

# Diffg

seb

July 24, 2021

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Abelian Groups and Modules</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Categories</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Abstracting . . . . .	5
2.2	Abelian Categories . . . . .	8
2.3	Exact Sequences . . . . .	10
2.4	Additive and Exact Functors . . . . .	12
2.5	Projective Objects . . . . .	13
2.6	Adjoints . . . . .	14
<b>3</b>	<b>Derived Functors</b>	<b>15</b>
3.1	Functorial Resolutions . . . . .	15
3.2	Derived Functors . . . . .	16
3.3	(Universal) Delta Functors . . . . .	17
<b>4</b>	<b>Extensions</b>	<b>21</b>

## About

# 1 Abelian Groups and Modules

**Note. Module.** Let  $R$  be a ring with 1. We define the category of left  $R$ -modules  $R\text{-MOD}$  to be abelian groups (written additively) with an additional left action of ring elements in  $R$ , written  $r \cdot m$  such that

1.  $r \cdot (x + y) = r \cdot x + r \cdot y,$
2.  $(r + s) \cdot x = r \cdot x + s \cdot y,$
3.  $(rs) \cdot x = r \cdot (sx),$
4.  $1 \cdot x = x.$

Similarly we define  $\text{MOD-}R$  of right  $R$ -modules. If  $R$  is commutative then there is no difference between left and right modules.

**Note. Abelian Groups as Modules.** Any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module merely carries the structure of an abelian group since the above axioms force  $r \cdot m = m + m + \dots + m$ .

**Note.  $R$  as a  $R$ -module.** Any ring  $R$  can be made into a module by setting the action of  $R$  on the abelian group of  $R$  to be the ring multiplication, i.e.  $r \cdot s = rs$ .

**Note. Free Module.** An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called free if it admits a basis, i.e. any  $m$  can be written as a sum  $m = r_1 b_1 + \dots + r_n b_n$  for elements  $r_i \in R$  and  $b_i \in M$  (may not be a finite sum).

Equivalently, free modules are isomorphic to the direct product of copies of  $R$  as  $R$ -modules.

**Definition.  $R$ -Balanced Map.** Let  $M \in \text{MOD-}R$  and  $N \in R\text{-MOD}$  and  $A \in \text{AB}$ . A map  $\beta : M \times N \rightarrow A$  is called  $R$ -balanced if

1.  $\beta(a + b, x) = \beta(a, x) + \beta(b, x),$
2.  $\beta(a, x + y) = \beta(a, x) + \beta(a, y),$
3.  $\beta(ar, x) = \beta(a, rx).$

**Definition. Tensor Product.** Let  $M \in \text{MOD-}R$  and  $N \in R\text{-MOD}$ . The tensor product of  $M$  and  $N$  over  $R$  is an abelian group denoted  $M \otimes_R N$  together with an  $R$ -balanced map denote  $- \otimes - : M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_R N$  such that for any  $R$ -balanced map  $\beta : M \times N \rightarrow A$  to some abelian group  $A$  there exists a unique group homomorphism  $M \otimes_R N \rightarrow A$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M \times N & \xrightarrow{- \otimes_R -} & M \otimes_R N \\
 \beta \searrow & & \swarrow \exists \\
 & A &
 \end{array}$$

**Proposition. The Tensor Product Exists.** TODO

**Proposition. The Tensor Product is a Bifunctor.**

## 2 Categories

**Kernel, Image, Cokernel in  $\mathbf{AB}$ .** Let  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{AB}$  be the category of abelian groups and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a (group) (homo)morphism.

- $\text{kern}(f) \subseteq X$ , the kernel of  $f$  is the subgroup (object) of  $X$  containing those elements  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = 0$ .
- $\text{im}(f) \subseteq Y$ , the image of  $f$ , is the subgroup (object) of  $Y$  containing those elements  $y \in Y$  such that  $y = f(x)$  for some  $x \in X$ .
- $\text{cokern}(f) = Y/\text{im}(f)$  is the quotient of  $Y$  by the image of  $f$ .

