ENG720: Literature Review

Title: Automatic generation control of a two area power system using deep

reinforcement learning

Author: Shane Reynolds

Supervisor: Charles Yeo & Stefanija Klaric

Degree: Bachelor of Engineering (Honours)

1 Literature Review

Traditional control structures for maintaining power system frequency, like load frequency control (LFC) or automatic generation control (AGC), operate based on an assumption of linear plant models. An increasing proportion of photovoltaic sources of generation, and an increase in the use of high voltage direct current (HVDC) transmission lines to Australia's power network means power system dynamics are becoming more non-linear. This is driving a need to explore applications of novel control architectures to AGC for increased control performance under non-linear plant conditions. One such control architecture being investigated is Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL). To fully grasp this problem two key areas of understanding are necessary. Firstly, it is important to know what LFC is and the control architectures that have previously been explored to address this problem. Secondly, knowledge of DRL and its historical applications to control problems is required to understand strengths, limitations, and underlying assumptions of the architecture.

This review addresses both aspects in $\S\S$ 1.1 and 1.2, respectively.

1.1 Automatic Generation Control

Since Thomas Edison's first commercial power station, commissioned in 1882 at 255-257 Pearl Street New York, the control of power frequency has been an important consideration for power generation [1]. In contrast to Edison's six 100kW generator facility, modern power systems are usually large-scale systems comprised of multiple generation sources such as thermal, hydro, and photovoltaic. Energy storage systems like pumped

hydro and batteries are often featured, and high voltage direct current lines are often used when exporting power over long distances [2]–[5]. Studies have shown that modern power systems display complex non-linear dynamics (REFERENCE); however, the majority of research performed to date has used linearised models of single, two, or multi-area power systems [2], [4], [6]–[10].

One of the first attempts to control the frequency of a single area power system under a linear model assumption was using a turbine governor with a proportional control loop. This is often referred to as primary control [2]. The approach saw some success in arresting frequency deviations from the desired set point, but was ultimately found to be insufficient due to a persistent offset error from the set point (REFERENCE). Later research concluded that a supplementary integral control loop to the governor was required to provide sufficient frequency control (REFERENCE). The combination of primary and supplementary, or proportional and integral control, is referred to as PI control (REFERENCE). This scheme constitutes the classical approach to the solution of the LFC problem.

Cohn [11] and Aggarwal et al. [12], [13] undertook pioneering work to develop classical control approaches to work with power systems comprised of two or more control areas. Cohn's papers referred to this as a tie line bias control strategy. One of the important things to come out of his work was the use of a control feedback signal called area control error (ACE). Cohn used ACE to ensure zero steady state error for frequency deviations, and to minimise unscheduled tie line power flows between neighbouring control areas [7]. Classical power system frequency controllers can be designed using Bode and Nyquist diagrams to obtain desired gain and phase margins. Root locus plots can also be used [14]. While these approaches are simple, well known, and easy for practical implementation, investigations using these approaches have resulted in control schemes that exhibit poor dynamic performance. This is especially true in the presence of parameter variations and nonlinearities [5], [9], [15].

Linear power system models capture some of the underlying plant characteristics; however, these models are only valid within certain operating ranges (REFERENCE). Nonlinear plant characteristics mean that different linear models are required as plant operating conditions change. Some of the more common non-linearities referenced in the literature include governor ramp constraint (GRC) [10], [16], governor dead band (REFERENCE), OTHER NON-LINEAR FACTORS THAT COULD BE REFERENCED (REFERENCE). In recent years, frequency control methods using fuzzy logic, genetic algorithms (GA), and artificial neural networks (ANN), have gone some way to addressing the problems which arise due to non-linearity.

Fuzzy logic control schemes are developed directly from power system domain experts or operators who control plant manually (REFERENCE). Researchers have shown that a fuzzy gain scheduling PI controller can perform as well as a fixed gain controller, for frequency control of two and multi-area power systems. Moreover, it was found fuzzy

controllers are simpler to implement [17], [18]. Yesil et al. [19] proposed a self tuning fuzzy PID controller for a two area power system and noted improvements in controller transient performance when compared to a fuzzy gain scheduling PI controller.

