

Contents

1	DIS 0B	3
1.1	Intro	3
1.1.1	Some CS70 advice	3
1.2	Propositional Logic	3
1.3	Proofs	3
1.3.1	Direct proof	4
1.3.2	Contraposition	4
1.3.3	Contradiction	4
1.3.4	Cases	4
2	DIS 1A	5
2.1	Induction	5
2.1.1	(Weak) Induction	5
2.1.2	Strengthening the Hypothesis	5
2.1.3	Strong Induction	5
2.1.4	Weak vs Strong	5
3	DIS 1B	6
3.1	Stable Matching	6
3.1.1	The Propose and Reject Algorithm	6
3.1.2	Stability	6
3.1.3	Optimality	6
3.1.4	Potpourri	7
4	DIS 2A	8
4.1	Graphs	8
4.1.1	Notation	8
4.1.2	Vocabulary	8
4.1.3	The holy grail for graph proofs	9
4.1.4	Relevant Potpourri	9
5	DIS 2B	11
5.1	Trees	11
5.2	Planarity	11
5.3	Coloring	11
5.4	Hypercubes	12
6	DIS 3A	13
6.1	Modular Arithmetic	13

7	DIS 3B	14
7.1	Modular inverse	14
7.2	Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT)	14
8	DIS 4A	16
8.1	Fermat's Little Theorem	16
8.2	RSA	16
8.2.1	The algorithm	16
8.3	Why does RSA work?	16
9	DIS 4B	17
9.1	Polynomials	17
9.2	Finite Fields	17
9.3	Lagrange Interpolation	17

1 DIS 0B

1.1 Intro

- My OH is Monday 1-2 and Tuesday 3-4 in Cory 212.
- Email is first.last@
- 3rd year cs + math major
- hobbies?

1.1.1 Some CS70 advice

- Goal: enhance problem solving techniques/approach
- Don't fall behind on content, catching up will not be fun
- problems, problems, more problems
- Ask lots of questions (imperative for strong foundation)
- Don't stress, we're in this ride together

1.2 Propositional Logic

Relevant notation:

- \wedge = and
- \vee = or
- \neg = not
- \implies = implies
- \exists = there exists
- \forall = forall
- \mathbb{N} = natural numbers $\{0, 1, \dots\}$
- $a|b$ = a divides b

$P \implies Q$ is an example of an implication. We can read this as "If P , then Q ." An implication is false only when P is true and Q is false. If P is false, the implication is vacuously true.

Definition 1.1 (Contrapositive)

If $P \implies Q$ is an implication, then the implication $\neg Q \implies \neg P$ is known as the **contrapositive**.

An important identity is that $P \implies Q \equiv \neg Q \implies \neg P$.

1.3 Proofs

Induction will be in its own section.

Different methods.

1.3.1 Direct proof

Want to show $P \implies Q$ by assuming P and logically concluding Q .

1.3.2 Contraposition

Want to show $P \implies Q$ by equivalently proving $\neg Q \implies \neg P$.

1.3.3 Contradiction

Want to show P . We do this by assuming $\neg P$ and concluding $R \wedge \neg R$.

Why? Idea is that if we can show the implication $\neg P \implies (R \wedge \neg R)$ is True, this is the same as showing $\neg P \implies F$ is True. The contraposition gives $T \implies P$.

1.3.4 Cases

Break up a problem into multiple cases i.e. odd vs even.

2 DIS 1A

2.1 Induction

Goal of induction is to show $\forall n P(n)$.

2.1.1 (Weak) Induction

- Prove $P(0)$ is true (or relevant base cases), then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} (P(n) \implies P(n+1))$.
- Induction dominoes analogy!
- Sometimes you might have multiple base cases (Problem about $4x + 5y$ in Notes 3)

2.1.2 Strengthening the Hypothesis

Sometimes proving $P(n) \implies P(n+1)$ is not straightforward with induction. In such a scenario, we can try to introduce a (stronger) statement $Q(n)$. We want to construct Q such that $Q(n) \implies P(n)$. Inducting on Q proves P .