**Faithful Functor.** A functor  $F : (\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D})$  is called faithful if for fixed objects  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$  it maps morphisms  $X \rightarrow Y$  injectively to morphisms  $F(X) \rightarrow F(Y)$ .

**Note.** A faithful functor must not be injective on objects are the set of all morphisms.

**Concrete Category.** A concrete category is a pair  $(\mathcal{C}, U)$  where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a category and  $U : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{SET}$  is a faithful functor.

## 2.1 Abstracting

The following notions lift the properties “injective” and “surjective” as well as “(co)kernel” and “image” to categories. In particular to categories where the objects do not form sets (or have elements).

**Monic Morphism.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism in a category  $\mathcal{C}$ . It is called monic (mono) if for any  $g, h : A \rightarrow X$  we have left cancellation

$$f \circ g = f \circ h \implies g = h \quad (A \rightrightarrows X \rightarrow Y).$$

**Note.** In SET a morphism is mono if and only if it is injective.

**Epic Morphism.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism in a category  $\mathcal{C}$ . It is called epic (epi) if for any  $g, h : Y \rightarrow A$  we have right cancellation

$$g \circ f = h \circ f \implies g = h \quad (X \rightarrow Y \rightrightarrows A).$$

**Note.** Again, in SET epic morphisms are precisely the surjective maps.

**Note.** In RING the embedding  $f : \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  is epic (but not surjective). To see this, we need to show that any (ring) homomorphisms  $f, g : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow A$  agree on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

One way to show this is by showing that if  $f, g$  agree on  $\mathbb{Z}$  then they must be equal: Any (ring) homomorphism  $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow A$  is uniquely determined by its values in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

In fact for any ring  $A$  there exists at most one ring homomorphism  $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow A$ .

**Initial, Terminal and Zero Object** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category. An object  $I$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is called initial if for any object  $X$  there exists precisely one morphism  $I \rightarrow X$ .

An object  $M$  is called terminal if for any object  $X$  there exists precisely one morphism  $X \rightarrow M$ .

If an object  $0$  is (isomorphic to) both initial and terminal object, it is called zero object.

**Note.** Initial, terminal and zero objects are unique up to unique isomorphism.

**Note.** Let  $0$  be the zero object and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . Then the compositions  $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$  and  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$  are the unique morphisms  $0 \rightarrow Y$  and  $X \rightarrow 0$  respectively.

**Proposition. Zero Morphism.** Let  $0$  be a zero object in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then for any objects  $X$  and  $Y$  there exists a distinguished morphism

$$X \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow Y, \quad X \xrightarrow{0} Y,$$

the zero morphism.

**Note.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . Then the composites  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{0} Z$  and  $W \xrightarrow{0} X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  are given by  $X \xrightarrow{0} Z$  and  $W \xrightarrow{0} Y$  respectively.

**Note.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . If for all  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  we have  $g \circ f = 0$ , then  $f = 0$  since we may choose  $g = \text{id}_Y$  thus  $0 = \text{id}_Y \circ f = f$ .

**Products and Coproducts.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category. Let  $X, Y$  and  $X \times Y, X \sqcup Y$  be objects with morphisms  $p_x, p_y$  and  $i_x, i_y$  respectively. Then  $X \times Y$  and  $X \sqcup Y$  are called product and coproduct respectively if for any  $T, t_x, t_y$  there exists a unique morphism  $\varphi$  such that the following diagrams commute

**Kernel and Cokernel.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism in a category  $\mathcal{C}$  with 0. The kernel and cokernel of  $f$  are objects together with morphisms  $i : \ker(f) \rightarrow X$ ,  $p : Y \rightarrow \text{coker}(f)$  such that the following for any  $T, j$  or  $T, q$  there exists a unique morphism  $\varphi$  such that the following diagrams commute if the outer squares commute

**Note.** Kernels and cokernels are unique up to unique isomorphism.

**Note.** Consider the zero morphism  $X \xrightarrow{0} Y$ . Then  $\ker(0) = \text{id}_X$  and  $\text{coker}(0) = \text{id}_Y$ .

