

# MAT320 Problem Set 4

Due Oct 5, 2023

Please write your homework on paper neatly or type it up in LaTeX, and hand it in at the beginning of class next Thursday.

Royden  $X.Y.Z$  refers Problem  $Z$  in Royden-Fitzpatrick, found in the collection of problems at the end of section  $X.Y$ .

**Problem 1.** Royden 2.3.11.

*Proof.* Suppose the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $A$  contains  $(a, \infty)$  for all  $a$ . Then since

$$[a, \infty) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a - 1/n, \infty)$$

it contains  $[a, \infty)$  for all  $a$ . It also contains the complements of each of these, namely  $(-\infty, a)$  and  $(-\infty, a]$  for every  $a$ . But then it contains  $[a, b] = [a, \infty) \cap (-\infty, b]$ ,  $(a, b) = (a, \infty) \cap (-\infty, b)$ ,  $(a, b] = (a, \infty) \cap (-\infty, b]$  and so forth.  $\square$

**Problem 2.** Royden 2.3.14.

*Proof.* Suppose  $E$  has positive outer measure. By countable subadditivity of outer measure,

$$\mu^*(E) \leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \mu^*(E \cap [j, j+1]).$$

Since  $\mu^*(E) > 0$ , at least one of the  $\mu^*(E \cap [j, j+1])$  must be greater than zero.  $\square$

**Problem 3.** We say that  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *Lipshitz* if there is a constant  $c \geq 0$  such that for all  $u, v \in [a, b]$ ,

$$|f(u) - f(v)| \leq c|u - v|.$$

Show that the image of a set of measure zero under a Lipshitz function has measure zero.

(We will see on October 3 that there is a continuous function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a set  $C \subset [0, 1]$  of measure zero such that  $f(C)$  is a measurable set of measure 1.)

*Proof.* Say  $E \subset [a, b]$  is of measure zero. Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a countable collection  $I_i$  of open intervals such that  $E \subset \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$  and  $\sum_i \ell(I_i) < \epsilon$ . I claim that  $f(I_i)$  is in some open interval of size  $c\ell(I_i)$ . If this holds then  $f(E)$  is covered by a countable collection of open intervals  $I'_j \supset f(I_i)$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \ell(I'_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c\ell(I_i) < c\epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrarily small and  $c$  doesn't depend on  $\epsilon$  this proves the desired claim.

To produce  $I'_j$  from  $I_j$ , we choose the midpoint  $x_j \in I_j$ . Then any  $x'_j \in I_j$  satisfies  $|x'_j - x_j| < \ell(I_j)/2$ , so  $|f(x'_j) - f(x_j)| < c\ell(I_j)/2$ . In other words,  $f(I_j)$  is contained in  $[f(x_j) - c\ell(I_j)/2, f(x_j) + c\ell(I_j)/2]$ , which is the desired claim.  $\square$

**Problem 4.** Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . We define a subset  $F_\alpha \subset [0, 1]$ , by defining

$$F_\alpha = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_\alpha^n$$

where  $F_\alpha^n$  is a union of intervals each of equal length, and  $F_\alpha^0 = [0, 1]$  and  $F_\alpha^n$  is produced from  $F_\alpha^{n-1}$  by removing an open interval of length  $\alpha/(3^n)$  from the middle each of the intervals comprising  $F_\alpha^{n-1}$ . Thus, if

$$F_\alpha^{n-1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_k} [x_i - a_i, x_i + a_i],$$

for some real numbers  $x_i$  and real numbers  $a_i > 0$ , then

$$F_\alpha^n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_k} ([x_i - a_i, x_i - \alpha/(2 \cdot 3^n)] \cup [x_i + \alpha/(2 \cdot 3^n), x_i + a_i]).$$

Show that  $F_\alpha$  is closed and uncountable, and compute the measure of  $F$ .

*Proof.*  $F_\alpha^n$  contains  $F_1^n$ , for all  $n$ , and so  $F_\alpha$  contains  $F_1$ , which is the Cantor set. Since the Cantor set is uncountable, so is  $F_\alpha$ . It is an infinite intersection of closed sets, so its closed. By induction we show that  $F_\alpha^n$  has  $2^n$  intervals of equal length, so we remove  $2^n$  intervals from  $F_\alpha^n$  to get  $F_\alpha^{n+1}$ . Thus the measure of  $F_\alpha$  is

$$1 - \left( \frac{\alpha}{3} + \frac{2\alpha}{3^2} + \dots \right) = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{3} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^n = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{3(1 - (2/3))} = 1 - \alpha.$$

□

**Problem 5.** Let  $f$  be a continuous function and let  $B$  be a Borel set. Show that  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a Borel set.