2.1.3 Strong Induction

- Prove $P(0)$ is true (or relevant base cases), then $\forall n ((P(0) \wedge P(1) \wedge \dots \wedge P(n)) \implies P(n+1))$.
- Dominoes analogy, but emphasis on the difference between weak and strong induction (assuming middle domino works vs everything from start to middle).

2.1.4 Weak vs Strong

A common point of confusion is when one should use strong induction in lieu of weak induction. Strong induction **always** works whenever weak induction works. However, there may be scenarios in which the induction hypothesis to prove $n = k + 1$ requires more information than just $n = k$. A scenario like this requires strong induction.

3 DIS 1B

3.1 Stable Matching

Cool application of induction.

3.1.1 The Propose and Reject Algorithm

Suppose jobs proposes to candidates.

- both jobs and candidates have a list of preferences
- every day a job that doesn't have a deal with a candidate will propose to the next best candidate on its preference list
- every candidate will tentatively "waitlist" the offer from the job (put it on a string)
- if a candidate has multiple offers, they will choose the one they prefer the most
- the algorithm ends when every candidate has a job on their "waitlist" (all these WLs becomes acceptances)

(walk through q1 of dis as a class to visualize this)

3.1.2 Stability

Definition 3.1 (Rogue Couple)

A job-candidate pair (J, C) is denoted as a **rogue couple** if they prefer each other over their final assignment in a stable matching instance.

Definition 3.2 (Unstable)

A matching that has at least one rogue couple is considered **unstable**.

Conversely, a **stable** matching is one that has no rogue couples.

Some tricky vocab stuff like stable matching instance.

Lemma 1 (Improvement) *If a candidate has a job offer, then they will always have an offer from a job at least as good as the one they have right now.* \square

Matchings produced by the algorithm are always **stable**.

3.1.3 Optimality

The propose and reject algorithm is proposer *optimal* and receiver *pessimal*.

Definition 3.3 (optimal)

A pairing is optimal for a group if each entity is paired with who it most prefers while maintaining stability.

Can be thought of a (well that's the best I could do) analogy.

Definition 3.4 (pessimal)

A pairing is pessimal for a group if each entity is paired with who it least prefers while maintaining stability.

Can be thought of a (well it can't get worse than this) analogy.

3.1.4 Potpourri

It is possible that there exists a stable matching instance that is neither job optimal nor candidate pessimal.

Consider the following preferences

Jobs	Preferences	Candidates	Preferences
<i>A</i>	1 > 2 > 3	1	<i>B</i> > <i>C</i> > <i>A</i>
<i>B</i>	2 > 3 > 1	2	<i>C</i> > <i>A</i> > <i>B</i>
<i>C</i>	3 > 1 > 2	3	<i>A</i> > <i>B</i> > <i>C</i>

The matching above can generate (at least) 3 stable matching instances

$$S = \{(A,1), (B,2), (C,3)\}$$

$$T = \{(A,3), (B,1), (C,2)\}$$

$$U = \{(A,2), (B,3), (C,1)\}.$$

We see

- *S* is job-optimal/candidate-pessimal (result of running propose and reject with jobs proposing to candidates)
- *T* is candidate-optimal/job-pessimal (result of running propose and reject with candidates proposing to jobs)
- *U* is neither optimal nor pessimal for both candidates and jobs (*S* and *T*) corroborate that.

Also some other important facts that can be seen (from discussion worksheet questions):

- There is at least one candidate that will receive only one proposal (that too on the last day)
- We can upper bound the number of days needed by P&R algorithm to $(n-1)^2 + 1 = n^2 - 2n + 2$ (think about why)
- As a consequence of above, we can upper bound the number of rejections needed by P&R algorithm to $(n-1)^2 = n^2 - 2n + 1$ rejections.