**Note.** Let  $X$  be an object. Then  $\ker(\text{id}_X) = 0 = \text{coker}(\text{id}_X)$ . This is an instance of the following general fact.

**Proposition.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in a category with kernels and cokernels. Then

1. If  $f$  is mono then  $\ker(f) = 0$ ,
2. If  $f$  is epi then  $\text{coker}(f) = 0$ .

**Proof.** (1) Assume  $f$  is mono. We show that 0 satisfies the universal property of the kernel. If we take any  $T \rightarrow 0$  such that  $f \circ t = 0$  (outer square commutes) then since  $f$  is mono we get  $f \circ t = 0 = f \circ 0$  and  $t = 0$  is forced which makes the whole diagram commute as desired.

(2) Omitted since it is dual.

**Note.** The converse does not necessarily hold (if  $\mathcal{C}$  is not Abelian, see below).

**Proposition.** Any kernel is mono and any cokernel is epic.

**Proof.**

**Image.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism. Then we define the image of  $f$  to be an object  $\text{im}(f)$  together with morphisms  $m : \text{im}(f) \rightarrow Y$  and  $e : X \rightarrow \text{im}(f)$  such that  $m$  is mono and for any  $T$  and  $e', m'$  there exists a unique morphism  $\varphi$  such that the following diagram commutes if the outer square commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
 e \searrow & \downarrow \varphi & \nearrow m \\
 & \text{im}(f) & \\
 e' \swarrow & & \nearrow m' \\
 T & &
 \end{array}$$

## 2.2 Abelian Categories

**Ab-Category** An Ab-Category is a category  $\mathcal{C}$  that can be equipped with the following structure. For any objects  $X$  and  $Y$  the set  $\text{Hom}(A, B)$  has an abelian group structure

$$+ : \text{Hom}(A, B) \times \text{Hom}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, B)$$

that is compatible with composition, i.e.

$$f \circ (g + h) = (f \circ g) + (f \circ h), \quad (f + g) \circ h = (f \circ h) + (g \circ h).$$

**Note.** The neutral element of  $\text{Hom}(A, B)$  is the zero morphism  $A \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow B$ .

**Additive Category.** An Ab-category is called additive if it has a zero object and admits products and coproducts for all objects.

**Characterization of Additive Categories.** A category  $\mathcal{C}$  admits an additive (abelian group) structure on  $\text{Hom}(A, B)$  for all objects  $A, B$  if and only if

1.  $\mathcal{C}$  has a zero object,
2.  $\mathcal{C}$  has products and coproducts for all (pairs of) objects
3. For each object  $A$  the maps

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : A \sqcup A \rightarrow A \times A$$

are isomorphisms (where the 0 map denotes the composite  $A \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow A$ ).

**Biproduct.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an additive category. Then for any objects  $X$  and  $Y$  we have  $X \times Y \cong X \sqcup Y$ . Thus instead of writing the (co)product we write  $X \oplus Y$ .

**Abelian Category.** An additive category is called abelian if

1. Every morphism has a kernel and cokernel,
2. Every mono is the kernel of its cokernel,
3. Every epi is the cokernel of its kernel.

**Characterization of Abelian Categories.** A category  $\mathcal{C}$  is an abelian category if and only if

1.  $\mathcal{C}$  has a zero object,
2.  $\mathcal{C}$  has products and coproducts for all objects,
3.  $\mathcal{C}$  has kernel and cokernel for all morphisms,
4. Every mono is a kernel and every epi is a cokernel.