Genetric algorithms are stochastic global search algorithms based on natural selection. In the context of power system control, GAs operate on a population of individuals. An individual is a set of control system parameters which are initially drawn at random and without knowledge of the task domain. Successive generations of individuals are developed using genetic operations such as recombination or mutation. An individuals chance of being selected for used in an genetic operation is based on an objective measure of fitness — strong individuals are retained and weak individuals are discarded [20].

Chang et al. [21] investigated using GA to determine fuzzy PI controller gains, which resulted in a control scheme which performed favourably when compared to a fixed-gain controller. Rekpreedapong et al. [22] took this one step further by optimally tuning PI controller gains with GA while using linear matrix inequalities (LMI) constraints from a higher order controller. This research, performed on a three area control system, was motivated by the belief higher order controllers are not practical for industry. Rekpreedapong et al. concluded that the GA tuned PI controller, under LMI constraints, performed almost as well a higher order control system. Research undertaken by Ghosal [23] concluded that PID control with gains optimised by GA provided better transient performance than PI control with gains optimised in the same way.

Artificial neural networks are systems that take input signals and, using many simple processing elements, produce output signals. The processing elements, or neurons, each have a number of internal parameters referred to as weights. Changing a weight will change the behaviour of a neuron. If many weights are changed, the behaviour of the ANN can be changed. The goal is to choose weights of the network in order to achieve the desired input/output relationship — this is called training the network [24].

Beaufays et al. [25] demonstrated it was possible to used a neural network for frequency control in one and two-area power systems. The ANN replaced the integral controller in the classical structure; however, employed a state variable vector input containing frequency deviation and tie-line power measurements instead of a single value ACE signal seen with classical controllers. The network was trained using a back propagation through time algorithm, and resulted in better transient performance when compared with a classical PI controller. Using these results, Demiroren et al. [26] went further by including non-linearity in the plant models. Specifically, governor deadband, reheater effects, and generating rate constraints are included and it was shown that the results obtained using the ANN controller outperformed the results of a standard PI classical control model for a two-area power system. Research undertaken a year later confirmed these results for a larger four-area power system with thermal and hydro generation sources [27].

1.2 Deep Reinforcement Learning

The AGC problem can be viewed as a stochastic multi-stage decision-making problem or a Markov Chain control problem, and algorithms have been presented for designing AGC based on a reinforcement learning approach [28].

References

- [1] N. Cohn, "The evolution of real time control applications to power systems," *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 1–17, 1983.
- [2] H. Bevrani and T. Hiyama, *Intelligent Automatic Generation Control*. CRC Press, 2011.
- [3] J. D. Glover, S. S. Mulukutla, and T. J. Overbye, *Power system analysis and design*, 5th Edition. Cengage Learning, 2012.
- [4] D. P. Kothari and I. J. Nagrath, *Modern Power System Analysis*, 4th Edition. McGraw Hill India, 2011.
- [5] P. Kundur, *Power System Stability and Control*. McGraw-Hill Inc., 1994.
- [6] H. Saadat, *Power System Analysis*, 3rd. PSA Publishing LLC, 2011.
- [7] N. Cohn, "Some aspects of tie-line bias control on interconnected power systems," *Transactions of the American Institute of Electrical Engineers. Part III: Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. 75, pp. 1415–1436, 3 Jan. 1956, ISSN: 2379-6766. DOI: 10.1109/AIEEPAS.1956.4499454.
- [8] A. J. Wood, B. F. Wollenberg, and G. B. Shelbe, *Power generation, operation, and control*, 3rd Edition. Wiley, 2013.
- [9] O. I. Elgerd and C. E. Fosha, "Optimum megawatt-frequency control of multiarea electric energy systems," *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, no. 4, pp. 556–563, 1970.
- [10] H. G. Kwatny, K. C. Kalnitsky, and A. Bhatt, "An optimal tracking approach to load-frequency control," *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. 94, no. 5, pp. 1635–1643, Sep. 1975, ISSN: 0018-9510. DOI: 10.1109/T-PAS.1975.32006. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=1601608.
- [11] N. Cohn, "Techniques for improving the control of bulk power transfers on interconnected systems," *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. PAS-90, pp. 2409–2419, 6 Nov. 1971, ISSN: 0018-9510. DOI: 10.1109/TPAS.1971. 292851.
- [12] R. P. Aggarwal and F. R. Bergseth, "Large signal dynamics of load-frequency control systems and their optimization using nonlinear programming: I," *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. PAS-87, pp. 527–532, 2 Feb. 1968, ISSN: 0018-9510. DOI: 10.1109/TPAS.1968.292049.

