

Deep Reinforcement Learning: Robotic Arm Control

Shane Reynolds

March 3, 2019

Abstract

1 Introduction

Prior to 2010, state of the art speech to text was achieved by taking an audio sample input which was passed through various independently developed models, such as acoustic models, and language models, which converted the input to text. In 2010, Deep Neural Networks (DNN) started to make improvements on this approach. The DNN approach simply took the raw audio input and returned the text output, replacing the various acoustic, and language models with single network. Speech recognition with DNNs is now considered state of the art. Prior to 2012, the Computer Vision task of taking raw pixel input and labelling the picture as an output was achieved by extracting key points, using SIFT feature computation, and applying deformable part models. In 2012, ImageNet and AlexNet demonstrated performance which surpassed the current state of the art, effectively replacing the image labelling process with a single DNN. Again, prior to 2014, text-to-text machine translation was undertaken with a series of individual models and computation processes. Today, state of the art machine translation is achieved with a single DNN. The common theme in these examples is that input to output transformation, which were comprised of many individual models, been replaced by a single DNN yielding significant increases in system performance.

The field of robotics presents a similar story: robotic sensor input is processed, and actions in the physical world are the system output. Currently, state-of-the-art approaches to achieving this sensor input to action output transformation is done using perception models to localise the robot and map the environment, navigation models to path plan, and control models to move actuators. Thinking of a robotic system in this context presents a compelling argument for trying to replace our approach to perception, navigation, and control using a single DNN. Simple analogies aside, it must be acknowledged that robotics is more challenging than labelling an image. The main reason is that the robot's actions change the nature of the robot's environment. The implication is that we cannot collect static data to learn actions from, rather, the data set needs to be built by the robot exploring and interacting with the environment. One of the best ways that we currently know how to approach this problem is through reinforcement learning.

TALK ABOUT REINFORCEMENT LEARNING

This paper explores deep reinforcement learning (DRL) and its application to a three degree of freedom robotic arm. The reinforcement learning agent is trained to touch a cylindrical object which is spawned in the robot's workspace. The goal comes in two flavours: the first is to touch the cylindrical object with any part of the robot; the second, more difficult, goal is to touch the cylindrical object with the robot arm end effector. Learning takes place over repeated episodes, which are terminated when the robot successfully touches the object, or when the robot arm collides with the ground. A final reward is given when the agent reaches a terminal state. An interim rewards is assigned at each discrete time step. The interim reward function design is the main focus of the paper. The benchmark for

2 Background

2.1 Markov Decision Process

Reinforcement Learning (RL) is a branch of machine learning that is concerned with how agents make sequential decisions to maximise some notion of a cumulative reward. Suppose that a robotic agent exists in some environment which is comprised of many discrete states, $s \in S$, such that S denotes the state space. At any discrete point in time the agent can take an action $a \in A$, where A denotes the action space. When the agent takes an action in a given state, the agent receives some reward, denoted with $r \in R$, where R is the reward set. If an agent is in a given state, s , and takes an action, a , this will transition the agent to a new state, s' , and yield reward, r , with some given probability - these are referred to as state transition probabilities. Transition probabilities are denoted as follows:

$$P(S_{t+1} = s', R_{t+1} = r \mid S_t = s, A_t = a) \quad (1)$$

The set of parameters, outlined above, make up a framework referred to as a Markov Decision Process (MDP).

2.2 Policy

In order for the robot to act within the environment, it needs to have a policy. A policy, π , is defined as a mapping from states to actions, that is, a rule which determines what action the robot will take for a given state. A deterministic policy, $\pi(s)$, maps a single action to a single state. A stochastic policy, $\pi(a|s)$, defines a probability distribution over the actions for a given state.

2.3 Return

As the robotic agent takes actions at each discrete time step, it receives a reward. The cumulative sum of this reward is referred to as the return. The return is denoted, for N discrete time steps, as:

$$G_t = r_t + r_{t+1} + r_{t+2} + \dots + r_{N-1} \quad (2)$$

Often it is convenient to make future rewards less important than more immediate rewards. This is by multiplying each reward in the sequence by a discount factor, $\gamma \in [0, 1]$. Equation (XXXX) then becomes:

$$G_t = r_t + \gamma r_{t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{t+2} + \dots + \gamma^{N-1} r_{N-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \gamma^k r_{t+k} \quad (3)$$

2.4 Value Function

In order to help decide what the agent's best action to take at a particular instant it is important for the agent to know how good it is to be in a particular state. A way of measuring the "goodness" of a state is the *value function*, $v_\pi(s)$, which is defined as the expected value of the return that an agent will receive while following a particular policy π starting in some state s . Mathematically, this can be described as follows:

$$v_\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}_\pi(G_t \mid s_t = s) = \mathbb{E}_\pi\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r_{t+k} \mid s_t = s\right) \quad (4)$$