**Image in an Abelian Category.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an Abelian category and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism. Then

$$\text{im}(f) = \ker(Y \xrightarrow{p} \text{coker}(f))$$

## 2.3 Exact Sequences

In this subsection we work with abelian categories.

**Homology and Exactness.** The notion of exactness applies to a pair of maps

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$$

such that  $g \circ f = 0$ , i.e. the composite factors through 0. Consider the diagrams:

$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{im}(f) & \xleftarrow{\quad t \quad} & A \\ & \searrow & \downarrow f \\ & B & \downarrow g \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C \end{array}$

$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{im}(f) & \xleftarrow{\quad t \quad} & A & & \\ \varphi \swarrow & & \downarrow f & & \\ \ker(g) & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow g & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C & & \end{array}$

In the right column we have our pair of maps  $f$  and  $g$ .  $f$  factors through its image and includes via the monomorphism  $t$ .

Here, the composite  $g \circ t : \text{im}(f) \rightarrow C$  factors through zero. Thus, by the universal property of the kernel  $\ker(g)$  there exists a unique dashed morphism  $\varphi : \text{im}(f) \rightarrow \ker(g)$ . The cokernel of that map  $\text{coker}(\varphi)$  is called the homology object at  $B$ . If  $\text{coker}(\varphi) = 0$  and thus  $\varphi$  an isomorphism, then we say  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$  is exact at  $B$ .

**Short Exact Sequence, Extension.** A short exact sequence is a sequence of morphisms

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \longrightarrow 0$$

such that it is exact at  $A, B$  and  $C$ . We call  $B$  an extension of  $C$  by  $A$ .

**Split Short Exact Sequence.** Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \varphi & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{(1,0)^T} & A \oplus C & \xrightarrow{(0,1)} & C \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

We call the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  split exact if there exists an isomorphism  $\varphi$  (dashed) such that the diagram commutes.

When can give two equivalent criteria for the above sequence to be split short exact. The following are equivalent

1. An isomorphism  $\varphi$  exists such that the above diagram commutes,
2. There exists  $s : C \rightarrow B$  such that  $g \circ s = \text{id}_C$ ,

3. There exists  $r : B \rightarrow A$  such that  $r \circ f = \text{id}_A$ .

**Short Exact Sequence, Kernels and Cokernels.** Let

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$$

be a sequence such that  $g \circ f = 0$ . Then it is a short exact sequence if and only if  $A \cong \ker(g)$  and  $C \cong \text{cokern}(f)$ .

## 2.4 Additive and Exact Functors

**Additive Functor.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be additive categories (Ab-categories is sufficient). A functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is called an additive (or Ab-functor) if for any objects  $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$  the map

$$F : \text{Hom}(A, A') \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F(A), F(A')), \quad f \mapsto F(f)$$

is a group homomorphism, i.e.  $F(f + g) = F(f) + F(g)$  and  $F(0) = 0$ .

**Proposition. Additive I.** Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be an Ab-Functor between Ab-categories. Then

1.  $F(0) = 0$  (preserves initial, terminal and zero objects),
2.  $F(A \times B) = F(A) \times F(B)$  (preserves products),
3.  $F(A \sqcup B) = F(A) \sqcup F(B)$  (preserves coproducts).

**Proposition. Additive II.** Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a functor between additive categories that preserves 0, products and coproducts. Then it is additive.

**Left Exact Functor.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be abelian categories and  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be an additive functor.  $F$  is called left exact if it preserves kernels: Given a morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  we have that

$$F(\ker(f)) \cong \ker(F(f)).$$

i.e. applying  $F$  to  $\ker(f)$  is a kernel of  $F(f)$ .

Equivalently, a left exact functor preserves the exactness of sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C.$$

**Right Exact Functor.** Dually,  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is called right exact if it preserves cokernels or equivalently exactness of sequences of the form

$$A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0.$$

**Exact Functor.**  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is called exact if it preserves both kernels and cokernels or equivalently the exactness of short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0.$$

## 2.5 Projective Objects

In this subsection we work with Abelian categories.