- [13] —, "Large signal dynamics of load-frequency control systems and their optimization using nonlinear programming: Ii," *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. PAS-87, pp. 532–538, 2 Feb. 1968, ISSN: 0018-9510. DOI: 10.1109/TPAS.1968.292050.
- [14] K. Ogata, *Modern Control Engineering*, 5th ed. Pearson, 2010.
- [15] T. E. Bechert and N. Chen, "Area automatic generation control by multi-pass dynamic programming," *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. 96, pp. 1460–1469, 5 Sep. 1977, ISSN: 0018-9510. DOI: 10.1109/T-PAS. 1977.32474.
- [16] O. Elgerd, *Electric energy systems theory: an introduction*, 2nd ed. McGraw-Hill, 1994
- [17] C. S. Chang and W. Fu, "Area load frequency control using fuzzy gain scheduling of pi controllers," *Electric Power Systems Research*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 145–152, Aug. 1997. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378779696011996.
- [18] E. Cam and I. Kocaarslan, "Load frequency control in two area power system using fuzzy logic controller," *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 233–243, Jan. 2005. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0196890404000779.
- [19] E. Yesil, M. Guzelkaya, and I. Eksin, "Self tuning pid type load and frequency controller," *Energy Conversion*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 377–390, Feb. 2004. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0196890403001493.
- [20] P. J. Fleming and C. M. Fonseca, "Genetic algorithms in control systems engineering," *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, vol. 26, no. 2, Part 2, pp. 605–612, 1993, 12th Triennal Wold Congress of the International Federation of Automatic control. Volume 2 Robust Control, Design and Software, Sydney, Australia, 18-23 July, ISSN: 1474-6670. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-6670(17)49015-X. [Online]. Available: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S147466701749015X.
- [21] C. S. Chang, W. Fu, and F. Wen, "Load frequency control using genetic algorithm based fuzzy gain scheduling of pi controllers," *Electric Machines and Power Systems*, vol. 26, no. 1, 1998. [Online]. Available: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/07313569808955806.

- [22] D. Rerkpreedapong, A. Hasanovic, and A. Feliachi, "Robust load frequency control using genetic algorithms and linear matrix inequalities," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 18, pp. 855–861, 2 May 2003, ISSN: 1558-0679. DOI: 10.1109/TPWRS.2003.811005.
- [23] S. P. Ghoshal, "Application of ga/ga-sa based fuzzy automatic generation control of a multi-area thermal generating system," *Electric Power Systems Research*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 115–127, 2004, ISSN: 0378-7796. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2003.11.013. [Online]. Available: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378779603002980.
- [24] D. H. Nguyen and B. Widrow, "Neural networks for self-learning control systems," *IEEE Control Systems Magazine*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 18–23, Apr. 1990, ISSN: 2374-9385. DOI: 10.1109/37.55119.
- [25] F. Beaufays, Y. Abdel-Magid, and B. Widrow, "Application of neural networks to load-frequency control in power systems," *Neural Networks*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 183—194, 1994, ISSN: 0893-6080. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0893-6080(94) 90067-1. [Online]. Available: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0893608094900671.
- [26] A. Demiroren, N. S. Sengor, and H. L. Zeynelgil, "Automatic generation control by using ann technique," *Electric Power Components and Systems*, vol. 29, no. 10, pp. 883–896, 2001. DOI: 10.1080/15325000152646505. eprint: https://doi.org/10.1080/15325000152646505. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1080/15325000152646505.
- [27] H. L. Zeynelgil, A. Demiroren, and N. S. Sengor, "The application of ann technique to automatic generation control for multi-area power system," *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 345–354, 2002, ISSN: 0142-0615. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-0615(01)00049-7. [Online]. Available: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0142061501000497.
- [28] T. P. Imthias Ahamed, P. S. Nagendra Rao, and P. S. Sastry, "A reinforcement learning approach to automatic generation control," *Electric Power Systems Research*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 9–26, 2002, ISSN: 0378-7796. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7796(02)00088-3. [Online]. Available: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378779602000883.