An alternative way to express equation (XXXX) is with the Bellman equation:

$$v_\pi(s) = \sum_{a \in A(s)} \pi(a|s) \sum_{s' \in S^+, r \in R} P(S_{t+1} = s', R_{t+1} = r \mid S_t = s, A_t = a) \cdot (r + \gamma v_\pi(s')) \quad (5)$$

In addition to the *value function*, it may be useful for the robot to measure the value of taking an action if it is in some given state - this is called an *action-value function*. Formally, the *action-value*

function, $q_\pi(s, a)$, is defined as the expected value of the return that the agent will receive after taking action a in state s , and following policy π thereafter. Mathematically, this can be expressed as:

$$q_\pi(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_\pi(G_t \mid s_t = s, a_t = a) = \mathbb{E}_\pi\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r_{t+k} \mid S_t = s, A_t = a\right) \quad (6)$$

2.5 Reinforcement Learning Algorithms

The objective of RL is to determine the policy which will maximise the expected value of the agent’s cumulative reward. The value function is useful when assessing whether one policy is better than another policy. We say that some policy π' is better than policy π if and only if $v_{\pi'}(s) \geq v_\pi(s) \forall s \in S$. There is always one policy that is better than all the other policies - this policy is often referred to as the optimal policy. Whilst there may be more than one optimal policy, we denote them using π^* . They share the same *value function*, denoted v_{π^*} , and are defined as:

$$v_{\pi^*}(s) = \max_{\pi} v_\pi(s), \forall s \in S \quad (7)$$

2.6 Deep Reinforcement Learning

2.6.1 Experience Replay

2.6.2 Fixed Q-Targets

3 Robotic Arm Reinforcement Learning Problem

Newborn babies are typically unable to coordinate their arms to reliably grasp objects within their reach, however, as early as 4 months old babies can locate and pick up large objects such as blocks. One simple way to think about the baby developing this skill is to envision the baby taking repeated attempts to locate and pick up an object - if the baby successfully grasps the object then it feels happy, if it is unable to grasp the object then it feels sad. Simplifying things further we might crudely imagine the baby as a system comprised of an arm full of sensors which can detect object collisions, and a camera allowing vision of it’s own arm interacting in the environment. This is the problem that this paper attempts to simulate and solve using DRL. The robotic arm is simulated in Gazebo, and consists of three revolute joints providing three degrees of freedom. A cylindrical object is placed within the work space of the robot, and the robot can observe the both its arm and the environment with an external camera. The scene set up is shown in Figure XXXX.

Figure 1: text

The task is simple - the robotic arm must reach towards and touch the object. The robot can view itself and the object with a camera, and it knows what it’s joint angles are. A single attempt at touching the object is called an episode, and can consist of many actions. An episode terminates when the robot collides with the ground; or successfully touches the object; or XXXX seconds elapse for a given episode. Commencing a new episode re-initialises the joint angles so that the robot arm stretches upwards. Furthermore, the object is repositioned back in front of the robot - using the same position each time. A discrete time step during an episode allows an action to be taken for a single joint. After each action, the robot receives an interim reward.

TALK ABOUT DQN AGENT SET UP

There were two choices when designing the action space: allow an action to change a joint position; or allow an action to change a joint velocity. Changing the joint position directly was problematic since instantaneously altering joint positions by a small amount at each time step, led to jittery robotic movements. Further it is obviously impossible to move joints instantaneously in the real

Listing 1: DQN Initialisation

```
agent = dqnAgent::Create(INPUT_WIDTH,
                        INPUT_HEIGHT,
                        INPUT_CHANNELS,
                        DOF*2,
                        OPTIMIZER,
                        LEARNING_RATE,
                        REPLAY_MEMORY,
                        BATCH_SIZE,
                        GAMMA,
                        EPS_START,
                        EPS_END,
                        EPS_DECAY,
                        USE_LSTM,
                        LSTM_SIZE,
                        ALLOW_RANDOM,
                        DEBUG_DQN);
```

world. Actions were instead defined to be the change of the angular velocity for a given joint, which yielded much smoother looking robotic movements. The robot action implementation was developed as part of a method for the DQN agent called `ArmPlugin::updateAgent()`

Listing 2: listing

```
float velocity = 0.0; // TODO - Set joint velocity based on whether action is even or odd.
if (action % 2 == 0){
    velocity = vel[action/2] + actionVelDelta;
}else{
    velocity = vel[action/2] - actionVelDelta;
}

if( velocity < VELOCITY_MIN )
    velocity = VELOCITY_MIN;

if( velocity > VELOCITY_MAX )
    velocity = VELOCITY_MAX;

vel[action/2] = velocity;
```

WHAT IS THE ARCHITECTURE OF THE NEURAL NETWORK THAT IS BEING USED TO DELIVER THE DRL

4 Collisions

Two different types of collisions were monitored at each time step. The first type of collision is bad, and occurs if any part of the arm collides with the ground. This was implemented as part of the `ArmPlugin::OnUpdate()` method. WHAT DOES THE PIECE OF CODE DO? The implementation code snippet can be seen in Listing XXXX.