4 DIS 2A

4.1 Graphs

4.1.1 Notation

- V denotes set of vertices (points)
- E denotes set of edges (lines)
- $|V|$ denotes size of set of vertices i.e number of vertices; $|E|$ similarly
- Graph G with vertices V and edges E is denoted $G = (V, E)$.

4.1.2 Vocabulary

Definition 4.1 (Path)

A **path** is a sequence of edges. In CS70, we assume a path is *simple* which means no repeated vertices.

Definition 4.2 (Cycle)

A **cycle** is a simple path that starts and ends at the same vertex.

Definition 4.3 (Walk)

A **walk** is any arbitrary connected sequence of edges.

Definition 4.4 (Tour)

A **tour** is a walk that starts and end at the same vertex.

Definition 4.5 (Connected)

A graph is **connected** if there exists a path between any two distinct vertices.

Definition 4.6 (Eulerian Walk)

An **Eulerian walk** is a walk covering all edges without repeating any.

Definition 4.7 (Eulerian Tour)

An **Eulerian tour** is an Eulerian walk that starts and ends at the same vertex.

To summarize,

	no repeated vertices	no repeated edges	start = end	all edges	all vertices
Walk					
Path	✓	✓			
Tour			✓		
Cycle	✓*	✓	✓		
Eulerian Walk		✓		✓	
Eulerian Tour		✓	✓	✓	
Hamiltonian Tour	✓	✓	✓		✓

(*except for start and end vertices)

Theorem 4.1 (Euler's Theorem)

An undirected graph G has an Eulerian tour iff G is connected and all its vertices have even degree.

The requires condition for an Eulerian walk is that we have exactly 2 vertices of odd degree. (Of course, the case of 0 odd vertices trivially works since we claim from Euler's Theorem that we can find an Eulerian tour which is a stronger statement than an Eulerian walk)

Definition 4.8 (Bipartite)

A graph is considered bipartite if V can be partitioned into two sets L and R where $V = L \cup R$ such that there are no edges between vertices in L and no edges between vertices in R .

4.1.3 The holy grail for graph proofs

Induct, induct, induct, and induct.

- Think about what you want to induct on (edges or vertices???)
- Base case (read the problem carefully!)
- Prove for n by going from $n \rightarrow n - 1 \rightarrow I.H. \rightarrow n$.
 - **DO NOT** go from $n - 1 \rightarrow n$ directly.
 - Why? Build-up error!
 - Good example of build-up error when trying to prove “if every vertex of a graph has degree at least 2, then there exists a cycle of length 3.” Any attempt at induction will give us a false proof but we cannot make square from triangle!
 - It's also a logistical nightmare lol (in the times it might accidentally work). Try generating all 5-vertex trees from all 4-vertex trees yikes.

4.1.4 Relevant Potpourri

Some other relevant information.

Definition 4.9 (Degree)

The **degree** of a vertex v denoted $\deg(v)$ is defined to be the number of incident edges to v .

Lemma 2 (Handshake)

$$\sum_{v \in V} \deg(v) = 2|E|.$$

□

The idea of a degree (with no adjective) is only well-defined for undirected graphs. We see for directed graphs it's a little funky; we need to introduce the concept of indegree and outdegree.

In a directed graph, the number of outgoing edges equals the number of ingoing edges.

We will discuss trees, planarity, coloring, and hypercubes in the next discussion.

5 DIS 2B

5.1 Trees

A graph $G = (V, E)$ is a Tree if any of the statements below is true. TFAE (The following are equivalent):

- G is connected and has no cycles
- G is connected and $|E| = |V| - 1$
- G is connected and removing a single edge disconnects G
- G has no cycles and adding a single edge creates a cycle

Definition 5.1

A leaf is a node of degree 1.

A consequence of above is that every tree has at least 2 leaves.