**Projective Object.** Let  $P \in \mathcal{C}$  be an object.  $P$  is called projective if any short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow 0$$

splits.

**Characterizing Projective Objects.** The following are equivalent

1.  $P \in \mathcal{C}$  is projective,
2. Any epi  $p : B \rightarrow P$  splits, i.e. there exists (a section)  $s : P \rightarrow B$  such that  $p \circ s = \text{id}_P$ ,
3. Let  $f : P \rightarrow Y$  be any morphism. Then we can factor  $f$  along any epi  $g : X \rightarrow Y$ , i.e. there exists a dashed arrow such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P & \\ & \swarrow \exists! & \downarrow f \\ X & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

commutes,

4. For any  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  the Hom-functor  $\text{Hom}(X, -) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{AB}$  is exact.

**Proof.**

**Properties of Projective Objects.** The coproduct of projective objects is projective. If  $X \oplus Y$  is projective iff  $X$  and  $Y$  are projective.

**Enough Projectives.** An abelian category  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to have enough projectives if for each object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  there exists a projective object  $P \in \mathcal{C}$  and an epimorphism  $P \twoheadrightarrow X$  onto  $X$ .

**Projective Resolution.** A projective resolution of an object  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  is an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & P_2 & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P_0 \\ & & & & & \downarrow & \\ & & & & & & A \\ & & & & & & \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

of projective objects  $P_i$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  has enough projective a projective resolution exists for any object  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Proof.** We construct  $P_\bullet$  inductively starting with an projective object  $P =: P_0$  for

$\ker(A \xrightarrow{e_{-1}} 0)$  such that  $P_0 \rightarrow \ker(e_{-1})$  yielding the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A & & \\ e_0 \searrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow e_{-1} \\ & & \ker(e_{-1}) & & 0 \end{array}$$

and continuing this process by finding projective objects for the objects  $\ker(e_i)$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A \\ & \searrow e_2 & \swarrow & \searrow e_1 & \swarrow & \searrow e_0 & \searrow \\ & \ker(e_1) & & \ker(e_0) & & \ker(e_{-1}) & 0 \end{array}$$

we obtain the dashed arrows as the composition of maps factoring in and out of the kernels.

## 2.6 Adjoints

**Definition. Adjoint.** Let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and  $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be functors. An adjunction  $F \dashv G$  is a natural isomorphism of functors  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{SET}$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(-), -) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, G(-)).$$

### 3 Derived Functors

In this section we work with abelian categories.

We have seen that  $\text{Hom}(-, -) : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{AB}$  is not a exact functor but only a left exact functor, failing to preserve short exact sequences at the right end. In this section we develop a tool to quantify by how much it fails to preserve exactness at the right when applied to a short exact sequence.

Generally, from a left exact functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  we derive a sequence of new functors  $R^i(F) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that  $R^0(F) = F$  and for any short exact sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$$

we not only obtain a exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F(A) \longrightarrow F(B) \longrightarrow F(C)$$

but also a long exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F(A) & \longrightarrow & F(B) & \longrightarrow & F(C) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & R^1(F)(A) & \longrightarrow & R^1(F)(B) & \longrightarrow & R^1(F)(C) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & R^2(F)(A) & \longrightarrow & R^2(F)(B) & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

with connecting morphisms  $\delta$  where  $R^1(F)(A)$  (and the tail of the sequence) is a measure for the extent that  $F$  fails to be (right) exact.

The sequence  $R^i(F)$  for  $i \geq 0$  together with the connecting map  $\delta$  is called a cohomological delta functor.

We then show that the way we constructed this cohomological delta functor, the derived functor of  $F$ , is not arbitrary but really ‘the’ derived functor. It is a universal cohomological delta functor.