The second type of collision represents a successful episode, and is triggered according to to different criteria. First criteria is when any part of the robot touches the object. The second criteria is when the robot end effector touches the object. The code snippet was implemented as part of the XXXX method. TALK ABOUT WHAT IT WAS DESIGNED TO DO. The implementation can be seen in Listing XXXX.

Listing 3: c

```

const math::Box& gripBBox = gripper->GetBoundingBox();
const float groundContact = 0.05f;

if (gripBBox.min.z < groundContact){

    if(DEBUG){printf("GROUND CONTACT, EOE\n");}
    printf("Robot hit ground");
    rewardHistory = REWARD_LOSS;
    newReward     = true;
    endEpisode    = true;
}

```

Listing 4: listingxxx

```

for (unsigned int i = 0; i < contacts->contact_size(); ++i){

    if( strcmp(contacts->contact(i).collision2().c_str(), COLLISION_FILTER) == 0 )
        continue;

    if (strcmp(contacts->contact(i).collision1().c_str(), COLLISION_ITEM) == 0 ||
        strcmp(contacts->contact(i).collision2().c_str(), COLLISION_ITEM) == 0){

        std::cout << "Collision between[" << contacts->contact(i).collision1()
                    << "]" and [" << contacts->contact(i).collision2() << "]\n";

        rewardHistory = REWARD_WIN;

        newReward     = true;
        endEpisode    = true;

        return;
    }
}

```

5 Reward Function

Rewards are given to the agent when ever an episode terminates. If the agent experiences a collision with the ground, or if the episode times out, the agent is deemed to have failed the task and is given a punitive reward of XXXX. If the agent is successful in touching the object, then it is successful in its task and is given a reward of XXXX to promote the behaviour. In addition to final rewards, the agent also receives interim rewards at each time step. Just like the final rewards, the agent can be given a positive reward if the behaviour over the time step is desirable, or a negative reward if the behaviour is undesirable.

TALK MORE ABOUT THE REWARD FUNCTIONS THAT COULD BE DEPLOYED.

6 Hyperparameters

TALK ABOUT THE SELECTION OF INPUT WIDTH AND INPUT HEIGHT, TALK ABOUT THE CHOICE OF OPTIMISER, TALK ABOUT THE CHOICE OF LEARNING RATE, TALK ABOUT THE CHOICE OF REPLAY MEMORY, TALK ABOUT THE CHOICE OF BATCH SIZE, TALK ABOUT THE CHOICE OF USING LSTM, TALK ABOUT THE CHOICE OF THE LSTM SIZE

Listing 5: listing

```
if (gripBBox.min.z >= groundContact){  
    // compute the reward from distance to the goal  
    const float distGoal = BoxDistance(gripBBox, propBBox);  
  
    if( episodeFrames > 1 ){  
        // compute the change in distance to the goal  
        const float distDelta = lastGoalDistance - distGoal;  
  
        // compute the smoothed moving average of the delta of the distance to the goal  
        avgGoalDelta = (avgGoalDelta * 0.5) + (distDelta * (1.0 - 0.5));  
        rewardHistory = avgGoalDelta;  
        newReward      = true;  
    }  
  
    lastGoalDistance = distGoal;  
}
```

Listing 6: listing

```
// define hyperparameters  
#define INPUT_WIDTH  64  
#define INPUT_HEIGHT 64  
#define OPTIMIZER    "RMSprop"  
#define LEARNING_RATE 0.01f  
#define REPLAY_MEMORY 10000  
#define BATCH_SIZE  8  
#define USE_LSTM     false  
#define LSTM_SIZE    32
```

7 Results

TALK ABOUT HOW LONG IT TOOK FOR THE ROBOT TO REACH THE DESIRED SOLUTION SPACE FOR EACH OF THE TASKS

INCLUDE SCREENSHOTS AND VARIOUS VIDEOS OF EACH OF THE

8 Discussion

TALK ABOUT THE FACT THAT THE SUCCESS OF THE REINFORCEMENT LEARNING TASK LARGELY COMES DOWN TO THE DETERMINATION OF A REWARD FUNCTION THAT ALLOWS THE ROBOT TO CONVERGE ON THE DESIRED BEHAVIOUR.

9 Future Work

TALK ABOUT THE FACT THAT THERE ARE ADDITIONAL CAMERAS AND THAT THE OBJECT COULD BE RANDOMLY PLACED THROUGHOUT THE ENVIRONMENT AND THAT ROBOT BASE COULD BE MOVED ALSO TO TRY TO GET THE ROBOT TO LEARN MORE EXOTIC POLICIES