5.2 Planarity

Definition 5.2 (planar)

A graph is **planar** if it can be drawn without any edge crossings.

Theorem 5.1 (Euler)

For every connected planar graph, $f + v = e + 2$.

Corollary 1 *If a graph is planar, then $e \leq 3v - 6$.* □

Theorem 5.2 (Kuratowski)

A graph is non-planar iff it contains K_5 or $K_{3,3}$.

(draw the two above graphs on the board)

The notation K_x denotes a complete graph with x vertices.

Definition 5.3 (complete graph)

A **complete graph** is a graph where all possible edges exist. Formally, in graph $G = (V, E)$, for any distinct $u, v \in V$, then $\{u, v\} \in E$.

5.3 Coloring

Two types: edge and vertex

- edge: color edges so that no two adjacent edges have the same color
- vertices: color vertices so that no two adjacent vertices have the same color

Theorem 5.3 (4 color theorem)

If a graph is planar, then it can be colored with 4 (or less) colors.

5.4 Hypercubes

A hypercube of dimension n is a graph whose vertices are bitstrings of length n . An edge between two vertices exists iff the two vertices differ at exactly 1 bit.

(draw $n = 1, 2, 3$ on the board)

We can see that $|V| = 2^n$ and $|E| = n2^{n-1}$.

Give some motivation on induction on hypercubes.

6 DIS 3A

Definition 6.1 (Greatest Common Divisor)

The **greatest common divisor** (gcd) of two integers a, b is the greatest $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d|a$ and $d|b$.

How does one efficiently calculate the GCD?

Algorithm 6.1 (Euclidean Algorithm)

```
function GCD( $a, b$ )
  if  $b = 0$  then
    return  $a$ 
  return GCD( $b, a \bmod b$ )
```

6.1 Modular Arithmetic

The relevant notation we'll be using for this section is expressions of the form

$$a \equiv b \pmod{x}$$

reads “ a is equivalent to $b \bmod x$ ”. It means that the remainder of a when divided by x equals the remainder of b when divided by x .

An important identity is that

$$a \equiv b \pmod{x} \iff (\exists k \in \mathbb{Z})(a = b + kx).$$

Talk about the “clock analogy”.

Example 6.1

We can see a display of some of the properties:

- Addition: $7 + 4 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$
- Subtraction: $7 - 4 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$
- Multiplication: $2 \cdot 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$.
- Division??

In modular arithmetic, division is not well-defined. The opposite of multiplication is multiplying by the modular inverse.

Definition 6.2 (modular inverse)

The value a is the **modular inverse** of x with respect to mod m if

$$ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

Does an inverse always exist? No.

Theorem 6.1

Let x and m be positive integers. Then $x^{-1} \pmod{m}$ exists and is unique only if $\gcd(x, m) = 1$.

7 DIS 3B

More mods.

7.1 Modular inverse

Lemma 3 (Bézout) For integers x, y such that $\gcd(x, y) = d$, there exist integers a and b that obey

$$ax + by = d.$$

□

We care about the case when $\gcd(x, y) = d = 1$.

Why? This is how we can find the modular inverse.

If $ax + by = 1$, taking $\text{mod } x$ gives us

$$by \equiv 1 \pmod{x} \implies b \equiv y^{-1} \pmod{x}.$$

Similarly, taking $\text{mod } y$ gives us

$$ax \equiv 1 \pmod{y} \implies a \equiv x^{-1} \pmod{y}.$$

Takeaway: the values of a and b we will solve for (Q1 on discussion) give us the inverse of x with respect to y and vice versa.

7.2 Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT)

Theorem 7.1 (CRT)

For pairwise relatively prime integers m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n , the modular system

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1} \\ x &\equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2} \\ &\vdots \\ x &\equiv a_n \pmod{m_n} \end{aligned}$$

has a unique solution $x \pmod{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n}$.

To clarify, the term pairwise relatively prime means for any distinct i, j , it follows $\gcd(m_i, m_j) = 1$.