Universal cohomological delta functors are those that determine natural transformations for delta functors completely by the 0th degree. But any derived functor of  $F$ , in its 0th degree, is naturally isomorphic to  $F$  by assumption. Thus the derived functor, regardless of it’s construction, is uniquely determined up to natural isomorphism of functors.

#### 3.1 Functorial Resolutions

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category with enough projectives. Given an object  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  we are guaranteed to find a projective resolution  $P_{\bullet} \twoheadrightarrow A$ . Only from this however it is not clear how to construct a map  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})_{\geq 0}$  and much less so how to make it into a functor.

It is in fact not possible to do so with  $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})_{\geq 0}$ . Instead we need to move to the category of chain complexes up to chain homotopies  $K(\mathcal{A})_{\geq 0}$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category with enough projectives and  $f : A \rightarrow B$  a morphism in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $P_\bullet \rightarrow A$  and  $Q_\bullet \rightarrow B$  be projective resolutions of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively. Then there exists a chain map  $f_\bullet : P_\bullet \rightarrow Q_\bullet$  that extends  $f$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & A \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f_1 & & \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow f \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & Q_1 & \longrightarrow & Q_0 & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The chain map  $f_\bullet$  is unique up to chain homotopy.

**Definition. Projective Resolutions as Category.** We define the full additive subcategories

1.  $\text{Proj}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{A}$  of projective objects,
2.  $K_{\geq 0}(\text{Proj}(\mathcal{A}))$  of chain complexes of projective objects up to homotopy in non-negative degree,
3.  $K_{\geq 0}^0(\text{Proj}(\mathcal{A}))$  of chain complexes of projective objects up to homotopy in non-negative degree such that  $H_n(P_\bullet) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$  and  $P_\bullet \in K_{\geq 0}(\text{Proj}(\mathcal{A}))$ .

**Note.** Every object in (3) is a projective resolution

$$\cdots \longrightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} P_0 \longrightarrow \text{coker}(p_1) \longrightarrow 0$$

of the cokernel of the last differential  $p_1$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category with enough projectives. Then the functor

$$H_0 : K_{\geq 0}^0(\text{Proj}(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

is an equivalence of categories. Thus there exists an inverse functor denote

$$P_\bullet(-) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow K_{\geq 0}^0(\text{Proj}(\mathcal{A})) \subset K_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$$

that is unique up to natural isomorphism.

### 3.2 Derived Functors

**Definition. Left Derived Functor.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be abelian categories such that  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough projectives. Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a right exact functor. We define functors

$F_i : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  for all  $i \geq 0$  by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\quad F_i \quad} & B \\
\uparrow P_{\bullet}(-) \quad H_0 & & \uparrow H_i \\
K_{\geq 0}^0(\text{Proj}(\mathcal{A})) & \xrightarrow{\quad K(F) \quad} & K_{\geq 0}(B)
\end{array}$$

We also write  $L_i F$  for  $F_i$ .

**Definition. Right Derived Functor.** Similarly, we define the right derived functor of a left exact functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  whenever  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough injectives. Instead of a projective resolution we use an injective resolution to obtain a cochain complex, apply cohomology and obtain the right derived functor denote by  $R^i F$ .

**Definition. Ext.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category. The functor  $\text{Hom}(-, -) : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  is left exact in both arguments. Let  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  be fixed. We define

$$\text{Ext}^i(-, B) := R^i \text{Hom}(-, B) = H^i(-) \circ K(-) \circ P_{\bullet}(-).$$

Note that we use a projective resolution for the first argument of  $\text{Hom}(-, -)$  since a projective resolution in  $\mathcal{A}$  is an injective resolution in  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$  as required.

### 3.3 (Universal) Delta Functors

In the previous subsection we saw how to construct the derived functor and showed that is actually a functor, independent of the choice of projective / injective resolution chosen. Now we introduce additional structure that naturally arises in this process, a long exact sequence, and show that derived functors are universal delta functors.

