

Solar Panel Soiling State Classification


Shane Reynolds

Abstract—An abstract is meant to be a summary of all of the relevant points in your presented work. It is designed to present a high-level overview of the report, providing just enough detail to convey the necessary information. The abstract may often mention a one-sentence summary of the results. While the type of voice chosen for the paper (active or passive) may be up for debate, you should avoid the use of I and me in the report. It usually is kept to a length of 150 - 200 words. Example: You should not write, I present two different neural networks for classifying my data. Instead, you should try to say, Two different neural networks are used for classification

Index Terms—Robot, IEEEtran, L^AT_EX, deep learning.

1 INTRODUCTION

ACCORDING to the BP Statistical Review of World Energy, coal, oil, and natural gas account for 85% of global energy consumption [1]. The combustion of these fuels are a major source of global greenhouse gas emissions [2]. In contrast, renewable sources of energy, which are comprised of wind, geothermal, solar, and biomass produce far less greenhouse gas emissions. Sadly, however, these energy sources only contribute a mere 3.5% to global energy consumption. A longitudinal breakdown of global energy consumption can be seen in Figure 1.



Recently, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) released a report highlighting the link between greenhouse gas emissions and

the increase in global climate temperatures citing human induced warming of 1°C above pre-industrial levels in 2017, increasing at a minimum of 0.1°C per decade. The report cites adverse consequences

introduction should provide some material regarding the history of the problem, why it is important and what is intended to be achieved. If there exists any previous attempts to solve this problem, this is a great place to note these while conveying the differences in your approach (if any). The intent is to provide enough information for the reader to understand why this problem is interesting and setting up the conversation for the solution you have provided. Use this space to introduce your robotic inference idea and how you wish to apply it. If you have any papers / sites you have referenced for your idea, please make sure to cite them.

1.1 Subsection Heading Here

Subsection text here.

1.1.1 Subsubsection Heading Here

Subsubsection text here.

TABLE 1: Table

One	Two
Three	Four

2 BACKGROUND / FORMULATION

At this stage, you should begin diving into the technical details of your approach by explaining




Fig. 1: Robot Revolution.

to the reader how parameters were defined, what type of network was chosen, and the reasons these items were performed. This should be factual and authoritative, meaning you should not use language such as I think this will work or Maybe a network with this architecture is better... Instead, focus on items similar to, A 3-layer network architecture was chosen with X, Y, and Z parameters Explain why you chose the network you did for the supplied data set and then why you chose the network used for your robotic inference project.

- example
- 1) example

3 DATA ACQUISITION

This section should discuss the data set. Items to include are the number of images, size of the images, the types of images (RGB, Grayscale, etc.), how these images were collected (including the method). Providing this information is critical if anyone would like to replicate your results. After all, the intent of reports such as these are to convey information and build upon ideas so you want to ensure others can validate your process. Justifying why you gathered data in this way is a helpful point, but sometimes this may be omitted here if the problem has been stated clearly in the introduction. It is a great idea here to have at least one or two images showing what your data looks like for the reader to visualize.

4 RESULTS

This is typically the hardest part of the report for many. You want to convey your results in an unbiased fashion. If your results are good, you can objectively note this. Similarly, you may do this if they are bad as well. You do not want to justify your results here with discussion; this is a topic for the next session. Present the results of your robotics project model and the model you used for the supplied data with the appropriate accuracy and inference time For demonstrating your results, it is incredibly useful to have some charts, tables, and/or graphs for the reader to review. This makes ingesting the information quicker and easier.

5 DISCUSSION

This is the only section of the report where you may include your opinion. However, make sure your opinion is based on facts. If your results are poor, make mention of what may be the underlying issues. If the results are good, why do you think this is the case? Again, avoid writing in the first person (i.e. Do not use words like I or me). If you really find yourself struggling to avoid the word I or me; sometimes, this can be avoided with the use of the word one. As an example: instead of : I think the accuracy on my dataset is low because the images are too small to show the necessary detail try: one may believe the accuracy on the dataset is low because the images are too small to show the necessary detail. They say the same thing, but the second avoids the first person. Reflect on which is more important, inference time or accuracy, in regards to your robotic inference project.

6 CONCLUSION / FUTURE WORK

This section is intended to summarize your report. Your summary should include a recap of the results, did this project achieve what you attempted, and is this a commercially viable product? For Future work, address areas of work that you may not have addressed in your report as possible next steps. For future work, this could be due to time constraints, lack of currently developed methods / technology, and areas of application outside of your current implementation. Again, avoid the use of the first-person.

REFERENCES

- [1] British Petroleum, "Bp statistical review of world energy," tech. rep., June 1985.
- [2] S. P. A Azapagic, *Sustainable Development in Practice*, vol. 2nd ed. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell, 2011.