MAT320 Problem Set 8

Due Nov 30, 2023

Please write your homework on paper neatly or type it up in LaTeX, and hand it in at the beginning of class next Thursday. For us, *integrable* always means *Lebesgue integrable* unless otherwise specified.

Problem 1. Recall that for $f, g \in L^2([0,1])$ we set

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int f(x)g(x)dx.$$

Thus $\langle f, f \rangle = \|f\|_{L^2}^2$. Suppose that we have vectors $e_i \in L^2([0,1]), i = 1, 2, ...,$ such that

$$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$$

where $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if i = j and otherwise $\delta_{ij} = 0$.

a) Set

$$e_0 = 1 \in L^2([0,1]),$$

$$\tilde{e}_n = \cos(2\pi nx) \in L^2([0,1]), n = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$\tilde{f}_n = \sin(2\pi nx) \in L^2([0,1]), n = 1, 2, \dots$$

What are positive constants c_n, d_n such that if we set $e_n = c_n \tilde{e}_n$, $f_n = d_n \tilde{d}_n$ then $||e_n||_{L^2} = ||f_n||_{L^2} = 1$? You can use tables of antiderivatives from calculus or from the internet. It may be interesting for you to check for yourself that

$$\langle e_n, f_m \rangle = 0, \langle e_n, e_m \rangle = \langle f_n, f_m \rangle = \delta_{nm}$$

where $\delta_{nm} = 1$ if n = m and otherwise $\delta_{nm} = 0$. (δ_{nm} is called the Kronecker symbol.)

Thus we can think of $\{e_0, e_1, f_1, e_2, f_2, \ldots\}$ as a collection of vectors in $L^2([0,1])$ which are pairwise orthogonal and each have length 1.

b) Suppose that

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i e_i(x)$$
 (1)

where all but finitely many of the a_i are **zero**, so that the above sum is actually a finite sum. Show that we can recover a_n

$$a_n = \int_0^1 f(x)e_n(x). \tag{2}$$

c) We prove in class that $L^2([0,1]) \subset L^1([0,1])$. Show that if a sequence of functions $f_i \in L^2([0,1])$ converge to $f \in L^2([[0,1])$ in L^2 , then they converge in $L^1([0,1])$. Using the "General Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem" in Chapter 4, show that if (1) holds in the L^2 sense, that is, in the sense that for some sequence of numbers $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, the partial sums

$$f_N(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i e_i(x).$$
 (3)

converge in L^2 to f, then

$$\int_0^1 f_N e_n \to \int_0^1 f e_n.$$

Conclude that (2) continues to hold whenever (1) holds in the sense described above.

d) Show that if (1) holds in the sense described above then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i|^2 < \infty.$$

Conversely, show that if the above holds then the partial sums f_n (3) converge to some function in L^2 . (Restrict the summation to a big 'box' of indices, and think about the monotone convergence theorem.)

e) Suppose that we try to differentiate the series (1) term by term with respect to x. Does does that imply that the series

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \frac{d}{dx} e_i(x)$$
 (4)

converges? The previous problem should come in handy here.

f) Now, let us not assume that (1) holds in the L^2 -sense. Instead, let us simply define a_n via (2). Then we can define

$$T_n f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i e_i(x).$$

Show that

$$\langle T_n f, f - T_n f \rangle_{L^2} = 0.$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz, show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i|^2 \le ||f||_{L^2}^2 < \infty.$$

(Hint: think of $T_n f(x)$ as the projection of f_n to the linear subspace of $L^2([0,1])$ spanned by $\{e_0,\ldots,e_n\}$. This is just the statement that the length of a projection of a vector is bounded by the length of the original vector. Feel free to take a look at linear algebra textbooks remember how this proof goes!)

g) **Extra credit.** Show that if f(x) as in (1) is well defined in the sense that the partial sums converge in L_2 to some function, then the sum of the termby-term integrals converges pointwise to a continuous function, and we have

$$\int_0^y f(x)dx = \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i \int_0^y e_i(x)dx$$

for all y.

Problem 2. In this problem we will redo a variant of Dirichlet's proof of the L^2 convergence of Fourier series. Interestingly, this result is rarely proven outside of relatively advanced math classes, even though the rigorous proof is not really harder than the non-rigorous proofs that are often presented.

This proof will use the complex numbers. Hopefully, you are familiar with Euler's identity

$$e^{ix} = \cos(x) + i\sin(x);$$

if not we will take it on faith.

Our first step will be to massage the formulae of the previous problem.