*Proof.* Say  $f$  is a continuous function  $X \rightarrow Y$  for some metric spaces  $X, Y$ .

Let  $Borel_X$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets and similarly for  $Borel_Y$ . Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be the set of  $\sigma$  algebras  $A$  on  $X$  such that  $A$  contains all open subsets of  $X$ . Then

$$Borel_X = \bigcap_{A \in \mathbb{A}} A.$$

Given a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $A$  on  $X$ , we have an associated  $\sigma$  algebra  $f(A)$  on  $Y$  defined by

$$f(A) = \{E \subset Y \mid f^{-1}(E) \in A\}.$$

One can verify the axioms of a  $\sigma$ -algebra using the fact that  $f^{-1}$  commutes with all set-theoretic operations like union and intersection. We claim that if  $A \in \mathbb{A}$  then  $f(A)$  contains the open sets of  $Y$ : if  $U$  is open in  $Y$ , then  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $X$  by continuity, so  $f^{-1}(U) \in A$  by the definition of  $\mathbb{A}$ , so  $U \in f(A)$ . This is true for all  $A \in \mathbb{A}$ ; one sees immediately that

$$f(Borel_X) = \bigcap_{A \in \mathbb{A}} f(A).$$

Writing  $\mathbb{B}$  for the set of  $\sigma$  algebras on  $Y$  containing the open sets of  $Y$ , we have that  $f(A) \in \mathbb{B}$  for every  $A \in \mathbb{A}$ ; so

$$f(\text{Borel}_X) = \cap_{A \in \mathbb{A}} f(A) \supset \cap_{B \in \mathbb{B}} B = \text{Borel}_Y.$$

In other words, if  $E \in \text{Borel}_Y$  then  $E \in f(\text{Borel}_X)$ , i.e.  $f^{-1}(E) \in \text{Borel}_X$  – which is what we wanted to show.  $\square$

**Extra credit.** Given a subset  $E$  of a metric space  $X$ , we say that a *boundary point* of  $E$  is a point  $x \in X$  such that for all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $B(x, \epsilon)$  contains some point in  $E$  and also some other point in  $X \setminus E$ . Let  $\partial E$  be the set of boundary points of  $E$ . (Note that  $\partial E$  may or may not contain points of  $E$ .)

- Show that if  $E$  is closed then  $\partial E \subset E$ .
- Show that  $\partial E$  is always closed.
- Show that  $\partial([0, 1] \setminus F_\alpha)$  has measure greater than zero, where  $F_\alpha$  is defined as above, and we take some  $\alpha$  such that  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

*Proof.* • A boundary point  $x$  of  $E$  is clearly a point of closure of  $E$ , as the latter is just the condition that  $B(x, \epsilon)$  contains points of  $E$  for any  $\epsilon$ . Since closed sets contain all their points of closure this proves the claim.

- Suppose  $x$  is a point of closure of  $\partial E$ . Then for any  $\epsilon/2$  there is a point  $y$  of  $\partial E$  in  $B(x, \epsilon/2)$ . But then there are also points  $z_0$  of  $E$  and  $z_1$  of  $X \setminus E$  in  $B(y, \epsilon/2)$ . By the triangle inequality,  $z_0$  and  $z_1$  are both in  $B(x, \epsilon)$ . This was true for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . So  $x \in \partial E$ .
- Clearly  $\partial E = \partial(X \setminus E)$  for any  $E \subset X$ . So  $\partial[0, 1] \setminus F_\alpha$  is  $\partial F_\alpha$ ; we show that  $\partial F_\alpha = F_\alpha$  (which is interesting)! Now  $F_\alpha$  is closed so  $\partial F_\alpha \subset F_\alpha$ ; so we only need to show that for any  $x \in \partial F_\alpha$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a point of  $[0, 1] \setminus F_\alpha$  which is in  $B(x, \epsilon)$ .

To see this we estimate the sizes of each of the  $2^k$  intervals of  $F_\alpha^k$ . Each time we at least cut the intervals in two, so they are of size strictly less than  $1/2^k$  by induction. So if we choose  $k$  such that  $1/2^k < \epsilon/2$  then  $B(x, \epsilon)$  contains the entire interval of  $F_\alpha^k$  containing  $x$ ; and when producing  $F_\alpha^{k+1}$  from  $F_\alpha^k$  we remove something from this interval, i.e.  $B(x, \epsilon)$  intersects  $[0, 1] \setminus F_\alpha^{k+1} \subset [0, 1] \subset F_\alpha$ .

$\square$