**Definition. Homological Delta Functor.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be abelian categories and  $T_n : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  additive functors. If for each short exact sequence

$$s := (0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0)$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$  there exists a morphism

$$\delta_n^s : T_n(C) \rightarrow T_{n-1}(A)$$

(depending on  $s$ ) such that the sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& \cdots & \longrightarrow & T_1(B) & \longrightarrow & T_1(C) & \longrightarrow \\
& & & & & & \\
& & & \boxed{\delta_1} & & & \\
& & \curvearrowright & T_0(A) & \longrightarrow & T_0(B) & \longrightarrow T_0(C) \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

is exact and  $\delta_\bullet^s$  is natural in  $s$ , i.e. for any morphism of short exact sequences  $s \mapsto t$  given as a chain map

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow h & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A' & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & C' & \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} s \\ \downarrow \\ t \end{array}$$

the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_n(C) & \xrightarrow{\delta^s} & T_{n-1}(A) \\ h \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ T_n(C') & \xrightarrow{\delta^t} & T_{n-1}(A) \end{array}$$

commutes for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ .

We now show that homology itself is a delta functor (dropping the non-negative degree constraint). The derived functors will then inherit the structure from homology.

**Proposition. Homology is a Homological Delta Functor.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category and  $H_n : \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be the homology functor for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then the functors  $H_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  can be assembled into a long exact sequence with a connecting morphism  $\delta$  that is natural with respect to the short exact sequence. Thus homology is a homological delta functor.

**Proof.** We get  $\delta$  and its naturality from the snake lemma.

**Proposition. Left Derived Functor as Homological Delta Functor.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be abelian categories such that  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough projectives and  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be right exact. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & P'_\bullet & \longrightarrow & P_\bullet & \longrightarrow & P''_\bullet & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A' & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A'' & \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

be a short exact sequence in  $A$ , that for each term has a projective resolution that together assemble into a degree-wise short exact sequence of projective resolutions.

We apply the induced functor  $F$  to this short exact sequence to obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow F(P'_\bullet) \longrightarrow F(P_\bullet) \longrightarrow F(P''_\bullet) \longrightarrow 0$$

that is again short exact, because  $F$  preserves the degree-wise exactness of sequences of projective objects (this is due to the following: Any short exact sequence of projective objects splits, thus by additivity  $F$  preserves it). Applying homology  $H_n$  yields a long

exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & F_1(A) & \longrightarrow & F_1(A'') & \longrightarrow \\ & & & & & & \left. \right\} \\ & & & & & & \delta_1 \\ & \swarrow & & & & & \downarrow \\ F_0(A') & \longrightarrow & F_0(A) & \longrightarrow & F_0(A'') & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

assembling the derived functors  $F_i$  for  $F$  into a long exact sequence as desired.

This construction has two issues. First, it is not clear that we can find projective resolutions of  $A'$ ,  $A$  and  $A''$  such that they fit together into a short exact sequence themselves. Additionally, a morphism of short exact sequences needs to induce a morphism of the projective resolutions such that this chain map commutes with the surjection onto the original sequence. A priori we only get this up to chain homotopy. The next two propositions given an answer to these two issues.

**Proposition. Horseshoe Lemma.** Given a short exact sequence and projective resolutions

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P'_\bullet & & & P''_\bullet & \\ \downarrow & & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A' & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

we can find a projective resolution  $P_\bullet = P'_\bullet \oplus P''_\bullet$  (degree-wise direct sum) of  $A$  such that  $P'_\bullet \rightarrow P_\bullet \rightarrow P''_\bullet$  is degree-wise short exact.

