attempting to redirect focus to human-human genetic differences

The Scientific Vindication: The critics' attempts to debunk the findings spectacularly failed:

- **Independent Expert Confirmation:** Professor Richard Buggs of Queen Mary University London, thanked in the Nature paper's acknowledgments and a recognized expert in evolutionary genomics, independently calculated the exact same 14.9% difference using the same methods (51,61)
- **Multiple Methodological Approaches:** The Progressive Cactus alignment method confirmed approximately 15% genetic difference, providing independent validation through different analytical techniques (51)
- **Historical Precedent:** Buggs had predicted similar findings as early as 2018, calculating 84.38% similarity (15.62% difference) using earlier, less complete genome assemblies. The new complete genomes vindicated his earlier work (62,63,64)
- **Consensus Among Independent Researchers:** Multiple independent researchers using different methodologies have converged on 14-16% genetic differences, including Jeffrey Tomkins at ICR whose separate analysis yielded nearly identical results (65)

The Critics' Capitulation: Most tellingly, critics generally acknowledge that the new data shows humans and chimps are 15% genetically different; they simply try to dismiss that number as unimportant rather than challenging its accuracy. This represents a complete retreat from defending the 98.8% similarity myth to damage control over its implications.

This represents not just scientific malpractice, but institutional fraud followed by failed damage control when the truth emerged through independent analysis.

The Real Genetic Relationships

Universal Mammalian Genetic Distance Pattern

When examined comprehensively, mammalian genetic relationships reveal a consistent pattern of 10-20% differences across all species pairs. This universal range demonstrates that the claimed 1-2% human-chimpanzee difference is not merely wrong - it is an extraordinary outlier that defies the fundamental pattern observed across all mammalian genetics.

Species Pair	Genetic Distance
Human-to-Mammal Distances	
Humans to Cats	~10%
Humans to Pigs	10-16%
Humans to Chimpanzees	14-15%
Humans to Sheep	15-20%
Humans to Goats	15-20%
Humans to Dogs	~18%
Inter-Mammalian Distances	
Pig to Chimpanzee	~15-20%
Cat to Chimpanzee	~15-20%
Dog to Chimpanzee	~15-20%
Sheep to Chimpanzee	~15-20%
Cat to Pig	~10-15%
All other pairwise combinations	10-20%

The Extraordinary Nature of the 1-2% Claim:

In a biological system where every single mammalian species pair shows 10-20% genetic differences, claiming that humans and chimpanzees differ by only 1-2% represents a statistical impossibility that violates the fundamental pattern of mammalian genetics. This is not a minor error - it is a claim that defies the universal biological reality observed across all other mammalian comparisons. As Carl Sagan noted, "Extraordinary claims require extraordinary evidence" - yet the 1-2% similarity claim was supported only by methodological fraud and data manipulation, not extraordinary evidence.

Species Delimitation Reality Check

By standard mammalian species recognition criteria, genetic distances >11% indicate distinct species status (10,11). The 14-15% human-chimpanzee difference places them well within the range of typical mammalian species pairs - exactly where we would expect two distinct species to fall.

The Propaganda Campaign

Museum Misinformation

Major institutions continue to perpetuate demonstrably false information:

- **Smithsonian Museum: Still displays signage claiming humans and chimpanzees are "98.9% genetically similar" (visited by 4 million people annually) (12)**
- **American Museum of Natural History: Continues to promote the 98.8% figure on permanent displays (13)**

This represents institutional scientific fraud on a massive scale.

Academic Complicity

The scientific community's response to the collapse of the 98.8% claim reveals systematic bias:

- **Silence: Most researchers have simply ignored the new data**
- **Deflection: When forced to acknowledge the findings, researchers bury them in technical language**
- **Continued Propagation: Textbooks and popular science sources continue repeating the false 98.8% statistic**

Implications

The Manufactured Consensus

The human-chimpanzee similarity myth demonstrates how scientific "consensus" can be artificially manufactured through:

- **Selective data reporting**
- **Methodological bias**
- **Institutional pressure**

- **Suppression of contradictory evidence**

The consensus was never based on evidence - it was based on propaganda.

