

# Stretched semigroup rings and 2-minor generation in defining ideals

Kazufumi Eto (Nippon Institute of Technology),  
 Takahiro Numata (Meiji University),  
 Naoyuki Matsuoka (Meiji University),  
 Kei-ichi Watanabe (Nihon University / Meiji University)

Let  $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_e \rangle = \{\lambda_1 n_1 + \lambda_2 n_2 + \dots + \lambda_e n_e \mid \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_e \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be an  $e$ -generated numerical semigroup, which is a submonoid of  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  with  $\sharp(\mathbb{N} \setminus H) < \infty$ . Consider the numerical semigroup ring  $R = k[H]$  of  $H$  which is realized as a subring of the polynomial ring  $k[t]$ , where  $k$  is a field. Let  $S = k[X_1, \dots, X_e]$  be the polynomial ring with  $e$  indeterminates, and  $\varphi : S \rightarrow R$  the homomorphism defined by  $X_i \mapsto t^{n_i}$ . We call  $I_H = \text{Ker } \varphi$  the defining ideal of  $R$ . Understanding the generators of the defining ideal  $I_H$  is closely related to the ring-theoretic properties of  $k[H]$ .

To explain the background of this talk, we need some notation on numerical semigroups. For a numerical semigroup  $H$ , an integer  $\alpha$  is called a pseudo-Frobenius number of  $H$ , if  $\alpha \notin H$  and  $\alpha + h \in H$  for every  $h \in H \setminus \{0\}$ .  $\text{PF}(H)$  denotes the set of all pseudo-Frobenius numbers of  $H$ . It is well-known that the graded canonical module  $K_R$  of  $R$  is generated by  $\{t^{-\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \text{PF}(H)}$  as an  $R$ -module (see [2]). Hence the Cohen-Macaulay type of  $R_M$  is equal to  $\sharp \text{PF}(H)$ , where  $M$  is the graded maximal ideal of  $R$ .

The following conjecture posed by Cuong-Kien-Matsuoka-Truong (see [3, 4]) suggests that  $\text{PF}(H)$  controls the generation of the defining ideal  $I_H$  of  $R = k[H]$ .

**Conjecture 1.** *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $I_H$  is generated by the 2minors of a homogeneous  $2 \times e$  matrix over  $S$ .
- (2) After a suitable permutation of generators of  $H$ ,

$$I_H = \text{I}_2 \begin{pmatrix} X_1^{\ell_1} & X_2^{\ell_2} & \dots & X_e^{\ell_e} \\ X_2^{m_2} & X_3^{m_3} & \dots & X_1^{m_1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (3)  $\text{PF}(H)$  forms an arithmetic sequence of length  $e - 1$ , that is  $\text{PF}(H) = \{h + \alpha, h + 2\alpha, \dots, h + (e - 1)\alpha\}$  for some  $h \geq 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$ .

In this talk, we will provide a partial answer to this conjecture. To state our main result, we need one more notation. Let  $H$  be a numerical semigroup and  $H_0$  be a subsemigroup of  $H$ . We do not assume that  $H_0$  is numerical; in other words, we do not require  $\sharp(\mathbb{N} \setminus H_0) < \infty$ . We put

$$\text{Ap}(H, H_0) = \{h \in H \mid h - h' \notin H \text{ for all } h' \in H_0\}$$

and we call it the Apéry set of  $H$  with respect to  $H_0$ . Then the following is the main theorem of this talk.

**Theorem 2** (Main Theorem). *Let  $\delta, \gamma \in \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_e\}$  and put  $H_0 = \langle \delta, \gamma \rangle$ . Suppose that*

$$(*) \quad \text{Ap}(H, H_0) \setminus \{0\} = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_e\} \setminus \{\delta, \gamma\}.$$

*Then the following are equivalent.*

(1) After a suitable permutation of generators of  $H$ ,

$$I_H = I_2 \begin{pmatrix} X_1^{\ell_1} & X_2^{\ell_2} & X_3 & \cdots & X_{e-1} & X_e \\ X_2^{m_2} & X_3 & X_4 & \cdots & X_e & X_1^{m_1} \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $\ell_1, \ell_2, m_1, m_2 \geq 1$ .

(2)  $\text{PF}(H) = \{h + \alpha, h + 2\alpha, \dots, h + (e - 1)\alpha\}$  for some  $h \geq 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$ .

Another important notion in this talk is that of stretched numerical semigroups. We begin with the classical definition of stretched local rings posed by J. Sally [5].

**Definition 3** ([5]). Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be an Artinian local ring. We say that  $A$  is stretched, if  $\mathfrak{m}^2 = (0)$  or  $\mathfrak{m}^2$  is a principal ideal.

**Definition 4** ([1]). A numerical semigroup  $H$  is stretched, if there exists  $0 \neq f \in k[[H]]$  such that  $k[[H]]/(f)$  is stretched.

Notice that  $k[[H]] = k[[t^{n_1}, t^{n_2}, \dots, t^{n_e}]]$  is realized as a subring of the formal power series ring  $k[[t]]$ . Hence  $k[[H]]$  is a local ring. This definition is motivated by the expectation that stretchedness of  $H$  should correspond to stretchedness of  $k[[H]]$ .

**Theorem 5.** If  $H$  is stretched, then  $H$  satisfies the condition  $(*)$  in Theorem 2. When  $\text{PF}(H)$  forms an arithmetic sequence of length  $e - 1$ , we have

$$I_H = I_2 \begin{pmatrix} X_1^{\ell_1} & X_2^{\ell_2} & X_3 & \cdots & X_{e-1} & X_e \\ X_2 & X_3 & X_4 & \cdots & X_e & X_1^{m_1} \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $\ell_1, \ell_2, m_1 \geq 1$ , after a suitable permutation of generators of  $H$ .

In this talk, we will

- summarize the known results around Conjecture 1 and clarify how our result advances the current progress,
- present further classes of numerical semigroups satisfying  $(*)$ , and
- investigate, under the condition  $(*)$ , which elements  $f \in k[[H]]$  make  $k[[H]]/(f)$  stretched.

## References

- [1] K. Eto, N. Matsuoka, T. Numata, and K.-i. Watanabe, An upper bound for the Frobenius number and stretched numerical semigroups, *Comm. Algebra* 53 (2025) 4766–4777.
- [2] S. Goto, K. Watanabe, *On graded rings, I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **30** (1978), no. 2, 179–213.
- [3] D. V. Kien, N. Matsuoka, *Numerical semigroup rings of maximal embedding dimension with determinantal defining ideals*, in: Numerical semigroups, Springer INdAM Ser. **40**, Springer, 2020, pp.185–196.
- [4] D. V. Kien, N. Matsuoka, and T. Ozaki, *Pseudo-Frobenius numbers and defining ideals in stretched numerical semigroup rings*, arXiv:2501.06415.
- [5] J. D. Sally, *Stretched Gorenstein Rings*, J. London Math. Soc. **20** (1979), no. 1, 19–26.