How do we solve the system above? Discussion Q2...

...or we can solve them a faster way (not taught in the course lol)

Example 7.1

Suppose we take the first two systems from Q2 on discussion.

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ x &\equiv 3 \pmod{7}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gcd(3, 7) = 1$, CRT tells us x has a unique solution mod 21. The first equation tells us there exists some

integer k such that $x = 1 + 3k$. Plugging this into the second equation we have

$$1 + 3k \equiv 3 \pmod{7} \implies k \equiv 3 \pmod{7}.$$

Plugging in $k = 3$ gives $x \equiv 10 \pmod{21}$.

If we wanted to solve entirety of Q2 this way, we then apply the same trick above to the systems

$$x \equiv 10 \pmod{21}$$

$$x \equiv 4 \pmod{11}.$$

8 DIS 4A

8.1 Fermat's Little Theorem

A relevant theorem in modular arithmetic that will help us with RSA is Fermat's Little Theorem (FLT).

Theorem 8.1 (Fermat's Little Theorem (FLT))

For prime p and $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$, it follows

$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}.$$

Special case, if a is not divisible by p , then

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

8.2 RSA

Objective: Alice transfers info to Bob without Eve cracking it.

8.2.1 The algorithm

Here's a detailed outline of how the scheme works for RSA with 2 primes:

1. Entire world knows about a public key (N, e) where $N = pq$ for primes p and q such that $\gcd(e, (p-1)(q-1)) = 1$.
2. Alice and Bob meet in private, and Alice tells Bob what p and q are.
3. On his own time, Bob computes $(p-1)(q-1)$ and then calculates

$$d = e^{-1} \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}.$$

(Think about why we know such a d must exist)

4. To encrypt her message x , Alice sends $E(x)$ to Bob where

$$E(x) = x^e \pmod{N}.$$

5. To decrypt the message received y , Bob calculate $D(y)$ where

$$D(y) = y^d \pmod{N}.$$

High level idea of why this works:

$$\begin{aligned} D(E(x)) &= D(x^e) \pmod{N} \\ &= x^{ed} \pmod{N} \\ &= x \pmod{N}. \end{aligned}$$

More detailed proof by cases in page 3? of Note 7.

8.3 Why does RSA work?

- N is too large to brute force solve x where $y = x^e \pmod{N}$.
- N is too large to factor into $p \cdot q$. Factorization is an intractable problem!

9 DIS 4B

9.1 Polynomials

A single variable expression of the form

$$p(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$$

for reals a_i and x is denoted a polynomial.

Definition 9.1 (degree)

The degree of a polynomial $p(x)$, often denoted $\deg(p)$, is the value of the largest exponent of $p(x)$.

For example, any quadratic function has degree 2.

We mainly explore two relevant properties in this section.

Note 9.1 (Property 1)

If $\deg(p) = d$, then $p(x)$ has at most d roots.

Note 9.2 (Property 2)

Given $d + 1$ distinct (x, y) points, we can find/compute a unique degree d polynomial.

The concept of secret sharing follows directly from property 2.

9.2 Finite Fields

We will be using notation $GF(p)$ which represents a finite field (aka Galois Field) with respect to modulo p . All operations in this field are done in $\text{mod } p$. We want to convert all fractions to their modular inverse equivalents.

Example 9.1

If we're working in $GF(5)$, we remark

$$7x^2 \equiv 2x^2 \pmod{5}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{8} \equiv 8^{-1} \equiv 3^{-1} \equiv 2 \pmod{5}.$$

9.3 Lagrange Interpolation

For $d + 1$ points of the form $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_{d+1}, y_{d+1})$, we can construct a unique degree d polynomial

$$p(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} y_i p_i(x)$$

where

$$p_i(x) = \frac{\prod_{j \neq i} x - x_j}{\prod_{j \neq i} x_i - x_j}.$$