**Proposition.** Given a morphism of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A' & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & B'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

we can find projective resolutions of the upper and lower short exact sequence respectively and a morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & P'_\bullet & \longrightarrow & P_\bullet & \longrightarrow & P''_\bullet & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Q'_\bullet & \longrightarrow & Q_\bullet & \longrightarrow & Q''_\bullet & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

such that the epimorphisms  $P'_\bullet \twoheadrightarrow A'$ ,  $Q'_\bullet \twoheadrightarrow B'$ ,  $P_\bullet \twoheadrightarrow A$ , and so forth commute with the chain maps.

**Proof.** Arrow category construction.

**Definition. Map of Delta Functors.**  $S_n, \delta$  and  $T_n, \delta$  be homological  $\delta$ -functors  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ . A map of homological  $\delta$ -functors is given by a natural transformation  $\alpha_n : S_n \rightarrow T_n$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that for any short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$$

the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_n(C) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & S_{n-1}(A) \\ \alpha_n(C) \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_{n-1}(A) \\ T_n(C) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & T_{n-1}(A) \end{array}$$

commutes for all  $n \geq 1$ .

**Definition. Universal Delta Functor.** A homological  $\delta$ -functor  $T_n : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is called universal if for all homological  $\delta$ -functors  $S_n : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and all natural transformations  $\alpha_0 : S_0 \rightarrow T_0$  there exists a unique map of  $\delta$ -functors  $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$  that extends  $\alpha_0$ .

**Proposition. Unique Universal Delta Functor.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  both be universal  $\delta$ -functors  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that  $S_0 \cong T_0$  is a natural isomorphism of functors. Then  $S_n \cong T_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and we say that  $S$  and  $T$  are isomorphic as  $\delta$ -functors.

We now want to show that any derived functor is a universal  $\delta$ -functor. From the above proposition it then follows that the  $\delta$ -functor structure of the derived functor is unique up to isomorphism of  $\delta$ -functors, since any derived functors of  $F$  agree in degree 0 by assumption.

To show this, we show that (a) coeffaceable  $\delta$ -functors are universal and (b) that derived functors are coeffaceable.

**Definition. Coeffaceable Delta Functor.** Let  $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a  $\delta$ -functor. It is called coeffaceable if for any object  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  there exists  $P \in \mathcal{A}$  and a epimorphism  $u : P \twoheadrightarrow A$  such that  $T_n(u) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$  (but not necessarily for  $n = 0$ ).

**Note.** Directly from this definition we see that the left derived functor  $L_n F$  of some right exact functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is coeffaceable:

Given any  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  we find a projective object  $P \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $P \twoheadrightarrow A$ . Since  $L_n F(P) = 0$  for any projective object and  $i \neq 0$ , the claim follows.

**Proposition. Coeffaceable Delta Functors are Universal.** Let  $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a coeffaceable  $\delta$ -functor. Then it is universal, i.e. for any  $\delta$ -functor  $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and natural transformation  $\alpha_0 : S_0 \rightarrow T_0$  we can extend  $\alpha_0$  uniquely to a map of  $\delta$ -functors.

**Proof.**

## 4 Extensions

**Definition. Extensions.** Fix two objects  $A, C$  and let  $B$  and  $B'$  be extensions of  $C$  by  $A$ . Then we say these two extensions are equivalent if there exists an isomorphism  $\varphi$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \varphi & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

commutes. This is an equivalence relation on the set of extensions of  $C$  by  $A$ . The set  $\text{Ext}(C, A)$  denotes the set those extensions modulo equivalence.

The map

$$\text{Ext}(-, -) : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{SET}$$

yields functors  $\text{Ext}(-, C)$  and  $\text{Ext}(A, -)$ .

**Note.** A priori, these functors map into SET, the category of large sets (and not into small sets, SET).

**Note.** For any  $A, C$  there exists the trivial extension  $A \oplus C$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a morphism. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(f) & \hookrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{coker}(f) & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \searrow & \nearrow & & & & \\ & & & & \text{im } f & & & & & \\ & & & & \swarrow & \searrow & & & & \\ 0 & & & & & & 0 & & & \end{array}$$

is exact everywhere.