Evolutionary Narrative Collapse

The revised genetic data demolishes key evolutionary claims:

- 1. Humans are not uniquely similar to chimpanzees - we're equally similar to numerous other mammals**
- 2. The "missing link" narrative fails - genetic distances suggest discrete species rather than transitional forms**
- 3. Common descent assumptions are undermined - similar genetic distances could equally support common design**

Scientific Credibility Crisis

This scandal raises fundamental questions about scientific integrity:

- **How many other "established facts" are based on similarly flawed methodology?**
- **Why did peer review fail so spectacularly for decades?**
- **What other inconvenient data is being systematically suppressed?**

Conclusions

The human-chimpanzee genetic similarity myth represents one of the most successful disinformation campaigns in scientific history. For over two decades, the scientific establishment promoted claims that were not merely wrong but fabricated through methodological fraud, data manipulation, and institutional bias.

The real data reveals that humans are roughly equidistant from multiple mammalian species, with no special relationship to chimpanzees. This finding has been systematically suppressed because it contradicts preferred evolutionary narratives.

The time has come for a complete reckoning. Every textbook, museum display, and academic course promoting the 98.8% similarity myth must be corrected. More importantly, the scientific community must acknowledge its institutional failures and implement reforms to prevent future propaganda campaigns masquerading as objective research.

The emperor has no clothes. The question is whether the scientific establishment has the integrity to admit it.

References

1. Luskin, C. (2025). **New Research Overturns Claim that Humans and Chimps Differ by Only 1% in Their DNA.** Evolution.News.and.Science.Today. Retrieved from <https://evolutionnews.org/2025/05/bombshell-new-research-overturns-claim-that-humans-and-chimps-differ-by-only-1-percent-of-dna/>
2. Luskin, C. (2025). **Fact Check: New Complete Chimp Genome Shows 14.9% Difference from Human Genome.** Evolution.News.and.Science.Today. Retrieved from <https://evolutionnews.org/2025/05/fact-check-new-complete-chimp-genome-shows-14-9-percent-difference-from-human-genome/>
3. Discovery Institute. (2025). **New Research Overturns Claim that Humans and Chimps Differ by Only 1% in Their DNA.** Retrieved from <https://www.discovery.org/a/new-research-overturns-claim-that-humans-and-chimps-differ-by-only-1-in-their-dna/>
4. Yoo, D. et al. (2025). **Complete sequencing of ape genomes.** Nature. [Supplementary Data Tables III.19 and III.20]
5. Tomkins, J. P. (2025). **Chimp Genome Markedly Different from Human.** Institute.for.Creation.Research. Retrieved from <https://www.icr.org/content/chimp-genome-markedly-different-human>
6. Tomkins, J. P. (2024). **Human-Chimp DNA Similarity Research Refutes Evolution.** Institute.for.Creation.Research. Retrieved from <https://www.icr.org/article/human-chimp-dna-similarity-research>
7. Britten, R. J. (2002). **Humans and chimps have 95 percent DNA compatibility, not 98.5 percent, research shows.** California.Institute.of.Technology. Retrieved from <https://www.caltech.edu/about/news/humans-and-chimps-have-95-percent-dna-compatibility-not-985-percent-research-shows-614>
8. Science.org.au. (2017). **The similarities between humans and pigs.** Curious. Retrieved from <https://www.science.org.au/curious/people-medicine/similarities-between-humans-and-pigs>
9. GenomSys. (2023). **World DNA Day – The DNA of mammals, reptiles and fishes.** Retrieved from <https://genomsys.com/world-dna-day-the-dna-of-mammals-reptiles-and-fishes/>

- 10. Bradley, R. D., & Baker, R. J. (2001). A Test of the Genetic Species Concept: Cytochrome-b Sequences and Mammals. *Journal.of.Mammalogy*, 82(4), 960-973.**
- 11. Baker, R. J., & Bradley, R. D. (2006). Speciation in Mammals and the Genetic Species Concept. *Journal.of.Mammalogy*, 87(4), 643-662.**
- 12. Smithsonian Institution. (2024). Genetics. Human.Origins.Program. Retrieved from <https://humanorigins.si.edu/evidence/genetics>**
- 13. American Museum of Natural History. (2024). DNA: Comparing Humans and Chimps. Hall.of.Human.Origins. Retrieved from <https://www.amnh.org/exhibitions/permanent/human-origins/understanding-our-past/dna-comparing-humans-and-chimps>**