We will set

$$a_n = \int_0^1 f(x) \cos(2\pi nx) \, dx$$

$$b_n = \int_0^1 f(x)\sin(2\pi nx) \, dx$$

and the analog of the Fourier series with this parameterization is

$$f(x) = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n 2\cos(2\pi nx) + b_n 2\sin(2\pi nx).$$
 (5)

a) If

$$f(x) = \tilde{a}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{a}_n e_n + \tilde{b}_n f_n,$$

holds in the L^2 sense, as does (5), where e_n and f_n are as in the previous problem, give expressions for \tilde{a}_i and \tilde{b}_i in terms of a_i and b_i . (Note that $e_n(x) \neq 0$

 $2\cos(2\pi nx)$ – instead, these two functions are only proportional. What is the proportionality constant?)

We will write

$$S_N f(x) = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n 2\cos(2\pi nx) + b_n 2\sin(2\pi nx).$$
 (6)

Our second step is to slightly massage this expression.

b) Show that

$$S_N f(x) = \int_0^1 \tilde{D}_N(x, y) f(y) dy$$

where

$$\tilde{D}_N(x,y) = 1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{N} (\cos(2\pi nx)\cos(2\pi ny) + \sin(2\pi nx)\sin(2\pi ny))$$

Moreover, using a trigonometric identity, show that

$$\tilde{D}_N(x,y) = 1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^N \cos(2\pi n(x-y)) =: D_N(x-y).$$

The function $D_N(x)$ is called the *Dirichlet kernel*; we see that the partial sum of the fourier series is computed by taking the *convolution* with the Dirichlet kernel $D_n(x)$.

- c) Now we will do some algebra. The complex numbers come in handy here.
- c.1) Show that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} ar^k = a \frac{1 - r^{n+1}}{1 - r}.$$

c.2) Show that

$$\sum_{k=-n}^n r^k = r^{-n} \frac{1-r^{2n+1}}{1-r} = \frac{r^{-n-1/2}-r^{n+1/2}}{r^{-1/2}-r^{1/2}}.$$

c.3) Plugging in $r = e^{2\pi ix}$ show that

$$\sum_{k=-n}^{n} e^{2\pi i kx} = \frac{\sin((2n+1)\pi x)}{\sin(\pi x)}.$$

c.4) Show that

$$D_N(x) = \frac{\sin((2N+1)\pi x)}{\sin(\pi x)}.$$

We have proven two statements about two real-numbered quantities by using complex numbers!

d) By a change of variables, show that

$$S_N f(x) - f(x) = \int_0^1 D_N(y) (f(x+y) - f(x)) dy.$$

(The fact that $D_n(y)$ is even may come in handy here!)

e) We can write the expression above as

$$\int_0^1 D_N(y)(f(x+y) - f(x))dy = \int_0^1 \sin((2N+1)\pi y) \frac{f(x+y) - f(x)}{\sin \pi y} dy.$$

By looking at extra credit problems from earlier problem sets, show that if for each x,

$$\frac{f(x+y) - f(x)}{\sin \pi y} \tag{7}$$

is integrable as a function on $[0,1] \ni y$, then

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} S_N f(x) = f(x)$$

for each x; that is, the functions $S_N f(x)$ converge pointwise to f(x) everywhere. Here we interpret f(z) for $z \notin [0,1]$ by making f 1-periodic, i.e. we set

$$f(z) = f(z - 1)$$

for all z.

f) Show that if f(x) is differentiable with continuous derivative after being made 1-periodic then for every $x \in [0,1]$, the functions (7) are integrable over $[0,1] \ni y$. (Hint: The only confusing part is about the zeros of the denominator. Compute

$$\lim_{y \to 0} \frac{f(x+y) - f(x)}{\sin \pi y}$$

using L-Hospital's rule. Is the function (7) actually even *better* than integrable, as a function of y?

We have proven that the Fourier series for f(x) pointwise everywhere to f for functions f with a continuous derivative. This is already very good. But we want to work with discontinuous functions f, too!

- g) Using the previous problem show that $S_N f \to f$ in L^2 for functions like in part (f) of this problem.
- h) You may take on faith (or look in the textbook, or think of a proof for youself) that functions as in part (f) of this problem are dense in $L^2([0,1])$.

Show, either using the previous problem or one of the homework problems from the last two problem sets, that the set of functions in $L^2([0,1])$ whose fourier series converges to the original function in $L^2([0,1])$ is a *closed* subset of $L^2([0,1])$. This proves that Fourier series converge in L^2 for all elements of $L^2([0,1])$, which includes discontinuous functions!